# ON THE SPECTRUM OF AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

## by P. G. ROONEY

(Received 3 August, 1984)

**1. Introduction.** The integral operator which we will consider in this paper is the operator T defined for suitably restricted functions f on  $(0, \infty)$  by

$$(Tf)(x) = \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty (x - t)^{-1} f(t) \, dt, \qquad (1.1)$$

where x > 0 and the integral is taken in the Cauchy principal value sense at t = x. This operator plays a considerable role in Wiener-Hopf theory; see [2; Chapter 5].

Since T is clearly the restriction to  $(0, \infty)$  of minus the Hilbert transformation applied to functions which vanish on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , it follows easily from the theory of the Hilbert transformation, as given in say [6; Theorem 101], that T is a bounded operator from  $L_p(0, \infty)$  to itself for 1 .

The spectrum of T on  $L_2(0, \infty)$  was found, first by Koppelman and Pincus [3] and more recently, using the Mellin transformation, by Del Pace and Venturi [1] to be the closed segment of the imaginary axis from -i to i, while its spectrum on  $L_p(0, \infty)$  was found by Widom [7] to be the circular arc with endpoints  $\pm i$  passing through the point  $-\cot \pi/p$ .

In this paper we shall use the Mellin multiplier technique that we developed in [5] to study the spectrum of T on the spaces  $\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$  and  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$  defined in that paper. Our notation will be that of [5]; other particular notations from [5] that we shall use are  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ ,  $\mathscr{A}$ ,  $\mathscr{M}$  and [X]. We shall show that the spectrum of T on  $\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$ , where  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$ , is the circular arc with endpoints  $\pm i$  passing through the point  $-\cot \pi\mu$ , and that on  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$ , where  $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$ , the spectrum is a subset of this arc. This is achieved in section three, and is consistent with Widom's result since  $L_p(0, \infty) = \mathscr{L}_{1/p,p}$ . Naturally we must first study the boundedness of T on  $\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$  and  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$  and this is done in section two.

The operator T can be transformed by elementary changes of variable into the finite Hilbert transformation, or Tricomi operator,  $T_{a,b}$  where for  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$  and suitably restricted f.

$$(T_{a,b}f)(x) = \pi^{-1} \int_{a}^{b} (x-t)^{-1} f(t) \, dt, \qquad x \in (a,b), \tag{1.2}$$

the integral again being a Cauchy principal value at t = x, and in section four we exploit this fact to determine the spectrum of  $T_{a,b}$  on a class of spaces.

In section five we make some concluding remarks, trying to put our technique in its general setting.

**2. Boundedness of T.** In this section we show that if  $1 , <math>\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ , then T is a bounded operator on  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$  to itself. However, first we need a Lemma.

Glasgow Math. J. 28 (1986) 5-9.

## P. G. ROONEY

LEMMA. If  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$ ,  $T \in [\mathcal{L}_{\mu,p}]$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu,p}$  where  $1 and <math>0 < \mu < 1$ , then

$$(\mathcal{M}Tf)(s) = -\cot \pi s(\mathcal{M}f)(s), \operatorname{Re} s = \mu.$$
(2.1)

*Proof.* From [6; Theorem 90], it follows that if  $f \in L_2(0, \infty)$ , then for x > 0.

$$(Tf)(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty f(t) \log|1 - x/t| \, dt$$

But then

$$(Tf)(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f(t) \log \left| 1 - x^2/t^2 \right| dt + \int_0^\infty f(t) \log \left| (t - x)/(t + x) \right| dt \right\},$$

and hence from [4; (3.5) and (3.6)]

$$Tf = -\frac{1}{2}(H_{+}f + H_{-}f),$$

or, on  $L_2(0, \infty)$ ,

$$T = -\frac{1}{2}(H_+ + H_-) \tag{2.2}$$

But from [4, Theorem 3.1], if  $1 , <math>H_+ \in [\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}]$  for  $-1 < \mu < 1$  and  $H_- \in [\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}]$  for  $0 < \mu < 2$ . Thus from (2.2) if  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$ ,  $T \in [\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}]$ .

Also, from [4; Theorem 3.1 and (3.7) and (3.8)], if  $f \in [\mathcal{L}_{\mu,p}]$  where  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$ ,

$$(\mathcal{M}Tf)(s) = -\frac{1}{2}(-\tan(\pi s/2) + \cot(\pi s/2))(\mathcal{M}f)(s)) = -\cot \pi s(\mathcal{M}f)(s),$$

Re  $s = \mu$ , and (2.1) follows, so that the Lemma is proved.

