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#### Abstract

In 1975, Symons described the automorphisms of the semigroup $T(X, Y)$ consisting of all total transformations from a set $X$ into a fixed subset $Y$ of $X$. Recently Sanwong, Singha and Sullivan determined all maximal (and all minimal) congruences on $T(X, Y)$, and Sommanee studied Green's relations in $T(X, Y)$. Here, we describe Green's relations and ideals for the semigroup $T(V, W)$ consisting of all linear transformations from a vector space $V$ into a fixed subspace $W$ of $V$.
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## 1. Introduction

If $X$ is a set, we let $T(X)$ denote the semigroup (under composition) of all total transformations of $X$. In addition, if $Y \subseteq X$, we let $X \alpha=\operatorname{ran} \alpha$ denote the range of $\alpha$ and write

$$
T(X, Y)=\{\alpha \in T(X) \mid X \alpha \subseteq Y\}
$$

This is a subsemigroup of $T(X)$. In fact, if $|Y|=1$ then $T(X, Y)$ contains exactly one element (namely, the constant mapping with range $Y$ ).

In 1975, Symons [6] described all the automorphisms of $T(X, Y)$ : this is an elegant and significant result and, surprisingly, it depends on whether $Y$ contains exactly two, or more than two, elements (the former case is the much harder one to resolve). In [3] the authors characterized the regular elements in $T(X, Y)$, and all maximal (and all minimal) congruences on $T(X, Y)$ were described in [4]. Also, in [5] Sommanee studied Green's relations in $T(X, Y)$. Here we describe Green's relations and ideals for the semigroup $T(V, W)$ consisting of all linear transformations from a vector space $V$ into a fixed subspace $W$ of $V$. As a consequence, we show that $T(V, W)$ is almost never isomorphic to $T(U)$ for any vector space $U$, and thus it is worth studying the algebraic properties of the semigroup $T(V, W)$ in its own right.
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## 2. Green's relations on $T(V, W)$

Suppose that $W$ is a nonzero proper subspace of a vector space $V$, and let $T(V)$ denote the semigroup (under composition) of all linear mappings from $V$ into itself. Our aim in this section is to consider properties of the subsemigroup of $T(V)$ defined by

$$
T(V, W)=\{\alpha \in T(V) \mid V \alpha \subseteq W\}
$$

To do this, we need some notation. For each $\alpha \in T(V)$, we write $\operatorname{ker} \alpha$ and $V \alpha=\operatorname{ran} \alpha$ for the kernel and the range of $\alpha$, respectively, and we write

$$
n(\alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} \alpha) \quad \text { and } \quad r(\alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ran} \alpha)
$$

As an abbreviation, we write a subset $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of $V$ as $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, letting the subscript denote an (unspecified) index set $I$ (this is comparable with [1, Volume 2, p. 241]). We write the subspace of $V$ generated by a linearly independent subset $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $V$ as $\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle$; and, when we write $U=\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle$, we tacitly assume that the set $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is a basis for the subspace $U$.

Often it is necessary to construct some $\alpha \in T(V)$ by first choosing a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ for $V$ and some subset $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ of $V$, and then letting $e_{i} \alpha=u_{i}$ for each $i \in I$ and extending this action by linearity to the whole of $V$. To abbreviate matters, we simply say, given $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ within context, that $\alpha \in T(V)$ is defined by letting

$$
\alpha=\binom{e_{i}}{u_{i}}
$$

To characterize Green's relations on $T(V, W)$, we need to describe all of its regular elements. This was done in [2, Theorem 2.1], but we include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 1. The set $Q$ of all regular elements in $T(V, W)$ forms a semigroup and is given by

$$
Q=\{\alpha \in T(V, W) \mid V \alpha \subseteq W \alpha\}
$$

Proof. Clearly, if $\alpha \in Q$ and $\beta \in T(V)$, then $V \alpha \subseteq W \alpha$ implies that $V \alpha \beta \subseteq W \alpha \beta$, so $Q$ is a right ideal of $T(V)$ and, in particular, it is a subsemigroup of $T(V, W)$. Suppose that $\alpha=\alpha \beta \alpha$ for some $\beta \in T(V, W)$. Then $u \alpha=(u \alpha \beta) \alpha \in W \alpha$ for all $u \in V$, so $V \alpha \subseteq W \alpha$ and hence $\alpha \in Q$.

Conversely, suppose that $\alpha \in T(V, W)$ and $V \alpha \subseteq W \alpha=\left\langle w_{j} \alpha\right\rangle$, where $w_{j} \in W$ for each $j$. Then $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ is linearly independent. Also, if $v \in V$ then $v \alpha=\left(\sum x_{j} w_{j}\right) \alpha$ for some scalars $x_{j}$, and so $V=\operatorname{ker} \alpha \oplus\left\langle w_{j}\right\rangle$. If $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\left\langle u_{i}\right\rangle$ and $V=W \alpha \oplus\left\langle v_{k}\right\rangle$, we can write

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{i} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{j} \alpha
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{k} & w_{j} \alpha \\
0 & w_{j}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and observe that $V \beta=\left\langle w_{j}\right\rangle \subseteq W$, so $\beta \in T(V, W)$ and $\alpha=\alpha \beta \alpha$.

