## THE DERIVED LENGTH OF A SOLUBLE SUBGROUP OF A FINITE-DIMENSIONAL DIVISION ALGEBRA

## B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London El 4NS, England e-mail: b.a.f.wehrfritz@qmul.ac.uk

(Received 17 June, 2005; accepted 6 September, 2005)

Abstract. We determine for all d and p the maximal derived length of a soluble subgroup of the multiplicative group of a division ring of finite degree d and characteristic  $p \ge 0$  to within one.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F16, 16K20.

To state our conclusions precisely we need to introduce some notation. The derived length of a soluble group G we denote by dl(G). For any positive integer n let dl(n) denote the maximal derived length of a soluble group of order n. For n > 1 set

$$cl(n) = \max\{dl(c) : c \mid n \text{ and } c \neq n\}$$

and put cl(1) = -1. If *c* divides *n* then  $dl(c) \le dl(n)$ , so  $cl(n) \le dl(n)$ , even if n = 1. Let *G* be a soluble group of order n > 1 and derived length dl(n). Set c = |G'|. Then c | n,  $c \ne n$  and

$$dl(c) \ge dl(G') = dl(G) - 1 = dl(n) - 1.$$

Hence  $cl(n) \ge dl(n) - 1$  for n > 1. Trivially this holds if n = 1. Thus the following is true.

LEMMA 1. For all positive integers n we have cl(n) = dl(n) - 1 or cl(n) = dl(n).

Throughout D will denote a central division F-algebra of finite degree d and characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and G will be a soluble subgroup of  $D^* = D \setminus \{0\}$ . Let Dl(d, p) denote the maximal derived length of a soluble subgroup G of  $D^*$  over all possible choices of D and G, but with fixed d and p. Our aim is to obtain good bounds for Dl(d, p) for all d and p. The papers [4] and [7] give good bounds for the index of some abelian normal subgroup of such a group G. These give reasonable bounds for the derived length of G, but not the best possible. In fact they are about double what is possible. Alternatively we can regard such a group G as a linear group of degree d over a maximal subfield of D with trivial unipotent radical. This leads via [3] to the bound  $Dl(d, p) < 3.4 + 5(\log_9 d)$ . The following is the main result of this paper.

THEOREM. If d is even with  $cl(d) \leq 1$ , then Dl(d, 0) = 4. In all other cases

$$1 + dl(d) \le Dl(d, p) \le 2 + cl(d).$$

The even integers d with  $cl(d) \le 1$  are precisely 2, 2q for any prime q, and 8. Also, if d is even with cl(d) = 2, then Dl(d, 0) = 4.

The anomalous cases with d even and p = 0 are caused by the existence of the quaternions. Apart from these cases, where we know the answer is 4, we have pinned Dl(d, p) to within 1; namely such a Dl(d, p) is either 1 + dl(d) or 2 + dl(d). Determination of the function dl(n) is finite soluble group theory. Both the possibilities for Dl(d, p) above occur, and occur infinitely often. It is difficult to formulate a general rule that determines when each case occurs as it seems to involve as much number theory as group theory.

There are actually three possible situations.

Type (a). 1 + dl(d) = Dl(d, p) = 2 + cl(d) < 2 + dl(d).

Type (b). 1 + dl(d) = Dl(d, p) < 2 + cl(d) = 2 + dl(d).

Type (c). 1 + dl(d) < Dl(d, p) = 2 + cl(d) = 2 + dl(d).

Each of (a), (b) and (c) in each characteristic occur infinitely often. Firstly we have the following.

PROPOSITION 1. If  $d = q^m$  is a power of a prime q, then Dl(d, p) = 1 + dl(d), except that Dl(d, 0) = 4 for q = 2 and  $1 \le m \le 6$ .

Now fix the prime q. The integer sequence  $\{dl(q^m)\}\$  is monotonically increasing to infinity, goes up by at most 1 at each step and goes up much slower than m (in fact something like  $\log_2 m$ ). Thus there is a strictly increasing sequence

$$1 = m_0 < m_1 < \cdots < m_i < \cdots$$

of positive integers such that  $dl(q^m) = dl(q^{m_i})$  for  $m_i \le m < m_{i+1}$  and  $dl(q^{m_i}) + 1 = dl(q^{m_{i+1}})$ . Thus  $cl(q^m) = dl(q^m) - 1$  if  $m = m_i$  for some *i* and  $cl(q^m) = dl(q^m)$  otherwise. In view of Proposition 1, for each *p*, this gives infinitely many examples of type (a) above and infinitely many of type (b). It also suggests that pinning down Dl(d, p) further is likely to involve much number theory. Here are some more examples that further illustrate this last point. Note also that (c) of Proposition 2, for each *p*, gives infinitely many examples of type (c) above.

