Habitual meal frequency in relation to resting and activity-induced energy expenditure in human subjects: the role of fat-free mass
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Habitual meal frequency was assessed as a possible function of components of energy expenditure (EE) in human subjects. Fifty-six subjects participated (four categories differing in body composition): ten older women (fat-free mass (FFM) 42·0 (SD 6·3) kg, aged 59 (SD 2) years, BMI 27·5 (SD 6·9) kg/m²), fifteen younger women (FFM 45·5 (SD 5·2) kg, aged 34 (SD 10) years, BMI 21·9 (SD 2·3) kg/m²), twelve older men (FFM 56·8 (SD 5·9) kg, aged 62 (SD 4) years, BMI 25·7 (SD 3·3) kg/m²) and nineteen younger men (FFM 63·9 (SD 7·5) kg, aged 23·1 (SD 3·9) years, BMI 22·9 (SD 1·8) kg/m²). Measurements consisted of habitual meal frequency by validated food-intake diaries, physical activity by tri-axial accelerometers and resting EE by a ventilated hood system. Habitual meal frequency was expressed as a function of resting EE (including resting EE as a function of FFM), and of activity-induced EE, using regression analysis. FFM differed according to gender and age categories (P<0·01). Physical activity level was higher in the younger men than in the other categories (P<0·05). No relationship of meal frequency with the variables assessed was observed in subjects with a low FFM (the women). In the subjects with a medium FFM (the older men), meal frequency was positively related to resting EE (r² 0·4, P<0·05), but not to the residuals of resting EE as a function of FFM, and inversely related to activity-induced EE (r² 0·3, P<0·05). Resting EE explained 40 % of the variation in meal frequency; adding activity-induced EE increased this to 60 %. In the subjects with a high FFM (the younger men), meal frequency was inversely related to resting EE (r² 0·8; P<0·0001) and to the residuals of resting EE as a function of FFM (P<0·03), and positively related to activity-induced EE (r² 0·6, P<0·0001). Resting EE explained 85 % of the variation in meal frequency; adding activity-induced EE increased this to 89 %. Habitual meal frequency was a function of components of EE, namely resting EE and activity-induced EE, only in subjects with a medium to high FFM (men). FFM-related differences in these relationships suggest a role of physical activity.

Age: Body composition: Gender: Physical activity: Man

Previous studies assessing the role of habitual meal frequency in maintaining energy balance have focused on energy intake (EI) regulation, including macronutrient composition, as well as on energy expenditure (EE), but not including the components of EE separately. For instance, EI per d appeared to be regulated more accurately in nibblers than in meal feeders, and in high-carbohydrate consumers than in high-fat consumers (Westerterp-Plantenga et al. 1994, 2002). Accuracy of EI regulation is defined as the degree of compensation for interventions that might change EI, resulting in the same EI per d as in the control situation. For instance, nibblers with their habitually higher meal frequency showed a similar EI per d on a day with an intervention, i.e. a reduced EI at lunchtime, as on the control day. In meal feeders this accuracy was not present, since their EI per d on the intervention days remained reduced by the magnitude of the reduction in EI at lunchtime (Westerterp-Plantenga et al. 1994).

In accordance with this, Drummond et al. (1998) showed an inverse relationship between body-weight status and eating frequency in male, but not female, non-obese adults, reporting valid dietary intakes. Less frequent eaters tended to be overweight and more frequent eaters were of normal weight. Moreover, improved EI regulation was associated with an introduced increased frequency of eating in lean male subjects (Speechly & Buffenstein, 1999), and acute EI reduction was associated with an introduced increased frequency of eating in obese male subjects (Speechly et al. 1999). The combination of these results suggests that improvements in EI regulation appear when EI is spread evenly over the course of a day, i.e. regulation of EI might be a function of meal frequency.

With respect to body-weight regulation, most epidemiological studies from the last three decades report an inverse relationship between body weight or BMI, or % body fat, or waist : hip ratio in human subjects and meal frequency.
EI data from food intake diaries, which, if not validated, may be disputable, in that it is not known how under-reporting affects meal frequency. It may be hypothesized that apart from regulation of EI being a function of meal frequency, regulation of body weight may also be a function of meal frequency.