Note that $Q$ is always nonempty: if $W=V$ then $Q=T(V)$ which is regular for all vector spaces $V$ (see [1, Volume 1, Exercise 2.2.6]); if $W=\{0\}$ then $Q$ contains only the zero mapping in $T(V)$, and hence it is trivially regular; and if $W=\left\langle w_{j}\right\rangle$ and $V=\left\langle u_{i}\right\rangle \oplus W$ then clearly

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{i} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{j}
\end{array}\right) \in Q
$$

In addition, although $Q$ is always a right ideal of $T(V, W)$, it is almost never a left ideal. For example, if $W=\langle w\rangle$ and $V=\langle v, w\rangle$ and

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v & w \\
0 & w
\end{array}\right), \quad \lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
w & v \\
0 & w
\end{array}\right),
$$

then $\alpha \in Q$ but $\lambda \alpha=\lambda \notin Q$.
Lemma 2. Let $\gamma \in Q$ and $\beta \in T(V, W)$. Then $\beta=\lambda \gamma$ for some $\lambda \in T(V, W)$ if and only if $\operatorname{ran} \beta \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \gamma$. Consequently, if $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$ then $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $T(V, W)$ if and only if $\alpha=\beta$ or $(\operatorname{ran} \alpha=\operatorname{ran} \beta$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q)$.

Proof. Clearly, if $\beta=\lambda \gamma$ for some $\lambda \in T(V, W)$ then $\operatorname{ran} \beta \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \gamma$. Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{ran} \beta \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \gamma \subseteq W \gamma$ and write $\operatorname{ran} \beta=\left\langle v_{i} \beta\right\rangle$. Then, for each $i$, there exists $w_{i} \in W$ such that $v_{i} \beta=w_{i} \gamma$ and we let $\operatorname{ran} \gamma=\left\langle w_{i} \gamma\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{j} \gamma\right\rangle$. Note that, if ker $\beta=\left\langle u_{r}\right\rangle$ and ker $\gamma=\left\langle u_{s}\right\rangle$, then both $\left\{u_{r}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{s}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ are linearly independent. Also, $V=\operatorname{ker} \beta \oplus\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle$ and $V=\operatorname{ker} \gamma \oplus\left\langle w_{i}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{j}\right\rangle$. Thus, we can write

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{r} & v_{i} \\
0 & w_{i} \gamma
\end{array}\right), \quad \gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{s} & w_{i} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{i} \gamma & w_{j} \gamma
\end{array}\right),
$$

and define $\lambda \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{r} & v_{i} \\
0 & w_{i}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\beta=\lambda \gamma$, as required. Now suppose that $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $T(V, W)$, so $\alpha=\lambda \beta$ and $\beta=\lambda^{\prime} \alpha$ for some $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in T(V, W)^{1}$. If $\lambda=1$ or $\lambda^{\prime}=1$ then $\alpha=\beta$. On the other hand, if $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \neq 1$ then $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in T(V, W)$ and

$$
\alpha=\lambda \lambda^{\prime} . \alpha \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\lambda^{\prime} \lambda . \beta
$$

Hence, $V \alpha=\left(V \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right) \alpha \subseteq W \alpha$, and similarly $V \beta \subseteq W \beta$, so $\alpha, \beta \in Q$, and clearly $\operatorname{ran} \alpha=\operatorname{ran} \beta$. The converse is clear by the first part of the lemma.

Lemma 3. If $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$, then $\beta=\alpha \mu$ for some $\mu \in T(V, W)$ if and only if $\operatorname{ker} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \beta$. Consequently, $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in $T(V, W)$ if and only if $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$.

Proof. Clearly, if $\beta=\alpha \mu$ for some $\mu \in T(V, W)$, then $\operatorname{ker} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \beta$. Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{ker} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \beta$. Write $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\left\langle u_{i}\right\rangle, \operatorname{ker} \beta=\left\langle u_{i}, u_{j}\right\rangle$ and $V=\operatorname{ker} \beta$ $\oplus\left\langle v_{k}\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{i} & u_{j} & v_{k} \\
0 & w_{j} & w_{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{i} & u_{j} & v_{k} \\
0 & 0 & w_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right),
$$

for some $w_{j}, w_{k}, w_{k}^{\prime} \in W$. Let $V=\operatorname{ran} \alpha \oplus\left\langle v_{\ell}\right\rangle$ and define $\mu \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\mu=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{\ell} & w_{j} & w_{k} \\
0 & 0 & w_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\beta=\alpha \mu$, as required, and the remaining assertion is clear.
Note that, if $\alpha \in T(V, W)$, then $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) \leq r(\alpha)$. Also, recall that the rank-nullity theorem for arbitrary vector spaces can be proved by showing that, for each $\pi \in T(V)$, the mapping

$$
V \pi \rightarrow V / \operatorname{ker} \pi, \quad v \pi \rightarrow v+\operatorname{ker} \pi,
$$

is a well-defined (vector space) isomorphism. Hence, if $\operatorname{ker} \beta \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \alpha$, then $r(\beta) \geq r(\alpha)$.

We also need to observe that if $\alpha \in T(V, W)$, and we write $W \cap \operatorname{ker} \alpha=\left\langle u_{i}\right\rangle$ and $W=\left\langle u_{i}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle u_{j}\right\rangle$, then $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)=|J|$. This follows from the fact that $\left\{u_{j} \alpha\right\}$ is a basis for $W \alpha$, and the restriction $\alpha \mid\left\langle u_{j}\right\rangle$ is a (vector space) isomorphism from $\left\langle u_{j}\right\rangle$ onto $W \alpha$.