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose  $1 , <math>\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ . Then  $T \in [\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}]$ .

*Proof.* If  $m(s) = -\cot \pi s$ , then *m* is holomorphic in the strip  $0 < \operatorname{Re} s < 1$ . It is well known and elementary that if small circles of equal positive radius are drawn about the poles of  $\cot \pi s$ , then in the closure of the exterior of those circles  $|\cot \pi s|$  is bounded and thus if  $0 < \sigma_1 \le \sigma_2 < 1$ , then in  $\sigma_1 \le \operatorname{Re} s \le \sigma_2$ , |m(s)| is bounded. Further, if  $0 < \mu < 1$ ,  $|m'(\mu + it)| = |\pi \csc^2 \pi(\mu + it)| = O(e^{-2\pi|t|}) = O(|t|^{-1})$  as  $|t| \to \infty$ .

Hence  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\alpha(m) = 0$ ,  $\beta(m) = 1$ , and thus by [5; Theorem 1], there is a transformation  $H_m \in [\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}]$  for  $1 , <math>\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ , such that if  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu,p}$ , 1 , then

$$(\mathcal{M}H_m f)(s) = m(s)(\mathcal{M}f)(s) = -\cot \pi s(\mathcal{M}f)(s), \quad \text{Re } s = \mu$$

But then, from (2.1) on  $\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$  for  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$ ,  $H_m = T$ , and thus extending T to  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$  by defining it to be  $H_m$ ,  $T \in [\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}]$  for  $1 , <math>\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1$ .

3. The spectrum of T. Let us denote the circular arc with end points  $\pm i$  passing through the point  $-\cot \pi u$  by  $\sigma(\mu)$ . Clearly  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mu)$  if and only if  $\lambda = \pm i$  or  $\arg((\lambda - i)/(\lambda + i)) = 2\pi\mu$ , so that  $\sigma(\mu)$  is clearly an arc of the Steiner circle of the second kind with poles  $\pm i$ . The Theorem below shows how the spectrum of T in  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$  is related to  $\sigma(\mu)$ .

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose  $1 , <math>\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ . Then on  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}$  the spectrum of T is a subset of  $\sigma(\mu)$ , while on  $\lambda_{\mu,p}$  the spectrum of T is equal to  $\sigma(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda \notin \sigma(\mu)$ . Then there is a  $\gamma$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ,  $\gamma \neq \mu$ , so that  $\arg((\lambda - i)/(\lambda + i)) = 2\pi\gamma$ . We show first that if  $m_{\lambda}(s) = \lambda + \cot \pi s$ , then  $1/m_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $\alpha(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma$ ,  $\beta(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma + 1$  if  $\gamma < \mu$  and with  $\alpha(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma - 1$ ,  $\beta(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma$  if  $\gamma > \mu$ .

Suppose that  $0 \le \gamma < \mu$ . Then  $m_{\lambda}(s)$  has no zeros in the strip  $\gamma < \text{Re } s < \gamma + 1$ . For  $m_{\lambda}(s)$  has a zero on the line  $\text{Re } s = \gamma$ , namely at the point

$$s = (2\pi i)^{-1} \log((\lambda - i)/(\lambda + i)) = \gamma + (2\pi i)^{-1} \log |(\lambda - i)/(\lambda + i)|$$

and it is easy to see that  $\cot \pi s$  takes on a value only once in a strip of the form  $\eta < \operatorname{Re} s \leq \eta + 1$ . Thus (i)  $1/m_{\lambda}(s)$  is holomorphic in the strip  $\gamma < \operatorname{Re} s < \gamma + 1$ . Suppose  $\gamma < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 < \gamma + 1$ . Then  $-\cot \pi(\sigma_2 + it) = (i \cot \pi \sigma_2 \coth \pi t + 1)/(\cot \pi \sigma_2 - i \coth \pi t) = (\tanh \pi t + \cot \pi \sigma_2)/(\cot \pi \sigma_2 \tanh \pi t - i)$ , and thus as t increases from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ ,  $w = -\cot \pi(\sigma_2 + it)$  describes the arc  $\arg(w - i)/(w + i) = 2\pi\sigma_2$  from -i to i. Similarly, as t runs from  $\infty$  to  $-\infty$ ,  $w = -\cot \pi(\sigma_1 + it)$  describes the arc  $\arg((w - i)/(w + i)) = 2\pi\sigma_1$  from i to -i. Thus since  $-\cot \pi(\sigma + it) \rightarrow \pm i$  as  $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$  uniformly in  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2$ , the values taken on by  $-\cot \pi s$  in the strip  $\sigma_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \sigma_2$  lie in the set

