

CPD Online

135 **New from CPD Online**

Cochrane Corner

136 **Specialised early intervention teams for recent-onset psychosis: a Cochrane Review**

Stephen Puntis, Amedeo Minichino, Franco De Crescenzo, Rachael Harrison, Andrea Cipriani & Belinda Lennox

Round the Corner

137 **Specialised early intervention for recent-onset psychosis**

Harun S. Butt

Article

141 **The Court of Protection: expert witness and professional reports**

Martin Curtice

Commentary

150 **'Clear explanation' in mental capacity assessment**

Gareth Owen, Nuala Kane & Alex Ruck Keene

Article

153 **Values-based practice: how can history taking help psychiatrists explore the values involved in clinical decision-making?**

Robert B. Dudas

Memory Lane

163 **Masters & Johnson – their unique contribution to sexology**

Kevan Wylie

Clinical Reflection

166 **Methodological dualism considered as a heuristic paradigm for clinical psychiatry**

Tuomas K. Pernu

Articles

170 **Towards a more relational psychiatry: a critical reflection**

Duncan B. Double

179 **Disentangling capacity and the First-tier Tribunal: bringing case law into clinical practice**

Zumer Arif Jawaid, Nick Brindle & Michael Kennedy

187 **What the psychiatrist needs to know about the coroner's court in England and Wales**

Anton van Dellen, Andrew Harris, James Merryweather, Ceylan Simsek & Gabrielle Pendlebury

Refreshment

195 **A clinical approach to nightmares and bad dreams in cognitive-behavioural therapy**

Carlos Carona & Ana Fonseca

Article

198 **Assessment and treatment of hypersexuality: a review**

Heba Elrafei & Qutub Jamali

In this issue, (141–143) author Martin Curtice explains that the Court of Protection has the legal jurisdiction to make decisions about people who lack capacity to make decisions themselves (in England and Wales). The article reviews the judgment from a Court of Protection case that analyses the proper role of the expert witness in the court. In doing so the article provides guidance to authors of expert witness reports and reports under section 49 of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 submitted as evidence to the court.

Cover image

©iStockphoto/pablohart

<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/bjpsych-advances>

ISSN 2056-4678

On behalf of the editorial board, the Editor-in-Chief would like to thank the contribution of all peer reviewers in the period from January 2021 – December 2021. Their anonymous work for the journal forms the foundation to its success, and is highly appreciated. Please see full list at: <https://doi.org/10.1192/bja.2022.8>