International Theory

A Journal of International Politics, Law and Philosophy



It takes all types: social psychology, trust, and the international relations paradigm in our minds

Brian C. Rathbun

A neo-Weberian approach to religion in international politics Cecelia Lynch

Liberal internationalism: from ideology to empirical theory – and back again

Beate Jahn

Symposium on Who needs Philosophy of Science, anyway?

The Editors

Milja Kurki

Patrick Thaddeus Jackson

Fred Chernoff

Raymond Mercado

James Bohman

Keven G. Ruby and Nuno P. Monteiro

CAMBRIDGE

International Theory

A JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL POLITICS, LAW AND PHILOSOPHY www.journals.cambridge.org/it

EDITORS

Duncan Snidal, The Harris School, University of Chicago Alexander Wendt, Mershon Center for International Security Studies, Ohio State University

Managing Editor

John Oates, *Ohio State University* it.mershon@osu.edu

EDITORIAL BOARD

Kenneth Abbott, Arizona State University
Antony Anghie, University of Utah
James Bohman, Saint Louis University
Chris Brown, London School of Economics
and Political Science
Jutta Brunnée, University of Toronto
David Campbell, Durham University
Hilary Charlesworth, The Australian
National University
Jack Donnelly, University of Denver
Raymond Duvall, University of Minnesota
Robyn Eckersley, University of Melbourne
Toni Erskine, Aberystwyth University
James Fearon, Stanford University
Jack Goldsmith, Harvard University

Ryan Goodman, Harvard University
Kimberley Hutchings, London School of
Economics and Political Science
Andrew Kydd, University of Wisconsin
Andrew Moravcsik, Princeton University
Iver Neumann, Norwegian Institute of
International Affairs (NUPI)
V. Spike Peterson, University of Arizona
Chris Reus-Smit, Australian National
University
Gerry Simpson, London School of Economics
Jack Snyder, Columbia University
Neil Walker, University of Edinburgh
David A. Welch, University of Waterloo
Michael Williams, University of Ottawa

STATEMENT OF AIMS

International Theory (IT) promotes theoretical scholarship about the positive, legal, and normative aspects of world politics respectively. IT is open to theory of all varieties and from all disciplines, provided it addresses problems of politics, broadly defined and pertains to the international.

IT welcomes scholarship that uses evidence from the real world to advance theoretical arguments. However, IT is intended as a forum where scholars can develop theoretical arguments in depth without an expectation of extensive empirical analysis.

IT's over-arching goal is to promote communication and engagement across theoretical and disciplinary traditions. IT puts a premium on contributors' ability to reach as broad an audience as possible, both in the questions they engage and in their accessibility to other approaches. However, IT is also open to work that remains within one scholarly tradition, although in that case authors must make clear the horizon of their arguments in relation to other theoretical approaches.

All articles will be peer-reviewed.

With thanks to the Mershon Center, Ohio State University, for financial support.

This journal issue has been printed on FSC-certified paper and cover board. FSC is an independent, non-governmental, not-for-profit organization established to promote the responsible management of the world's forests. Please see www.fsc.org for information.

INTERNATIONAL THEORY

November 2009

CONTENTS

345 It takes all types: social psychology, trust, and the international relations paradigm in our minds
BRIAN C. RATHBUN

381 A neo-Weberian approach to religion in international politics

CECELIA LYNCH

409 Liberal internationalism: from ideology to empirical theory – and back again

BEATE JAHN

Symposium on 'Who needs Philosophy of Science, anyway?'

439 Introduction

THE EDITORS

- 440 The politics of the philosophy of science Milja Kurki
- 455 A faulty solution to a False(ly characterized) problem: a comment on Monteiro and Ruby Patrick Thaddeus Jackson
- 466 **Defending foundations for International Relations**theory

FRED CHERNOFF

478 Keep muddling through?

RAYMOND MERCADO

488 What is to be done? The science question in International Relations

JAMES BOHMAN

499 The promise of foundational prudence: a response to our critics

Nuno P. Monteiro and Keven G. Ruby