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#### Abstract

Using known operator-valued Fourier multiplier results on vector-valued Hölder continuous function spaces $C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$, we completely characterize the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of the first order degenerate differential equations with finite delay $(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the boundedness of the $(M, F)$-resolvent of $A$ under suitable assumption on the delay operator $F$, where $A, M$ are closed linear operators on a Banach space $X$ satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}$, the delay operator $F$ is a bounded linear operator from $C([-r, 0] ; X)$ to $X$, and $r>0$ is fixed.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of the first order degenerate differential equations with finite delay

$$
(P):(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t), \quad(t \in \mathbb{R})
$$

where $A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ and $M: D(M) \rightarrow X$ are closed linear operators on a complex Banach space $X$ satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}, 0<\alpha<1, F$ is a bounded linear operator from $C([-r, 0] ; X)$ into $X$ for some fixed $r>0$, and $u_{t}$ is defined by $u_{t}(s)=$ $u(t+s)$ when $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in[-r, 0]$. The typical model of $(P)$ is the case when $A$ is the Laplacian and $M$ is the multiplication operator by a non-negative function $m$ on an appropriate function space defined on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which was first considered by Carroll and Showalter [6], and was later studied by Marinoschi [10]. This model describes the filtration of water in unsaturated porous media in which saturation might occur.

Using known $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier results by Arendt, Batty and Bu [1], we are able to characterize the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of $(P)$ by the boundedness of the $(M, F)$-resolvent of $A$ when $0<\alpha<1$. Precisely, we show that, under suitable assumption $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ on the delay operator $F$, the problem $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed if and only if $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(A)$

[^0]and
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|A\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s M\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty,
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

(see Theorem 2.3), where $F_{i s} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is defined by $F_{i s} x:=F\left(e^{i s} x\right)$ when $x \in X$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}, \rho_{M, F}(A)$ is the $(M, F)$-resolvent of $A$ (see the precise definition in the next section). Since this characterization of the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of $(P)$ does not depend on the space parameter $0<\alpha<1$, we deduce that when $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed for some $0<\alpha<1$, then it is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed for all $0<\alpha<1$ (see Corollary 2.4). We also show that when the underlying Banach space $X$ is $B$-convex, then the same characterization of the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of $(P)$ remains true under a weaker assumption $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ on $F$ (see Corollary 2.5).

When $F=0$, the corresponding degenerate problems $(P)$ have been studied independently by Bu [4] and Ponce [12] (Ponce has studied the corresponding problems in the case $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}$, while Bu has considered the same problems in the simpler case $D(A) \subset D(M)$ ), and in the case when $F=0$ and $M=I_{X}$, the corresponding problems $(P)$ have been treated by Arendt, Batty, and $\mathrm{Bu}[1]$. Our results concerning the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of $(P)$ can be regarded as generalization of known results obtained in $[1,4,12]$.

We notice that similar first order degenerate differential equations with finite delay

$$
\left(P_{\mathrm{per}}\right):(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t), \quad(t \in[0,2 \pi])
$$

with periodic boundary condition $M u(0)=M u(2 \pi)$ were studied by Bu [5], under suitable assumption on $F$, he gave necessary and sufficient conditions for ( $P_{\text {per }}$ ) to be $L^{p}$-well-posed. The simpler case of $\left(P_{\text {per }}\right)$ when $F=0$ was first studied by Lizama and Ponce [8] (see also [9] for the study of a similar degenerate equation with infinite delay). See [7] for a more detailed study of linear abstract degenerate differential equations.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give some preliminaries and necessary and sufficient conditions for the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of $(P)$. In the third section, we give some concrete examples to which our abstract results can be applied.

