Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 16 (3), 1973

## HERMITE CONJUGATE FUNCTIONS AND REARRANGEMENT INVARIANT SPACES

BY

## KENNETH F. ANDERSEN

The Hermite conjugate Poisson integral  $\tilde{f}(x, y)$  of a given  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $d\mu(y) = \exp(-y^2) dy$ , was defined by Muckenhoupt [5, p. 247] as

$$\tilde{f}(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q(x, y, z) f(z) \, d\mu(z) \qquad x > 0, \, y \in \Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$$

where

$$Q(x, y, z) = \int_0^1 \frac{2^{1/2}(z - ry)\exp(x^2/2\log r)}{\pi(-\log r)^{1/2}(1 - r^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-r^2y^2 + 2ryz - r^2z^2}{1 - r^2}\right) dr.$$

If the Hermite conjugate function operator T is defined by  $(Tf)(y) = \lim_{x\to 0+} \tilde{f}(x, y)$ a.e., then one of the main results of [5] is that T is of weak-type (1, 1) and strongtype (p, p) for all p > 1. This result together with a theorem of Boyd [3, Theorem 1] shows that if  $L^{\rho}(\Omega)$  is a rearrangement invariant space with upper and lower indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively (see [3] for definitions and notation) which satisfy  $0 < \beta \le \alpha < 1$ , then T maps  $L^{\rho}(\Omega)$  continuously into itself. The purpose of this note is to give an elementary proof of the converse which then results in the following generalization of Muckenhoupt's result:

THEOREM. Let  $L^{\rho}(\Omega)$  be a rearrangement invariant space with upper index  $\alpha$  and lower index  $\beta$ . Then  $0 < \beta \le \alpha < 1$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be bounded as a linear operator from  $L^{\rho}(\Omega)$  into itself.

In general, the indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  will depend not only on the particular function norm  $\rho$  defining  $L^{\rho}$  but also on the nature of the underlying measure space. However, it is known that the conditions  $0 < \beta \le \alpha < 1$  are equivalent to uniform convexity in the case of the Lorentz spaces  $\Lambda(\varphi, p), p > 1$ , and to reflexivity in the case of the Orlicz spaces. For the infinite non-atomic measure spaces this was proved by Boyd [2], and using similar methods Kerman [4] and the author [1] obtained the same results for the finite non-atomic and the purely atomic cases respectively. Thus, Kerman's result applies in the present situation, and in analogy with known results for the classical Hilbert transform [2], the classical conjugate function

Received by the editors July 13, 1971 and, in revised form, February 7, 1972.

operator [4], [6] and the discrete Hilbert transforms [1] we have:

COROLLARY 1. T is bounded from  $\Lambda(\varphi, p)$ , p > 1, into itself if and only if  $\Lambda(\varphi, p)$  is uniformly convex.

COROLLARY 2. T is bounded from an Orlicz space into itself if and only if the space is reflexive.

For the proof of the Theorem we require the following lemma.

LEMMA. Denote by  $\Omega^*$  the interval [0, a] equipped with Lebesgue measure m, where  $a = \mu(\Omega)$ , and if  $f \in L^{\rho}(\Omega^*)$  let  $\tau f$  denote the function in  $L^{\rho}(\Omega)$  given by

$$(\tau f)(z) = \begin{cases} f(z) & \text{if } z \in [0, a] \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}.$$

Then f and  $\tau f$  have equivalent norms, that is,

$$(\exp(-a^2))\rho_{\Omega}*(f) \leq \rho_{\Omega}(\tau f) \leq \rho_{\Omega}*(f).$$

**Proof.** Denote by  $f^*$  and  $(\tau f)'$  respectively, the nonincreasing equimeasurable rearrangements of f and  $\tau f$  onto  $\Omega^*$ . Then we clearly have

$$m\{z \in [0, a]: f^{*}(z) > y\} = m\{z \in [0, a]: |f(z)| > y\} \le \frac{1}{s} \mu\{z \in (-\infty, \infty): |\tau f(z)| > y\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{s} m\{z \in [0, a]: (\tau f)'(z) > y\}$$

so that

(1) 
$$f^*(z) \le (\tau f)'(sz)$$

where we have put  $s = \exp(-a^2)$ . On the other hand we also have

$$m\{z \in [0, a]: (\tau f)'(z) > y\} = \mu\{z \in (-\infty, \infty): |\tau f(z)| > y\}$$
$$\leq m\{z \in [0, a]: |f(z)| > y\}$$
$$= m\{z \in [0, a]: f^*(z) > y\}$$

so that

(2) 
$$(\tau f)'(z) \le f^*(z).$$

Now it follows immediately from Lemma 3(a) and (47) of [3] that  $\rho_{\Omega^*}((\tau f)'(s.)) \leq (1/s)\rho_{\Omega^*}((\tau f)')$  and hence from (1) and (2) it follows that

$$\rho_{\Omega^*}(f) = \rho_{\Omega^*}(f^*) \le (1/s)\rho_{\Omega^*}((\tau f)') = (1/s)\rho_{\Omega}(\tau f)$$

and

$$\rho_{\Omega}(\tau f) = \rho_{\Omega^*}((\tau f)') \le \rho_{\Omega^*}(f^*) = \rho_{\Omega^*}(f)$$

which proves the lemma.