Recently, we reported from a controlled experimental study that in healthy young men accuracy of EI regulation was positively related to habitual meal frequency, and that EI was inversely related to habitual meal frequency (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 2005). Regulation of meal frequency was assessed per d, but it is significant over 1 week (Draaisma et al., 2016). Thus, habitual meal frequency may have a metabolic cause, since it changes with a metabolic factor, namely blood-glucose patterns (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 2005). For the data analysis, only the subjects who reported their food intake accurately (Goris et al. 2001) were included (n 56). To determine the degree of dietary restraint, the three-factor eating questionnaire (Stunkard & Messick, 1985) was completed by the subjects. In order to discriminate between groups differing in body composition, subjects’ BMI was determined as weight (kg)/height (m)^2, using a digital scale accurate to 0·1 kg (type E1200; Sauter, Eningen, Germany) and a wall-mounted stadiometer (model 220; Seca, Hamburg, Germany). Body composition was determined by ^4^H dilution (Westerterp et al., 1995). For the analysis, only the subjects who reported their food intake accurately (Goris et al. 2001) were included (n 56). To determine the degree of dietary restraint, the three-factor eating questionnaire (Stunkard & Messick, 1985) was completed by the subjects. In order to discriminate between groups differing in body composition, subjects’ BMI was determined as weight (kg)/height (m)^2, using a digital scale accurate to 0·1 kg (type E1200; Sauter, Eningen, Germany) and a wall-mounted stadiometer (model 220; Seca, Hamburg, Germany). Body composition was determined by ^4^H dilution (Westerterp et al., 1995). For the analysis, only the subjects who reported their food intake accurately (Goris et al. 2001) were included (n 56). To determine the degree of dietary restraint, the three-factor eating questionnaire (Stunkard & Messick, 1985) was completed by the subjects. In order to discriminate between groups differing in body composition, subjects were divided into four groups, matched by age and gender; their characteristics are given in Table 1. The cut-off point for age was 50 years, thus distinguishing between pre- and post-menopausal women. The groups had different sizes or age ranges because of the selection criteria: not having fixed time schedules during the week and reporting food intake accurately. The protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Academic Hospital of the University of Maastricht.

Methods

Subjects

Subjects (n 80), men and women, aged 18–70 years, were recruited through the University and local newspaper. They were selected on not having fixed time schedules during the week, which might affect meal patterns. The older subjects appeared to be retired; the younger subjects were students. They all gave written informed consent. BMI was determined as weight (kg)/height (m)^2, using a digital scale accurate to 0·1 kg (type E1200; Sauter, Eningen, Germany) and a wall-mounted stadiometer (model 220; Seca, Hamburg, Germany). Body composition was determined by ^4^H dilution (Westerterp et al., 1995). For the data analysis, only the subjects who reported their food intake accurately (Goris et al. 2001) were included (n 56). To determine the degree of dietary restraint, the three-factor eating questionnaire (Stunkard & Messick, 1985) was completed by the subjects. In order to discriminate between groups differing in body composition, subjects were divided into four groups, matched by age and gender; their characteristics are given in Table 1. The cut-off point for age was 50 years, thus distinguishing between pre- and post-menopausal women. The groups had different sizes or age ranges because of the selection criteria: not having fixed time schedules during the week and reporting food intake accurately. The protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Academic Hospital of the University of Maastricht.

Procedures

**Habitual meal frequency.** Habitual meal frequency was calculated from the food intake diaries, which were completed by the subjects and validated for these subjects in the present study. Habitual food and water intake was recorded in a food intake diary for seven consecutive days. Subjects were instructed by a dietitian on how to complete a food intake diary accurately and correctly, and to pay special attention to recording each separate eating occasion, including the time. The food intake diaries were structured with a section for each hour. This way, subjects were kept aware every hour whether they had eaten something and they could make a note of it. The subjects were asked not to change their habitual food intakes. Validation of the EI reported in the food intake...
Habitual meal frequency and energy expenditure

Table 1. Subject characteristics, energy expenditure, energy intake, macronutrient composition (% energy from carbohydrate, protein and fat) and meal frequency, divided according to gender and age‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Older (n 10)</td>
<td>Younger (n 15)</td>
<td>Older (n 12)</td>
<td>Younger (n 19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)§</td>
<td>59·4 2·4</td>
<td>34·7** 6·9</td>
<td>61·6 4·1</td>
<td>23·1** 2·9</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·3 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27·5 6·9</td>
<td>21·9** 2·3</td>
<td>25·7 3·3</td>
<td>22·9 1·8</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>67·4† 9·2</td>
<td>60·4** 7·3</td>
<td>78·3 8·8</td>
<td>75·3 10·4</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1·63† 0·6</td>
<td>1·66† 0·6</td>
<td>1·75 0·05</td>
<td>1·80 0·05</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM (%)</td>
<td>37·7† 4·7</td>
<td>24·2**† 3·8</td>
<td>27·5 5·7</td>
<td>15·2** 3·1</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td>42·0† 6·3</td>
<td>45·5† 5·2</td>
<td>56·8 5·9</td>
<td>63·9** 7·5</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>15·8† 4·5</td>
<td>16·5† 1·9</td>
<td>18·6 3·1</td>
<td>19·7 3·0</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting EE (MJ/d)</td>
<td>5·7† 0·6</td>
<td>6·0† 0·4</td>
<td>7·2 0·7</td>
<td>7·7 0·7</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQ</td>
<td>0·86 0·02</td>
<td>0·87 0·02</td>
<td>0·84 0·01</td>
<td>0·85 0·02</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE (MJ/d)</td>
<td>9·4† 1·0</td>
<td>9·4† 1·3</td>
<td>11·9 0·9</td>
<td>13·1 0·9</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity-induced EE</td>
<td>3·7† 1·1</td>
<td>3·4† 1·4</td>
<td>4·7 1·2</td>
<td>5·4 1·6</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>1·65 0·3</td>
<td>1·56† 0·3</td>
<td>1·65 0·3</td>
<td>1·70† 0·4</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal frequency (n per d)</td>
<td>5·3 0·7</td>
<td>5·7† 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 2·0</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI (MJ/d)</td>
<td>9·2† 1·3</td>
<td>9·1† 1·7</td>
<td>11·8 1·2</td>
<td>13·0 1·5</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Energy from:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>11 1</td>
<td>12 2</td>
<td>13 2</td>
<td>12 2</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>53 5</td>
<td>55 7</td>
<td>49 6</td>
<td>52 7</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>36 3</td>
<td>33 4</td>
<td>38 4</td>
<td>36 5</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude to eating‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 (cognitive restraint)</td>
<td>6·3 2·9</td>
<td>7·1† 2·2</td>
<td>5·4 2·8</td>
<td>4·4 3·1</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 (disinhibition)</td>
<td>5·2 2·7</td>
<td>6·4† 3·7</td>
<td>4·2 2·4</td>
<td>3·8 2·2</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 (general hunger)</td>
<td>3·1 1·5</td>
<td>3·2 2·3</td>
<td>3·3 2·1</td>
<td>4·2 2·9</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
<td>5·7 0·7</td>
<td>5·2 0·7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FM, fat mass; FFM, fat-free mass; EE, energy expenditure; PAL, physical activity level; EI, energy intake.
Mean values were significantly different from those of older subjects of the same gender: *P<0·05, **P<0·01.
Mean values were significantly different from those of male subjects in the same age group: †P<0·01.
‡ For details of procedures, see p. 644.
§ Ranges (years): older female 55–65, younger female 20–50, older male 55–70, younger male 18–30.
‡‡ For details of procedures, see p. 644.
† Three-factor eating questionnaire; for details, see Stunkard & Messick (1985).

Activity-induced EE was calculated as EE−resting EE. Physical activity level (PAL) was calculated as EE/resting EE.

Statistics

Body composition was expressed as % body fat (% fat mass (FM)), FFM (kg) and as FFM index (FFM (kg)/height (m²)). Possible differences between relevant subject characteristics between the gender and age categories were determined using the Mann–Whitney test. The same test was used to determine possible differences in meal frequency and in the range in meal frequency.

