Think Philosophy for everyone

A Journal of the Royal Institute of Philosophy

Edited by Stephen Law



Think

1. Guidelines for Contributors

The editor welcomes accessible contributions from philosophers and other thinkers on any topic broadly related either to philosophy or to the development of thinking skills. It is anticipated that most contributors will be academics.

Contributions should be below 4,000 words (unless otherwise agreed with the editor). Very short pieces are welcome.

Think welcomes submissions which are clear and to the point and in the straightforward prose characteristic of the best philosophy. At the same time, the editor would also like to encourage the use of imaginative and unusual ways of making ideas engaging and accessible, e.g. through the use of dialogue, humour, illustrations (black and white: line and photos), examples taken from the media, etc. Papers engaging with some topical debate are especially welcome.

Contributors should presuppose no philosophical background knowledge on the part of the reader. The use of jargon and logical notation, especially where unexplained, should be avoided. Please avoid including notes and references if at all possible.

While the presentation of original thought is very much encouraged, a submission need not go beyond providing an engaging an accessible introduction to a particular philosophical issue or line of argument. Authors are asked to include within their submissions clear and fairly thorough introductions to any debates to which they wish to make a contribution.

Contributors of accepted articles will be asked to assign their copyright (on certain conditions) to the Royal Institute of Philosophy so that their interests may be safeguarded.

Authors are responsible for obtaining permission to reproduce any material for which they do not own copyright, to be used in both print and electronic media, and for ensuring that the appropriate acknowledgements are included in their manuscript.

The editor has the assistance of a panel of referees drawn from the Institute's Council. Please include with your contribution a brief statement of your position and institution (where relevant).

2. Submissions

Contributions (as Word documents) and communications should be sent to:

Stephen Law (editor) THINK 14 Gordon Square, London, WC1H OAR

Email: think@royalinstitutephilosophy.org

3. Format and Style

The editor would be grateful if final submissions were in the *Think* house style. Please use single quotation marks (double when embedded). Please italicize rather than underline.

Where it is absolutely unavoidable that references be included, they should be incorporated into the text (preferably) or else appear as endnotes in the *Think* style. Examples:

Fred Author, *Title of Book* (Place: Publisher, 2002), 23.

D. Academic, 'Title of Paper', A Journal, 1.1 (1990): 34-56.

© The Royal Institute of Philosophy, 2020

Think

Philosophy for everyone

Number 54 • Spring 2020

A Journal of The Royal Institute of Philosophy

CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

INTRODUCTION: SHOULD I BELIEVE IN GOD? Stephen Law	5	
ON UNDERESTIMATING US Jane Heal	9	
A MORE DEVASTATING VERSION OF THE RAVEN PARADOX Erdinç Sayan	21	Think Spring 2020
THE GOOD PLACE AND TED SIDER'S PUZZLE Jeremiah Joven B. Joaquin and Hazel T. Biana	25	oring ;
QUALIA AIN'T OUT HERE EITHER Christopher Searle	31	2020
PROFESSIONAL ETHICS AND A CALL FOR PHILOSOPHICAL LITERACY Andrew Knight	37	ω
IN DEFENCE OF SPANKING Timothy Hsiao	49	
HUME, KANT, AND FEUERBACH: WHY THE ANTHROPOMORPHIC CRITIQUE REVEALS A FALSE DILEMMA BETWEEN NATURALISTIC ATHEISM AND ANTI-NATURALISTIC THEISM Chris Byron and Jesse Lopes	55	
TRUTH, KNOWLEDGE, AND RELIGIOUS BELIEF John Hendry	69	
DAY SHIFT GOD, NIGHT SHIFT GOD Marc Champagne	81	