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Abstract. We give a short proof of Totaro's theorem that every $E_{8}$-torsor over a field $k$ becomes trivial over a finite separable extension of $k$ of degree dividing $d\left(E_{8}\right)=2^{6} 3^{2} 5$.

## 1 Introduction

In the paper we give a short proof of the following theorem due to B. Totaro [7].
Theorem 1.1 Let $k$ be an arbitrary field. Then every $E_{8}$-torsor defined over $k$ becomes trivial over a finite separable extension of $k$ of degree dividing $d\left(E_{8}\right)=2^{6} 3^{2} 5$.

Note that in a second paper on $E_{8}$-torsors [8], Totaro showed that the bound $2^{6} 3^{2} 5$ is exact, i.e., there is an $E_{8}$-torsor that cannot be split by an extension whose degree is a proper divisor of $2^{6} 3^{2} 5$.

The original proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on an analysis of the subgroup structure of the Weyl group of type $E_{8}$, Brauer's theory of blocks, Aschbacher's theorem on the maximal subgroups of the classical groups over finite fields, and the classification of solvable primitive linear groups. Moreover, some of the computations in [7] were made with the aid of a computer. The aim of the present paper is to simplify the proof. Eventually, following the Totaro's main idea on considering Galois orbits in the corresponding root system $\Sigma\left(E_{8}\right)$, we give a short straightforward proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Generic Case and Possible Bad Cases

Let $G_{0}$ be a split group of type $E_{8}$ over $k$. Let $\xi \in Z^{1}\left(k, G_{0}\right)$, and let $G={ }^{\xi} G_{0}$ be the corresponding twisted group. Consider a maximal $k$-defined torus $T \subset G$. Let $E / k$ be a minimal finite extension splitting $T$. The extension $E / k$ is necessarily Galois, and its Galois group $\Gamma$ acts in a natural way on the root system $\Sigma=\Sigma(G, T)$ of $G$ with respect to $T$. This gives rise to a canonical embedding $\Gamma \hookrightarrow W$ where $W=W\left(E_{8}\right)$ is the corresponding Weyl group. If we choose a base of $\Sigma$, then the action of $\Gamma$ on $\Sigma$ induces an action of $\Gamma$ on the set $R=\Sigma /( \pm 1)$. This set has 120 elements and we always choose positive roots as representatives of the elements of $R$.

The case of "generic" $E_{8}$-torsors is easy.

[^0]Lemma 2.1 Assume that $\Gamma$ has an orbit on $R$ of size dividing $120=2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. Then there is a finite separable extension $L / k$ of degree dividing $d\left(E_{8}\right)$ such that $G$ splits over $L$.

Proof Let $\alpha \in R$ be such that $|\Gamma(\alpha)|$ divides 120 . Let $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ be the stabilizer of $\alpha$ in $\Gamma$, and consider the subfield $L_{1} \subset E$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\alpha)$. Taking an extension $L_{2} / L_{1}$ of degree 2 if necessary, we may assume that $\Sigma$ has a root $\alpha$ stable with respect to an (absolute) Galois group of $L_{2}$. The centralizer $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of $\alpha$ in $\Sigma$ is the subsystem of type $E_{7}$ which is stable with respect to the Galois group of $L_{2}$. If $H \subset G$ is the subgroup in $G$ of type $E_{7}$ corresponding to $\Sigma^{\prime}$, then $H$ is $L_{2}$-defined and, by a result of Tits [6], splits over a separable extension $L_{3} / L_{2}$ of degree dividing $2^{2} 3$. Clearly $L_{3}$ also splits $G$, and $\left[L_{3}: k\right]=\left[L_{3}: L_{2}\right]\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]\left[L_{1}: k\right]$ divides $\left(2^{2} 3\right) 2(120)=$ $2^{6} 3^{2} 5$, as required.

