.28AIO, 28A05

BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. VOL. 31 (1985), 325-328.

## WILANSKY'S QUERY ON OUTER MEASURES

# CHOO-WHAN KIM

On a set X, let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure and  $\mu$  the measure induced by  $\mu^*$ . We show that if X is a finite set, then the measure  $\mu$  is saturated. We give two examples of non-regular outer measures on an infinite set X which induce non-saturated and saturated measures, respectively. These answer a query posed by Wilansky.

#### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let X be an arbitrary non-empty set and P(X) its power set. On the set X, let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure,  $\mu$  the measure induced by  $\mu^*$ ,  $\mu^+$  the outer measure induced by  $\mu$ , and  $\overline{\mu}$  the measure induced by  $\mu^+$ . Recently Wilansky posed the following query [3]: must every  $\mu^+$ -measurable set be  $\mu^*$ -measurable?

Let M and  $M^+$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mu^*$ -measurable and  $\mu^+$ -measurable sets, respectively. It is plain that  $M \subset M^+$ ,  $\mu^* \leq \mu^+$  on P(X), and  $\mu^* = \mu^+$  on M.

Let  $(X, B, \lambda)$  be any measure space. Following Royden [2] we shall say that a subset E of X is locally measurable (with respect to B and  $\lambda$ ), if  $E \cap B \in B$  for each  $B \in B$  with  $\lambda(B) < \infty$ . Then the family  $B^{\circ}$ of all locally measurable sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing B. The measure  $\lambda$  is called saturated (or a saturated measure on B), if  $B = B^{\circ}$ . If  $\lambda$ 

Received 24 October 1984.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/85 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, then it is saturated. For any subset E of X, let E' = X - E.

LEMMA 1. If  $E \in M^+$  with  $\overline{\mu}(E) < \infty$ , then  $E \in M$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $E \in M^+$  with  $\overline{\mu}(E) < \infty$ . Let  $A \in M$  be such that  $E \subset A$  and  $\overline{\mu}(E) = \mu(A)$ . Then  $A - E \in M^+$  and  $\overline{\mu}(A-E) = 0$ , so that  $\mu(A-E) = 0$ . Since  $\mu$  is complete, we have  $A - E \in M$ , so that  $E \in M$ .

LEMMA 2.  $M^{+} = (M^{+})^{+}$ .

Proof. Suppose  $E \in (M^+)^{\wedge}$  and  $B \in M$  with  $\mu(B) < \infty$ . Then we have  $E \cap B \in M^+$  and  $\overline{\mu}(E \cap B) \leq \mu(B) < \infty$ , so, by Lemma 1,  $E \cap B \in M$ . Thus  $(M^+)^{\wedge} \subset M^{\wedge}$ . Similarly we obtain  $M^{\wedge} \subset (M^+)^{\wedge}$ .

PROPOSITION 1.  $\bar{\mu}$  is a saturated measure on  $M^+$ , and  $M^+ = M^{\wedge}$ .

Proof. Since  $M^+ \subset (M^+)^{\uparrow} = M^{\uparrow}$ , it remains to show  $M^{\uparrow} \subset M^+$ . Suppose  $E \in M^{\uparrow}$  and  $A \subset X$  with  $\mu^+(A) < \infty$ . Let  $B \in M$  be such that  $A \subset B$  and  $\mu^+(A) = \mu(B)$ . Then both  $E \cap B$  and  $E' \cap B$  are in M, and

 $\mu^{+}(A) = \mu(B) = \mu(B \cap E) + \mu(B \cap E') \ge \mu^{+}(A \cap E) + \mu^{+}(A \cap E') ,$ so that  $E \in M^{+}$ .  $\Box$ 

In view of Proposition 1, the query may be stated as follows: must the measure  $\mu$  induced by an outer measure  $\mu^*$  be saturated?

#### 2. Results

We state without proof the following well-known result ([1], [2]).

