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Introduction. Peller [4,5] has proved that a Hankel operator $S$ on the Hardy space $H^{2}$ is in the trace class if and only if $S=S_{\bar{h}}$ with $h$ analytic on the open unit disc $D$ and with its second derivative belonging to the Bergman space $L_{a}^{1}$. This theorem does not include an estimate for the trace class norm $\|S\|_{1}$ of the operator in terms of the symbol function. In fact it is clear that $\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}}$ cannot give an estimate for $\left\|S_{\bar{h}}\right\|_{1}$ since the first two terms in the coefficient sequence of the Hankel operator have been removed by differentiation.

We give a slightly modified version of Peller's theorem which eliminates this difficulty and leads to a satisfactory estimate for $\|S\|_{1}$. The proof uses a modified version of the Coifman-Rochberg decomposition theorem for $L_{a}^{1}$, [3]. As a corollary, we obtain a bounded projection of the trace class onto its Hankel operators, again with a good estimate of the norm. For other bounded projections with the same domain and range, see [4].

Notation. Let $L^{p}=L^{p}(\partial D)$ with normalized Lebesgue measure, let $H^{p}$ denote the usual Hardy space of functions on $\partial D$, and let $L_{a}^{p}$ denote the Bergman space of analytic functions on $D$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{L_{a}^{p}}=\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D}|f(z)|^{p} d x d y\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

With $\phi \in L^{2}, S_{\phi}$ denotes the Hankel operator with symbol $\phi$, that is the operator with domain and range in $H^{2}$ and with matrix $\left(a_{i+j}\right)$, where the coefficient sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is given by

$$
a_{n}=\hat{\phi}(-n) \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

In particular, when $\phi \in L^{\infty}$,

$$
S_{\phi}=P J M_{\phi} \mid H^{2}
$$

where $P$ is the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}$ onto $H^{2},(J f)(\zeta)=f(\bar{\zeta})\left(f \in L^{2}, \zeta \in \partial D\right)$, and $M_{\phi}$ is multiplication by $\phi$ (see Power [8]).

Several elementary functions will be needed, and it is convenient to list them here. With $w \in D, z \in D \cup \partial D$, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{w}(z)=(1-\bar{w} z)^{-3 / 2}, \quad v_{w}(z)=\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} /(1-\bar{w} z) \\
& g_{w}(z)=\left(1-|w|^{2}\right) /(1-\bar{w} z), \quad h_{w}(z)=z^{2} g_{w}(z) \\
& b_{w}(z)=2\left(1-|w|^{2}\right) /(1-\bar{w} z)^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Theorem 1. Let $g \in H^{2}$ and let $h(z)=z^{2} g(z)(z \in D)$, where $g(z)$ is the usual analytic extension of $g$ to $D$. Then $S_{\bar{g}}$ is trace class if and only if $h^{\prime \prime} \in L_{a}^{1}$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{8}\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}} \leq\left\|S_{\bar{g}}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\pi / 8$ is best possible .
Proof. Let $S_{\bar{g}}$ belong to the trace class. Then

$$
S_{\bar{g}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}\left(u_{k} \otimes v_{k}\right)
$$

with $u_{k}, \dot{v_{k}} \in H^{2},\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2}=\left\|v_{k}\right\|_{2}=1$ for all $k$ and with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|=\left\|S_{\bar{g}}\right\|_{1}$. For $w \in D$,

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(w)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \frac{e^{3 i \theta}}{\left(e^{i \theta}-w\right)^{3}} d \theta
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{h^{\prime \prime}(w)} & \left.=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \overline{g\left(e^{i \theta}\right.}\right)\left(f_{w}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right)^{2} d \theta \\
& =2\left(\bar{g} f_{w}, \bar{f}_{w}\right) \\
& =2\left(\bar{g} f_{w}, J f_{\bar{w}}\right) \\
& =2\left(P J \bar{g} f_{w}, f_{\bar{w}}\right)=2\left(S_{\bar{g}} f_{w}, f_{\bar{w}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(S_{\bar{g}} f_{w}, f_{\bar{w}}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}\left(\left(u_{k} \otimes v_{k}\right) f_{w}, f_{\bar{w}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}\left(f_{w}, v_{k}\right)\left(u_{k}, f_{\bar{w}}\right) \\
h^{\prime \prime}(w) & =2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_{k}\left(v_{k}, f_{w}\right)\left(f_{\bar{w}}, u_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $v \in H^{2}$, let $(T v)(w)=\left(v, f_{w}\right)(w \in D)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T v)(w) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} v\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\left(1-w e^{-i \theta}\right)^{-3 / 2} d \theta \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n} w^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\beta_{n}=(-1)^{n}\binom{-3 / 2}{n} \hat{v}(n)=\frac{1}{n!} \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{2} \cdots \frac{(2 n+1)}{2} \hat{v}(n) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T v\|_{L_{a}^{2}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T v\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} r d \theta d r \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\beta_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n+1} d r=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\beta_{n}\right|^{2}}{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}-3 / 2 \\ n \\ \text { we have }\end{array}\right)^{2} /(n+1)$ increases with $n$ and, by Stirling's formula, converges to $4 / \pi$,