Lemma 4. If $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$, then $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in T(V, W)$ if and only if $r(\beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$. Consequently, $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ in $T(V, W)$ if and only if one of the following equalities occurs:
(J1) $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$;
(J2) $r(\alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)=r(\beta)$.
Proof. If $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in T(V, W)$, then $V \beta=(V \lambda) \alpha \mu \subseteq(W \alpha) \mu$, so $\operatorname{dim}(V \beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$. Conversely, suppose that the condition holds and write

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{i} & v_{k} \\
0 & w_{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{j} & w_{k}^{\prime} & v_{\ell} \\
0 & w_{k}^{\prime} \alpha & w_{\ell}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $V \beta=\left\langle w_{k}\right\rangle,\left\langle w_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle \subseteq W$ and $V \alpha=\left\langle w_{k}^{\prime} \alpha\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{\ell}\right\rangle \subseteq W$. Let $V=\left\langle w_{k}^{\prime} \alpha\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle v_{m}\right\rangle$ and define $\lambda, \mu \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{i} & v_{k} \\
0 & w_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{m} & w_{k}^{\prime} \alpha \\
0 & w_{k}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$, as required.
Now, suppose that $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ and $\alpha=\lambda^{\prime} \beta \mu^{\prime}$ for some $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}, \mu, \mu^{\prime} \in T(V, W)^{1}$. If $\lambda=1$ then $\operatorname{ker} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \beta$; and if $\lambda \neq 1$ then $r(\beta)=\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \alpha) \mu \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$. In other words, the supposition implies that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{ker} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \beta & \text { or } & r(\beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) \quad \text { and } \\
\operatorname{ker} \beta \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \alpha & \text { or } & r(\alpha) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \beta)
\end{array}
$$

and the different combinations give the following possibilities:
(J1) $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$;
(J2) $r(\alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)=r(\beta)$,;
(J3) $\operatorname{ker} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \beta$ and $r(\alpha) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \beta)$;
(J4) $\operatorname{ker} \beta \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \alpha$ and $r(\beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$.
However, if (J3) occurs then $W \cap \operatorname{ker} \alpha \subseteq W \cap \operatorname{ker} \beta$ and $r(\alpha) \geq r(\beta)$. Hence, if

$$
W \cap \operatorname{ker} \beta=(W \cap \operatorname{ker} \alpha) \oplus U_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad W=(W \cap \operatorname{ker} \beta) \oplus U_{2},
$$

then $W \alpha=U_{1} \alpha \oplus U_{2} \alpha$ and $W \beta=U_{2} \beta$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}(W \beta) & =\operatorname{dim} U_{2}=\operatorname{dim}\left(U_{2} \alpha\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha), \\
\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) & \leq r(\alpha) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \beta) \quad \text { and } \\
r(\beta) & \leq r(\alpha) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \beta) \leq r(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that (J2) holds, and similarly, (J4) also implies (J2). For the converse, recall that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Hence, if either (J1) or (J2) occurs then Lemma 3, and the first part of this lemma, imply that $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$.

From Lemma 4, we see that, if $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$, then $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$. However, the converse is false, even if $V$ has finite dimension. This differs from the situation for $T(V)$ and arbitrary $V$, since it is well known that $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ in $T(V)$ if and only if $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$ : see [1, Volume 1, Exercise 2.2.6].

Example 1. Let $V=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\rangle$ and $W=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}\right\rangle$, and define $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} \\
0 & e_{2} & e_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} \\
e_{1} & 0 & e_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\operatorname{ker} \alpha \neq \operatorname{ker} \beta$, so (J1) does not hold. Also, $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)=2$, but $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$ $=\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)=1$, so (J2) does not hold. Hence, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not $\mathcal{J}$-related in $T(V, W)$. Furthermore, $V \alpha \nsubseteq W \alpha$ and $V \beta \nsubseteq W \beta$, so $\alpha, \beta \notin Q$. Hence, $\alpha, \beta$ are also not $\mathcal{L}$ related in $T(V, W)$, even though $\operatorname{ran} \alpha=\operatorname{ran} \beta$.

In fact, this example shows more: namely, even though $r(\beta)=r(\alpha)$ and $\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$ for the given $\alpha$ and $\beta$, nonetheless $\beta \neq \lambda \alpha \mu$ for all $\lambda, \mu$ $\in T(V, W)$. This is unlike the situation in $T(V)$, where $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu$ $\in T(V)$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}(V \beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(V \alpha)$. However, by restricting our attention to $Q$, we regain the normal situation.

Lemma 5. If $\alpha, \beta \in Q$, then $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in Q$ if and only if $r(\beta)$ $\leq r(\alpha)$. Consequently, $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ in $Q$ if and only if $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$, and hence $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{D}$ on $Q$.

Proof. Clearly, the given condition implies that $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$. Conversely, suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ and $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$. Then $V \alpha=W \alpha$ and $V \beta=W \beta$, so we can write

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{r} & w_{i} \\
0 & w_{i} \beta
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{s} & w_{i}^{\prime} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{i}^{\prime} \alpha & w_{j} \alpha
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now let $V=\left\langle u_{t}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{i}^{\prime} \alpha\right\rangle$ and define $\lambda, \mu \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{r} & w_{i} \\
0 & w_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{t} & w_{i}^{\prime} \alpha \\
0 & w_{i} \beta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$. Moreover, since $V=\left\langle u_{r}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{i}\right\rangle$, we know that $V \lambda=\left\langle w_{i} \lambda\right\rangle \subseteq W \lambda$, and so $\lambda \in Q$. Similarly, $V \mu=\left\langle\left(w_{i}^{\prime} \alpha\right) \mu\right\rangle \subseteq W \mu$ (since $w_{i}^{\prime} \alpha \in W$ for each $i$ ) and hence $\mu \in Q$.

