

Due to an error during production, the following table was omitted from "Footprints across the Black Rock: Temporal Variability in Prehistoric Foraging Territories and Toolstone Procurement Strategies in the Western Great Basin," by Geoffrey M. Smith, *American Antiquity* 75(4):865–885.

Table 3. Toolstone Sources, Absolute and Relative Frequencies, and Distance to Sources for Middle Period Assemblages from the Black Rock Desert-High Rock Country.

Toolstone Type	Location							
	Last Supper Cave	The Parman Localities	Rock Creek	Paiute Creek Shelter	Massacre Lake Basin	King's Dog	Southern Surprise Valley	
Badger Creek	26 km (n=47; 10.4%)	37 km (n=1; 4.0%)	-	-	16 km (n=1; 2.0%)	-	77 km (n=1; .8%)	
Beatys Butte	76 km (n=6; 1.4%)	-	-	-	72 km (n=2; 4.0%)	-	-	
Blue Mountain	-	-	-	-	-	65 km (n=1; .9%)	-	
Blue Spring	94 km (n=1; .2%)	-	31 km (n=1; 5.0%)	-	-	35 km (n=2; 1.7%)	-	
BS/PP/FM <sup>1</sup>	56 km (n=7; 1.6%)	50 km (n=2; 8.0%)	-	-	48 km (n=5; 10.0%)	48 km (n=13; 11.3%)	26 km (n=51; 42.1%)	
Buck Mountain	90 km (n=1; .2%)	-	-	-	51 km (n=2; 4.0%)	24 km (n=65; 56.5%)	57 km (n=13; 10.7%)	
Burns	-	-	-	215 km (n=1; 5.3%)	-	-	-	
Cowhead Lake	75 km (n=3; .7%)	-	7 km (n=7; 35.0%)	-	45 km (n=2; 4.0%)	43 km (n=4; 3.5%)	78 km (n=3; 2.5%)	
Coyote Spring	22 km (n=5; 1.1%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DH/WH <sup>2</sup>	91 km (n=14; 3.1%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GHP/LIW/RS <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	125 km (n=1; .9%)	134 km (n=3; 2.5%)	
Harris Flat	-	-	-	-	-	30 km (n=2; 1.7%)	61 km (n=1; .8%)	
Hawks Valley	21 km (n=20; 4.4%)	40 km (n=1; 4.0%)	-	-	-	-	-	
Kane Springs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Long Valley	51 km (n=6; 1.4%)	-	600 km (n=1; 5.0%)	-	-	-	-	
ML/GV <sup>4</sup>	1 km (n=319; 70.7%)	3 km (n=14; 56.0%)	-	14 km (n=16; 84.2%)	1 km (n=28; 56.0%)	37 km (n=7; 6.1%)	51 km (n=3; 2.5%)	
Mosquito Lake	58 km (n=2; .4%)	-	17 km (n=2; 10.0%)	-	30 km (n=4; 8.0%)	44 km (n=4; 3.5%)	71 km (n=1; .8%)	
South Warners	-	-	-	-	-	40 km (n=7; 6.1%)	8 km (n=29; 24.0%)	
Sugar Hill	-	-	34 km (n=1; 5.0%)	-	56 km (n=1; 2.0%)	33 km (n=3; 2.6%)	64 km (n=2; 1.7%)	
Surveyor Spring	74 km (n=1; .2%)	-	1 km (n=3; 15.0%)	-	-	-	-	
Unknowns	? km (n=19; 4.2%)	? km (n=7; 28.0%)	? km (n=5; 25.0%)	? km (n=2; 10.5%)	? km (n=5; 10.0%)	? km (n=6; 5.2%)	? km (n=14; 11.6%)	
<i>Total</i>	451	25	20	19	50	115	121	

<sup>1</sup> BS/PP/FM = Bordwell Spring/Pinto Peak/Fox Mountain

<sup>2</sup> DH/WH = Double H/Whitehorse

<sup>3</sup> GHP/LIW/RS = Grasshopper Flat/Lost Iron Well/Red Switchback

<sup>4</sup> ML/GV = Massacre Lake/Guano Valley

## NOTICE TO AUTHORS

*American Antiquity* publishes original papers on the archaeology of the New World and on archaeological method, theory, and practice worldwide. Authors of papers on Latin American topics are encouraged to submit their work to *Latin American Antiquity*. Papers on Latin American archaeology addressing broad methodological, theoretical, or comparative issues may be accepted by either journal after consultation with the editors. Authors submit manuscripts to the editor for consideration as ARTICLES, REPORTS, COMMENTS, or FORUM essays. REVIEWS and BOOK NOTES are solicited by the respective associate editor. OBITUARIES should be submitted to the editor, *The SAA Archaeological Record*, for publication (see *SAA Bulletin* March/April 1996, p. 7, for further details).

Authors are required to submit manuscripts electronically through Editorial Manager® (<http://www.editorialmanager/aaq>). Detailed information on policy, style, and technical matters of manuscript preparation is given in the "Editorial Policy, Information for Authors, and Style Guide for *American Antiquity* and *Latin American Antiquity*," which appeared in the October 1992 issue (57:749–770) and can be read on the World Wide Web at <http://www.saa.org/Publications/StyleGuide/styframe.html>.

The editor reserves the right to evaluate manuscripts (with or without peer review) for appropriate subject matter, quality, length, and compliance with the style guide. Manuscripts may be returned to authors if they fail to meet expectations or conform to these guidelines. Manuscripts are evaluated by the editor in consultation with peer referees, as appropriate. The editor has the final responsibility for all decisions regarding manuscripts. The review process generally takes a minimum of two to four months. Authors will be notified as soon as a decision is reached to accept (outright or with revisions) or reject a manuscript.

## ARTICLES

- 3 Rendering Economies: Native American Labor and Secondary Animal Products in the Eighteenth-Century Pimería Alta  
*Barnet Pavao-Zuckerman*
- 24 Origin Stories, Archaeological Evidence, and Postclovis Paleoindian Bison Hunting on the Great Plains  
*Douglas B. Bamforth*
- 41 New Perspectives on Meadowood Trade Items  
*Karine Taché*
- 81 The Provenance and Use of Etowah Palettes  
*Vincas P. Steponaitis, Samuel E. Swanson, George Wheeler, and Penelope B. Drooker*

## REPORTS

- 107 Mapping Clovis: Projectile Points, Behavior, and Bias  
*Mary M. Prasciunas*
- 127 Down to Seeds and Stones: A New Look at the Subsistence Remains from Shawnee-Minisink  
*Joseph A.M. Gingerich*
- 145 Determining Site Size and Structure: A Fort Ancient Example  
*Robert A. Cook and Jarrod Burks*
- 163 The Spatial Scale of Social Learning Affects Cultural Diversity  
*L. S. Premo and Jonathan B. Scholnick*

## COMMENTS

- 177 Change and Continuity, Practice and Memory: A Response to Stephen Silliman  
*Giovanna Vitelli*
- 190 Households, Time, and Practice: A Reply to Vitelli  
*Stephen W. Silliman*

*On the Cover:* Location and number of cattle specimens (NISP) exhibiting butchering marks (C = cut mark, H = hack mark) from Mission Cocóspera. From "Rendering Economies: Native American Labor and Secondary Animal Products in the Eighteenth-Century Pimería Alta," by Barnet Pavao-Zuckerman, pg. 19.

∞ The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992  
(Permanence of Paper).