If $\Sigma$ contains a proper subroot system stable with respect to $\Gamma$, then using known results on groups of classical types and Tits results [6] on splitting fields of groups of types $G_{2}, F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}$, it is easy to conclude that $G$ splits over a finite separable extension of $k$ of degree dividing $d\left(E_{8}\right)$. Thus, we may henceforth assume without loss of generality that $\Sigma$ does not contain root subsystems stable with respect to $\Gamma$. In this case, possible "bad" orbit decompositions are given by the following:

Lemma 2.2 ([7, Lemma 4.1]) If $\Gamma$ has no orbits on $R$ of size dividing 120, then the orbit sizes of $\Gamma$ are either
(a) $64+$ (multiples of 7 summing to 56 );
(b) $50+($ multiples of 7 summing to 70);
(c) $45+$ (multiples of 25 summing to 75 );
(d) $36+$ (multiples of 7 summing to 84 ) or
(e) (multiples of 16 summing to 48$)+($ multiples of 9 summing to 72 ).

For the convenience of the reader we give a sketch of the proof due to Totaro. It is based on the following result.

## Lemma 2.3

(i) A 7-Sylow subgroup of $W$ has only one fixed point in $R$.
(ii) A 5-Sylow subgroup of $W$ has 4 orbits of size 25 and 4 orbits of size 5 in $R$.

Proof This is easy to check by direct inspection.
Proof of Lemma 2.2 Let us first assume that 7 divides $|\Gamma|$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, all orbits of $\Gamma$ in $R$ have sizes divisible by 7 except for one whose size is $\equiv 1$ modulo 7 . The size of this exceptional orbit is either 36,50 or 64 , since by our assumption there is no orbit of size dividing 120 . Thus, assuming that $|\Gamma|$ is a multiple of 7 we have cases (a), (b), and (d).

Assume next that $|\Gamma|$ is not divisible by 7 , but divisible by 25 . Since the sum of sizes of all orbits of $\Gamma$ in $R$ is 120, and sizes of orbits do not divide 120, we find, by Lemma 2.3, that all orbits of $\Gamma$ have size divisible by 25 except for one whose size is 45. Hence we have case (c).

Finally, assume that the order of $\Gamma$ is divisible by neither 7 nor 25 . Recall that $|W|=2^{14} 3^{5} 5^{2} 7$. Since there is no orbit of $\Gamma$ whose size divides 120 , all of them have sizes a multiple of 16 or 9 . The only way it can happen is case (e).

By [7, Lemma 6.1], cases (b) and (c) are impossible. By [7, Lemma 4.2], in case (a) the complementary subset to the orbit of size 64 forms a subsystem of type $D_{8}$. The remaining cases (d) and (e), which caused most of the complications in [7], will be dealt with in a simple fashion in the following two sections.

For later use, we need the following fact related to the Rost invariant for $E_{7}$. For the definition and properties of the Rost invariant $R_{G}$ of an algebraic group $G$ we refer to [4].

Proposition 2.4 Let $H_{0}$ be a split simple simply-connected algebraic group of type $E_{7}$ defined over an arbitrary field $K$, and let

$$
R_{H_{0}}: H^{1}\left(K, H_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{3}(K,(\mathbb{O} / \mathbb{Z}(2))
$$

be the Rost invariant of $H_{0}$. Let $\xi \in H^{1}\left(K, H_{0}\right)$ be such that the 3-component of $R_{H_{0}}(\xi)$ is trivial. Then there is a separable extension $L / K$ of degree dividing 4 such that $\xi$ is trivial over $L$.

Proof By [6], there is a quasi-split subgroup $H^{\prime} \subset H_{0}$ of type $E_{6}$ such that $\xi$ is in the image of $H^{1}\left(K, H^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(K, H_{0}\right)$. Taking a proper quadratic extension $E / K$ if necessary, we may assume that $H^{\prime}$ is split over $E$. One knows that for a split group $H_{E}^{\prime}$ of type $E_{6}$ the 2-component of $R_{H^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{E}\right)$, where $\xi_{E}$ is the image of $\xi$ under the restriction map $H^{1}\left(K, H_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(E, H_{0}\right)$, is a symbol. Taking again a separable quadratic extension $L / E$ killing this symbol, we may assume that the 2-component of $R_{H^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{L}\right)$ is trivial over $L$. Then $\xi_{L} \in \operatorname{Ker} R_{H^{\prime}}$. It remains to observe that $\operatorname{Ker} R_{H^{\prime}}=1$, by [3] (see also [2]).