## 2 Characterizations of the $C^{\alpha}$-Well-Posedness

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $0<\alpha<1$. We denote by $C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ the space of all $X$-valued functions $u$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\|u\|_{\alpha}:=\sup _{s \neq t} \frac{\|u(s)-u(t)\|}{|s-t|^{\alpha}}<\infty
$$

Define

$$
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}}:=\|u(0)\|+\|u\|_{\alpha} .
$$

Then $C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^{\alpha}}$ becomes a Banach space. In order to define Fourier multipliers on $C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, it is necessary to operate modulo constant
functions. The kernel of the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ on $C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ is the space of all constant functions. The corresponding quotient space $\dot{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ is a Banach space under the quotient norm. We will frequently identify a function $u \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ with its equivalent class in $\dot{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$, that is,

$$
\dot{u}:=\left\{v \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X): u-v \equiv \text { constant }\right\} .
$$

If $X, Y$ are Banach spaces, we let $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from $X$ to $Y$. If $X=Y$, we will simply denote it by $\mathcal{L}(X)$. The notion of $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier was introduced in [1], which is crucial for our investigation on the $C^{\alpha}$-well-posedness of $(P)$.

Definition 2.1 Let $X, Y$ be complex Banach spaces, $m: \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be continuous. We say that $m$ is a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier if there exists a mapping $L: \dot{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X) \rightarrow \dot{C}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; Y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \varphi(s)(L f)(s) d s=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi m)(s) f(s) d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$, where $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$ is the space of all $C^{\infty}$-functions on $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ with compact support containing in $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform given by

$$
(\mathcal{F} h)(s):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t) e^{-i s t} d t, \quad(s \in \mathbb{R})
$$

when $h \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.
By [1, Lemma 5.1], the right-hand side of (2.1) does not depend on the representative of $\dot{f}$ as $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi m)(s) d s=2 \pi(\varphi m)(0)=0$. Moreover, identity (2.1) defines $L f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ uniquely up to an additive constant by [1, Lemma 5.1].

We will use the following result due to Arendt, Batty, and Bu [1], which gives a sufficient condition for a $C^{2}$-function $M: \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ to be a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier.

Theorem 2.2 Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces and let $m: \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be a $C^{2}$ function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \neq 0}\left(\|m(s)\|+\left\|s m^{\prime}(s)\right\|+\left\|s^{2} m^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|\right)<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $m$ is a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier. If $X, Y$ are $B$-convex, then the first order condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \neq 0}\left(\|m(s)\|+\left\|s m^{\prime}(s)\right\|\right)<\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is already sufficient for $m$ to be a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier.
Recall that a Banach space $X$ is $B$-convex if it does not contain $l_{1}^{n}$ uniformly [11]. This is equivalent to saying that $X$ has Fourier type $1<p \leq 2$; i.e., the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{p}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ to $L^{q}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$, where $1 / p+1 / q=1$ [3]. It is well known that when $1<p<\infty, L^{p}(\mu)$ has Fourier type $\min \left\{p, \frac{p}{p-1}\right\}$. Each Hilbert space has Fourier type 2.

Let $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$. We say that $u$ is of subexponential growth if for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon|t|}\|u(t)\| d t<\infty
$$

For such function $u$, we define its Carleman transform on $\mathbb{C} \backslash i \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\widehat{u}(\lambda):= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t, & R e \lambda>0 \\ -\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda t} u(-t) d t, & R e \lambda<0\end{cases}
$$

[2, p. 292]. Then $\widehat{u}$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \backslash i \mathbb{R}$. A point $i \eta \in i \mathbb{R}$ is called regular for $\widehat{u}$, if $\widehat{u}$ has a holomorphic extension to a neighbourhood of $i \eta$. The Carleman spectrum $\operatorname{sp} P_{C}(u)$ of $u$ is defined by

$$
s p_{C}(u):=\{\eta \in \mathbb{R}: i \eta \text { is not regular for } \widehat{u}\} .
$$