Finally, if $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ and $\alpha=\lambda^{\prime} \beta \mu^{\prime}$ for some $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}, \mu, \mu^{\prime} \in Q^{1}$ then, regardless of whether $\lambda=1$ or $\mu=1$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}(V \beta)=\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda) \alpha \mu \leq \operatorname{dim}(V \alpha) \mu \leq \operatorname{dim}(V \alpha)
$$

That is, $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$, and similarly $r(\alpha) \leq r(\beta)$. The converse is clear from the first part of this lemma. Finally, since $Q$ is a regular subsemigroup of $T(V, W)$, T. E. Hall's theorem allows us to deduce that the $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ relations on $Q$ are the restrictions of those on $T(V, W)$ to $Q$. Thus, by Lemmas 2 and 3, if $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ then $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $Q$ if and only if $\operatorname{ran} \alpha=\operatorname{ran} \beta$, and $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in $Q$ if and only if $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$. Consequently, a standard argument shows that, if $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$, then $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$, and we conclude that $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{D}$ on $Q$.

Lemma 6. If $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$, then $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ in $T(V, W)$ if and only if either $\operatorname{ker} \alpha$ $=\operatorname{ker} \beta$ or $(r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q)$.

Proof. If $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ in $T(V, W)$, then $\alpha \mathcal{R} \gamma \mathcal{L} \beta$ for some $\gamma \in T(V, W)$. Hence, $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \gamma$, and either $\gamma=\beta$ or $(\operatorname{ran} \gamma=\operatorname{ran} \beta$ and $\gamma, \beta \in Q)$. If $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \gamma$ and $\gamma=\beta$ then $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$, as required. On the other hand, suppose that $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \gamma$, $\operatorname{ran} \gamma=\operatorname{ran} \beta$ and $\gamma, \beta \in Q$. Then $\alpha=\gamma \mu$ for some $\mu \in T(V, W)$, so $V \gamma \subseteq W \gamma$ implies that $V \alpha \subseteq W \alpha$, and hence $\alpha \in Q$. Similarly, $\beta \in Q$. Also,

$$
r(\beta)=r(\gamma)=\operatorname{dim}(V / \operatorname{ker} \gamma)=\operatorname{dim}(V / \operatorname{ker} \alpha)=r(\alpha)
$$

Conversely, if $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$ then $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$, and so $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ (since $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ ). On the other hand, if $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ and $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$, then $V \alpha=W \alpha$ and $V \beta=W \beta$, so we can write

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{r} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{j} \alpha
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{s} & w_{j}^{\prime} \\
0 & w_{j}^{\prime} \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left\langle w_{j}\right\rangle \subseteq W$ and $\left\langle w_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \subseteq W$. If $\gamma \in T(V, W)$ is defined by

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{r} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{j}^{\prime} \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $\operatorname{ker} \gamma=\operatorname{ker} \alpha, \operatorname{ran} \gamma=\operatorname{ran} \beta$ and $\gamma \in Q$, so $\alpha \mathcal{R} \gamma \mathcal{L} \beta$.

Recall that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ on any semigroup, and it is well known that $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{J}$ on any $T(V)$ (see [1, Volume 1, Exercise 2.2.6]). However, this fails for $T(V, W)$, as we now show.

Example 2. If $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ in $T(V, W)$ then either $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$ (so (J1) holds) or $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ (hence $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)$ and (J2) holds). However, $\mathcal{J} \backslash \mathcal{D}$ can be nonempty. For example, suppose that $V=\left\langle u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, w_{1}, w_{k}\right\rangle$ and $W=\left\langle w_{1}, w_{k}\right\rangle$, where $K$ is infinite. In this event, we can define $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{0} & u_{1} & \left\{u_{2}, w_{1}, w_{k}\right\} \\
0 & w_{1} & w_{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{0} & u_{1} & u_{2} & \left\{w_{1}, w_{k}\right\} \\
0 & 0 & w_{1} & w_{k}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $u_{2}-w_{1} \in \operatorname{ker} \alpha$, so $\operatorname{ker} \alpha \subsetneq \operatorname{ker} \beta$; and $r(\alpha)=|K|=\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)$, so $\alpha, \beta$ satisfy (J2). But, although $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$, we observe that $W \alpha=\left\langle w_{k}\right\rangle=W \beta$, so $W \alpha \subsetneq V \alpha$ and $W \beta \subsetneq V \beta$. Therefore, $\alpha, \beta \notin Q$, and hence $\alpha, \beta$ are not $\mathcal{D}$-related in $T(V, W)$.

Corollary 7. If $\operatorname{dim} W<\mathcal{N}_{0}$ then $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{J}$ on $T(V, W)$.
Proof. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$ and $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$. By Lemma 3, if ker $\alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$ then $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$; and, by Lemma 4, if ker $\alpha \neq \operatorname{ker} \beta$ then $r(\alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)=\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)=r(\beta)$. Consequently, in this case, if $\operatorname{dim} W<\aleph_{0}$ then $r(\alpha), r(\beta)<\aleph_{0}$, and it follows that $V \alpha=W \alpha$ and $V \beta=W \beta$. Thus, $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ and $r(\alpha)=r(\beta)$, so $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$.

## 3. Ideals in $\boldsymbol{T}(V, W)$

In what follows, $Y=A \dot{\cup} B$ means that $Y$ is a disjoint union of $A$ and $B$, and $r^{\prime}$ denotes the successor of a cardinal $r$. Also, as an abbreviation, we sometimes write $T=T(V, W)$.