**PROPOSITION 2.** 

(a) If d = 2q with q an odd prime, then cl(d) = 1 < 2 = dl(d) and Dl(d, p) = 3 = 1 + dl(d) = 2 + cl(d) if p > 0 and is 4 otherwise.

(b) If d = 3q with  $q \equiv 1 \mod 3$  and a prime, then cl(d) = 1 < 2 = dl(d) and Dl(d, p) = 3 = 1 + dl(d) = 2 + cl(d).

(c) If d = 3q with  $q \equiv 2 \mod 3$  and an odd prime not equal to p, then cl(d) = 1 = dl(d)and Dl(d, p) = 3 = 2 + cl(d) = 2 + dl(d).

Let T be a periodic soluble subgroup of  $D^*$ . The possible structures for T are given by [5] 2.1.1 if p > 0 and by [5] 2.5.9 if p = 0. In the latter case there are seven possibilities (the eighth, namely (c) of [5] 2.5.9, being insoluble). From this it is easy to derive the following result.

LEMMA 2. Let T be a periodic soluble subgroup of  $D^*$ .

(a) If p > 0, then Aut T is abelian.

(b) If T satisfies (ai), (aii), (aiii) or (bii) of [5] 2.5.9, then AutT is metabelian.

(c) If T satisfies (bi), (biii) or (biv) of [5] 2.5.9, then AutT is soluble of derived length exactly 3.

LEMMA 3. Let  $G \le D^*$  be soluble. Then  $dl(G) \le 2 + cl(d)$ , unless p = 0 and d is even, when  $dl(G) \le max\{4, 2 + cl(d)\}$ .

*Proof.* If d = 1 the result is trivial, so assume otherwise. It is easy to see that we may also assume that D = F[G], the F-subalgebra of D generated by G, as this change replaces d by some divisor of d. Let A be a maximal abelian normal subgroup of G. Then the index (G:A) is finite (just treat G as a soluble linear group over F with trivial unipotent radical acting on the F-space D). Set  $e = \dim_F F[A]$ . Then e divides d. Also  $C_{F[A]}(G) = F$ . Set  $H = C_G(A)$ . By Galois theory  $(G:H) = \dim_F F[A] = e$ . We now break the remainder of the proof of Lemma 3 into five steps.

(a) If e = d, then  $dl(G) \le 1 + dl(d) \le 2 + cl(d)$ .

For in this case F[A] is a maximal subfield of D and hence also of F[H]. But it is also central in F[H]. Thus F[H] = F[A], H is abelian and H = A. Therefore  $dl(G) \le 1 + dl(d) \le 2 + cl(d)$ , the latter by Lemma 1.

(b) Let e = 1. Then either  $dl(G) \le 2 = 2 + dl(e) \le 2 + cl(d)$ , or p = 0, d is even, G has a normal quaternion subgroup of order 8 and  $dl(G) \le 4$ .

Here  $A \le F^*$ , so A is a central maximal abelian normal subgroup of G of finite index. By a theorem of Schur T = G' is periodic. By [5] 2.1.1 and 2.5.9 there is a characteristic subgroup S of T with an ascending characteristic cyclic series such that T/S is isomorphic to  $\langle 1 \rangle$ , Sym(4), the Klein 4-group or Alt(4) and if  $T \ne S$ , then p = 0, d is even and T has a characteristic quaternion subgroup of order 8.

Clearly  $S \le A$ , so if T = S, then  $G'' = T' \le A' = \langle 1 \rangle$  and  $dl(G) \le 2$ . Suppose  $T \ne S$ . In all these remaining cases Aut*T* is soluble of derived length 3 by Lemma 2. Hence  $[T, T''] = [T, G'''] = \langle 1 \rangle$ . But then T/S cannot be Sym(4). Consequently  $G''' = T'' \le S \le A$  and so  $dl(G) \le 4$  as claimed.

From now on assume that 1 < e < d. Set  $K = G^{(dl(e))} \le H \cap G'$ , where  $G^{(n)}$  denotes the *n*-th derived subgroup of *G*. Then T = K' is periodic.