It is known that if $s p_{C}(u)=\varnothing$, then $u=0$ [2].
Let $0<\alpha<1$; we denote by $C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ the space of all $X$-valued functions $u$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$, such that $u \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ and $u^{\prime} \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$. The space $C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ is equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)}:=\|u(0)\|+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\alpha},
$$

and it is a Banach space.
Let $A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ and $M: D(M) \rightarrow X$ be closed linear operators on $X$ satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}, F \in \mathcal{L}(C([-r, 0] ; X), X)$ for some fixed $r>0$, where $C([-r, 0] ; X)$ is the Banach space of all $X$-valued continuous functions defined on $[-r, 0]$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\max _{-r \leq s \leq 0}\|u(s)\| .
$$

We consider the first order degenerate differential equations with finite delay

$$
(P):(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t), \quad(t \in \mathbb{R})
$$

where $u_{t}$ is defined by $u_{t}(s)=u(t+s)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in[-r, 0]$. If $0<\alpha<1$, we say that $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed, if for all $f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$, there exists a unique $u \in$ $C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; D(A) \cap D(M))$, such that $M u \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ and $(P)$ is satisfied for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here we consider $D(A) \cap D(M)$ as a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{D(A) \cap D(M)}:=\|x\|+\|A x\|+\|M x\| .
$$

We notice that $F u . \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ when $u \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$. Indeed, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left\|F u_{s}-F u_{t}\right\| \leq\|F\|\left\|u_{s}-u_{t}\right\|_{C([-r, 0] ; x)} \leq\|F\|\|u\|_{\alpha}|s-t|^{\alpha} .
$$

For fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$
F_{z}(x):=F\left(e^{z} x\right)
$$

when $x \in X$. It is clear that $F_{z}$ is a bounded linear operator on $X$.
Let $\phi_{s, 1}(t)=i t e^{\text {ist }}$ and $\phi_{s, 2}(t)=-t^{2} e^{\text {ist }}$ when $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in[-r, 0]$. Then it is easy to show that

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}\right) x=F\left(\phi_{s, 1} x\right), \quad\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} F_{i s}\right) x=F\left(\phi_{s, 2} x\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}\right\|<\infty, \quad \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} F_{i s}\right\|<\infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ if

$$
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s \frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}\right\|<\infty .
$$

We say that $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ if $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} F_{i s}\right\|<\infty .
$$

We define the $(M, F)$-resolvent of $A$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{M, F}(A):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z M-A-F_{z}: D(A) \cap D(M)\right. & \rightarrow X \text { is a bijection and } \\
& \left.\left(z M-A-F_{z}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z \in \rho_{M}(A)$. Then $\left(z M-A-F_{z}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a bijection from $X$ onto $D(A)$ by definition. The operators $M\left(z M-A-F_{z}\right)^{-1}, A\left(z M-A-F_{z}\right)^{-1}$ make sense, and they belong to $\mathcal{L}(X)$ by the Closed Graph Theorem and the closedness of $M$ and $A$.

The following is the main result of this paper, which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $(P)$ to be $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed.

Theorem 2.3 Let $X$ be a complex Banach space, $0<\alpha<1$ and let $A, M$ be closed linear operators on $X$ satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}, F \in \mathcal{L}(C([-r, 0] ; X), X)$ for some fixed $r>0$, we assume that $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$. Then $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed if and only if $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(A)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|A\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s M\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof Assume that $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(A), \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|m(s)\|<\infty, \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|A m(s)\|<\infty$, and $\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|s M m(s)\|<\infty$, where $m(s):=\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}$ when $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$
g(s)=M m(s), h(s)=i s M m(s)
$$

when $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $h$ is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ by assumption. Consequently,

$$
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|g(s)\|<\infty
$$

by continuity. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|m(s)\|<\infty, \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\|A m(s)\|<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by assumption. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
m^{\prime}(s)=m(s)\left(\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}-i M\right) m(s)
$$

and

$$
m^{\prime \prime}(s)=2 m(s)\left(\frac{d}{d t} F_{i s}-i M\right) m(s)\left(\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}-i M\right) m(s)+m(s) \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} F_{i s} m(s)
$$

by [4, Lemma 1]. This implies that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s m^{\prime}(s)\right\|<\infty, & \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s A m^{\prime}(s)\right\|<\infty \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s^{2} m^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|<\infty, & \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s^{2} A m^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|<\infty
\end{array}
$$