As might be expected, the ideals of $Q$ are easy to describe.
Theorem 8. The ideals of $Q$ are precisely the sets

$$
Q_{r}=\{\alpha \in Q \mid r(\alpha)<r\}
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq \operatorname{dim} W$. In addition, $Q_{r}$ is principal if and only if $r=s^{\prime}$, where $1 \leq s \leq \operatorname{dim} W$.

Proof. If $\alpha \in Q_{r}$ and $\beta \in Q$, then $\operatorname{dim}(V \alpha) \beta \leq \operatorname{dim}(V \alpha)$ and $V(\beta \alpha) \subseteq V \alpha$, so $\alpha \beta \in Q_{r}$ and $\beta \alpha \in Q_{r}$, and hence $Q_{r}$ is an ideal of $Q$. Conversely, suppose that $I$ is an ideal of $Q$ and let $r$ be the least cardinal greater than $r(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Then $I \subseteq Q_{r}$. Let $\beta \in Q_{r}$ and suppose that $r(\beta)=s<r$. Then there exists $\alpha \in I$ with $r(\alpha) \geq s$ : otherwise, $r(\alpha)<s$ for all $\alpha \in I$, contradicting the choice of $r$. That is, $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$, and Lemma 5 implies that $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in Q$. That is, $Q_{r} \subseteq I$, and equality follows.

Finally, if $r=s^{\prime}$ for some $s$ such that $1 \leq s \leq \operatorname{dim} W$, then Lemma 5 implies that $Q_{r} \subseteq Q^{1} \alpha Q^{1}$ for each $\alpha \in Q_{r}$ with rank $s$, and it follows that $Q_{r}$ is principal.

Conversely, suppose that $Q_{r}=Q^{1} \alpha Q^{1}$ for some $\alpha \in Q_{r}$. Let $r(\alpha)=s$ and assume there is a cardinal $t$ such that $s<t<r$. Since $r \leq \operatorname{dim} W$, there exists $\beta \in Q$ with $r(\beta)=t$. For example, we can write $W=\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle$ and $V=\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}\right\rangle$ where $|I|=t$, and let

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\{e_{j}, e_{k}\right\} & e_{i} \\
0 & e_{i}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now $\beta \in Q_{r}$, so $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in Q^{1}$. But this implies that $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $t$ does not exist and thus $r=s^{\prime}$.

To determine the ideals of $T=T(V, W)$, we let $1 \leq r \leq \operatorname{dim} W$ and write

$$
T_{r}=\{\alpha \in T \mid r(\alpha)<r\} .
$$

If $\alpha \in T_{r}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in T$ then $V \lambda \alpha \subseteq V \alpha$ and $\operatorname{dim}(V \alpha \mu) \leq \operatorname{dim} V \alpha$, so $T_{r}$ is an ideal of $T$.

Let $Y \subseteq T(V, W)$ be nonempty and let

$$
\begin{gathered}
r(Y)=\min \{r \mid r>\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) \text { for all } \alpha \in Y\}, \\
K(Y)=\{\beta \in T(V, W) \mid \operatorname{ker} \beta \supseteq \operatorname{ker} \alpha \text { for some } \alpha \in Y\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $r(Y)$ always exists since the cardinals are well ordered.
LEMMA 9. With the above notation, both $T_{r(Y)} \cup K(Y)$ and $T_{r(Y)^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$ are ideals of $T(V, W)$.

Proof. Since ker $\beta \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \beta \mu$ for each $\mu \in T(V, W), K(Y)$ is a right ideal of $T(V, W)$. On the other hand, if $\lambda \in T(V, W)$ and $\beta \in K(Y)$ then Lemma 3 implies that $\beta=\alpha \mu$ for some $\alpha \in Y$ and $\mu \in T(V, W)$, hence

$$
\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \beta)=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha \mu) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<r(Y)
$$

Therefore $\lambda \beta \in T_{r(Y)}$. The result now follows since $T_{r(Y)}$ and $T_{r(Y)^{\prime}}$ are themselves ideals of $T(V, W)$.

Example 3. Let $V=\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\rangle, W=\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & \left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\} & u_{2} & u_{3} \\
0 & 0 & w_{2} & w_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If $T=T(V, W)$ then $\alpha T$ is not only a right ideal but also a left ideal of $T$ since $\lambda \alpha=0$ for each $\lambda \in T$. Let $Y=\{\alpha\}$. Then $r(Y)=1$ and $T_{r(Y)}=\{0\}$, and clearly $\alpha T=K(\{\alpha\})$.

EXAMPLE 4. Let $V=\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\rangle, W=\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
u_{1} & \left\{w_{2}, w_{3}\right\} & w_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} \\
0 & 0 & w_{1} & w_{2} & w_{3}
\end{array}\right), \\
\lambda_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\} & u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} \\
0 & w_{1} & w_{2} & w_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now $\alpha T$ is not a left ideal of $T$ since

$$
\lambda_{1} \alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\} & u_{1} & \left\{u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \\
0 & w_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right) \notin \alpha T .
$$

Let $Y=\{\alpha\}$. Then $r(Y)=2$ and $T^{1} \alpha T^{1} \subseteq T_{2} \cup K(\{\alpha\})$ : for example, if $\lambda, \mu \in T$ then $\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \alpha) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<2$ and $\alpha \mu \in K(\{\alpha\})$. In fact, if $\beta \in T_{2}$ then $\operatorname{dim}(V \beta)$ $\leq 1=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$, so $\beta \in T \alpha T$ by Lemma 4. And, if $\beta \in K(\{\alpha\})$ then $\beta=\alpha \mu$ for some $\mu \in T$, so $\beta \in T^{1} \alpha T^{1}$. Hence $T^{1} \alpha T^{1}=T_{2} \cup K(\{\alpha\})$. On the other hand, $T \alpha T \subseteq T_{2}$ since $\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \alpha \mu) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) \mu \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in T$, and $T_{2} \subseteq T \alpha T$ by Lemma 4 .

For our main result, we need a technical lemma.
Lemma 10. If $\beta \in T$ and $r<\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)=\operatorname{dim}(V \beta)=s$, then there exists $\lambda \in T$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(W \lambda \beta)=r$ and $\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \beta)=s$.

Proof. If $s$ is finite then $W \beta=V \beta$, so we write

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
u_{p} & w_{1} & \ldots & w_{r} & w_{r+1} & \ldots & w_{s} \\
0 & w_{1}^{\prime} & \ldots & w_{r}^{\prime} & w_{r+1}^{\prime} & \ldots & w_{s}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Choose $u \in V \backslash W$ and note that $u+w_{j} \notin W$ for each $j=r+1, \ldots, s$. Also, the set $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}, u+w_{r+1}, \ldots, u+w_{s}\right\}$ is linearly independent: for example, if there are scalars such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} w_{i}+\sum_{j=r+1}^{s} y_{j}\left(u+w_{j}\right)=0
$$

then $\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} w_{i}+\sum_{j=r+1}^{s} y_{j} w_{j} \in\langle u\rangle$ and this implies that $x_{i}=y_{j}=0$ for each $i$ and $j$. Write $V=\left\langle u_{\ell}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}, u+w_{r+1}, \ldots, u+w_{s}\right\rangle$ and let

$$
\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
u_{\ell} & w_{1} & \ldots & w_{r} & u+w_{r+1} & \ldots & u+w_{s} \\
0 & w_{1} & \ldots & w_{r} & w_{r+1} & \ldots & w_{s}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\operatorname{dim}(W \lambda \beta)=r$ and $\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \beta)=s$, as required.
If $s$ is infinite, write

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{p} & w_{j} & v_{k} \\
0 & w_{j}^{\prime} & w_{k}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $|J|+|K|=|J|=s \geq \aleph_{0}$. This implies that $|K| \leq|J|$, and clearly there exist $\lambda_{1} \in T$ and $u_{q} \in V$ such that

$$
\lambda_{1} \beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u_{q} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \in Q
$$

Since $r<|J|$, we can write $J=M \dot{\cup} N$ where $|M|=r$ and $|N|=|J|$. Then, as before, if $u \in V \backslash W$ then $\left\{w_{m}\right\} \dot{\cup}\left\{u+w_{n}\right\}$ is linearly independent and we let

$$
\lambda_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{\ell} & w_{m} & u+w_{n} \\
0 & w_{m} & w_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\operatorname{dim}\left(W \lambda_{2} \lambda_{1} \beta\right)=r$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(V \lambda_{2} \lambda_{1} \beta\right)=s$, as required.
The proper ideals of $T(W)$ are well known: in fact, they are in one-to-one correspondence with the cardinals $r$ such that $1 \leq r \leq \operatorname{dim} W$ (see [1, Volume 1, Exercise 2.2.6]). However, the result for $T(V, W)$ is very different.

THEOREM 11. The ideals of $T(V, W)$ are precisely the sets $T_{r} \cup K(Y)$ and $T_{r^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$, where $r=r(Y)$ and $Y$ is a nonempty subset of $T(V, W)$.

Proof. Let $I$ be an ideal of $T$. If $I=\{0\}$, we let $Y=I$, so $r(Y)=1, T_{1}=\{0\}$; and, if $\beta \in K(\{0\})$ then $\operatorname{ker} \beta=V$, so $\beta=0$ and thus $K(\{0\})=\{0\}$. That is, $\{0\}$ $=T_{1} \cup K(\{0\})$.

Suppose that $\alpha \in I$ is nonzero and write

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{p} & w_{j} & v_{k} \\
0 & w_{j}^{\prime} & w_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $v_{k} \notin W$ for each $k$. If $J=\emptyset$ then $K \neq \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<\operatorname{dim}(V \alpha)$. On the other hand, if $J \neq \emptyset$, choose $1 \in J$ and $u \in V \backslash W$, write $V=\langle u\rangle \oplus\left\langle v_{\ell}\right\rangle$ where $W \subseteq\left\langle v_{\ell}\right\rangle$, and let

$$
\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{\ell} & u \\
0 & w_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\lambda \alpha \in I$ and $\operatorname{dim}(W \lambda \alpha)=0<1=\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \alpha)$. That is, in each case, if

$$
Y=\{\alpha \in I \mid \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<\operatorname{dim}(V \alpha)\}
$$

then $Y \neq \emptyset$. We assert that $I$ equals $T_{r} \cup K(Y)$ or $T_{r^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$, where $r=r(Y)$.
First suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)<r$ for all $\beta \in I$. In this case, if $\beta \in I$ and $r(\beta)<r$ then $\beta \in T_{r}$ and, if $\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)<r \leq r(\beta)$, then $\beta \in Y$ and so $\beta \in K(Y)$. Thus, in this case, $I \subseteq T_{r} \cup K(Y)$. Conversely, suppose that $\beta \in T_{r}$. If $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<r(\beta)<r$ for all $\alpha \in Y$, we contradict the choice of $r=r(Y)$. Hence, $r(\beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in Y \subseteq I$, hence $\beta \in I$ by Lemma 4. Clearly, $K(Y) \subseteq I$, so we conclude that $I=T_{r} \cup K(Y)$.