**Proof of the Theorem.** (necessity). According to [3, p. 1253, (50) and (51)] it is sufficient to show that if T is bounded, then there is a constant A independent of  $f \in L^{\rho}(\Omega^*)$  such that

(3) 
$$\rho_{\Omega^*}(|(P+P')f|) \le A\rho_{\Omega^*}(|f|)$$

where for  $y \in \Omega^*$ ,

$$(Pf)(y) = \int_0^1 f(yz) \, dz$$
 and  $(P'f)(y) = \int_1^{a/y} f(yz) \, \frac{dz}{z}$ .

Now if  $0 < y \le a$ ,  $0 \le yz \le a$  and 0 < c < 1 then

$$-yQ(0, y, -yz) \ge \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi} y^2 \int_{c}^{1} \frac{(z+r)}{(-\log r)^{1/2} (1-r^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-y^2 \frac{r^2 + 2rz + r^2 z^2}{1-r^2}\right) dr$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{1/2} \pi} \int_{c}^{1} \frac{(1+r)^{1/2}}{(1+rz)} \left(\frac{1-r}{-\log r}\right)^{1/2} \left(-\frac{d}{dr} \exp\left(-y^2 \frac{r^2 + 2rz + r^2 z^2}{1-r^2}\right)\right) dr$$

and since  $((1-r)/-\log r)^{1/2}$  is bounded below for  $c \le r \le 1$  we have

(4) 
$$-yQ(0, y, -yz) \ge \frac{2K}{(1+z)}$$

where K is a positive constant, depending only on c. Now if  $f \in L^{\rho}(\Omega^*)$  with  $f \ge 0$ , let  $g(z) = -(\tau f)(-z)$ . Then g and  $\tau f$  are equimeasurable which together with the lemma shows that

(5) 
$$\rho_{\Omega}(|g|) = \rho_{\Omega}(\tau f) \le \rho_{\Omega^{\star}}(f)$$

and according to Theorem 2 of [5], for almost all y,  $0 < y \le a$ , we have

$$(Tg)(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|y-z| > \varepsilon} Q(0, y, z)g(z) \, d\mu(z)$$
$$= -\int_0^a Q(0, y, -z)f(z) \, d\mu(z)$$

(note that the principal value is not required in this last integral since  $|z-y| \ge y > 0$  for all z in the support of g). Now making the change of variable  $z \rightarrow yz$  and using (4) we get

$$(Tg)(y) = \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^{a/y}\right) - yQ(0, y, -yz)f(yz)\exp(-(yz)^2) dz$$
  

$$\ge K \exp(-a^2)[(P+P')f](y)$$

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

1973]

for  $0 < y \le a$ , so that for almost all  $y \in \Omega$  we have

$$[\tau(P+P')f](y) \le \frac{\exp a^2}{K} |(Tg)(y)|$$

and hence, by the Lemma and (5)

$$\rho_{\Omega^{\bullet}}((P+P')f) \leq (\exp a^2)\rho_{\Omega}(\tau(P+P')f) \leq \frac{\exp 2a^2}{K}\rho_{\Omega}(|Tg|)$$
$$\leq \frac{\exp 2a^2}{K} ||T|| \rho_{\Omega^{\bullet}}(f)$$

from which (3) follows, noting that  $|(P+P')f(y)| \leq [(P+P')|f|](y), y \in \Omega^*$ .

## REFERENCES

1. K. F. Andersen, Discrete Hilbert transformations on rearrangement invariant sequence spaces, Thesis, University of Toronto, 1970.

2. D. W. Boyd, The Hilbert transform on rearrangement invariant spaces, Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967), 599-616.

3. —, Indices of function spaces and their relationship to interpolation, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 1245–1254.

4. R. A. Kerman, Conjugate functions and rearrangement invariant spaces, Thesis, University of Toronto, 1969.

5. B. Muckenhoupt, Hermite conjugate expansions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (1969), 243-260.

6. R. Ryan, Conjugate functions in Orlicz spaces, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 1371-1377.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA.

380