

Equivalent Definitions of Infinite Positive Elements in Simple *C**-algebras

Xiaochun Fang and Lin Wang

Abstract. We prove the equivalence of three definitions given by different comparison relations for infiniteness of positive elements in simple C^* -algebras.

1 Introduction

J. Cuntz [4,5] considered two comparison relations of arbitrary elements in a simple C^* -algebra A, which we denote by $a \lesssim b$ and $a \lesssim b$, where a and b are in A. H. Lin and S. Zhang [15] introduced a comparison relation of positive elements a and b in a simple C^* -algebra, which we denote by $a \overset{\approx}{<} b$. In [15], Lin–Zhang gave the definition of an infinite positive element in a simple C^* -algebra by the comparison relation " \lesssim ". Following the lines of Cuntz , Lin [13] defined another comparison relation of positive elements a and b in C^* -algebras, which we denote by $[a] \leq [b]$. The relation $[a] \leq [b]$ is a very useful tool for the classification of C^* -algebras, especially for the C^* -algebras with tracial topological rank zero. The comparison relations of elements in C^* -algebras have been studied and applied by many mathematicians (see [1–3,6–12,14,16–20]). For the positive elements in a C^* -algebra, all the comparison relations are not equivalent to each other. In Section 2 we establish the relationship of the four comparison relations.

Inspired by Lin–Zhang [15], we can think of several definitions for the infiniteness of positive elements in simple C^* -algebras. In Section 3, we show that the definitions of infinite positive elements in a simple C^* -algebra defined by different comparison relations are equivalent.

Throughout this paper, we denote by A_+ the positive cone of a C^* -algebra A, by Her(a) the hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A generated by a, and by A^{**} the enveloping von Neumann algebra of A.

2 Comparisons of Positive Elements in C^* -algebras

First, we give various comparison relations of positive elements in C^* -algebras as follows.

Received by the editors August 28, 2007.

Published electronically April 6, 2010.

This article is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10771161).

AMS subject classification: 46L99.

Keywords: Infinite positive element, Comparison relation.

Definition 2.1 (i) ([4]) For any two elements a and b in a C^* -algebra A, we write $a \lesssim b$ if there exist x and y in A such that a = xby. Write $a \approx b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$.

- (ii) ([5]) For any two elements a and b in A, we write $a \lesssim b$ if there are sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in A such that $x_nby_n \to a$. Write $a \sim b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. In particular, if $a, b \in A_+$, then we can choose $y_n = x_n^*$.
- (iii) ([15]) For any two positive elements a and b in A, we write $a \stackrel{\approx}{<} b$ if there is $r \in A$ such that $a \leq rbr^*$.
- (iv) ([13, Definition 3.5.2]) Let a and b be two positive elements in A. We write $[a] \leq [b]$ if there exists a partial isometry $v \in A^{**}$ such that, for every $c \in A^{**}$ Her(a), v^*c , $cv \in A$, $vv^* = p_a$, where p_a is the range projection of a in A^{**} , and $v^*cv \in \text{Her}(b)$. We write [a] = [b] if $v^* \text{Her}(a)v = \text{Her}(b)$.

Remark For the relation $[a] \leq [b]$, it would be convenient to use its equivalent definition, *i.e.*, there is $x \in A$ such that $x^*x = a$ and $xx^* \in \text{Her}(b)$.

Lemma 2.2 Let A be a C^* -algebra, $a, b \in A_+$, then the following statements hold:

$$a \lessapprox b \Rightarrow a \stackrel{\approx}{<} b; \quad a \stackrel{\approx}{<} b \Rightarrow [a] \leq [b]; \quad [a] \leq [b] \Rightarrow a \lesssim b.$$

Proof (i) Since $a \lesssim b$, there are $x, y \in A$ such that a = xby. Put $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + y^*) \in$ A; then

$$rbr^* = \frac{1}{2}(x+y^*)b(x+y^*)^* = \frac{1}{2}(xbx^* + y^*bx^* + xby + y^*by)$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{2}(xby + y^*bx^*) = \frac{1}{2}(a+a^*) = a,$$

- and so $a \overset{\approx}{<} b$. (ii) Since $a \overset{\approx}{<} b$, there is an $r \in A$ such that $a \le rbr^*$, therefore $[a] \le [rbr^*] \le [b]$,
- (iii) Since $[a] \leq [b]$, there is $x \in A$ such that $x^*x = a$ and $xx^* \in \text{Her}(b)$. Since $\{x^*[b(b+\frac{1}{n})^{-1}]x\}_{n>1}$ converges to x^*x in the norm topology, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^* [b(b + \frac{1}{n})^{-1}] x = x^* x = a,$$

that is, $a \lesssim b$.