Next suppose that $r \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \pi)$ for some $\pi \in I$. In this case, if $\operatorname{dim}(W \pi)$ $<\operatorname{dim}(V \pi)$ then $\pi \in Y$ and we contradict the choice of $r$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(W \pi)$ $=\operatorname{dim}(V \pi)$. Now, if $r<\operatorname{dim}(W \pi)=\operatorname{dim}(V \pi)=s$, then Lemma 10 implies that $\operatorname{dim}(W \lambda \pi)=r<s=\operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \pi)$ for some $\lambda \in T$, which contradicts the choice of $r$ (since $\lambda \pi \in I$ ). Hence, in this case, $r=\operatorname{dim}(W \pi)=\operatorname{dim}(V \pi)$ and thus $\pi \in T_{r^{\prime}}$. Clearly this conclusion holds for any $\beta \in I$ such that $r \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \beta)$. On the other hand, if $\beta \in I$ and $\operatorname{dim}(W \beta)<r$, then we have already seen that $\beta \in T_{r} \cup K(Y)$. So, in this case, $I \subseteq T_{r^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$. Conversely, if $\beta \in T_{r^{\prime}}$ then $r(\beta) \leq r=\operatorname{dim}(W \pi)=\operatorname{dim}(V \pi)$ for some $\pi \in I$, so $\beta \in I$ by Lemma 4. As before, $K(Y) \subseteq I$, and now we conclude that $I=T_{r^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$.

EXAMPLE 5. Let $1 \leq r \leq \operatorname{dim} W$ and write

$$
J_{r}=\{\alpha \in T \mid \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<r\} .
$$

If $\alpha \in J_{r}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in T$, then $W \lambda \alpha \subseteq W \alpha$ and $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha \mu) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$, so $J_{r}$ is an ideal of $T$. Clearly $T_{r} \subseteq J_{s}$ if $r \leq s \leq \operatorname{dim} W$, and the containment can be proper. For example, suppose that $s$ is finite and $u \in V \backslash W$. Write $W=\left\langle w_{i}\right\rangle$ with $|I|=s$ and $V=\left\langle v_{p}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle u, w_{i}\right\rangle$. Let $1 \in I$ and $J=I \backslash\{1\}$, and note that

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\{v_{p}, w_{1}\right\} & w_{j} & v  \tag{1}\\
0 & w_{j} & w_{1}
\end{array}\right) \in J_{s} \backslash T_{s} .
$$

More generally, let $Y=\left\{\alpha \in J_{r}: \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<\operatorname{dim}(V \alpha)\right\}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<r$ for all $\alpha \in J_{r}$, we know that $r(Y) \leq r$. Suppose that $r(Y)<r$. If $r$ is finite then the $\alpha$ defined in (1) with $s=r(Y)$ satisfies $r(Y)=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$, hence it belongs to $J_{r}$. However, it also satisfies $\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)<\operatorname{dim}(V \alpha)$, so it contradicts the choice of $r(Y)$, and we conclude that $r(Y)=r$. Likewise, if $r$ is infinite, we write $W=\left\langle w_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle$ where $|I|=r(Y)<r \leq|J|$ and let $V=\left\langle v_{q}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{i}, v+w_{j}\right\rangle$. Now consider

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{q} & w_{i} & v+w_{j} \\
0 & w_{i} & w_{j}
\end{array}\right) \in J_{r} .
$$

Since this also contradicts the choice of $r(Y)$, we again conclude that $r(Y)=r$. Therefore $J_{r}=T_{r} \cup K(Y)$ by Theorem 11.

Recall that, for any vector space $U$, the ideals of $T(U)$ form a chain under containment. The next result shows that $T(V, W)$ is almost never isomorphic to any $T(U)$.

Corollary 12. If $\operatorname{dim} V \geq 3$, then $T(V, W)$ is not isomorphic to $T(U)$ for any vector space $U$.

Proof. By our assumption at the start, $\operatorname{dim} W \geq 1$ and $W \neq V$.
Suppose that $\operatorname{dim} W=1$. In this case, codim $W \geq 2$ and we can write $V$ $=\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{m}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{1}\right\rangle$ where $W=\left\langle w_{1}\right\rangle$. Define nonzero $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\pi_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\{v_{m}, v_{2}, w_{1}\right\} & v_{1} \\
0 & w_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \pi_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\{v_{m}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right\} & v_{2} \\
0 & w_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $Y_{1}=\left\{\pi_{1}\right\}$ and $Y_{2}=\left\{\pi_{2}\right\}$. If $\beta \in K\left(Y_{1}\right)$ then $\operatorname{ker} \beta \supseteq$ ker $\pi_{1} \supseteq W$. Hence, if $\lambda \in T(V, W)$ then $V \lambda \beta \subseteq W \beta=\{0\}$, so $\lambda \beta=0 \in K\left(Y_{1}\right)$. That is, $K\left(Y_{1}\right)$ and $K\left(Y_{2}\right)$ are ideals of $T(V, W)$, where $\pi_{1} \in K\left(Y_{1}\right) \backslash K\left(Y_{2}\right)$ and $\pi_{2} \in K\left(Y_{2}\right) \backslash K\left(Y_{1}\right)$. In other words, $K\left(Y_{1}\right)$ and $K\left(Y_{2}\right)$ are ideals of $T(V, W)$ which are not comparable under containment, so the ideals of $T(V, W)$ do not form a chain in this case.