$$
\|T v\|_{L_{a}^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{\pi}\|v\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Since also

$$
\left(\overline{f_{\bar{w}}}, u\right)=\left(u, f_{\bar{w}}\right)=(T u)(\bar{w})
$$

the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality now gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}} & \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\left\|T v_{k}\right\|_{L_{a}^{2}}\left\|T u_{k}\right\|_{L_{a}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\left\|v_{k}\right\|_{2}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\frac{8}{\pi}\left\|S_{\bar{g}}\right\|_{1} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

This proves that $h^{\prime \prime} \in L_{a}^{1}$ and establishes the first inequality. To complete the proof we need two lemmas. First however we note some properties of the elementary functions $g_{w}$, $h_{w}, b_{w}$.

A routine calculation gives

$$
S_{\bar{g}_{w}}=v_{\bar{w}} \otimes v_{w},
$$

and, since $\left\|v_{w}\right\|_{2}=1$, this shows that $S_{\bar{g}_{w}}$ is a rank one Hankel operator and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\bar{g}_{r}}\right\|_{1}=1 \quad(w \in D) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{w}(z)=z^{2} g_{w}(z)$ and $h_{w}^{\prime \prime}=b_{w}$, inequality (2) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b_{w}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}} \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \quad(w \in D) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B$ denote the space of Bloch functions that vanish at 0 , that is functions $f$ analytic on $D$ with $f(0)=0$ and with $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\prime}(z)$ bounded on $D$, and let

$$
\|f\|_{B}=\sup \left\{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|: z \in D\right\}
$$

Given $f \in L_{a}^{1}$ and $g \in B$, let

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1-r^{2}\right) g^{\prime}\left(r e^{-i \theta}\right) f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) r d \theta d r
$$

which plainly satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle f, g\rangle| \leq\|f\|_{L_{a}^{l}}\|g\|_{B} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $B$ represents the dual space of $L_{a}^{1}$ through $\langle$,$\rangle . (See [1], where a$ slightly different space is used in place of $L_{a}^{1}$.) In Lemma 2 we state this result with estimates for norms.

Let $c_{w}(z)=2(1-w z)^{-3}(w, z \in D)$, and given $\psi \in\left(L_{a}^{1}\right)^{*}$, let

$$
g_{\psi}(z)=\int_{[0, z]} \psi\left(c_{w}\right) d w
$$

where the integration is along the line segment joining 0 to $z$. Then $g_{\psi}$ is analytic in $D$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) g_{\psi}^{\prime}(z)=\psi\left(b_{\bar{z}}\right) \quad(z \in D) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (4), (6) shows that $g_{\psi} \in B$ and $\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B} \leq \frac{8}{\pi}\|\psi\|$. Standard arguments now complete the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. The mapping $\psi \rightarrow g_{\psi}$ is a linear bijection of $\left(L_{a}^{1}\right)^{*}$ onto $B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(f)=\left\langle f, g_{\psi}\right\rangle \quad\left(f \in L_{a}^{1}, \psi \in\left(L_{a}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{8}\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B} \leq\|\psi\| \leq\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B} \quad\left(\psi \in\left(L_{a}^{1}\right)^{*}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma is a modified version of the Coifman-Rochberg decomposition theorem [3] for $L_{a}^{1}$ with estimates of norms.

Lemma 3. $L_{a}^{1}$ is the set of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} b_{w_{k}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w_{k} \in D, \lambda_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<\infty$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{8}\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}} \leq \inf \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \leq\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions (9) of $f$.
Proof. By Lemma 2 and (6),

$$
\|\psi\| \leq\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B} \leq \sup \left\{\left|\psi\left(b_{z}\right)\right|: z \in D\right\}
$$

Using also (4), the lemma now follows at once from ([2], Theorem 1).