Given any positive number ε , a continuous function f_{ε} is defined on the real line R by

$$f_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq 2^{-1} \varepsilon \\ 2\varepsilon^{-1} (t - 2^{-1} \varepsilon) & \text{if } 2^{-1} \varepsilon \leq t \leq \varepsilon \\ 1 & \text{if } \varepsilon \leq t \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.3 ([15, Lemma 1.3]) Let A be a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra, $a, b \in A_+$, if $a \lesssim b$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f_{\varepsilon}(a) \stackrel{\sim}{<} f_{\delta}(b)$.

Lemma 2.4 Let A be a C^* -algebra, $a, b \in A_+$, if $a \lesssim b$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $f_{\varepsilon}(a) \stackrel{\approx}{<} b$.

Proof By Lemma 2.3, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f_{\varepsilon}(a) \stackrel{\approx}{<} f_{\delta}(b)$. So we need only to show that for the above δ , $f_{\delta}(b) \stackrel{\approx}{<} b$.

In fact, for $\delta > 0$, there is a non-negative continuous function g on \mathbb{R} such that $f_{\delta}(t) = (g(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}t(g(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $f_{\delta}(b) = (g(b))^{\frac{1}{2}}b(g(b))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by continuous functional calculus. Therefore $f_{\delta}(b) \lesssim b$, and hence $f_{\delta}(b) < b$.

Lemma 2.5 ([4, Proposition 1.3]) Let $x \in A$ with polar decomposition x = u|x|, where $u \in A^{**}$. Then uf(|x|) is in A for any continuous function f on \mathbb{R} that vanishes in 0.

3 Equivalent Definitions of Infinite Positive Elements

In this section we give the main result of this paper.

For an arbitrary positive element in the Pedersen ideal P(A) (minimal dense two-sided ideal) of a simple C^* -algebra A we give the following definition of infinite positive elements.

Definition 3.1 ([15, Definition 1.1]) A positive element a in P(A) is called *infinite*, if there are nonzero positive elements $b, c \in P(A)$ such that bc = cb = 0, (*i.e.*, $b \perp c$), $b + c \lesssim c$ and $b + c \lesssim a$. A non-positive element a in P(A) is called infinite if a^*a is infinite.

Proposition 3.2 Let A be a simple C^* -algebra and P(A) be the Pedersen ideal of A. If $a \in P(A)$ is a positive infinite element, then $d \stackrel{\approx}{<} a$ for any positive element d in P(A).

Proof Since $a \in P(A)$ is an infinite positive element, there are two nonzero positive elements $b, c \in P(A)$ such that $b \perp c$, $b + c \lesssim c$ and $b + c \lesssim a$. Take $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that $f_{\delta_0}(b) \neq 0$. We can also take $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f_{\delta}(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \neq 0$. Since $d \in P(A)$, there are $x_i, y_i \in A(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ such that

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i f_{\delta}(f_{\delta_0}(b)) y_i.$$

We prove this proposition in four steps:

Step 1. Construct positive elements b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n in $\operatorname{Her}(c)$ such that $b_i \perp b_j$ if $i \neq j$. Since $b+c \lesssim c$, there exists δ_1 with $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that $f_{\delta_0}(b+c) \overset{\sim}{\lesssim} f_{\delta_1}(c)$ by Lemma 2.3. Then by Lemma 2.2 $[f_{\delta_0}(b+c)] \leq [f_{\delta_1}(c)] \leq [c]$. Similarly for δ_1 , there exists δ_2 with $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that $[f_{\delta_1}(b+c)] \leq [f_{\delta_2}(c)] \leq [c]$.

Repeating this argument, there are

$$0 < \delta_n < \delta_{n-1} < \delta_{n-2} < \dots < \delta_2 < \delta_1 < \delta_0 < 1$$

such that $[f_{\delta_i}(b+c)] \leq [f_{\delta_{i+1}}(c)] \leq [c](i=0,1,2,\ldots,n-1).$

Since $[f_{\delta_{n-1}}(b+c)] \leq [f_{\delta_n}(c)]$, there is $x_1 \in A$ such that $x_1^*x_1 = f_{\delta_{n-1}}(b+c)$, $x_1x_1^* \in \text{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(c))$. Suppose that $x_1 = v_1|x_1|$ is the polar decomposition of x_1 , where

 $v_1 \in A^{**}$. Then there is a *-isomorphism ϕ_1 from $\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-1}}(b+c))$ into $\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(c))$ defined by $\phi_1(x) = v_1 x v_1^*$ for any $x \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-1}}(b+c))$.