(c) If Aut *T* is metabelian, or if dl(Aut T) = 3 and  $dl(e) \ge 2$ , then

$$dl(G) \le 2 + dl(e) \le 2 + cl(d).$$

In the first case  $T = K' \leq G''$  and  $[T, G''] = \langle 1 \rangle$ . In the second case  $K \leq G'', T \leq G'''$  and  $[T, G'''] = \langle 1 \rangle$ . In both cases T is abelian, K is metabelian and

$$dl(G) \le 2 + dl(e) \le 2 + cl(d).$$

(d) Suppose dl(G) > 2 + dl(e). Then p = 0,  $dl(\operatorname{Aut} T) = 3$ , dl(e) = 1, d is even, T has a characteristic quaternion subgroup of order 8 and dl(G) = 4.

By (c) and Lemma 2 (coupled with [5] 2.5.9) we have all of (d) except for the derived length of *G*. Since dl(e) = 1 we have K = G' and T = G''. Since  $dl(\operatorname{Aut} T) = 3$  we have  $[T, G'''] = \langle 1 \rangle$ . Hence *T'* is abelian and so  $dl(G) \leq 4$ . By hypothesis dl(G) > 2 + dl(e) = 3. Therefore dl(G) = 4.

The following summarises the main conclusions above and Lemma 3 is immediate from it.

(e) Either e = d and  $dl(G) \le 1 + dl(e)$ , or e < d and  $dl(G) \le 2 + dl(e)$ , or e < d, p = 0, d is even and  $dl(G) \le 4$ .

LEMMA 4. (a) 
$$1 + dl(d) \le Dl(d, p)$$
 for all  $d$  and  $p$ .  
(b) If  $d$  is even, then  $Dl(d, 0) \ge 4$ .

*Proof.* (a) We need to construct a division ring D of degree d and characteristic p and a soluble subgroup G of  $D^*$  with dl(G) = 1 + dl(d).

Choose a finite soluble group H of order d and derived length dl(d). Take a free presentation L/R of H, where L is free of finite rank at least 2. Set G = L/R' and A = R/R'. Then G is finitely generated and A is an abelian normal subgroup of G with  $G/A \cong H$ . Also G is torsion-free (G. Higman), see [5] 1.4.7 and  $A = C_G(A)$ , see Auslander & Lyndon [1].

Clearly *G* is polycyclic. Let *P* be any field of characteristic *p*. The group algebra *PG* is an Ore domain ([5] 1.4.8 or [2]); let *D* be its division ring of quotients. Denote the centre of *D* by *F*. Clearly  $C_{F(A)}(G) = F$ , so Galois theory yields

$$\dim_F F(A) = (G : C_G(A)) = (G : A) = d.$$

Also D = F(A)[G], so  $\dim_{F(A)}D \le (G : A) = d$ . Hence  $\deg D \le d$ . But F(A) is a subfield of D of dimension d over F, so  $\deg D \ge d$ . Therefore  $\deg D = d$ . Finally

$$dl(G) = 1 + dl(H) = 1 + dl(d).$$

This is presumably well known, but see Lemma 5 below.

(b) The quaternion algebra  $(-1, -1/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}))$ , where **Q** denotes the rationals, contains a copy of the binary octahedral group  $BO_{48}$  of order 48 and derived length 4. Here d = 2, of course. We can avoid the  $\sqrt{2}$ . The infinite soluble group

$$K = \langle i, j, i+j, -(1+i+j+ij)/2 \rangle$$

has derived length 4 and lies in the multiplicative group of  $D_0 = (-1, -1/\mathbf{Q})$ . Again d = 2. In particular  $Dl(2, 0) \ge 4$ .

Suppose d = 2c for some  $c \ge 2$ . Let H be a cyclic group of order c. With this H let L, R, G and A be as in the proof of part (a). With  $D_0 = (-1, -1/\mathbf{Q})$  again, the group ring  $D_0G$  is an Ore domain ([2]); let D denote its division ring of quotients and F the centre of D. Clearly the soluble group K of derived length 4 embeds into  $D^*$ . It remains to check that D has degree d = 2c, for if so we will have  $Dl(d, 0) \ge 4$ .

Set  $C = C_{F(A)}(G)$ . By Galois theory  $\dim_C F(A) = (G : C_G(A)) = (G : A) = c$ . Also F(A) centralizes  $D_0$ , so C = F and  $R = F(A)[D_0]$  is a non-commutative division ring of dimension at most 4 over its centre. Thus its degree is 2 and R = (-1, -1/F(A)). Now R[G] has finite dimension over F, so D = R[G] and consequently  $\dim_F D \le 2^2 c^2$ . But  $F(A, i) \le R$  is a field of dimension 2c over F. Therefore  $\dim_F D \ge (2c)^2$ . Thus degD = 2c = d and the proof of the lemma is complete.