Proof of Theorem 1 continued. Suppose that $h^{\prime \prime} \in L_{a}^{1}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. By Lemma 3, there exist $w_{k} \in D, \lambda_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{\prime}}+\varepsilon$ and (since $h_{w}^{\prime \prime}=b_{w}$ ),

$$
h^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} h_{w_{k}}^{\prime \prime} \cdot
$$

This series converges uniformly on compact subsets of $D$ since $\left|b_{w}(z)\right| \leq 2(1-|z|)^{-3}$, and so integration twice gives

$$
h=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} h_{w_{k}},
$$

where we have used the fact that both sides have zero constant term and first degree term. Thus $g=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} g_{w_{k}}, S_{\bar{\delta}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_{k} S_{\bar{\delta}_{w_{k}}}$, and

$$
\left\|S_{\bar{s}}\right\|_{1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}}+\varepsilon
$$

It remains to prove that the constant $\pi / 8$ is best possible. Let $w \in D$ and $\phi_{w}(z)=\sqrt{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} /(1-\bar{w} z)^{3 / 2}$, so that $b_{w}=\phi_{w}^{2}$. Then

$$
\left\|h_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}}=\left\|\phi_{w}\right\|_{L_{a}^{2}}^{2}=2\left(1-|w|^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}|w|^{2 n}
$$

with $\alpha_{n}=\binom{-3 / 2}{n}^{2} /(n+1)$ as before. Since the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ increases, Abel's theorem gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{w \in D}\left\|h_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}} & =\sup _{0 \leq t<1} 2(1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} t^{n} \\
& =2 \lim _{t \rightarrow 1-0}\left\{\alpha_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right) t^{n}\right\} \\
& =2\left\{\alpha_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =2 \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=8 / \pi \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|S_{\bar{\delta}_{w}}\right\|_{1}=1$, this completes the proof of the theorem.
Remarks. (1) We have proved that

$$
\left\|S_{\bar{g}}\right\|_{1} \leq C\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}} \quad\left(h^{\prime \prime} \in L_{a}^{1}\right),
$$

for some constant $C \leq 1$, but we do not know whether 1 is the best value of $C$. It is easy to prove that $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by taking $g=g_{0}(=1)$. We have $\left\|S_{\bar{g}_{0}}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|h_{o}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}}=2$.
(2) The same constants $\pi / 8$ and 1 occur also in Lemmas 2 and 3 and it is natural to ask whether they are best possible.

We have already proved in (11) that

$$
\sup _{w \in D}\left\|b_{w}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}}=8 / \pi
$$

This shows at once that the constant $\pi / 8$ is best possible in Lemma 3, for with $f=b_{w}$ we have inf $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \leq 1$. It follows that $\pi / 8$ is also best possible in Lemma 2. For suppose that $C>0$ with

$$
C\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B} \leq\|\psi\| \quad\left(\psi \in\left(L_{a}^{1}\right)^{*}\right)
$$

Given $f \in L_{a}^{1}$, there exists $\psi \in\left(L_{a}^{1}\right)^{*}$ with $\|\psi\|=1$ and $\psi(f)=\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}}$. If $f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} b_{w^{\prime} k}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\left|\psi\left(b_{w_{k}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \sup _{w \in D}\left|\psi\left(b_{w}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B} \leq C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives $C\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}} \leq \inf \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|$, and so $C \leq \pi / 8$ by the result just proved for Lemma 3 .
Next we prove that if $\|\psi\| \leq C\left\|g_{v}\right\|_{B}$ for all $\psi \in\left(L_{n}^{1}\right)^{*}$, then $C \geq e / 4$. For this we take $f(z)=z^{n-1}, g(z)=z^{n}$. Then $\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}}=2 /(n+1),\|g\|_{B}=\frac{2 n}{n+1}\left(1-\frac{2}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ so that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\|g\|_{B}=2 / e$, while $\langle f, g\rangle=1 /(n+1)$.

It now follows also that if inf $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}}$ for all $f \in L_{a}^{1}$, then $C \geq e / 4$. For given $f \in L_{a}^{1}$ with $\|f\|_{L_{a}^{1}}=1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we choose $w_{k}, \lambda_{k}$ with $f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} b_{w_{k}}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<C+\varepsilon$. Given $\psi \in\left(L_{a}^{1}\right)^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\psi(f)|=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} \psi\left(b_{w_{k}}\right)\right| \leq(C+\varepsilon)\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B} \\
\|\psi\| \leq C\left\|g_{\psi}\right\|_{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so $C \geq e / 4$ by the corresponding result for Lemma 2 .

A Hankel operator valued projection on the trace class. Let $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ denote the Banach space of trace class operators on $H^{2}, \mathscr{S} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}$ the closed subspace of Hankel operators in $\mathscr{C}_{1}$. As a corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain a bounded projection $P_{\mathscr{S}}$ on the space $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ with range $\mathscr{S} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}$, together with a satisfactory estimate of its norm. $P_{\mathscr{S}}$ is the special case $P_{1 / 2,1 / 2}$ of a family of projections $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ of this kind found by A. B. Aleksandrov, and it is known that the natural averaging projection is not bounded on $\mathscr{C}_{1}$, though it is bounded on the Schatten-von Neumann spaces with $1<p<\infty$. (See Peller [4, 6, 7]).