Possible relationships between meal frequency and other variables were determined by simple regression analysis. The possible relationship between meal frequency and resting EE, as well as activity-induced EE, was determined by stepwise regression analysis. The possible relationship between habitual meal frequency and the residuals of the regression analysis of resting EE expressed as a function of FFM was determined by simple regression analysis.

This was executed to analyse whether a possible relationship of meal frequency with resting EE was in fact a relationship with FFM.

The computer software program Statview 2.0 (Statview & Graphics; Abacus Concepts Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA) for Macintosh was used and statistical significance was accepted as P<0·05.

Diaries was executed using a comparison of reported EI with calculated EE on the basis of resting EE, measured with the ventilated hood technique, and physical activity, measured with a triaxial accelerometer (Goris et al. 2001). This method had been validated previously by measuring total EE by the doubly-labelled water method (Goris et al. 2001). Over 1 week, if body weight is stable, EI matches EE; therefore, validating reported EI with measured EE is a check for accuracy of EI reporting (Westerterp & Elbers, 1999; Goris & Westerterp, 2000; Goris et al. 2000, 2001). Subjects reporting within ±10% accuracy based upon the validation for the present study were selected. Food intake diaries were analysed using the Dutch nutrient database (Stichting Nederlands Voedingsstoffen Bestand, 1993). Meal frequency was determined as the number of eating occasions during which energy-containing food is ingested, separated by at least 15 min.

Resting energy expenditure, activity-induced energy expenditure and physical activity level. Resting EE was determined using a ventilated hood system and physical activity was determined using a validated tri-axial accelerometer (Goris et al. 2001). EE was calculated as follows (Goris et al. 2001):

\[
EE\text{ (MJ/d)} = -1.259 + 1.55 \times \text{resting EE (MJ/d)} + 0.076 \text{ counts (n)/min.}
\]
Results

Energy balance

The weights of the subjects were stable over the experimental weeks, indicating that they were in energy balance and that energy requirements were met.

Habitual meal frequency

Habitual meal frequencies from the food intake diaries ranged from two to eight eating occasions per d (Table 1). In general, these were quite formal eating occasions. Two eating occasions consisted of lunch and dinner and three eating occasions of breakfast, lunch and dinner. With four to eight eating occasions per d, a coffee break in the morning, a tea break in the afternoon, a snack before dinner, a coffee break after washing-up and a drink with a snack later in the evening were added at most.

Body composition, gender and age

Body composition was significantly different between the four categories of subjects (Table 1). Moreover, the younger women differed significantly from the older women with respect to BMI, body mass, % FM, age (P<0.01) and PAL (P<0.05) (Table 1). The younger men differed significantly from the older men in body composition (% FM and FFM), age (P<0.01) and PAL (P<0.05) (Table 1). The younger women differed from the younger men with respect to body mass, height, % FM, FFM, FFM index, EE (total, resting and activity-induced), EI, the factors cognitive restraint (F1) and disinhibition (F2) of the three-factor eating questionnaire (P<0.01) and PAL (P<0.05) (Table 1). The older women differed significantly from the older men with respect to body mass, height, % FM, FFM, FFM index, EE (total, resting and activity-induced) and EI (P<0.01) (Table 1).

Relationships

No relationship of meal frequency with any of the variables assessed was observed in the group with a low FFM (the women).