$$W = \{w \mid 2\pi\sigma_1 \leq \arg((w-i)/(w+i)) \leq 2\pi\sigma_2\}$$

and thus since  $\arg((\lambda - i)/(\lambda + i)) = 2\pi\gamma$  and  $\gamma < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \gamma + 1$ ,  $\lambda$  is at a positive distance from W so that  $|\lambda + \cot \pi s|$  is bounded away from zero in  $\sigma_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \sigma_2$ . Hence (ii)  $|(1/m_{\lambda}(s))|$  is bounded in  $\sigma_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \sigma_2$ . Finally (iii) if  $\gamma < \sigma < \gamma + 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma$ ,  $\frac{d}{ds}(m_{\lambda}(s))^{-1} = \pi(m_{\lambda}(s))^{-2} \csc^2 \pi s$  and  $|m_{\lambda}(\sigma + it)|^{-2}$  is bounded and  $|\csc^2 \pi(\sigma + it)| = O(|t|^{-1})$  as  $|t| \to \infty$ . Thus, if  $0 \leq \gamma < \mu$ ,  $1/m_{\lambda}(s) \not \ll$  with  $\alpha(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma$ ,  $\beta(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma + 1$ .

Similarly if  $\mu < \gamma < 1$ ,  $1/m_{\lambda}(s) \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\alpha(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma - 1$  and  $\beta(1/m_{\lambda}) = \gamma$ .

But obviously  $m_{\lambda}(s)$  is the multiplier of  $\lambda I - T$ , and hence by [5; Theorem 1] since  $\alpha(1/m_{\lambda}) < \mu < \beta(1/m_{\lambda}), (\lambda I - T)^{-1}$  exists and is in  $[\mathscr{L}_{\omega,\mu,p}]$ . Thus if  $\lambda \notin \sigma(\mu), \lambda$  is in the resolvent set of T and hence the spectrum of T is a subset of  $\sigma(\mu)$ .

To show that on  $\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$ ,  $\sigma(\mu)$  equals the spectrum of T, suppose first that 1 , $<math>0 < \mu < 1$  and that  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mu)$ ,  $\lambda \neq \pm i$ . Then if  $\lambda$  is in the resolvent set of T, for any  $g \in \mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$ the equation  $(\lambda I - T)f = g$  has a solution  $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$ . Taking Mellin transforms it follows that  $(\mathscr{M}f)(s) = (\mathscr{M}g)(s)/(\lambda - \cot \pi s)$ , Re  $s = \mu$ . Since  $\mathscr{M}$  maps  $\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$  into  $L_{p'}(-\infty, \infty)$ , where p' = p/(p-1), it follows that for any  $g \in \mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$ ,  $(\mathscr{M}g)(\mu + it)/(\lambda + \cot \pi(\mu + it)) \in L_{p'}(-\infty, \infty)$ .

However since  $\arg((\lambda - i)/(\lambda + i)) = 2\pi\mu$ ,  $\lambda + \cot \pi s$  has a simple zero at  $s = \mu + (2\pi i)^{-1} \log |(\lambda - i)/(\lambda + i)| = \mu + it_0$ . Choose real numbers *a* and *b* so that  $a < t_0 < b$  and let

$$g(x) = \pi^{-1} x^{-\mu_e - \frac{1}{2}i(a+b)\log x} \sin(\frac{1}{2}(b-a)\log x) / \log x$$

Then  $g \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu,p}$  since

$$\int_0^\infty |x^{\mu}g(x)|^p \, dx = \pi^{-p} \int_0^\infty |\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(b-a)\log x\right)/\log x|^p \, dx/x$$
$$= \pi^{-p} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\sin(\frac{1}{2}(b-a)t)/t|^p \, dt < \infty$$

### P. G. ROONEY

Also

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{1/R}^{R} x^{\mu + it - 1} g(x) \, dx = \pi^{-1} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-\log R}^{\log R} \cos(t - \frac{1}{2}(a + b)u \sin \frac{1}{2}(b - a)u \, du/u$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-\log R}^{\log R} (\sin(t - a)u - \sin(t - b)u) \, du/u = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{sgn}(t - a) - \operatorname{sgn}(t - b))$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0, t < a \\ 1, a < t < b \\ 0, t > b \end{cases}$$

Thus  $(\mathcal{M}g)(\mu + it)$  equals the characteristic function of (a, b) a.e., and hence since, as noted,  $(\mathcal{M}g)(\mu + it)/(\lambda + \cot \pi(\mu + it))$  is in  $L_{p'}(-\infty, \infty)$ , we must have

$$\int_a^b |\lambda + \cot \pi (\mu + it)|^{-p'} dt < \infty,$$

a contradiction.