Given an operator $A \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ with matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ relative to the natural basis, we define $P_{\mathscr{S}} A$ to be the Hankel operator with coefficient sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{(n+2)(n+1)} \sum_{i+j=n}\binom{-3 / 2}{i}\binom{-3 / 2}{j} a_{i j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of the coefficient of $z^{n}$ in the expansions of $\left((1-z)^{-3 / 2}\right)^{2}$ and $(1-z)^{-3}$ shows that $b_{n}=c_{n}$ if $a_{i j}=c_{i+j}$, and so $P_{\mathscr{S}}$ is a projection.

Corollary 4. The projection $P_{\mathscr{S}}$ defined by (12) is a bounded projection on $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ with range $\mathscr{C}_{1} \cap \mathscr{S}$, and

$$
\left\|P_{\mathscr{S}}\right\| \leq 8 / \pi .
$$

Proof. Let $A=u \otimes v$ with $u, v \in H^{2}$. The matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ of $A$ is given by $a_{i j}=\hat{u}_{i} \hat{v}_{j}$, where $\hat{u}_{n}, \hat{v}_{n}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $u, v$. Therefore the coefficient sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ of the Hankel operator $P_{\mathscr{Y}} A$ is given by

$$
b_{n}=\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{(n+2)(n+1)} \sum_{i+j=n}\binom{-3 / 2}{i}\binom{-3 / 2}{j} \hat{u}_{i} \overline{\hat{v}}_{j}
$$

Let $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, h(z)=z^{2} g(z)$. Then
with

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+2)(n+1) b_{n} z^{n}=2 \psi_{1}(z) \psi_{2}(z)
$$

$$
\psi_{1}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\binom{-3 / 2}{k} \hat{u}_{k} z^{k}, \quad \psi_{2}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\binom{-3 / 2}{k} \hat{\hat{v}}_{k} z^{k}
$$

By Theorem 1,

$$
\left\|P_{\mathscr{S}} A\right\|_{1}=\left\|S_{\bar{g}}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}} \leq 2\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{L_{a}^{2}}\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{L_{a}^{2}} .
$$

By a calculation in the proof of Theorem $1,\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{L_{a}^{2}} \leqslant\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 2}\|u\|_{2}$, and similarly for $\left\|\psi_{2}\right\|_{L_{a}^{2}}$. Thus

$$
\left\|P_{\mathscr{P}} A\right\|_{1} \leq 8 / \pi\|u\|_{2}\|v\|_{2}=8 / \pi\|A\|_{1} .
$$

Given arbitrary $A \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$, we have $A=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} A_{k}$, with $A_{k}=u_{k} \otimes v_{k},\left\|A_{k}\right\|_{1}=1$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|=\|A\|_{1}$. The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} P_{\mathscr{P}} A_{k}$ converges in the Banach space $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ to a Hankel operator $S$ and $\|S\|_{1} \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|=\frac{8}{\pi}\|A\|_{1}$. If $\left\{b_{n}^{(k)}\right\}$ is the coefficient sequence of $P_{s p} A_{k}$, then the coefficient sequence of $S$ is $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ given by

$$
b_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} b_{n}^{(k)}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} \frac{2(-1)^{n}}{(n+2)(n+1)} \sum_{i+j=n}\binom{-3 / 2}{i}\binom{-3 / 2}{j} a_{i j}^{(k)}
$$

where $\left(a_{i j}^{(k)}\right)$ is the matrix of $A_{k}$. Since the matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ of $A$ is given by $a_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} a_{i j}^{(k)}$, it
follows that follows that

$$
b_{n}=\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{(n+2)(n+1)} \sum_{i+j=n}\binom{-3 / 2}{i}\binom{-3 / 2}{j} a_{i j}
$$

and we have proved that $S=P_{\mathscr{S}} A$.

Remark. The proof of Corollary 4 has used the inequality $\left\|S_{\bar{g}}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{\prime}}$ from Theorem 1, which we do not know to be best possible. If this can be improved to $\left\|S_{\bar{g}}\right\|_{1} \leq c\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{a}^{1}}$ for some $c<1$, we obtain the improved estimate $\left\|P_{\mathscr{Y}}\right\| \leq c 8 / \pi$ for the norm of $P_{\mathscr{G}}$.
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