## 3 An Orbit of Size 36

Let $R_{1} \subset R$ be an orbit of $\Gamma$ of size 36, and let $R_{2}=R \backslash R_{1}$. Take a positive root $\alpha \in R_{1}$ and consider $\Gamma_{1}=\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\alpha)$. Note that in the definition of $\Gamma_{1}, \alpha$ is viewed as an element of $R$, but not of $\Sigma$. Let $E_{1}^{\prime} \subset E$ be the subfield corresponding to $\Gamma_{1}$. Taking a proper quadratic extension $E_{1} / E_{1}^{\prime}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\alpha$ viewed as a root in $\Sigma$ is stable with respect to an (absolute) Galois group of $E_{1}$. Since $\left|R_{1}\right|=36$, the index $\left[E_{1}: k\right]$ is either $2^{2} 3^{2}$ or $2^{3} 3^{2}$.

Lemma 3.1 If the 3-component of $R_{G_{0}}([\xi])$ is trivial over $E_{1}$, then there is a separable extension $E_{2} / k$ of degree dividing $2^{5} 3^{2}$ which kills $\xi$.

Proof Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the root subsystem of $\Sigma$ consisting of roots orthogonal to $\alpha$. Consider the subgroup $H$ of $G$ corresponding to $\Sigma^{\prime}$. It has type $E_{7}$ and is defined over $E_{1}$ since $\alpha$ is. Since $H$ contains a semisimple anisotropic $E_{1}$-kernel of $G$, by a result due to R. Steinberg (cf. [2, Theorem 3.2]), there is a cocycle $\xi_{1} \in Z^{1}\left(E_{1}, H_{0}\right)$, where
$H_{0} \subset G_{0}$ is a canonical $E_{1}$-split subgroup of type $E_{7}$, such that $\xi$ is equivalent to $\xi_{1}$ over $E_{1}$. Note that $R_{G_{0}}(\xi)=R_{H_{0}}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$. Then, by Proposition 2.4, there is a separable extension $E_{2} / E_{1}$ of degree dividing 4 which kills $\xi_{1}$, and hence $\xi$. Its degree over $k$ divides $4\left(2^{3} 3^{2}\right)$, as required.

By Lemma 3.1, we may henceforth assume without loss of generality that the 3-component of $R_{G_{0}}([\xi])$ is nontrivial over $E_{1}$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\beta \in R_{2}$. Then $\left|\Gamma_{1}(\beta)\right|$ is a multiple of 21 .
Proof Since $\Gamma_{1}$ contains a 7-Sylow subgroup of $W$, the size of $\Gamma_{1}(\beta)$ is divisible by 7 by Lemma 2.3(i). Assume that $\left|\Gamma_{1}(\beta)\right|$ is not divisible by 3. Take the extension $E_{2} / E_{1}$ of degree prime to 3 corresponding to the stabilizer $\Gamma_{2}=\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_{1}}(\beta)$. By a counting argument, there are at least two roots in $R_{2}$ different from $\beta$ whose $\Gamma_{2}$ orbits have sizes not divisible by 3. Repeating the above construction 2 times, we can find a finite extension $E / E_{1}$ of degree prime to 3 with the property that an (absolute) Galois group of $E$ stabilizers $\alpha$ and at least 3 roots in $R_{2}$. Then it follows from Tits' classification [5] that the $E$-rank of $G$ is at most 5. Again, by Tits' classification, all simple groups which could appear in a semisimple $E$-anisotropic kernel of $G$ have trivial 3-components of the Rost invariant, implying therefore that $R_{G_{0}}\left(\xi_{E}\right)$ has also trivial 3-component. On the other hand, since $\left[E: E_{1}\right]$ is prime to 3 , the 3-component of $R_{G_{0}}\left(\xi_{E}\right)$ is still nontrivial - a contradiction.

Recall that we assumed that $\Sigma$ has no subroot systems stable with respect to $\Gamma$; in particular we may assume that $R_{1}$ is not a subroot system. It follows that there is $\delta \in R_{1}$ such that either $\alpha+\delta$ or $\alpha-\delta$ is a root, call it $\beta=\alpha \pm \delta$, belonging to $R_{2}$. Since the size of $\Gamma_{1}(\beta)$ is divisible by 21 , so is $\left|\Gamma_{1}(\delta)\right|$. Since $R_{1}$ consists of 36 elements, the size of $\Gamma_{1}(\delta)$, hence that of $\Gamma_{1}(\beta)$, is exactly 21.

Let $R_{1}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{1}(\delta), R_{1}^{\prime \prime}=R_{1} \backslash R_{1}^{\prime}, R_{2}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{1}(\beta), R_{2}^{\prime \prime}=R_{2} \backslash R_{2}^{\prime}$. Recall that we denote the subsystem of $\Sigma$ of type $E_{7}$ consisting of all roots in $\Sigma$ orthogonal to $\alpha$ by $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

Lemma $3.3 \pm R_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ coincides with $\Sigma^{\prime}$.
Proof Since $(\alpha, \beta)= \pm 1$ and $(\alpha, \delta)= \pm 1$, the intersection of $\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1$ with $R_{1}^{\prime}$ and $R_{2}^{\prime}$ is empty, hence

$$
\left(\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1\right)=\left(\left(\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1\right) \cap R_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup\left(\left(\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1\right) \cap R_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

The order of $\left(\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1\right) \cap R_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ being $\Gamma_{1}$-stable is divisible by 21 . Since $R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ has order 16 and $\left|\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1\right|=63$, we have $\left(\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1\right) \cap R_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$.

As a direct consequence of the above lemma we have
Corollary 3.4
(i) $(\alpha, \gamma)= \pm 1$, if $\gamma \in R_{1}$ and $\gamma \neq \alpha$.
(ii) $\alpha \pm \gamma_{1} \in R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, if $\gamma_{1} \in R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$.
(iii) $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)= \pm 1$, if $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in R_{1}, \gamma_{1} \neq \gamma_{2}$.

Proof Properties (i) and (ii) are clear since $\left(\Sigma^{\prime} / \pm 1\right) \subset R_{2}$. Property (iii) follows from (i), since $\alpha$ was an arbitrary root in $R_{1}$.

Lemma $3.5 \pm R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a subroot system of $\Sigma$.
Proof Let $\gamma \in R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. We have to show that $\gamma \pm \gamma^{\prime} \in R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $\gamma^{\prime} \in R_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ different from $\gamma$. Arguing as above, we see that there exists a subset $R_{1, \gamma}^{\prime}$ of $R_{1}$, with 21 elements, comprised of roots whose sum with $\gamma$ is in $R_{2}$. By Corollary 3.4, the remaining 14 roots in $R_{1} \backslash R_{1, \gamma}^{\prime}$ have sum with $\gamma$ in $R_{1} \backslash R_{1, \gamma}^{\prime}$. We will be finished if we show that $R_{1, \gamma}^{\prime}=R_{1}^{\prime}$.

Let $\delta \in R_{1}^{\prime}$. By Corollary 3.4(iii), either $\gamma+\delta$ or $\gamma-\delta$ is a root. Call it $\beta$. Since $(\alpha, \beta) \equiv 0$ modulo 2 , we have either $\alpha= \pm \beta$ or $\beta \in \Sigma^{\prime}=R_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. The first case is impossible, since the $\Gamma_{1}$-orbits of $\delta$ and $\gamma$ consist of 21 and at most 14 elements, respectively. Then $\beta \in R_{2}$, so that $\delta \in R_{1, \gamma}^{\prime}$.

To finish the consideration of orbits of size 36 , it remains to note that the subroot system $R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\Gamma_{1}$-stable, hence it has an automorphism of order 7 . However the minimal simple root system having an automorphism of order 7 has type $A_{6}$ and consists of 42 elements.

