Stellar Clusters in the Outermost Milky Way Galaxy

J.E. Hesser, P.B. Stetson, S. van den Bergh
National Research Council of Canada, Herzberg Inst. of Astrophysics, Dominion Astrophysical Obs., Victoria, BC V8X 4M6, Canada

M. Bolte, J.A. Johnson
Lick Observatory, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

W.E. Harris
McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

D.A. VandenBerg
University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

R.A. Bell
University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

H.E. Bond, L.E. Fullton
STScI, Baltimore, MD, USA

G.G. Fahlman, H.B. Richer
University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Abstract. HST V, I color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) of four outer-halo clusters, NGC 2419, Pal 3, Pal 4 and Eridanus, provide insight into the relative ages of old star clusters throughout the 200 kpc diameter volume sampled, and thus into the formation epoch of the Milky Way galaxy.

1. Introduction

The ages, chemistry and other properties of outer Galactic halo star clusters make them powerful objects for comparison with the comparably remote dwarf spheroidal galaxies (dSphs), the oldest star clusters of the Magellanic Clouds and other Local Group galaxies. By constraining the chronology and duration of early cluster formation, they contribute to understanding how large galaxies like the Milky Way form, e.g.:
Did the Galactic halo form from rapid collapse (Eggen et al. 1962; Sandage 1990), multi-Gigayear accumulation of many fragments (Searle & Zinn 1978), or a combination of such processes?

Did these processes occur in differing proportions in distinct regions of the halo?

Are the more remote globular clusters with unusually red horizontal branches (HBs) for their [Fe/H] — the “second-parameter” clusters — systematically younger (e.g., Searle & Zinn; Lee et al. 1990, 1994; Chaboyer et al. 1996) than inner-halo objects, where the second-parameter phenomenon is weaker?

Inferred younger ages in the literature for clusters of the outer halo rely heavily upon HB morphology, which is sensitive to many parameters besides age. Truly direct age measurements that are capable of sharp tests of these scenarios can be made only with color-magnitude diagram (CMD) photometry reaching well below the main sequence turnoff (MSTO).

2. New Data

WFPC2 F555W (‘V’) and F814W (‘I’) photometry cleanly defines CMDs for the following clusters from their giant and HBs down through their upper main sequences: Pal3 ($M_V = -5.2$, $R_0 \sim 87$ kpc, [Fe/H] $\sim -1.6$); Pal4 ($M_V = -5.8$, $R_0 \sim 98$ kpc, [Fe/H] $\sim -1.3$); Eridanus ($M_V = -4.8$, $R_0 \sim 78$ kpc, [Fe/H] $\sim -1.4$); and NGC 2419 ($M_V = -9.5$, $R_0 \sim 88$ kpc, [Fe/H] $\sim -2.1$).

Long and short exposures were obtained with WFPC2 (Cycles 4 & 5). The sub-pixel-shifted images were combined to generate a master list of detected stars and to eliminate artifacts (cosmic rays and bad pixels), and then processed through the suite of DAOPHOT/ALLSTAR/ALLFRAME codes (Stetson 1994) to obtain the photometry. Calibration was carried out by a combination of new ground-based photometry in the imaged fields, plus the standard transformations derived by Holtzman et al. (1995).

CMDs for the four outer halo clusters typically reach $V_{\text{lim}} = 27.0$ and cover more than three magnitudes of the main sequence. Each cluster exhibits a population of blue stragglers. Pal 3 contains several RR Lyrae variables.

3. Relative Ages

Accurate knowledge of abundances, and abundance ratios, is essential for both relative and absolute age determinations. Unfortunately, even in nearby Galactic globular clusters (whose giants are sufficiently bright for high-dispersion analyses), there is considerable dispersion among studies. For the outer-halo objects, abundance information is qualitatively different, as it is based upon low-dispersion spectra (often only of the calcium triplet in a few giants) and various indirect photometric indicators. To date our interpretations have focussed on relative ages determined by a number of differential fits between CMDs, which have been quantified by employing theoretical isochrones as interpolating tools.
3.1. Metal-Poor Clusters

As shown by Harris et al. (1997), NGC 2419 and the nearby cluster M92 have indistinguishable ages, providing there are no unusual abundance ratios (e.g., [\(\alpha/Fe\)]) in either of these \([Fe/H]=-2.2\) clusters. Thus, the earliest star (or globular cluster) formation began at essentially the same time everywhere in the Galactic halo throughout a region now almost 200 kpc in diameter that handily encompasses the Magellanic Clouds.