LEMMA 5. Let L/R be a free presentation of the soluble group H, where L is free of rank at least 2. Then dl(L/R') = 1 + dl(H).

*Proof.* Let n = dl(H). If n = 0 the claim is obvious, so assume  $n \ge 1$ . Clearly  $dl(L/R') \le 1 + n$ . Suppose dl(L/R') < 1 + n and set  $K = L^{(n-1)}$ . Then  $K' \le R'$ , so by

## 122

Theorem 3 of [1] we have  $K \le R$ . But then dl(H) < n, a contradiction. Consequently dl(L/R') = 1 + n.

*The Proof of the Theorem.* Putting together Lemmas 3 and 4 we have the following. If *d* is even, then

$$\max\{4, 1 + dl(d)\} \le Dl(d, 0) \le \max\{4, 2 + cl(d)\}.$$

In all other cases

$$1 + dl(d) \le Dl(d, p) \le 2 + cl(d).$$

Suppose *d* is even. If  $cl(d) \ge 2$ , then  $1 + dl(d) \le Dl(d, 0) \le 2 + cl(d)$ . If  $cl(d) \le 2$ , then Dl(d, 0) = 4. It remains to determine those even *d* with cl(d) < 2.

If q is an odd prime there is a non-abelian, metabelian group of order 2q. There is also such a group of order 8. Hence if either 2q or 8 is a proper divisor of d, then  $cl(d) \ge 2$ . Suppose d is even with cl(d) < 2. Then d = 2, 4, 8 or 2q for q some odd prime. Moreover in all these cases d is even with cl(d) < 2. The proof of the Theorem is complete.

The Proof of Proposition 1. The existence of the bound is immediate from Theorem 2 of [6], except where p = 0, q = 2, d > 1 and G has normal subgroups  $A \le H = C_G(A)$  with (G : H) dividing d/2 and  $H/A \cong$  Sym(4) or Alt(4). In this case set  $C = C_G(H/A)$ . Since Aut $(H/A) \cong$  Sym(4), so  $dl(G/C) \le 3$ . Clearly  $dl(G/H) \le dl(d/2)$  and  $H \cap C = A$ . Therefore

 $dl(G/A) \le \max\{3, dl(d/2)\}$  and  $dl(G) \le \max\{4, 1 + dl(d/2)\}.$ 

The only powers d of 2 with  $dl(d) \le 2$ , are 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, and 64. The proposition follows.

The Proof of Proposition 2.

(a) Clearly cl(2q) = 1. The dihedral group of order 2q shows that  $dl(2q) \ge 2$ . Thus dl(2q) = 2 and Dl(2q, p) = 3 if p > 0 and 4 otherwise by the Theorem.

(b) Clearly cl(2q) = 1 and  $dl(3q) \le 2$ . Since 3 divides (q - 1), there is a soluble group of order 3q and derived length 2. Thus dl(3q) = 2 and Dl(3q, p) = 3.

(c) Again cl(3q) = 1. Let G be soluble of order 3q. Then G has a normal subgroup N of order 3 or q. But 3 does not divide (q - 1) and q does not divide (3 - 1). Therefore N is central, G is abelian and dl(3q) = 1. The group G of Example 10(i) of [7] has derived length 3. By the Theorem  $Dl(3q, p) \le 2 + 1$ . Thus Dl(3q, p) = 3.

## REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and R. C. Lyndon, Commutator subgroups of free groups, *Amer. J. Math.* 77 (1955), 929–931.

2. P. H. Kropholler, P. A. Linnell and J. A. Moody, Applications of a new K-theoretic theorem to soluble group rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 675–684.

3. M. F. Newman, The soluble length of a soluble linear group, *Math. Z.* 126 (1972), 59–70.

4. M. Shirvani, On soluble-by-finite subgroups of division algebras, J. Algebra, to appear.

**5.** M. Shirvani and B. A. F. Wehrfritz, *Skew linear groups* (Cambridge University Press, 1986).

6. B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Some matrix groups over finite-dimensional division algebras, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* 33 (1990), 97–111.

7. B. A. F. Wehrfritz, On a recent theorem of M. Shirvani on subgroups of division algebras, J. Algebra, to appear.