# JAMES QUASI REFLEXIVE SPACE HAS THE FIXED POINT PROPERTY 


#### Abstract

M.A. Khamsi

We prove that the classical sequence James space has the fixed point property. This gives an example of Banach space with a non-unconditional basis where the Maurey-Lin's method applies.


## Introduction

Let $K$ be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space $X$. We say that $K$ has the fixed point property (f.p.p.) if every non-expansive mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ (that is $\|T(x)-T(y)\| \leqslant\|x-y\|$ for any $x, y$ in $K$ ) has a fixed point. We say that $X$ has the fixed point property (f.p.p.) if every weakly convex compact subset of $X$ has the f.p.p.

A theorem of Kirk [9] states that if $K$ has normal structure, then it has the f.p.p. It was unknown whether the normal structure is essential. Karlovitz [7] answered the problem negatively.

Alspach [1] proved that $L_{1}$ fails the f.p.p., proving that weak compactness is not sufficient to have the f.p.p. The purpose of this paper is to give a proof that the classical James space [5] has the f.p.p., using the beautiful works of Maurey [15] and Lin [12].

Let me point out that in [13], Lin proved positive results concerning the f.p.p. in Banach spaces with unconditional basis. Our paper shows that the ideas arising from Lin's paper are applicable in some Banach spaces with a "good" Schauder basis.

For more detailed history of the f.p.p., we suggest the reader consults [10] and [16] and the references listed therein.

## Main result

First recall the definition of the James space $J$. This space consists of sequences $x=\left(x_{n}\right)$ for which $\operatorname{Lim}\left(x_{n}\right)=0$, and $\|x\|_{J}<\infty$ where

$$
\|x\|_{J}=\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\left[\left(x_{p_{1}}-x_{p_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{p_{2}}-x_{p_{3}}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(x_{p_{n-1}}-x_{p_{n}}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{p_{n}}-x_{p_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

and the supremum is taken over all positive integers $n$ and all increasing sequences of positive integers $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$.

[^0]Remark. Sometimes the term $\left(x_{p_{n}}-x_{p_{1}}\right)$ is dropped, and then we obtain a new space $J_{1}$ which is isomorphic to $J$. In [8] it is proved that any weakly compact convex subset of $J_{1}$ has the normal structure and therefore $J_{1}$ has the f.p.p.

The space $J$ was used to disprove several long-standing conjectures [14,(I) p.25, 103, 132], [14,(II) p.36, 39], [2, 3, 4] and [11].

For the proof of our result, we need one technical lemma, which seems to be new.
Lemma 1.
(1) For integers $a \leqslant b$ we denote the interval of integers between $a$ and $b$ by $F$. Consider the natural projection $P_{F}$ associated with the basis of $J$. Then:

$$
\left\|I-P_{F}\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2
$$

(2) Let $u$ and $v$ be defined by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=\sum_{a}^{b} \beta_{i} e_{i} \text { and } v=\sum_{c}^{d} \alpha_{i} e_{i} \text { with } a \leqslant b<c-1 \text { and } c \leqslant d, \text { then } \\
\|u+v\| \leqslant \sqrt{2}\|u-v\|
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof: Since the proof of (1) and (2) uses the same techniques, we give only the proof of (1):

Let $x$ be in $J$ with $\|x\| \leqslant 1$, we have

$$
\left(I-P_{F}\right)(x)=x_{F}=\sum_{i<a} x_{i} e_{i}+\sum_{i>b} x_{i} e_{i}=\sum_{i} y_{i} e_{i}
$$

Let ( $p_{i}$ ) denote a strictly increasing finite sequence of integers. There are two . cases:

First case. :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{p_{i}\right\} \cap F=\emptyset \text { then: } \\
\sum_{1}^{n}\left(y_{p_{i}}-y_{p_{i+1}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{p_{n}}-y_{p_{1}}\right)^{2} \leqslant\|x\|^{2} \leqslant 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Second case. :