**PROPOSITION 2.** The following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $\mu^* = \mu^+$  on P(X);

(ii) for each  $E \subset X$ , there is  $A \in M$  such that  $E \subset A$  and  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ ;

(iii)  $\mu^*$  is induced by a measure on an algebra.

An outer measure  $\mu^*$  is called regular, if any one of the assertions of Proposition 2 holds. By a minor modification of the proof of Proposition 1 we obtain:

**THEOREM 1.** If an outer measure  $\mu^*$  is regular, then the induced measure  $\mu$  is saturated.

THEOREM 2. For each outer measure  $\mu^*$  on a finite set X , the induced measure  $\mu$  is saturated.

Proof. It is enough to prove the theorem in the case in which  $\mu^*$  is not regular,  $\mu^*(X) = \infty$ , and X has at least three points. Let  $X = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$   $(n \ge 3)$ ,  $Y = \{i \mid i \in X, \mu^*(i) < \infty\}$ , and  $Z = \{i \mid i \in X, \mu^*(i) = \infty\}$ . By our assumption, Y must contain at least two points and Z is not empty. It follows at once that every subset of Z is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. Since Y also is  $\mu^*$ -measurable, we have

$$\mathsf{M} = \{ E \cup F \mid E \in Y \cap \mathsf{M}, F \subset Z \}$$

If  $A \in M^{\uparrow} = M^{\downarrow}$ , then  $A \cap Z \in M$  and  $A \cap Y \in M^{\downarrow}$ . Since  $\overline{\mu}(A \cap Y) \leq \mu(Y) < \infty$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that  $A \cap Y \in M$ . Thus  $A \in M$ .

#### 3. Examples

Here we give two examples of non-regular outer measures on an infinite set which induce non-saturated and saturated measures, respectively.

EXAMPLE 1. Let X be an infinite set. Define the outer measure  $\mu^*$  by

 $\mu^*(A) = 1 - 2^{-n}$ , if A contains n points,  $\mu^*(A) = \infty$ , if A is infinite.

For each non-empty proper subset E of X, let  $A = \{x, y\}$ , where  $x \in E$  and  $y \in E'$ . Since

$$\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E') = \mu^*(x) + \mu^*(y) = 1 > \mu^*(A) = 3/4 ,$$
  
the set E is not in M. Thus M =  $\{\emptyset, X\}$ .

It follows readily that  $\mu^+(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\mu^+(E) = \mu(X) = \infty$  for each  $E \neq \emptyset$ . That is,  $\mu^+$  is the "infinite" measure on P(X). It is plain that  $M^+ = P(X)$  so that the induced measure  $\mu$  is not saturated.

**EXAMPLE** 2. Let X be an infinite set,  $Y = \{a, b\}$ , where a and b are distinct points of X, and Z = X - Y. Define the outer measure  $\mu^*$  by

$$\mu^*(\phi) = 0$$
,  $\mu^*(a) = \mu^*(b) = 1$ ,  $\mu^*(Y) = 1.5$ ,  $\mu^*(A) = \infty$ ,  
if  $A \cap Z \neq \phi$ 

Note that both  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are not in M . It is straightforward to show that  $Y\in M$ , and  $E\in M$  for all  $E\subset Z$  . Thus we obtain

 $M = \{ \emptyset, Y, E, Y \cup E \mid \emptyset \neq E \subset Z \} .$ 

Since  $\mu^{+}(a) = \mu^{+}(b) = \mu(Y) = 1.5$ , we have

$$\mu^{+}(Y \cap \{a\}) + \mu^{+}(Y \cap \{b\}) = 2\mu(Y) > \mu(Y) ,$$

so that both  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are not in  $M^+$ . Thus  $M = M^+$ , and the measure  $\mu$  is saturated.

### References

[1] Paul R. Halmos, Measure theory (Van Nostrand, New York, 1954).

[2] H.L. Royden, *Real analysis*, 2nd ed. (Macmillan, New York, 1968).

[3] A. Wilansky, "Query 305", Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1984), 376.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A IS6, Canada.