# Community study of knowledge of and attitude

# to mental illness in Nigeria

OYE GUREJE, VICTOR O. LASEBIKAN, OLUSOLA EPHRAIM-OLUWANUGA, BENJAMIN O. OLLEY and LOLA KOLA

**Background** The improvement of community tolerance of people with mental illness is important for their integration. Little is known about the knowledge of and attitude to mental illness in sub-Saharan Africa.

**Aims** To determine the knowledge and attitudes of a representative community sample in Nigeria.

**Method** A multistage, clustered sample of household respondents was studied in three states in the Yoruba-speaking parts of Nigeria (representing 22% of the national population). A total of 2040 individuals participated (response rate 74.2%).

**Results** Poor knowledge of causation was common. Negative views of mental illness were widespread, with as many as 96.5% (s.d.=0.5) believing that people with mental illness are dangerous because of their violent behaviour. Most would not tolerate even basic social contacts with a mentally ill person: 82.7% (s.e.=I.3) would be afraid to have a conversation with a mentally ill person and only I6.9% (s.e.=0.9) would consider marrying one. Socio-demographic predictors of both poor knowledge and intolerant attitude were generally very few.

**Conclusions** There is widespread stigmatisation of mental illness in the Nigerian community. Negative attitudes to mental illness may be fuelled by notions of causation that suggest that affected people are in some way responsible for their illness, and by fear.

**Declaration of interest** None.

Mental illness often constitutes a double jeopardy for those affected because of stigmatisation by members of the community (Corrigan & Watson, 2002). Studies conducted in North America and western Europe suggest that stigma is a major problem in the community (Taylor & Dear, 1980; Brockington et al, 1993; Huxley, 1993; Jorm et al, 1999; Crisp et al, 2000). Negative views such as those implying that people with mental illness are irresponsible and therefore incapable of making their own decisions, or are dangerous and are to be feared, are widespread. Since negative beliefs often lead to discrimination, there is little wonder that studies have also shown that people with mental health problems living in the community experience rampant harassment (Kelly & McKenna, 1997; Berzins et al, 2003). Some studies conducted in Africa have suggested that the experience of stigma by people with mental illness may be common (Awaritefe & Ebie, 1975; Shibre et al, 2001), but there is no information on how widespread negative attitudes to mental illness may be in the community. As noted by Corrigan & Watson (2002), it is unclear whether the lack of empirical data partly explains the speculation that stigmatisation of mental illness may be less common among Africans (Fabrega, 1991).

# METHOD

#### Sample characteristics

The survey was conducted in three Yorubaspeaking states in south-western Nigeria (Ogun, Oyo and Osun) between March and August 2002. The survey on stigma was a segment of a much larger survey of mental disorders in the community (the Nigeria Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing) and was administered by trained lay interviewers from the Department of Psychiatry, University of Ibadan. Both studies were approved by the University of Ibadan and University College Hospital joint ethics committee.

The study was based on a stratified, multistage clustered probability sample of household residents aged 18 years or older in the selected states. First, stratification was based on states (three categories) and size of the primary stage units, which were the local government areas (two categories). The second stage was to select two primary stage units per stratum, with probability of selection proportional to size. The third stage was the random selection of four enumeration areas from each local government area; these are geographical units demarcated by the National Population Commission, each consisting of about 60-80 household units. We enumerated the households in each selected area and randomly selected the number of households required to meet our desired sample size. One resident aged 18 years or over was approached for participation in each selected household. We used the Kish method to identify the potential respondent (Kish, 1995) and no replacement was made for refusals. A total of 2040 persons participated in the survey on stigma, representing a response rate of 74.2%. The results presented here have been weighed to reflect the within-household probability of selection and to incorporate a post-stratification adjustment such that the sample is representative of the age by gender distribution of the projected population of Nigeria in 2000

Income was categorised into four groups: 'low' (defined as less than or equal to median or the pre-tax income per household), 'low average' (greater than 'low' up to twice the median value), 'high average' (greater than 'low average' up to three times median value) and 'high' (greater than 'high average'). Residence was classified as rural (fewer than 12 000 households), semi-urban (12 000–20 000 households per local government area) and urban (more than 20 000 households).