Hence  $\lambda$  cannot be in the resolvent set of T and must then be in the spectrum of T, and since the spectrum is closed  $\sigma(\mu)$  must be in the spectrum of T, and consequently that spectrum is  $\sigma(\mu)$ .

If p > 2, then the same result follows since T and its adjoint have the same spectrum, the adjoint of T is -T, the adjoint space of  $L_{\mu,p}$  is  $L_{1-\mu,p'}$ , p' < 2 and  $\sigma(1-\mu) = -\sigma(\mu)$ .

One might remark that it is easy to see that on  $\mathscr{L}_{\mu,p}$ ,  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$ , the spectrum of T consists entirely of continuous spectrum. Also, it is easy to show that if  $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then Tf = 0. Hence since  $0 \notin \sigma(T)$  on  $L_{\omega,\mu,p}$ ,  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$ , unless  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ , it follows that  $f \notin L_{\omega,\mu,p}$ ,  $1 , <math>0 < \mu < 1$  unless  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ , and thus if  $v > -\frac{3}{2}$ ,  $v \neq -1$  and  $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$  where 1 , then

$$\int_0^\infty \omega(x) x^{\,\nu} \, dx = \infty$$

4. The spectrum and boundedness of  $T_{a,b}$ . If f is suitably restricted and  $g = T_{a,b}f$  and if we let  $F(x) = (x+1)^{-1}f((bx+a)/(x+1))$ , and  $G(x) = (x+1)^{-1}g((bx+a)/(x+1))$ , then G = TF. The following theorem follows immediately.

THEOREM 4.1. Suppose  $1 , <math>\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$  and  $0 < \mu < 1$ . Then on the space of functions f, measurable on (a, b), and normed by the norm

$$\|f\|_{\omega,\mu,p} = \left\{\int_a^b \omega((x-a)/(b-x)) \left| (x-a)^{\mu}(b-x)^{1-\mu}f(x) \right|^p dx/((b-x)(x-a)) \right\}^{1/p},$$

to itself,  $T_{a,b}$  is a bounded operator and its spectrum is a subset of  $\sigma(\mu)$ ; if  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ , the spectrum of  $T_{a,b}$  is  $\sigma(\mu)$ .

5. Concluding remarks. The technique that we have used here to analyze the spectrum of T seems to be of considerably more general applicability. Indeed if  $m \in \mathcal{A}$ 

8

and  $T_m$  is the transformation associated with *m* by [5; Theorem 1], and if  $m_{\lambda} = \lambda - m$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then clearly  $\lambda I - T_m$  is associated with  $m_{\lambda}$  and  $m_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{A}$ , so that if  $1/m_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{A}$ and  $\max(\alpha(m), \alpha(1/m_{\lambda})) < \mu < \min(\alpha(m), \alpha(1/m_{\lambda}))$ , then if  $1 and <math>\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_p$ ,  $(\lambda I - T_m)^{-1} \in [\mathscr{L}_{\omega, \gamma, p}]$ , so that  $\lambda$  is in the resolvent set of  $T_m$ .

The only barrier to this method seems to be showing that  $\alpha(1/m_{\lambda}) < \mu < \beta(1/m_{\lambda})$ , which requires that the range of  $m(\mu + it)$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , be known. In the case of the T of sections one to three, it was possible to find this because of the simplicity of the corresponding m, but for a more complicated m this could be very difficult.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Del Pace and A. Venturi, A Wiener-Hopf equation with singular kernel, *Matematiche* (*Catania*) 33 (1978), no. 2, 333-347 (1981).

2. Harry Hochstadt, Integral equations, (Wiley, 1973).

3. W. Koppelman and J. D. Pincus, Spectral representations for finite Hilbert transformations, *Math. Z.* 71 (1959), 399-407.

4. P. G. Rooney, On the  $\mathcal{Y}_{v}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{v}$  transformations, Canad. J. Math. 32 (1980), 1021-1044.

5. P. G Rooney, Multipliers for the Mellin transformation, Canad. Math. Bull. 25 (1982), 257-262.

6. E. C. Titchmarsh, Theory of Fourier integrals, (Oxford, 1948).

7. Harold Widom, Singular integrals in L<sub>p</sub>, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 939-960.

University of Toronto Toronto Ontario Canada M5S 1A1