## 4 An Orbit of Size a Multiple of 16

We start with an explicit description of a 3-Sylow subgroup of $W$, denoted below by $\Psi$, and its action on the root system $\Sigma$. Recall that $|\Psi|=3^{5}$. Let $\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{8}\right\}$ be a fixed basis of $\Sigma$. Here and below we label roots as in [1]. Consider the subroot system of type $E_{6} \times A_{2}$ in $\Sigma$ generated by $\Sigma_{1}=\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{6}\right\rangle$ and $\Sigma_{2}=\left\langle\alpha_{8},-\alpha\right\rangle$ where $\alpha$ is the highest root of $\Sigma^{+}$. Comparing the orders of the Weyl groups of type $E_{6}, A_{2}, E_{8}$, we find that the direct product $\Psi=\Psi_{1} \times \Psi_{2}$ of 3-Sylow subgroups $\Psi_{1}$ of $W\left(E_{6}\right)$ and $\Psi_{2}$ of $W\left(A_{2}\right)$ is a 3-Sylow subgroup of $W$.

Recall that $\Psi_{2}$ has order 3. As for $\Psi_{2}$, we choose the subgroup in $W\left(A_{2}\right)$ generated by the element $e$ which takes $\alpha_{8}$ into $-\alpha$ and $-\alpha$ into $-\left(\alpha_{8}-\alpha\right)$.

The root system $\Sigma_{1}$ contains a subroot system $\Sigma_{3}$ of type $A_{2} \times A_{2} \times A_{2}$ generated by the roots $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle\alpha_{5}, \alpha_{6}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\alpha_{2},-\beta\right\rangle$, respectively, where $\beta$ is the positive root of maximal length in $\Sigma_{1}$ with respect to the basis $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{6}$. Let $w_{0}, w_{1} \in$ $W\left(E_{6}\right)$ be the elements of maximal length with respect to the bases $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{6}\right\}$ and $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{2},-\beta, \alpha_{5}\right\}$, respectively. Let $d=w_{0} w_{1}$. It is easy to see that $d$ has order 3 and takes the roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{6}, \alpha_{2},-\beta$ into $\alpha_{6}, \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{2},-\beta, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{1}$, respectively. Therefore $d$ permutes the components of $\Sigma_{3}$ and their Weyl groups.

Let $a$ be an arbitrary element of order 3 in the Weyl group of the first component of $\Sigma_{3}$. Denote $b=d a d^{-1}$ and $c=d b d^{-1}$. Clearly, $a, b, c$ commute and $d$ permutes them. Consider the subgroup $\Psi_{1}$ in $W\left(E_{6}\right)$ generated by $a, b, c, d$. Since $\Psi_{1}$ has order $3^{4}$, it is a 3-Sylow subgroup of $W\left(E_{6}\right)$.

One easily checks that there are 4 orbits of $\Psi$ on $R$ which are as follows. The $\Psi$-orbit of $\alpha_{7}$ consists of 81 elements in $\Sigma^{+} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{1}^{+} \cup \Sigma_{2}^{+}\right\}$. The $\Psi$-orbit of $\alpha_{1}$ consists
of 9 elements and coincides with $\Sigma_{3}^{+}$. The $\Psi$-orbit of $\alpha_{8}$ consists of 3 elements in $\Sigma_{2}^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{8}, \alpha, \alpha-\alpha_{8}\right\}$. Lastly, the $\Psi$-orbit of $\alpha_{4}$ consists of the remaining 27 elements in $\Sigma_{1}^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{3}^{+}$.

We also need information about the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi}(\beta)$ of a root $\beta \in R$. It is easy to see that for each root $\beta \in \Psi\left(\alpha_{7}\right)=\Sigma^{+} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{1}^{+} \cup \Sigma_{2}^{+}\right\}$one has $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi}(\beta) \subset$ $\langle a\rangle \cup\langle b\rangle \cup\langle c\rangle$. Furthermore, for each $\beta \in \Psi\left(\alpha_{4}\right), \operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi_{1}}(\beta)$ has order 3 and is generated by an element of the form $d a^{\epsilon_{1}} b^{\epsilon_{2}} c^{\epsilon_{3}}$ where $\epsilon_{i}$ is 0,1 or 2 .

Let $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be unions of orbits of $\Gamma$ whose sizes are divisible by 16 and 9 respectively. Let $\Gamma_{3} \leq \Gamma$ be a 3-Sylow subgroup. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\Gamma_{3}$ is a subgroup of $\Psi$.