by (2.4), (2.5) and the assumption $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ on $F$. These estimations together with (2.5) imply that $m$ considered as a function with values in $\mathcal{L}(X, D(A))$ satisfies (2.2). Here we consider $D(A)$ as a Banach space equipped with its graph norm. Thus, $m$ is a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier by Theorem 2.2. In particular, $A m$ is a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier. A similar argument shows that, considering $m$ as a function with values in $\mathcal{L}(X, D(M)), m$ is also a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier. Consequently, considering $m$ as a function with values in $\mathcal{L}(X, D(A) \cap D(M))$, $m$ is also a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier. In particular, $M m$ is a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier.

Next we show that $h$ is also a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}(s)= & i M m(s)+i s M m(s)\left(\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}-i M\right) m(s) \\
h^{\prime \prime}(s)= & 2 i M m(s)\left(\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}-i M\right) m(s) \\
& +2 i s M m(s)\left(\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}-i M\right) m(s)\left(\frac{d}{d s} F_{i s}-i M\right) m(s) \\
& +i s M m(s) \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} F_{i s} m(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that $h$ satisfies (2.2) by (2.5) and the assumption $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ on $F$. Hence, $h$ is a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier by Theorem 2.2. Using the identity $\operatorname{isMm}(s)-A m(s)-$ $F_{i s} m(s)=I_{X}$, one deduces that $F_{i} \cdot m$ is a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier.

Let $f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$. Then there exist $u \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; D(A) \cap D(M))$ and $v, w \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \phi_{1}(s) u(s) d s & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_{1} m\right)(s) f(s) d s  \tag{2.6}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \phi_{2}(s) v(s) d s & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_{2} h\right)(s) f(s) d s  \tag{2.7}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \phi_{3}(s) w(s) d s & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_{3} F_{i} \cdot m\right)(s) f(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$. Using the closedness of $A$ and $M$, we deduce from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \phi_{1}(s) A u(s) d s & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_{1} A m\right)(s) f(s) d s  \tag{2.8}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \phi_{1}(s) M u(s) d s & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_{1} M m\right)(s) f(s) d s \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\phi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$. Letting $\phi_{1}=\mathrm{id} \cdot \phi_{2}$ in (2.9), where $\operatorname{id}(s):=i s$ when $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\mathrm{id} \cdot \phi_{2}\right)(s) M u(s) d s=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_{2} h\right)(s) f(s) d s
$$

for all $\phi_{2} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$. This equality together with (2.7) implies that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\mathrm{id} \cdot \phi_{2}\right)(s) M u(s) d s=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \phi_{2}(s) v(s) d s
$$

for all $\phi_{2} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$. Thus, $M u \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ and $(M u)^{\prime}=v+x$ for some $x \in X$ by [1, Lemma 6.2].

On the other hand, by (2.6) and the boundedness of $F$ on $C([-r, 0] ; X)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_{1} F_{i} \cdot m\right)(s) f(s) d s & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i t s} \phi_{1}(t) F\left(e^{i t \cdot} m(t) f(s)\right) d t d s  \tag{2.10}\\
& =F \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t s}\left[e^{i t \cdot} \phi_{1}(t)\right] m(t) d t f(s) d s \\
& =F \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(s) \mathcal{F} \phi_{1}(s-\cdot) d s \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} F u_{s} \mathcal{F} \phi_{1}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\phi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$. Here the integrals on the second and third lines are understood as integrals for function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in $C([-r, 0] ; X)$.

Using the fact that $(M u)^{\prime}=v+x$ and combining (2.7), (2.8), and (2.10), one deduces that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \phi(s)\left[(M u)^{\prime}(t)-A u(t)-F u_{t}-f(s)\right] d s=0
$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$. This implies that there exists $y \in X$ satisfying

$$
(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t)+y
$$

when $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by [1, Lemma 5.1]. The assumption $0 \in \rho_{M, F}(A)$ means that $A+F_{0}$ is a bijection from $D(A) \cap D(M)$ onto $X$, and $\left(A+F_{0}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $u-\left(A+F_{0}\right)^{-1} y$ solves $(P)$. We have shown the existence.

