# ORTHOMODULAR LATTICES WHICH GAN BE COVERED BY FINITELY MANY BLOCKS 

## GÜNTER BRUNS AND RICHARD GREECHIE

In our paper [3] we considered four finiteness conditions for an orthomodular lattice (abbreviated: OML) $L$ and conjectured their equivalence. The only question left open in that paper was whether an OML $L$ which can be covered by finitely many blocks (maximal Boolean subalgebras) has only finitely many blocks. In this paper we give an affirmative answer to this question, in fact, we prove the slightly stronger result:

Theorem. For every natural number $n \geqq 1$ there exists a natural number $m$ such that every OML $L$ which can be covered by $n$ blocks contains at most $m$ blocks.

One of our main tools is a result proved recently by A. E. Brouwer [1]:
Theorem. If $V, U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{k}(k \geqq 1)$ are subspaces of a vector space $X, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \in X, V \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}+U_{i}\right), V \subseteq \cup_{i \neq j}\left(a_{i}+U_{j}\right)$ $(j=1,2, \ldots, k), W=V \wedge \cap_{i=1}^{k} U_{i}$ and if $W$ is finite dimensional, then $\operatorname{dim} V+1 \leqq k+\operatorname{dim} W$.
(Brouwer states his theorem only for vector spaces over $G F(2)$, but his proof works with only minor modifications for vector spaces over an arbitrary field.) We need here the following consequence of Brouwer's result. It was conjectured in [2].

Corollary. If a Boolean algebra $B$ is the irredundant (set - ) union of $k$ subalgebras $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$ and if $\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}\right|=2^{m}$ then $|B| \leqq 2^{m+k-1}$.

Our terminology and notation will be the same as in [3].

## 1. Some preliminary results.

Lemma 1. If $A$ and $B$ are finite blocks of an OML $L$ and if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ such that $x \mathrm{C} \varphi(x)$ holds for every $x \in A$ then $A=B$.

Proof. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be the atoms of $A$ and $b_{i}=\varphi\left(a_{i}\right)$. Suppose $A \nsubseteq B$. Then there exists an atom $a_{i}$ of $A$ which does not belong to $B$. Since $a_{i} \notin B$ there exist atoms $b_{j}, b_{k}$ of $B, j \neq k$ such that $a_{i}$ does not

[^0]commute with $b_{j}$ and $b_{k}$. Suppose
$$
\left(a_{i} \vee a_{j}\right) \wedge\left(b_{i} \vee b_{j}\right)=0
$$

Since $a_{i} \vee a_{j} \mathrm{C} b_{i} \vee b_{j}$ it would follow that

$$
a_{i} \leqq a_{i} \vee a_{j} \leqq\left(b_{i} \vee b_{j}\right)^{\prime} \leqq b_{j}{ }^{\prime},
$$

contrary to the assumption that $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ do not commute. We thus have

$$
0<\left(a_{i} \vee a_{j}\right) \wedge\left(b_{i} \vee b_{j}\right) .
$$

But since $a_{i} \mathrm{C} a_{j}, a_{i} \mathrm{C} b_{i}, a_{j} \mathrm{C} b_{j}$ and $b_{i} \mathrm{C} b_{j}$ it follows from [4] that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{i} \vee a_{j}\right) \wedge\left(b_{i}\right. & \left.\vee b_{j}\right) \\
& =\left(a_{i} \wedge b_{i}\right) \vee\left(a_{i} \wedge b_{j}\right) \vee\left(a_{j} \wedge b_{i}\right) \vee\left(a_{j} \wedge b_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $a_{i}, b_{i}, a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ are also atoms of $L$. Since $a_{i} \notin B$ we thus obtain $a_{i} \wedge b_{i}=a_{i} \wedge b_{j}=0$. But $a_{j} \wedge b_{j} \neq 0$ would imply $b_{j}=a_{j} \mathrm{C} a_{i}$, contrary to the assumption $a_{i} \not \subset b_{j}$. We thus obtain $a_{j}=b_{i}$. By symmetry we also obtain $a_{k}=b_{i}$ and hence $a_{j}=a_{k}$, a contradiction. We thus obtain $A \subseteq B$, from which the claim follows by symmetry.
For natural numbers $k, l \geqq 1$ define $\beta(k, l)=\left(2^{k}\right)^{\left(2^{l}\right)}$.
Lemma 2. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$ be blocks of an OML and let $l \geqq 1$ be a natural number. Then there exist at most $\beta(k, l)$ blocks $A$ of $L$ satisfying

$$
|A|=2^{l} \quad \text { and } \quad A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}
$$

Proof. Let $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{\beta(k, l)}$ be blocks satisfying the assumption made for $A$. We have to show that there exist indices $p, q$ with $p \neq q$ such that $A_{p}=A_{q}$. For every $i, 0 \leqq i \leqq \beta(k, l)$, let $\varphi_{i}: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{i}$ be an isomorphism and let $\alpha_{i}$ be a map of $A_{0}$ into the power set of $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ defined by

$$
\alpha_{i}(x)=\left\{j \mid \varphi_{i}(x) \in B_{j}\right\} .
$$

Since there are at most $\beta(k, l)$ such maps $\alpha_{i}$ there exist indices $p, q$ with $p \neq q$, such that $\alpha_{p}=\alpha_{q}$. Then $\varphi=\varphi_{q} \circ \varphi_{p}{ }^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of $A_{p}$ onto $A_{q}$. But for every $x \in A_{p}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{j \mid x \in B_{j}\right\}=\left\{j \mid \varphi_{p}\left(\varphi_{p}^{-1}(x)\right) \in B_{j}\right\} & =\alpha_{p}\left(\varphi_{p}{ }^{-1}(x)\right) \\
& =\alpha_{q}\left(\varphi_{p}{ }^{-1}(x)\right)=\left\{j \mid \varphi(x) \in B_{j}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{j \mid x \in B_{j}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in A_{p}$ it follows from this that $x \mathrm{C} \varphi(x)$ holds for all $x \in A_{p}$ and hence, by Lemma 1, that $A_{p}=A_{q}$, proving Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are OMLs which are not Boolean and if $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ can be covered by $n$ blocks then $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ can be covered by fewer than $n$ blocks.