3.2. Intermediate-Metallicity Clusters

To judge relative ages, we (Stetson et al. 1999) have compared the three second-parameter clusters via two standard ways of registering CMDs, \(\Delta(V-I)\) and \(\Delta V_{TO}^{HB}\). We have further used isochrones (VandenBerg et al. 1999) to predict, in a relative sense, the dependence of \(\Delta V_{TO}^{HB}\) and \(\Delta(V-I)\) on age, metallicity and other parameters. We have performed the same analysis on inner-halo clusters with abundances that are believed to bracket those of the outer-halo clusters. A consistent interpretation of the comparisons is:

a) Pal 3 is some 2 Gyr younger than M3, and Pal 4 about 1.5 Gyr younger than M5 if, in both cases, the compared clusters have identical abundances.

b) Eridanus could be either about 1 Gyr younger than Pal 4, or they could be the same age if Eridanus is some 0.2 dex more metal poor than Pal 4. Since the available data suggest that the latter may be the case, the evidence for an age difference is tenuous.

c) Even though Pal 3 and Pal 4 appear indistinguishable in the differential age plot, Pal 3 is likely to be a Gyr older than Pal 4 because of its lower metal abundance. Similarly, because M5 is almost certainly more metal rich than M3, it is probably of order 1 Gyr younger.

4. Concluding Remarks

The two age indicators, \(\Delta V_{TO}^{HB}\) and \(\Delta(V-I)\), suggest that all three second-parameter clusters are younger than their nearby counterparts by 1.5 to 2 Gyr, which is in the same sense and approximately the same size predicted by HB star modelling (Lee et al. 1994, Lee 1992). Pal 14 (Sarajedini 1997) seems to be in the same age range.

However, if we ask what other parameters would have to be different for the “second parameter” clusters to have the same ages as the inner halo clusters, we find that an overestimation of the former’s abundances by only 0.3 dex (either in [Fe/H] or [\(\alpha/Fe\)]) would be required. If Pal 3, Pal 4 and Eri were to have primordial abundances of \([\alpha/Fe] \sim 0.0\), as Brown et al. (1997) found for Rup 106 and Pal 12, that would erase half of the inferred age difference between them and the inner halo clusters.

Interestingly, recent HST work (Olsen et al. 1998, Johnson et al. 1998) suggests that, within CMD observational errors, the oldest LMC clusters do not appear to differ by more than a Gyr in age from the oldest Galactic globulars of comparable metallicity. This implies that the first major burst of star (cluster) formation occurred simultaneously in the two galaxies.
While clear progress is being made towards achieving an accurate relative formation chronology for old stellar clusters in the Galactic halo and Magellanic Clouds from HST (or other) CMDs, we caution that the true answer may only be revealed when better relative abundance information is available for the clusters being compared.
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Discussion

Cannon: Presumably it is actually easier today for us to get metallicities of the distant clusters, than it was 10 years ago to work on the nearby clusters, given the big advances in the power of astronomical instrumentation. Is it not easy to get the data you want?

Hesser: It remains very challenging. In the sparse low luminosity outer halo clusters there are few red giants and ambiguities regarding their evolutionary state (1st or 2nd ascent?). Much of our abundance information rests on the Ca triplet observed in 2 or 3 giants at low dispersion. As an α-element, Ca is a questionable surrogate for [Fe/H]. While many studies demonstrate an increase of 0.3-0.5 dex in [Ca/Fe] in the halo, some recent measurements by Brown & Wallerstein in two other low-luminosity clusters show lower, if any, enhancements.