To show the uniqueness, we let $u \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; D(A) \cap D(M))$ be such that $M u \in$ $C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ and $(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}$ when $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking the Carleman transform $\widehat{u}$ of $u$ [2, (4.25), p. 292], one has $\widehat{u}(\lambda) \in D(A)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{A u}(\lambda)=A \widehat{u}(\lambda), \widehat{(M u)^{\prime}}(\lambda)=\lambda M \widehat{u}(\lambda)-M u(0), \\
& \widehat{F u} .(\lambda)= \begin{cases}F_{\lambda} \widehat{u}(\lambda)+F\left[e^{\lambda \cdot} \int_{0}^{0} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t\right], & R e \lambda>0, \\
F_{\lambda} \widehat{u}(\lambda)-F\left[e^{\lambda \cdot} \int_{0}^{0} e^{\lambda t} u(-t) d t\right], & R e \lambda<0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash i \mathbb{R}$. It follows that

$$
\left(\lambda M-A-F_{\lambda}\right) \widehat{u}(\lambda)= \begin{cases}M u(0)+F\left[e^{\lambda \cdot} \int_{.}^{0} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t\right], & R e \lambda>0 \\ M u(0)-F\left[e^{\lambda \cdot} \int_{.}^{0} e^{\lambda t} u(-t) d t\right], & R e \lambda<0\end{cases}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash i \mathbb{R}$. Since $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(A)$, the operator $\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ when $s \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that the Carleman spectrum $s p_{C}(u)$ of $u$ is empty. Hence, $u=0$ by [2, Theorem 4.8.2]. We have shown the uniqueness. Hence, $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed.

Conversely, assume that $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed. Let $L: C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ be the solution operator of $(P)$ that associates the solution with each $f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$, where

$$
S(\mathbb{R} ; X):=\left\{u \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; D(A) \cap D(M)): M u \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)\right\}
$$

is the solution space of $(P)$. It is easy to show that $S(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{S(\mathbb{R} ; X)}:=\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; D(A) \cap D(M))}+\|M u\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)}
$$

is a Banach space. Then $L$ is linear and bounded, which follows easily from the Closed Graph Theorem.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed, we are going to show that is $\in \rho_{M, F}(A)$. Let $x \in D(A) \cap D(M)$ be such that is $M x=A x+F_{i s} x$ and $u=e_{s} \otimes x$, where $\left(e_{s} \otimes x\right)(t)=e^{\text {ist }} x$ when $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is clear that $u \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; D(A) \cap D(M))$ and $M u=e_{s} \otimes M x \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ and $(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that $u \in S(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ solves $(P)$ when taking $f=0$. Hence $u=0$ by the uniqueness of the solution of $(P)$. Consequently $x=0$. We have shown that is $M-A-F_{i s}$ is injective.

To show that isM-A-Fis is also surjective, we let $y \in X$ and consider $f=e_{s} \otimes y$. Then $f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$. Let $u \in S(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ be the unique solution of $(P)$, i.e.,

$$
(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t)
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the function $u_{\xi}$ given by $u_{\xi}(t)=u(t+\xi)$ when $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then both functions $u_{\xi}$ and $e^{i \xi s} u$ solve the problem

$$
(M v)^{\prime}(t)=A v(t)+F v_{t}+e^{i \xi s} f(t)
$$

We deduce from the uniqueness that $u_{\xi}=e^{i \xi s} u$, that is, $u(t+\xi)=e^{i \xi s} u(t)$ for $t, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x=u(0) \in D(A) \cap D(M)$. Then $u=e_{s} \otimes x$. Since $u$ solves $(M u)^{\prime}(t)=$ $A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t)$, we have ises $\otimes M x=A e_{s} \otimes x+e_{s} \otimes y$. Letting $t=0$, we have is $M x-A x-F_{i s} x=y$. We have shown that is $M-A-F_{\text {is }}$ is surjective. Thus, is $M-A-F_{i s}$ is a bijection from $D(A) \cap D(M)$ onto $X$ and $x=\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1} y$. Therefore, $u=e_{s} \otimes\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1} y$.