Similarly there are *-isomorphisms ϕ_i from $\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c))$ into $\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(c))$, $x_i \in A$ and $v_i \in A^{**}$ $(i=2,3,\ldots,n)$ such that $\phi_i(x)=v_ixv_i^*$.

Since $b \perp c$, $f_{\delta_0}(b) + f_{\delta_0}(c) = f_{\delta_0}(b+c)$. Since $f_{\delta_0}(b) \leq f_{\delta_0}(b+c) \leq f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c)$ for each $i(1 \leq i \leq n)$, $\phi_i(f_{\delta_0}(b))$ is a well defined element in $\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(c))$. Since $\phi_i(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(c)) \subseteq \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c))$, $\phi_{i-1}\phi_i(f_{\delta_0}(b))$ is well defined.

Set $b_1 = \phi_1(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(c))$. Then $b \perp b_1$. Set $b_2 = \phi_1\phi_2(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(c)) \subseteq \operatorname{Her}(c)$. Since $\phi_2(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-1}}(c))$ and $f_{\delta_0}(b) \perp \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-1}}(c))$, $\phi_2(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \perp f_{\delta_0}(b)$. Hence $\phi_1\phi_2(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \perp \phi_1(f_{\delta_0}(b))$, that is $b_2 \perp b_1$. Since

$$b_2 = \phi_1 \phi_2(f_{\delta_0}(b)) \in \text{Her}(c), \quad b_2 \perp b.$$

Proceeding recursively, we obtain positive elements

$$b_i = \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \cdots \phi_i (f_{\delta_0}(b)) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(c)) \subseteq \operatorname{Her}(c), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

then $b \perp b_i, b_i \perp b_j (i \neq j)$.

Step 2. For all the $b_i \in \text{Her}(c)$ defined as above and $\delta > 0$, we have $f_{\delta}(f_{\delta_0}(b)) = V_i^* f_{\delta}(b_i)V_i$, where $V_i = v_1v_2 \cdots v_{i-1}v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

In fact, for any $i(1 \le i \le n)$, $b_i = \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \cdots \phi_i (f_{\delta_0}(b)) = V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) V_i^*$. Since

$$\nu_i^* \nu_i = P_{|x_i|} = P_{(x_i^* x_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = P_{(f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c))^{\frac{1}{2}}} = P_{f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c)} \ge P_{f_{\delta_0}(b)},$$

where $P_{|x|}$ denote the range projection of |x| in A^{**} , $v_i^*v_if_{\delta_0}(b) = f_{\delta_0}(b)$. Since

$$v_iv_i^* = P_{|x_i^*|} = P_{(x_ix_i^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq P_{(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(c))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq P_{f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c)} = v_{i-1}^*v_{i-1},$$

 $v_i v_i^* v_{i-1}^* v_{i-1} = v_i v_i^*$.

Since v_i is a partial isometry,

$$V_{i}^{*}V_{i} = v_{i}^{*}v_{i-1}^{*} \cdots v_{2}^{*}v_{1}^{*}v_{1}v_{2} \cdots v_{i-1}v_{i}$$

$$= v_{i}^{*}v_{i-1}^{*} \cdots v_{3}^{*}v_{2}^{*}v_{2}v_{2}^{*}v_{1}^{*}v_{1}v_{2}v_{3} \cdots v_{i-1}v_{i}$$

$$= v_{i}^{*}v_{i-1}^{*} \cdots v_{3}^{*}v_{2}^{*}v_{2}v_{3} \cdots v_{i-1}v_{i}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= v_{i}^{*}v_{i}.$$

Then $b_i^2 = V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) V_i^* V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) V_i^* = V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) v_i^* v_i f_{\delta_0}(b) V_i^* = V_i f_{\delta_0}^2(b) V_i^*$. In this way we have $b_i^m = V_i f_{\delta_0}^m(b) V_i^*$ for any positive integer $m \geq 2$. Since $f_{\delta}(t) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M c_m t^m$, where $c_m \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_{\delta}(b_i) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M} c_m(b_i)^m = V_i \left(\lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M} c_m(f_{\delta_0}(b))^m\right) V_i^* = V_i f_{\delta}(f_{\delta_0}(b)) V_i^*$$

by functional calculus. And so $f_{\delta}(f_{\delta_0}(b)) = V_i^* V_i f_{\delta}(f_{\delta_0}(b)) V_i^* V_i = V_i^* f_{\delta}(b_i) V_i$.