Proof. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$ be blocks covering $L_{1} \times L_{2}$. Then $B_{i}=$ $C_{i} \times D_{i}$ where the $C_{i}$ are blocks of $L_{1}$ and the $D_{i}$ are blocks of $L_{2}$. Clearly

$$
L_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} .
$$

Suppose now that (say) $L_{1}$ can not be covered by fewer than $n$ blocks. Then there would exist an element $c \in C_{1}-\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} C_{i}$. It would follow that $\{c\} \times L_{2} \subseteq B_{1}$, hence that $L_{2} \subseteq D_{1}$, contrary to our assumption that $L_{2}$ is not Boolean, proving Lemma 3 .
2. Proof of the theorem. Let $\alpha$ be a map of the set of all natural numbers $n \geqq 1$ into itself satisfying $\alpha(1)=1$ and ( $K$ running over all non-empty subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ )
$\left(^{*}\right) \quad \sum_{K}\left((\alpha(n-1))^{2}+\sum_{l=1}^{|K|} \beta(|K|, l)\right) \leqq \alpha(n) \quad(n \geqq 2)$.
It is easy to see that such a function $\alpha$ exists. We now prove the theorem with $m=\alpha(n)$ by induction on $n$. If $n=1$ the OML $L$ is Boolean and the theorem is trivially true. Assume now that $n \geqq 2$ and that $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ is a covering of an OML $L$ by $n$ blocks. We say that a set $X$ is irredundantly covered by a family $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of sets if and only if

$$
\left.X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad X \nsubseteq \bigcup_{j \in I-\{i\}} X_{j} \quad \text { (all } i \in I\right)
$$

For every non-empty subset $K$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ let $\mathfrak{A}_{K}$ be the set of all blocks $A$ of $L$ which are irredundantly covered by $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \in K}$. Clearly $\cup_{K} \mathfrak{A}_{K}=\mathfrak{A}(L)(=$ the set of all blocks of $L)$.

Let $K$ be an arbitrary non-empty subset of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Define

$$
M=\bigcap_{i \in K} B_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad L_{1}=C(M) .
$$

Then the blocks of $L_{1}$ are exactly those blocks of $L$ which contain $M$ as a subset, in particular, the blocks $B_{i}$ with $i \in K$ are also blocks of $L_{1}$. Since $A \in \mathfrak{H}_{K}$ implies $A \subseteq \cup_{i \in K} B_{i} \subseteq C(M)$ and hence $A \in \mathscr{A}\left(L_{1}\right)$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A}_{K}$ is also the set of all blocks of $L_{1}$ which are irredundantly covered by $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \in K}$. Since

$$
M=\bigcap_{i \in K} B_{i} \supseteq C\left(L_{1}\right)=\cap \mathfrak{A}\left(L_{1}\right) \supseteq M,
$$

the center $C\left(L_{1}\right)$ of $L_{1}$ equals $M$. By Proposition 3.2 of [3], $L_{1}$ is isomorphic with a direct product $B \times L_{2}$, where $B$ is a Boolean algebra and $L_{2}$ has no non-trivial Boolean factor. Under this isomorphism the blocks of $L_{1}$ are in a one to one correspondence with the products $B \times C$, $C \in \mathfrak{A}\left(L_{2}\right)$. In particular, the blocks $B_{i}(i \in K)$ correspond to blocks $B \times C_{i}$ with $C_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}\left(L_{2}\right)$ and a block $C$ of $L_{2}$ is irredundantly covered by
the family $\left(C_{i}\right)_{i \in K}$ if and only if the block of $L_{1}$ corresponding to $B \times C$ is irredundantly covered by $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \in K}$. Thus the number of blocks in $\mathfrak{A}_{K}$ is the same as the number of blocks of $L_{2}$ which are irredundantly covered by $\left(C_{i}\right)_{i \in K}$. Furthermore, the center $C\left(L_{2}\right)$ of $L_{2}$ is $\bigcap_{i \in K} C_{i}$.

If $L_{2}$ is irreducible this implies that $\bigcap_{i \in K} C_{i}=\{0,1\}$. Thus, if $C$ is a block of $L_{2}$ which is irredundantly covered by $\left(C_{i}\right)_{i \in K}$ we have

$$
C=\cup_{i \in K}\left(C \cap C_{i}\right) \quad \text { (irredundantly) and } \quad \bigcap_{i \in K}\left(C \cap C_{i}\right)=\{0,1\}
$$

It follows from the corollary of Brouwer's theorem that $C$ has at most $2^{|K|}$ elements. Using Lemma 2 we thus obtain

$$
\left|\mathfrak{H}_{K}\right| \leqq \sum_{l=1}^{|K|} \beta(|K|, l)
$$

But if $L_{2}$ is reducible, $L_{2} \simeq L_{3} \times L_{4}$, where $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ are not Boolean. By Lemma 3 they can be covered by fewer than $n$ blocks and, by inductive hypothesis, have at most $\alpha(n-1)$ blocks. It follows that $\mathfrak{A}_{K}$ has at most $(\alpha(n-1))^{2}$ blocks. In any case, $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ has at most $(\alpha(n-1))^{2}$ $+\sum \sum_{i=1}^{K \mid} \beta(|K|, l)$ blocks, from which the claim follows by $\left(^{*}\right)$.
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