When $s=0$, the function $f$ is the constant function $y$ and $u$ is the constant function $-\left(A+F_{0}\right)^{-1} y$. By the boundedness of the linear operator $L$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(A+F_{0}\right)^{-1} y\right\|=\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}} \leq\|L\|\|f\|_{C^{\alpha}}=\|L\|\|y\| .
$$

Therefore, $\left(A+F_{0}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, that is, $0 \in \rho_{M, F}(A)$. This implies that there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $i[-\epsilon, \epsilon] \subset \rho_{M, F}(A)$ as $\rho_{M, F}(A)$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}[4]$. When $|s|>\epsilon$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{\alpha}|s|^{\alpha}\left\|\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1} y\right\|=\left\|e_{s} \otimes\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1} y\right\|_{\alpha}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad=\|u\|_{\alpha} \leq\|L\|\|f\|_{C^{\alpha}}=\|L\|\left(\|f\|_{\alpha}+\|f(0)\|\right)=\|L\|\left(\gamma_{\alpha}|s|^{\alpha}\|y\|+\|y\|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, is $\in \rho_{M, F}(A)$. We have shown that $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(A)$. It follows from (2.11) and the fact $0 \in \rho_{M, F}(A)$ that

$$
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty
$$

by continuity. Using the facts $A u,(M u)^{\prime} \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$, an argument similar to the one used in (2.11) gives

$$
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|A\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s M\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty .
$$

This completes the proof.
Since the necessary and sufficient condition given in Theorem 2.3 for the problem $(P)$ to be $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed does not depend on the parameter $0<\alpha<1$, we actually have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 Let $X$ be a complex Banach space, $0<\alpha<1$ and let $A, M$ be closed linear operators on $X$ satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}, F \in \mathcal{L}(C([-r, 0] ; X), X)$ for some fixed $r>0$, we assume that $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ and the problem $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed for some $0<\alpha<1$, then it is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed for all $0<\alpha<1$.

When the underlying Banach spaces $X, Y$ are $B$-convex, the first order condition (2.3) is already sufficient for a function $m$ to be a $\dot{C}^{\alpha}$-Fourier multiplier. This together with the proof of Theorem 2.3 gives the following result, which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $(P)$ to be $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed under a weaker assumption on $F$ when the underlying Banach space is $B$-convex. We should notice that when $X$ has Fourier type $1<p \leq 2$, then $D(A)$ equipped with its graph norm $\|x\|_{D(A)}=\|x\|+\|A x\|$ also has Fourier type $1<p \leq 2$, where $D(A)$ is the domain of a closed linear operator $A$ on a Banach space $X$. Thus, when $X$ is $B$-convex, $D(A)$ equipped with its graph norm is also $B$-convex.

Corollary 2.5 Let $X$ be a B-convex complex Banach space, $0<\alpha<1$ and let $A, M$ be closed linear operators on $X$ satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}, F \in \mathcal{L}(C([-r, 0] ; X), X)$ for some fixed $r>0$, we assume that $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$. Then $(P)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed if and only if $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(A)$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|A\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s M\left(i s M-A-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3 Applications

In the last section, we give some examples to which our abstract results can be applied.
Example 3.1 Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $m$ be a non-negative bounded measurable function defined on $\Omega$. Let $X$ be the Hilbert
space $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. We consider the following first order degenerate differential equations with finite delay:

$$
\left(P_{1}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t}(m(x) u(t, x))=\Delta u(t, x)+F u(t+\cdot, x)+f(t, x),(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \\
u(t, x)=0, \quad(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $F$ is a bounded linear operator from $C\left([-r, 0] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ to $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ for some fixed $r>0$, the Laplacian $\Delta$ acts on the second variable $x \in \Omega$.