In the group with a medium FFM (the older men) habitual meal frequency (CV 16·3 %) was positively related to resting EE (CV 9·0 %) (r² 0·4, P<0.05) and inversely related to activity-induced EE (CV 14·6 %) (r² 0·3, P<0.05) (Fig. 1). Resting EE and activity-induced EE were not related. In a stepwise regression, resting EE explained 40 % of the variation in meal frequency; adding activity-induced EE increased the explained variation to 89 %. In addition, the relationship between habitual meal frequency and the residuals of resting EE as a function of FFM was statistically significant (P=0·03), indicating that this relationship was not only due to FFM, but also to resting EE independently. Activity-induced EE was not a function of FFM. Moreover, meal frequency was inversely related to BMI, to resting EE (CV 6·7 %) (r² 0·8, P<0·0001) and positively related to activity-induced EE (CV 4·0 %) (r² 0·6, P<0·0001) (Fig. 2). Resting EE and activity-induced EE were inversely related to each other (r² 0·6, P<0·0001). In a stepwise regression, resting EE explained 85 % of the variation in meal frequency; adding activity-induced EE increased the explained variation to 89 %. In addition, the relationship between habitual meal frequency and the residuals of resting EE as a function of FFM was statistically significant (P=0·03), indicating that this relationship was not only due to FFM, but also to resting EE independently. Activity-induced EE was not a function of FFM.
body mass, height and EE in the younger men ($r^2$ 0.8, $P<0.0001$), and positively related to RQ ($r^2$ 0.4, $P<0.001$), indicating a higher carbohydrate oxidation.

In the group with a high FFM (the younger men) habitual meal frequency was inversely related to EI and to % EI from fat, and positively related to % EI from carbohydrate. In the group with a medium FFM (the older men), there were no relationships between meal frequency and energy or macronutrient intake, or RQ (Table 1).

Discussion

Habitual meal frequency was differentially related to resting EE and activity-induced EE in subjects with a medium to high FFM, i.e. older and younger men, but not related to these variables in subjects with a low FFM (women). In the subjects with a high FFM, the relationship between habitual meal frequency and resting EE was also confirmed by the relationship between habitual meal frequency and the residuals of resting EE as a function of FFM, indicating that not only did FFM play a role in this relationship, but also resting EE independently. The latter relationship was not statistically significant in the subjects with a medium FFM, indicating that the relationship of meal frequency and resting EE depended on the relationship between resting EE and FFM. Not only did body composition differ significantly between the different FFM categories in the men, but also PAL. Here, the determinants of FFM, i.e. gender, age and physical activity, appeared as usual (Westerterp et al. 1995; Westerterp & Elbers, 1999; Westerterp & Goran, 1999; Westerterp & Meijer, 2001).

In addition to differences due to body composition (such as EE) between the younger women and men, EI and the factors cognitive restraint (F1) and disinhibition (F2) from the three-factor eating questionnaire were also different. The difference in dietary restraint scores indicates that in the young men, body-weight regulation took place physiologically, but that in the young women dietary restraint appeared to be more dominant.

The difference in body composition between the older men and women explained related differences in EE and EI. The lack of a relationship in women between habitual meal frequency and EE, resting EE or activity-induced EE, whereas in the men these relationships were present, may be explained from the difference in FFM or FMI, and in dietary restraint. Thus, the (lack of) regulation between a factor determining EI, namely habitual meal frequency and components of EE (resting EE and activity-induced EE, body composition, i.e. FFM) may play an important role. Moreover, in younger women it is likely that the lack of such a relationship is dominated by dietary restraint, because of their higher cognitive restraint scores compared with the younger men.

In the subjects with a medium or high FFM, meal frequency was differentially related to resting EE and activity-induced EE. In the subjects with a medium FFM, habitual meal frequency was positively related to resting EE and inversely to activity-induced EE; in the subjects with a high FFM, meal frequency was inversely related to resting EE and positively to activity-induced EE. In both FFM categories, activity-induced EE was not related to FFM, probably because the ranges within these categories were very small. In addition, the relationship between habitual meal frequency and resting EE in the subjects with a high FFM was also present independent of FFM. Here, the higher PAL in these subjects may play a role. With a higher PAL, the EE is more variable; thus, for tuning EI to EE, a high meal-frequency may be important.

To meet high energy requirements, i.e. a high resting EE (including a high EE, BMI, body mass and height) and a low activity-induced EE, subjects with a high FFM had a low meal frequency. To meet low energy requirements, consisting of a low resting EE and a high activity-induced EE, subjects with a high FFM showed a high meal frequency. 'High' and 'low' are relative to the range of the results. A high meal frequency may tune EI to a rather variable EE (determined by a rather variable activity-induced EE and a high PAL) more accurately. Moreover, in active young men, eating small meals frequently may fit in with their lifestyle.