Lemma $4.1 \quad\left|\Gamma_{3}\right| \leq 3^{3}$.
Proof If $\left|\Gamma_{3}\right|=3^{5}$, then $\Gamma_{3}=\Psi$ and hence $\Gamma_{3}$ has the orbit $\Gamma_{3}\left(\alpha_{7}\right)=\Psi\left(\alpha_{7}\right)$ of size 81, which is impossible.

Assume that $\left|\Gamma_{3}\right|=3^{4}=81$. Then $\Gamma_{3}$ is a normal subgroup in $\Psi$ and hence $\Psi$ acts in a natural way on $\Gamma_{3}$-orbits. Since $\Psi$ has the orbit $\Psi\left(\alpha_{7}\right)$ of size $81, \Gamma_{3}$ has at least three orbits of size 27. Since $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ contain at most one and two orbits of size 27 respectively, we find that $\Gamma_{3}$ has exactly 3 orbits of size 27 and their union is necessarily $\Sigma^{+} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{1}^{+} \cup \Sigma_{2}^{+}\right\}$. It follows that for each $\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{1}^{+} \cup \Sigma_{2}^{+}\right\}$we have $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi}(\beta) \subset \Gamma_{3}$ and this implies $\langle a, b, c\rangle \subset \Gamma_{3}$. But then the orbit $\Gamma_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)$ contains at least 27 elements giving thus the fourth orbit of size 27 - a contradiction.

We are ready to finish the proof. Since $\left|\Gamma_{3}\right| \leq 27$, the $\Gamma_{3}$-orbits of roots in $R_{2}$ have sizes divisible by 9 or 27 . Since $\left|R_{2}\right|=72$, there is at least one $\beta \in R_{2}$ such that the size of its $\Gamma_{3}$-orbit is not divisible by 27. As in $\S 3$, consider $\Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\beta)$ and let $E_{1} \subset E$ be the subfield corresponding to $\Gamma^{\prime}$. If the 3-component of $R_{G_{0}}(\xi)$ is trivial over $E_{1}$, then the same argument as in Lemma 3.1 completes the proof. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $\left|\Gamma_{3}\right|=27$, and that for each root $\beta \in R_{2}$, whose $\Gamma_{3}$-orbit has size divisible by 9 but not by 27 , the 3 -component of $R_{G_{0}}(\xi)$ is nontrivial over the corresponding field $E_{1}$.

Note that in this possible "bad" case we have that $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_{3}}(\beta)$, being a group of order 3, is a 3-Sylow subgroup of $\Gamma^{\prime}$. By arguing as in Lemma 3.2, we may therefore additionally assume that a nontrivial $x \in \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_{3}}(\beta)$ has at most 3 invariant positive roots with respect to the canonical action of $\Gamma_{3} \subset W$ on $\Sigma$. In particular, this assumption implies that for each root in $R_{2} \cap\left(\Sigma^{+} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{1}^{+} \cup \Sigma_{2}^{+}\right\}\right)$its $\Gamma_{3}$-orbit has size 27 , hence that $\beta$ with the above property is in $\Sigma_{1}^{+}$. We also have $e \notin \Gamma_{3}$, since each root in $\Sigma_{1}$ is stable with respect to $e$.

Consider the canonical morphism

$$
f: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi /\langle e\rangle \simeq \Psi_{1}=\langle a, b, c, d\rangle .
$$

Since $e \notin \Gamma_{3}$, the image $f\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ has order 27, hence it is a normal subgroup in $\Psi_{1}$. As in Lemma 4.1, we find that $\Psi_{1}$ acts on $\Gamma_{3}$-orbits of $\Gamma_{3}$ on $\Sigma_{1}^{+}$. Thus $\Sigma_{1}^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{3}^{+}$, being a unique $\Psi_{1}$-orbit of size 27 , is a disjoint union of $3 \Gamma_{3}$-orbits of size 9 . Then for each root $\beta \in \Sigma_{1}^{+} \backslash \Sigma_{3}^{+}, \operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi_{1}}(\beta)$, being a group of order 3, is contained in $\Gamma_{3}$. However it
is easy to see that all such stabilizers generate $\Psi_{2}$, whose order is $3^{4}$. This contradicts our assumption that $\left|\Gamma_{3}\right|=27$.
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