Let $M$ be the multiplication operator by $m$ on $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ with domain $D(M)$. We assume that $D(\Delta) \cap D(M) \neq\{0\}$, where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian on $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. Then it follows from [7, Section 3.7] that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M(z M-\Delta)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{C}{1+|z|} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq-\beta(1+|\operatorname{Im}(z)|)$ for some positive constant $\beta$ depending only on $m$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s M(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\Delta(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume furthermore that the function $m$ is regular enough so that the corresponding operator $M$ on $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ has a bounded inverse $M^{-1}$, then we deduce from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\right\|<\infty . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the delay operator $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ and $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(\Delta)$. We are going to show that $\left(P_{1}\right)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed for all $0<\alpha<1$. Indeed, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator is $M-\Delta-F_{i s}$ is a bijection from $D(\Delta) \cap D(M)$ onto $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and its inverse $\left(i s M-\Delta-F_{i s}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ as $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(\Delta)$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
i s M-\Delta-F_{i s}=\left[I_{X}-F_{i s}(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\right](i s M-\Delta)
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(i s M-\Delta-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}=(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\left[I_{X}-F_{i s}(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\right]^{-1}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left(i s M-\Delta-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty  \tag{3.6}\\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\Delta\left(i s M-\Delta-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \\
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s M\left(i s M-\Delta-F_{i s}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

by (3.2), (3.3), and (3.5) as

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left\|F_{i s}(i s M-\Delta)^{-1}\right\|=0
$$

Here we have used (3.4) and the uniform boundedness of the family $\left(F_{i s}\right)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$, which is easy to verify from the definition of $F_{i s}$. We deduce from (3.6) and Corollary 2.5 that $\left(P_{1}\right)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed. Here we have used the fact that every Hilbert space is $B$-convex.

Example 3.2 Let $H$ be a complex Hilbert space and let $P$ be a densely defined positive selfadjoint operator on $H$ with $P \geq \delta>0$. Let $M=P-\epsilon$ with $\epsilon<\delta$, and let $A=-\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} P^{i}$ with $a_{i} \geq 0, a_{k}>0$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$, such that

$$
\left\|M(z M-A)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{C}{1+|z|}
$$

whenever $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq-\beta(1+|\operatorname{Im}(z)|)$ for some positive constant $\beta$ depending only on $A$ and $M$ by [7, p. 73]. This implies in particular that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s M(i s M-A)^{-1}\right\|<\infty, \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|A(i s M-A)^{-1}\right\|<\infty . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that $0 \in \rho(M)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|s(i s M-A)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the delay operator $F \in \mathcal{L}(C([-r, 0] ; H), H)$ satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ and $i \mathbb{R} \subset$ $\rho_{M, F}(A)$. Then the same argument used in Example 3.1 shows that the corresponding first order degenerate differential equations with finite delay

$$
(M u)^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+F u_{t}+f(t), \quad(t \in \mathbb{R})
$$

is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed for all $0<\alpha<1$ by Corollary 2.5.
We can also give a concrete application of this abstract observation. Let $X=$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega=(0,1)$. Consider the problem
$\left(P_{2}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{d}{d t}\left(1-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) u(t, x)=-\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{4}} u(t, x)+F u(t+\cdot, x)+f(t, x),(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ u(t, x)=0, \quad(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial \Omega .\end{array}\right.$
Let $P=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}$ with domain $D(P)=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then $P$ is a positive self adjoint operator on $X\left[2\right.$, p. 153]. Let $M=P+I_{X}$ and $A=-P^{2}$. Then $0 \in \rho(M),(3.7)$, and (3.8) hold true. If we assume furthermore that the delay operator

$$
F \in \mathcal{L}\left(C\left([-r, 0] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

satisfies $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ and $i \mathbb{R} \subset \rho_{M, F}(A)$, then $\left(P_{2}\right)$ is $C^{\alpha}$-well-posed for all $0<\alpha<1$.
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