$$
\left\{p_{i}\right\} \cap F \neq \emptyset \text { then: }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1}^{n-1}\left(y_{p_{i}}-y_{p_{i+1}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{p_{n}}-y_{p_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i \leqslant j}\left(x_{p_{i}}-x_{p_{i+1}}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=k}^{i=n-1}\left(x_{p_{i}}-x_{p_{n+1}}\right)^{2}+x_{j}^{2}+x_{k}^{2}+\left(x_{p_{n}}-x_{p_{1}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $j \leqslant a \leqslant b \leqslant k$. But:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{i=j}\left(x_{p_{i}}-x_{p_{i+1}}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=k}^{i=n-1}\left(x_{p_{i}}-x_{p_{i+1}}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{p_{n}}-x_{p_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{i=j}\left(x_{p_{i}}-x_{p_{i+1}}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{p_{j}}-x_{p_{k}}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=k}^{i=n-1}\left(x_{p_{i}}-x_{p_{i+1}}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{p_{n}}-x_{p_{1}}\right)^{2} \leqslant\|x\|^{2} \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x_{i}^{2}+x_{k}^{2} \leqslant 1$ (because the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is in $c_{o}$ )
We deduce that:

$$
\left\|x_{f}\right\|^{2} \leqslant 1+1=2
$$

Now we state the main theorem.
Theorem. Every weakly compact convex subset of $J$ has the fixed point property.
Proof: Suppose that there exists a weakly compact, nonempty convex subset $C$ of $J$ and a non-expansive $T: C \rightarrow C$ without fixed point. By Zorn's Lemma $C$ contains a nonempty closed convex subset $K, T$-invariant and minimal with respect to the inclusion. Our hypothesis on $T$ implies that diam $K>0$, without loss of generality we can assume that diam $K=1$. It is easy to see that $K$ contains a quasifixed sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ (that is $\operatorname{Lim}\left\|x_{n}-T\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|=0$ ). Using the fact that $K$ is weakly compact, the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ has a subsequence which is weakly convergent. Since our problem is invariant by translation and by passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to 0 .

The Karlovitz' Lemma [7] states that for any $x$ in $K$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=\operatorname{diam} K=1 \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since ( $x_{n}$ ) converges weakly to 0 and satisfies ( $* *$ ) then there exists a subsequence ( $x_{n}^{\prime}$ ) and a sequence of blocks $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that:

1) $\operatorname{Lim}\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-u_{n}\right\|=0$,
2) $\operatorname{Lim}\left\|x_{n+1}^{\prime}-x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|=1$, where $u_{n}=\sum_{i=1,1 n}^{i=b_{n}} \beta_{i}^{n} e_{i}$ with $a_{n}<b_{n}=a_{n+1}$.

Let $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ denote the natural projections defined by:

$$
P_{n}\left(\sum_{i} \beta_{i} e_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=a_{n}}^{i=b_{n}} \beta_{i} e_{i} \text { and } Q_{n}\left(\sum_{i} \beta_{i} e_{i}\right)=\sum_{i>a_{n+1}} \beta_{i} e_{i}
$$

Then by the construction of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ we have:
i) $\operatorname{Lim}\left\|x \prime_{n}^{\prime}-P_{n}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\|=0 ;$
ii) $\operatorname{Lim}\left\|x_{n+2}^{\prime}-Q_{n}\left(x_{n+2}^{\prime}\right)\right\|=0$ (because $\left.Q_{n}\left(u_{n+2}\right)=u_{n+2}\right)$;
iii) $\quad \operatorname{Lim}\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\|=\operatorname{Lim}\left\|Q_{n}(x)\right\|=0$ for every $x$ in $J$.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote a non-trivial ultrafilter on $\mathbf{N}$. The ultraproduct space $\mathbf{J}$ of $J$ is the quotient space of:

$$
1_{\infty}(J)=\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) ; x_{n} \in J \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text { and }\left\|\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty}=\sup \left\|x_{n}\right\|<\infty\right\}
$$

by $\mathcal{N}=\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \in 1_{\infty}(J) \underset{\mathcal{U}}{\operatorname{Lim}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=0\right\}$. We shall not distringuish between $\left(x_{n}\right) \in$ $1_{\infty}(J)$ and the $\operatorname{coset}\left(x_{n}\right)+\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{J}$. Clearly,

$$
\left\|\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{J}}=\operatorname{Lim}_{U}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{J}
$$

It is also clear that $J$ is isometric to a subspace of $\mathbf{J}$ by the mapping $x \rightarrow(x, x, \ldots)$. Hence, we may assume that $J$ is a subspace of $J$. We will write $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ for the general elements of $\mathbf{J}$ and $x, y, z$ for the general element of $J$. In $\mathbf{J}$ we define:

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{J} ; \mathbf{y}=\left(y_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right) \text { with } y_{\boldsymbol{n}} \in K\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{T}: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K} \text { with } \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{T}\left(y_{n}\right)=\left(T\left(y_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Clearly $\mathbf{K}$ is a closed convex set with $\operatorname{diam}(K)=\operatorname{diam}(K)=1$, and $\mathbf{T}$ is a nonexpansive map on $K$. Furthermore, $T$ has fixed points in $K$. Indeed, if $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is quasi fixed sequence for $T$ in $K$, then $\operatorname{Lim}\left\|x_{n}-T\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|=0$ and hence:

$$
\left\|\mathbf{T}\left(x_{n}\right)-\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{J}}=\operatorname{Lim}_{u}\left\|x_{n}-T\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|=0
$$

This means that $\mathbf{T}\left(x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{n}\right)$, that is $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a fixed point for $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathbf{J}$. Also, if $\mathbf{T}\left(y_{n}\right)=\left(y_{n}\right)$, then some subsequence of $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is a quasi fixed sequence for $\mathbf{T}$. The Karlovitz Lemma can be stated in the space $J$ by the following:

Lemma 2. [12]: Let $\left(\mathbf{w}_{n}\right)$ be a quasi-fixed sequence for $\mathbf{T}$, then:

$$
\operatorname{Lim}\left\|\mathbf{w}_{n}-x\right\|=\operatorname{diam}(K)=1 \text { for any } x \text { in } K
$$

In other works, if $\mathbf{W}$ is any nonempty closed $\mathbf{T}$-invariant convex subset of $\mathbf{K}$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{Sup}_{w \in \mathbf{W}}\|\mathbf{w}-x\|=\operatorname{diam}(K)=1 \text { for any } x \text { in } K
$$

Define x and y by: $\mathrm{x}=\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{y}=\left(x_{n+2}^{\prime}\right)$ by ii) we have: $\mathrm{x}=\left(P_{n}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}=\left(Q_{n}\left(x_{n+2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and by 2$)$ we have: $\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|=1$.

From Lemma 1, we deduce that:

$$
\|x+y\|^{2} \leqslant 2\|x-y\|^{2}
$$

Let $\mathbf{W}=\left\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{K}, \exists x \in K\right.$ s.t. $\left.\|\mathbf{w}-x\| \leqslant 2^{-1 / 2} \& \operatorname{Sup}(\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{x}\|,\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y}\|) \leqslant 1 / 2\right\}$.
Everything was done to ensure that $\frac{x+y}{2}$ is in $W$. Also it is easy to verify that $\mathbf{W}$ is a closed $\mathbf{T}$-invariant convex subset of $\mathbf{K}$. Consider the projections $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ defined on $\mathbf{J}$ by:

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{z})=\left(P_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \text { and } \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{z})=\left(Q_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \text { where } \mathbf{z}=\left(z_{n}\right)
$$

Since the basis of $\mathbf{J}$ is bimonotone, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\mathbf{P}\| \leqslant \operatorname{Sup}\left\|\mathbf{P}_{n}\right\| \leqslant 1 \\
\|\mathbf{Q}\| \leqslant \operatorname{Sup}\left\|\mathbf{Q}_{n}\right\| \leqslant \mathbf{1} \\
\|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}\| \leqslant \operatorname{Sup}\left\|\mathbf{P}_{n}+\mathbf{Q}_{n}\right\| \leqslant 1 \\
\|\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{Q}\| \leqslant 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Invoking Lemma 1, we have:

$$
\|\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\|^{2} \leqslant 2
$$

Choose $\mathbf{w}$ in $\mathbf{W}$ and $x$ in $K$ such that $\|\mathbf{w}-x\| \leqslant 2^{-1 / 2}$.
One has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathbf{w}=(\boldsymbol{P}+\mathbf{Q})(\mathbf{w})+(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{P})(\mathbf{w})+(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{Q})(\mathbf{w}) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definitions of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$, we can directly derive the following:

$$
\mathbf{P}(x)=\mathbf{Q}(x)=0, \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x} \text { and } \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{y}
$$

Using $\left(^{*}\right)$ we deduce that $2 \mathbf{w}=(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})(\mathbf{w}-x)+(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{x})+(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{Q})(\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y})$. And then we have

$$
2\|\mathbf{w}\| \leqslant\|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}\|\|\mathbf{w}-x\|+\|\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\|\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{x}\|+\|\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{Q}\|\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y}\|
$$

And using all our previous inequalities, we obtain

$$
2\|w\| \leqslant 2^{-1 / 2}+2^{-1 / 2}+2^{-1}
$$

This implies

$$
\operatorname{Sup}_{\mathbf{w}}\|\mathbf{w}\|<1,
$$

which yields a contradiction to Lemma 2.
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