Step 3. For $b_i \in \text{Her}(c)$ and $\delta > 0$ defined as above, $d \stackrel{\approx}{<} (\sum_{i=1}^n f_{\delta}(b_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $d \in P(A)_+, i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

By Step 2, we have already proved

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i f_{\delta}(f_{\delta_0}(b)) y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i V_i^* f_{\delta}(b_i) V_i y_i$$

= $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i V_i^* f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_i)) (\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\delta}(b_i))^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_i) V_i y_i).$

Set $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i V_i^* f_\delta^{1/4}(b_i)$ and $y_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n f_\delta^{1/4}(b_i) V_i y_i$. So it suffices to prove $x_0, y_0 \in A$. Therefore we need only to show $f_\delta^{1/4}(b_i) V_i \in A$ for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, and hence to show $b_i V_i \in A$ since $f_\delta^{1/4}(0) = 0$.

Since $b_i = V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) V_i^*$, $b_i V_i = V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) V_i^* V_i = V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) v_i^* v_i = V_i f_{\delta_0}(b)$. So it suffices to prove $V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) \in A(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$.

For this purpose, we first prove v_i $\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c)) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c))A}$ for each $i=1,2,\ldots,n$.

 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$ Since $v_i f_{\delta_{n-i}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b+c) \in A$ and

$$v_i f_{\delta_{n-i}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b+c)v_i^* = H_i(f_{\delta_{n-i}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b+c)) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(c)) \subseteq \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c)),$$

we have

$$v_{i}f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c) = \\ v_{i}f_{\delta_{n-i}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b+c)v_{i}^{*}v_{i}f_{\delta_{n-i}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b+c) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c))A \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c))A}.$$

Then there are $\{x_k\}_{k>1} \subseteq A$ and $\{a_k\}_{k>1} \subseteq A$ such that

$$v_i f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c) x_k f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c) a_k.$$

Since $v_{i-1}f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c) \in \text{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+2}}(b+c))A$ and $x_k f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c)a_k \in A$,

$$v_{i-1}v_{i}f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c) = \lim_{k \to \infty} v_{i-1}f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c)x_{k}f_{\delta_{n-i+1}}(b+c)a_{k} \in \overline{\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i+2}}(b+c))A}.$$

Proceeding recursively, we obtain

$$V_i f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c) = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{i-1} v_i f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c) \in \overline{\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(b+c))A}.$$

Then for any $y \in V_i$ Her $(f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c))$, there are $\{y_k\}_{k\geq 1} \subseteq A$ such that

$$y = \lim_{k \to \infty} V_i f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c) y_k f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c) \in \overline{\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(b+c))A} \subseteq A.$$

Thus $V_i \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c)) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_n}(b+c))A} \subseteq A$. Since $f_{\delta_0}(b) \in \operatorname{Her}(f_{\delta_{n-i}}(b+c))$, $V_i f_{\delta_0}(b) \in A$.

Step 4. At last, we prove that for $b_i \in \text{Her}(c)$ defined as above and $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_i) \stackrel{\approx}{<} a, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \in \text{Her}(c)$, there is a sequence $\{z_m\}_{m\geq 1} \subseteq A$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\right)^2 = \lim_{m \to \infty} c z_m c^2 z_m c.$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \sim (\sum_{i=1}^n b_i)^2 \lesssim c^2 \sim c$. Since $c \lesssim b + c \lesssim a$, $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \lesssim a$. By Lemma 2.4, for the above $\delta > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_i) = f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i) \stackrel{\approx}{<} a$.

Since $f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b_i) = f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_i)f_{\frac{5}{4}}(b_i)f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_i)$ for each i = 1, 2, ..., n and $b_i \perp b_j$ $(i \neq j)$,

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\delta}(b_{i})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_{i}) f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_{i}) f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_{i}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_{i})\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_{i})\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}}(b_{i})\right) \\ &= f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_{i})\right) f_{\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right). \end{split}$$

Then $(\sum_{i=1}^n f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_i))^{\frac{1}{2}} \stackrel{\approx}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_i)$. Therefore

$$d \stackrel{\approx}{<} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_{\delta}(b_i)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \stackrel{\approx}{<} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(b_i) = f_{\frac{\delta}{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\right) \stackrel{\approx}{<} a,$$

and so $d \stackrel{\approx}{<} a$.

Theorem 3.3 Let A be a simple C^* -algebra and P(A) be the Pedersen ideal of A, then the following definitions of the infinite positive element $a \in P(A)$ are equivalent:

- (i) There are nonzero positive elements b and c in P(A) such that bc = cb = 0 (i.e., $b \perp c$), $b + c \lesssim c$ and $b + c \lesssim a$.
- (ii) There are nonzero positive elements b and c in P(A) such that bc = cb = 0 (i.e., $b \perp c$), $b + c \stackrel{\approx}{<} c$ and $b + c \stackrel{\approx}{<} a$.
- (iii) There are nonzero positive elements b and c in P(A) such that bc = cb = 0 (i.e., $b \perp c$), $[b+c] \leq [c]$ and $[b+c] \leq [a]$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) If a is an infinite positive element, then there are nonzero positive elements b and c in P(A) such that bc = cb = 0, $b + c \lesssim c$ and $b + c \lesssim a$. Clearly, c is also an infinite element. Then it follows from Proposition 3.2, $b + c \leqslant c$ and $b + c \leqslant a$ since $b + c \in P(A)_+$.

- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This is obvious by Lemma 2.2.
- $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ This is also obvious by Lemma 2.2.

Remark The authors were told by the referee that by using [18, Proposition 2.4(iv)] one can similarly provide a slightly shorter proof of the Proposition 3.2 for the comparison " \lesssim ", and so the equivalence of the infiniteness with the comparison " \lesssim " to the other comparisons in Theorem 3.3.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to express their hearty thanks to the referee for his/her very helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- B. Blackadar and J. Cuntz, The structure of stable algebraically simple C*-algebras. Amer. J. Math. 104(1982), no. 4, 813–822. doi:10.2307/2374206
- [3] J. Cuntz, Simple C*-algebras generated by isometries. Comm. Math. Phys. 57(1977), no. 2, 173–185. doi:10.1007/BF01625776
- [4] _____, The structure of multiplication and addition in simple C*-algebras. Math. Scand. 40(1977), no. 2, 215–233.
- [5] _____, Dimension functions on simple C^* -algebras. Math. Ann. **233**(1978), no. 2, 145–153. doi:10.1007/BF01421922
- [6] _____, K-theory for certain C*-algebras. Ann. of Math. 113(1981), no. 1, 181–197. doi:10.2307/1971137
- [7] G. A. Elliott and X. Fang, Simple inductive limits of C*-algebras with building blocks from spheres of odd dimension. In: Operator algebra and operator theory, Contemp. Math., 228, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 79–86.
- [8] X. Fang, The invariant continuous-trace C*-algebras by the actions of compact abelian groups. Chinese Ann. of Math.(B) 19(1998), no. 4, 489–498.
- [9] _____, The simplicity and real rank zero property of the inductive limit of continuous trace C*-algebras. Analysis 19(1999), no. 4, 377–389.
- [10] _____, Graph C*-algebras and their ideals defined by Cuntz-Krieger family of possibly row-infinite directed graphs. Integral Equations Operator Theory 54(2006), no. 3, 301–316. doi:10.1007/s00020-004-1363-z
- [11] ______, The real rank zero property of crossed product. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134**(2006), no. 10, 3015–3024. doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-06-08357-2
- [12] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, *Infinite non-simple C*-algebras: absorbing the Cuntz algebra* \mathcal{O}_{∞} . Adv. Math. **167**(2002), no. 2, 195–264. doi:10.1006/aima.2001.2041
- [13] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C*-algebras*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [14] ______, Classification of simple C*-algebras and higher dimensional noncommutative tori. Ann. of Math. 157(2003), no. 2, 521–544. doi:10.4007/annals.2003.157.521
- [15] H. Lin and S. Zhang, On infinite simple C*-algebras. J. Funct. Anal. 100(1991), no. 1, 221–231. doi:10.1016/0022-1236(91)90109-1
- [16] G. K. Pedersen, C*-algebras and their automorphism groups. London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14, Academic Press, London–New York, 1979.
- [17] M. Rørdam, Ideals in the multiplier algebra of a stable C*-algebra. J. Operator Theory 25(1991), no. 2, 283–298.
- [18] _____, On the structure of simple C*-algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II. J. Funct. Anal. **107**(1992), no. 2, 255–269. doi:10.1016/0022-1236(92)90106-S
- [19] _____, A simple C*-algebra with a finite and an infinite projection. Acta Math. 191(2003), no. 1, 109–142. doi:10.1007/BF02392697
- [20] A. S. Toms, On the classification problem for nuclear C*-algebras. Ann. of Math. 167(2008), no. 3, 1029–1044. doi:10.4007/annals.2008.167.1029

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, China, 200092 e-mail: xfang@tongji.edu.cn wlzwl@163.com