Now suppose that $\operatorname{dim} W \geq 2$. If $w_{1}, w_{2} \in W$ are linearly independent, and $u$ $\in V \backslash W$, then $v_{1}=u+w_{1}$ and $v_{2}=u+w_{2}$ are linearly independent in a complement of $W$ in $V$. Write $V=\left\langle v_{m}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{n}\right\rangle$, where $\left\{v_{m}\right\}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \dot{\cup}\left\{v_{p}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \dot{\cup}\left\{w_{q}\right\}$. Define nonzero $\alpha, \beta \in T(V, W)$ by

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\{v_{p}, w_{n}\right\} & v_{1} & v_{2} \\
0 & w_{1} & w_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\{v_{m},\left\{w_{n}\right\} \backslash\left\{w_{1}\right\}\right\} & w_{1} \\
0 & w_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Clearly, $\alpha \in J_{1} \backslash T_{2}$ and $\beta \in T_{2} \backslash J_{1}$. That is, $J_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are ideals which are not comparable under containment, so the ideals of $T(V, W)$ do not form a chain, and the result follows.

It is not hard to see that part (b) of the next result also holds if $Y=\alpha T^{1}$, which clearly also equals $\beta T^{1}$ for some $\beta \neq \alpha$. So, it is unlikely that there are conditions which determine precisely when $T_{r} \cup K(Y)$ is principal.

Theorem 13. Let $\alpha \in T(V, W)=T$, say. Then:
(a) $T \alpha T=T_{r} \cup K(Y)$, where $Y=T \alpha T, r=r(Y)=s^{\prime}$ and $s=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$;
(b) $\quad T^{1} \alpha T^{1}=T_{r} \cup K(Y)$, where $Y=\{\alpha\}, r=r(Y)=s^{\prime}$ and $s=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$.

Proof. (a) Let $s=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$ and, with our usual choice of bases, write

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{i} & w_{j} & v_{k} \\
0 & w_{j}^{\prime} & w_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $V=\left\langle u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle w_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and define $\delta, \varepsilon \in T$ by

$$
\delta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\{u_{i}, v_{k}\right\} & w_{j} \\
0 & w_{j}
\end{array}\right), \quad \varepsilon=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\{u_{\ell}^{\prime}, w_{k}\right\} & w_{j}^{\prime} \\
0 & w_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Clearly, if $\pi=\delta \alpha \varepsilon$, then $\operatorname{dim}(W \pi)=s$. Now, if $\lambda, \mu \in T$ then $\operatorname{dim}(W \lambda \alpha \mu)$ $\leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) \mu \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)=s$ (note that possibly $\alpha \notin T \alpha T$ ). Hence, if $Y=T \alpha T$ and $r=r(Y)$ then $r \geq s^{\prime}$. Suppose that $r>s^{\prime}$. Then, by the definition of $r(Y)$, there exists $\lambda, \mu \in T$ such that $s^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \lambda \alpha \mu) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)=s$, which is a contradiction (regardless of whether $s$ is finite or infinite). Hence, $r \leq s^{\prime}$, and equality follows. Next, if $\beta \in K(Y)$ then $\beta=\gamma \mu^{\prime}$ for some $\gamma \in Y$ and $\mu^{\prime} \in T$. That is, $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$. $\mu^{\prime}$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in T$ and so $\beta \in T \alpha T$. Moreover, for each $\lambda, \mu \in T, \operatorname{dim}(V \lambda \alpha \mu)$ $\leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) \mu \leq s$. In other words, $K(Y) \subseteq T \alpha T \subseteq T_{s^{\prime}}$. In fact, if $\beta \in T_{s^{\prime}}$ then $r(\beta) \leq s=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$, so $\beta \in T \alpha T$ by Lemma 4. Thus, we obtain $T \alpha T=T_{s^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$, as required.
(b) If $\lambda, \mu \in T$ and $\lambda \neq 1$ then $r(\lambda \alpha \mu) \leq \operatorname{dim}(W \alpha) \mu \leq s$, so $\lambda \alpha \mu \in T_{s^{\prime}}$, and clearly $\alpha \mu \in K(Y)$ when $Y=\{\alpha\}$. Thus, $T^{1} \alpha T^{1} \subseteq T_{s^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$. Conversely, if $\beta \in T_{s^{\prime}}$ then $r(\beta) \leq s=\operatorname{dim}(W \alpha)$, so $\beta \in T \alpha T$ by Lemma 4; and, if $\beta \in K(Y)$ then $\beta \in \alpha T^{1}$ by Lemma 3. Therefore, $T^{1} \alpha T^{1}=T_{s^{\prime}} \cup K(Y)$ where $r(Y)=s^{\prime}$ (since $Y=\{\alpha\}$ ).

In passing, we note that if $1 \leq r \leq \operatorname{dim} W, Y=T_{r}$ and $\beta \in K(Y)$, then $r(\beta)$ $\leq r(\alpha)<r$, so $\beta \in T_{r}$ and thus $K(Y) \subseteq T_{r}$. Also, $r(Y)=r$ since $Q_{r} \subseteq T_{r}$. That is, the ideal $T_{r}$ takes the form $T_{r(Y)} \cup K(Y)$, when $Y=T_{r}$.
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