In addition to this observation of meal frequency being inversely related to resting EE, and positively to activity-induced EE in young men, we previously showed that EI was inversely related to meal frequency. Meal frequency was supported by macronutrient intake and blood glucose dynamics, in that with a relatively high-carbohydrate and low-fat intake, frequent transient declines in blood glucose occur, causing frequent meal initiations (Westerterp-Plantenga et al. 2002). Since these meals were small high-carbohydrate meals, they caused sharp dynamic declines in blood glucose with a short inter-meal interval, thus sustaining a high meal frequency. This is supported here by the positive relationship between RQ and meal frequency in the younger men, indicating that a higher meal frequency was related to a higher carbohydrate oxidation. Thus, we propose that in younger men there may be a vicious circle of meal frequency being a function of energy metabolism variables (substrate oxidation, blood glucose dynamics, resting EE, activity-induced EE), while EI is a function of meal frequency at the same time. In this way, meal frequency may be a tool to tune EI to EE.

To meet high energy requirements, i.e. a high resting EE (including a higher BMI and body mass) and a low activity-induced EE, subjects with a medium FFM had a high meal frequency. To meet low energy requirements, i.e. a low resting EE and a high activity-induced EE, subjects with a medium FFM had a low meal frequency. 'High' and 'low' are also relative to the range in which the results appear. The relationship of meal frequency to the residuals of resting EE as a function of FFM was not statistically significant. This indicates that the relationship of meal frequency with resting EE was mainly determined by FFM. The inverse relationship between meal frequency and activity-induced EE indicates that these men may exchange physical activity for eating episodes. Although there are significant relationships between meal frequency and components of EE in the older men, EI regulation through meal frequency seems rather weak, since a relationship with total EE or EI, or with the macronutrient composition was absent.
Thus, in subjects with a medium to high FFM, energy requirements were primarily set by resting EE, which is the largest component of EE. The men used meal frequency in different ways to meet these requirements: when the requirements (i.e. resting EE) were high, younger men (high FFM) consumed fewer meals and the older men (medium FFM) consumed more meals; both strategies resulted in a higher EI. In addition, time management was different between the older and the younger men. A lower EI was achieved in the younger men by more meals and a more active lifestyle at the same time, while in the older men this was achieved by fewer meals, sparing time for a more active lifestyle; both strategies showed time interference.

The differences in slopes between the Figs 1 and 2 are due to the CV being smaller for resting EE and activity-induced EE in the young men, but larger for habitual meal frequency. This indicates a flexibility in meal frequency, and hence the possibility of more accurate EI regulation in the young men. In the older men, support of EI regulation through meal frequency was weaker: the CV for meal frequency was smaller, indicating less flexibility, but for resting EE and activity-induced EE the CV was larger, indicating a wider range in the magnitude of the components of EE. Therefore, observations in the literature with respect to a greater appetite control and a lower BMI associated with a higher habitual meal frequency (Fabry et al. 1964, 1966; Hejda & Fabry, 1964; Metzner et al. 1977; Charzewskas et al. 1981; Edelstein et al. 1992; Speechly & Buffenstein, 1999; Speechly et al. 1999) may be mainly caused by the stronger effects shown in young men included in those studies, but do not hold for the older men.

Taken together, since in subjects with a high FFM (young men) accuracy of regulation of EI was shown to be a function of meal frequency (Westerterp-Plantenga et al. 2002) and meal frequency a function of energy metabolism variables, we suggest that in these subjects meal frequency might be a tool for tuning EI to EE.

The lack of a relationship between a factor in EI, namely habitual meal frequency, and components of EE, namely resting EE and activity-induced EE, in subjects with a low FFM (women) is suggested to be related to the lower FFM. In the case of dietary restraint, tuning EI to EE may be a matter of body-weight management rather than of body-weight regulation.

We conclude that in subjects showing a physiological body-weight regulation, indicated by a low score on dietary restraint, FFM and PAL may be important factors in tuning EI to EE, using meal frequency.
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