Editorial Policy & Guidelines for Contributors

The European Constitutional Law Review (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of European states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

Submitting an article, case note or book review

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Manuscripts should be sent in Microsoft Word format (and not, specifically, in PDF format) to enable editing, anonymisation and comments. EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. Authors are required to state clearly, when submitting, that their contribution is not under consideration elsewhere.

Articles and case notes can be sent by email to euconst@uva.nl. Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

Book reviews can be sent to our book review editors Nik de Boer and Vestert Borger at euconst-books@uva.nl. Book reviews should not exceed 5,000 words. For more information on the EuConst book review section, see <cambridge.org/euconst> under Information – Book review info.

All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker with a background in law. Accepted contributions will be edited, linguistically and substantively, subject to authors' approval. Authors should ensure that their submissions conform to the house style. A style sheet is available on the journal website, <cambridge.org/euconst> under Information – Instructions for contributors.

Special sections

EuConst is happy to host a special section of articles stemming from a conference or research project in one of its issues each year. We are especially interested in sets of articles that form a coherent whole of excellent research and fit well into the scope of our journal. A call for proposals is issued each year and one proposal selected. Please see our journal homepage <cambridge.org/euconst> for any active call for proposals.



The European Constitutional Law Review is edited at the G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam.

EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW 2021 Volume 17 Issue 4

CONTENTS

Articles

Cecilia Rizcallah and Victor Davio— The Requirement that Tribunals be Established by Law: A Valuable Principle Safeguarding the Rule of Law and the Separation of Powers in a Context of Trust — 581

Marcin Rojszczak – National Security and Retention of Telecommunications Data in Light of Recent Case Law of the European Courts – 607

Andreas Samartzis – Nationality and Equal Political Rights: A Necessary Link? – 636

Hubert Smekal and Nino Tsereteli – Reforming to Please: A Comprehensive Explanation for Non-Exit from the European Court of Human Rights – 664

Case Notes

Nóra Chronowski and Attila Vincze – The Hungarian Constitutional Court and the Central European University Case: Justice Delayed is Justice Denied: Decision of the Hungarian Constitutional Court of 6 July 2021 and the Judgment of the ECJ of 6 October 2020, Case C-66/18 – 688

Luigi Lonardo – The Veiled Irreverence of the Italian Constitutional Court and the Contours of the Right to Silence for Natural Persons in Administrative Proceedings: Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) 2 February 2021, Case C-481/19, DB v *Consob*, ECLI:EU:C:2021:84 – 707

Elena Brodeală and Georgiana Epure – Nature versus Nurture: 'Sex' and 'Gender' before the Romanian Constitutional Court: A Critical Analysis of Decision 907/2020 on the Unconstitutionality of Banning Gender Perspectives in Education and Research – 724

Book Review Essays

Justin Lindeboom – The Transformation of the Economic and Monetary Union: Solidarity, Stability, and the Limits of Judicial Authority – 753

Hilary Hogan – Shielding the Market from the Masses: Economic Liberalism and the European Union – 774

For further information about this journal please go to the journal website at:







