Short Communication

An isoenergetic high-protein, moderate-fat diet does not compromise strength and fatigue during resistance exercise in women
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Resistance exercise is recommended to individuals following high-protein diets in order to augment changes in body composition. However, alterations in macronutrient composition may compromise physical performance. The present study investigated the effects of an isoenergetic high-protein diet on upper and lower limb strength and fatigue during high-intensity resistance exercise. Ten recreationally active women, aged 25–40 years, followed a control diet (55, 15 and 30 % of energy from carbohydrate, protein and fat, respectively) and a high-protein diet (respective values, 30, 40 and 30) for 7 d each in a random counterbalanced design. Each participant underwent strength testing of upper limb (isometric handgrip strength and endurance) and lower limb (four sets of sixteen maximal knee flexions and extensions on an isokinetic dynamometer) before and after applying each diet. Body weight, body fat and RER were significantly reduced following the high-protein diet (P<0.05). No differences were found between diets in any of the strength performance parameters (handgrip strength, handgrip endurance, peak torque, total work and fatigue) or the responses of heart rate, systolic and diastolic arterial pressure, blood lactate and blood glucose to exercise. Women on a short-term isoenergetic high-protein, moderate-fat diet maintained muscular strength and endurance of upper and lower limbs during high-intensity resistance exercise without experiencing fatigue earlier compared with a control diet.


A considerable percentage of the population has reported using a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet for weight loss and/or maintenance(1). Although low-carbohydrate ketogenic diets have produced favourable effects on body weight, they have raised concerns on health issues, since these diets are accompanied by increased fat and protein intake. Another criticism of these dietary plans was that they did not recommend physical activity as an integral part of weight loss(1).

Recently proposed high-protein diets advocate the consumption of lean protein sources and allow unrefined carbohydrates from fruits and vegetables. Such high-protein (35–45 % of energy), low-carbohydrate (20–35 %) and moderate-fat (<30 %) diets (high-protein diets) have attracted much attention and are frequently recommended for weight loss and maintenance to individuals with obesity or diabetes(2,3). There is evidence that high-protein diets induce a number of favourable changes along with weight loss (reduction in body fat, improvement in lipidaemic and glycaemic profiles and resting blood pressure)(2,4). The addition of exercise (aerobic and/or resistance) to a high-protein diet had additive effects on body composition during weight loss(5).

Despite the popularity of high-protein diets, few studies have investigated their effects on exercise performance(6,7). These studies reported that a 7 d high-protein diet decreased endurance (aerobic) performance of recreational and trained athletes. Muscle glycogen is the major energy source during high-intensity resistance exercise, and glycogen depletion is observed earlier in fast-twitch than slow-twitch fibres(8). Thus, the lower carbohydrate content of a high-protein diet may result in reduced pre-exercise muscle glycogen levels and precipitate fatigue during resistance exercise.

Although resistance exercise is recommended to individuals on high-protein diets for additional positive effects on body composition(5), the effects of a high-protein diet on strength...
parameters have not been investigated. It is of interest to examine whether high-protein diets lead to an earlier appearance of fatigue during resistance exercise compared with conventional diets and whether individuals on high-protein diets should modify the intensity or volume of their resistance exercise programmes. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the effects of a high-protein diet on strength and fatigue parameters of the upper and lower limbs during high-intensity resistance exercise in women.

Experimental methods

Subjects
Ten healthy women (age 25–40 years) with stable body weight over the past 6 months participated in the study. All volunteers engaged in low- to medium-intensity recreational physical activities one or two times per week. The institutional review board approved the experimental protocol, which was in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, revised in 1983, and participants provided written consent. Individuals previously consuming high-protein diets were excluded.

Study design
On the basis of a 4 d dietary log (including one weekend day) completed by each participant, two isoenergetic weekly dietary plans were constructed: a control diet, as recommended by WHO(9) (55 % carbohydrate, 15 % protein and 30 % fat) and a high-protein diet (30, 40 and 30 %, respectively). Each participant followed the two diets at random order during the second week of two consecutive menstrual cycles and completed four exercise testing sessions, one at the beginning and one at the end of each diet. During each exercise session the participants underwent resistance exercise testing of upper (handgrip strength and fatigue) and lower (peak torque, work and fatigue) limbs. Body weight, fat and water, O2 uptake (VO2), RER, and systolic and diastolic arterial pressure were measured. During the 3 weeks between the experimental diets the participants followed their habitual diets, for as long as possible. Testing was terminated when a ≥10 % reduction persisted for ≥5 s. The times at 80 % maximal voluntary contraction and heart rate (Polar Electro S610; Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland) were recorded.

Lower limb strength and fatigue were assessed on a Cybex-Norm dynamometer (Lumex, Ronkonkoma, NY, USA). Peak torque of knee flexors and extensors was determined by three maximal efforts. After a 5 min rest, participants performed the fatigue protocol(10), four sets of sixteen maximal extension–flexion cycles at 120 ° per s with 60 s intervals. Peak torque and work produced in each set were recorded; total work was calculated as the sum of work produced at the four sets. Fatigue was assessed as the percentage reduction in work produced in the last set relative to the first. Heart rate and rate of perceived exertion (6–20 Borg scale) were recorded at the end of each set. Four min after the completion of exercise, blood samples for lactate and glucose were obtained.

Following exercise testing each volunteer received counseling and was supplied with a weekly specific dietary plan (high-protein or control) that included specific brands, food items, and quantities, a scale for weighing foods, and detailed instructions on measuring and recording food quantities. Since the participants followed the diets under free-living conditions, they were asked to keep a dietary log during the diet (recording every item and quantity eaten next to the prescribed item). They were contacted daily (once or twice) by phone for dietary counselling and to ensure compliance. At the end of the week they returned the dietary log and were subjected to the same testing procedures as at the beginning. The entire testing and dietary intervention was repeated 3 weeks later with the alternative diet. Food records were analysed on the basis of published data(11).

Statistics
Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 5.0 (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). One-way, two-way (diet × time of diet) and three-way (diet × time of diet × time of exercise) ANOVA with repeated measures were used. Significant interactions were followed by Tukey’s test (α = 0.05).

Results
The actual daily energy intake consumed and percentages of energy from carbohydrate, protein and fat were, respectively, 5520 (SE 192) kJ, 48·7 ( SE 0·8), 15·2 ( SE 0·1) and 36·1 (SE 0·3), 16·1 ( SE 0·4) and 29·3 ( SE 0·5) % in the control diet and 5460 ( SE 294) kJ, 30·2 ( SE 0·5), 40·1 ( SE 0·4) and 29·7 ( SE 0·3) % in the high-protein diet. Energy did not differ significantly among diets.

Data on the physiological, metabolic and strength parameters are presented in Table 1. ANOVA indicated a significant interaction of ‘diet’ and ‘time of diet’ for body weight (P =0·005), body fat (P =0·025) and RER (P =0·021). All three parameters were significantly reduced in high-protein-post compared with high-protein-pre and/or control-post (P =0·035). Body water, VO2 and systolic arterial pressure were not significantly affected by diet (P =0·182–0·240). A significant interaction of ‘diet’ and ‘time of diet’ was observed in diastolic arterial pressure (P =0·008). However,
the decrease in high-protein-post was not significant compared with high-protein-pre (P = 0.051) and control-post (P = 0.087).

Handgrip maximal strength and fatigue (time at 80% maximal voluntary contraction), peak torque, total work and fatigue produced by knee muscles were not affected by diet (P = 0.192–0.933). Work produced by flexors and extensors decreased significantly (P < 0.001) from sets 1 to 4 in all four exercise sessions by following similar patterns.

Heart rate in the four exercise sets ranged 160–174 beats per min with no dietary effect (P = 0.619). Rate of perceived exertion increased significantly from set to set (P = 0.001) reaching ‘very hard’ on the Borg scale at the end of the exercise protocol, with no dietary effect (P = 0.087).

Possible contributors to fatigue during high-intensity exercise after consuming a low-carbohydrate diet are low muscle glycogen, metabolic acidosis through ketosis and ionic disturbances across cell membranes (12). The role of these factors in exercise performance when following a high-protein diet warrants further investigation. However, on the basis of our findings, it appears that the amount of carbohydrate in the high-protein diet did not compromise muscle glycogen to the degree of causing an early onset of fatigue during resistance exercise.
Although both experimental diets were isonenergetic, the high-protein diet resulted in reductions of body weight (by 0.6 kg) and body fat (by 1-2 percentage units), although the latter should be interpreted with caution due to the limited ability of bioelectrical impedance to detect small changes. A greater reduction in body weight and body fat through a hypoenergetic high-protein diet v. control diet has been previously reported and attributed to factors such as greater energy expenditure, reduction in body water, differences in the calculation of metabolisable energy, and protein-related satiety.  

It appears that none of the factors alone explain the decrease in body weight and fat after the high-protein diet.

In accordance with previous findings, resting RER decreased in the high-protein diet, indicating a shift toward fat oxidation. The slight (NS) decrease in resting diastolic arterial pressure after the high-protein diet is similar to that reported previously.

In conclusion, women consuming an isonenergetic high-protein, moderate-fat diet, which resulted in body-weight reduction over a period of 1 week, maintained maximal strength and work capacity during resistance exercise without experiencing fatigue earlier compared with a control diet. Future studies should focus on long-term effects of high-protein diets on resistance exercise performance in healthy and pathological populations.
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