#### Assessment

A modified version of the questionnaire developed for the World Psychiatric Association Programme to Reduce Stigma and Discrimination Because of Schizophrenia was used (Stuart & Arborleda-Florez, 2001; World Psychiatric Association, 2002). The questionnaire is focused mainly on knowledge of and attitude to schizophrenia. It was modified largely to take Table IDemographic profile of the sample(unweighted n=2040)

	Unweighted	Weighted
	proportion	proportion
	(%)	(%)
Gender		
Male	44.4	47.3
Female	55.6	52.7
Age, years		
18–25	22.5	31.6
26–40	39.8	39.0
41–64	26.2	23.2
≥65	11.5	6.2
Marital status		
Currently	64.5	63.6
married		
Not married	35.5	36.4
Education, years		
0	23.4	16.9
I6	24.0	24.8
7–12	38.1	42.0
≥I3	14.4	16.4
Income		
Low	49.4	49.8
Low average	19.0	18.2
High average	23.3	23.9
High	8.4	8.1
Residence		
Urban	44.9	44.6
Semi-urban	25.4	25.7
Rural	29.7	29.7

account of the focus of this survey, which was on mental illness rather than schizophrenia. Thus, in addition to substituting the term 'mental illness' for 'schizophrenia', specific items relating to the symptoms of schizophrenia were deleted. The questionnaire was translated into Yoruba by a panel of four bilingual mental health research workers using the iterative back-translation method. In the translation, particular care was made to convey a broad idea of 'mental illness' (*arun opolo*), differentiating it from psychosis (*iwin* or *were*) and mental retardation (*ode* or *odoyo*).

### Analysis

Simple cross-tabulations were used to calculate proportions and their distributions in different groups. To take account of the sampling procedure, with clustering and weighting of cases, standard errors of proportions were estimated with the jackknife method implemented in the STATA software (StataCorp, 2001). Statistical significance was evaluated at the 0.5 level and was based on two-sided design-based tests.

# RESULTS

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic attributes of the sample. In keeping with the demographic and economic profile of Nigeria, the sample was predominantly young and most came from low or low average income households. The population of Nigeria is predominantly rural, but the south-western area where the study was conducted is more urban than the rest of the country and this is reflected in the table.

Most respondents expressed the view that substance misuse (alcohol or drugs, but mainly the latter) could result in mental illness (Table 2). The next most commonly endorsed cause of mental illness was a belief that it could be due to possession by evil spirits. Following this, trauma, stress and heredity were about equally ascribed as possible causes. Only about one in ten respondents believed that biological factors or brain disease could be the cause of mental illness. Confirming a stronger belief in supernatural causation, over 9% thought mental illness could result from punishment from God, whereas only about 6% thought poverty could cause mental illness.

The views about mental illness were generally negative (Table 3). People with mental illness were believed to be mentally retarded, to be a public nuisance and to be dangerous. Less than half of the respondents believed that such people could be treated outside hospital and only about one-quarter thought they could work in regular jobs. Poor knowledge about mental illness seemed to pervade all segments of the community: no consistent association was observed between the predominantly negative views of mental illness on the one hand and gender, age, education, income or residence on the other hand.

Table 4 shows that most respondents were unwilling to have social interactions with someone with mental illness. Most would be afraid to have a conversation and would be disturbed to work with a person with mental illness. Only a few would be willing to maintain a friendship and fewer still would consider marrying such a person. There were also inconsistent associations of socio-demographic attributes with negative attitudes to mental illness. As shown in Table 4, apart from evidence of a somewhat more liberal attitude of men and those residing in urban areas, negative attitude to mental illness seems to be highly prevalent across many different groups in the community.

#### DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first largescale study of knowledge of and attitudes towards mental illness in sub-Saharan Africa. Previous studies have either been on a much smaller scale (Awaritefe & Ebie, 1975; Odejide & Olatawura, 1979), or have examined the perception of stigma by relatives of people with mental illness (Shibre *et al*, 2001) or the views of mental illness among special groups (Binitie, 1970). Large-scale community studies have been lacking. Such studies are of obvious importance for any policy aimed at promoting better knowledge and tolerance of mental illness by the public.

#### Caveats in interpreting the findings

In interpreting the results of the survey, cognisance should be taken of its limitations. Even though the sample was selected to be representative of the adult population of the Yoruba, who make up about 22% of the Nigerian population, the views expressed may not necessarily reflect the views of the other ethnic groups in the country. Nigeria is a culturally diverse

**Table 2**Ten most commonly reported causes ofmental illness (weighted n=1661)

Cause		ortion ng cause
	%	(s.e.)
Drug or alcohol misuse	80.8	(1.1)
Possession by evil spirits	30.2	(1.0)
Traumatic event or shock	29.9	(1.0)
Stress	2 <b>9</b> .2	(0.9)
Genetic inheritance	26.5	(0.9)
Physical abuse	14.7	(0.72)
Biological factors (other than	11.1	(0.7)
brain disease or genetic		
inheritance)		
God's punishment	9.3	(0.6)
Brain disease	9.2	(0.5)
Poverty	6.2	(0.5)

	d income	ΗA
	Household income	LA HA
.e.)		_
Proportion endorsing item, % (s.e.)		<b>8</b> ∥
ndorsing i	on years	i i–6 7–12 ≽l3
oortion ei	Education years	9 <u>–</u>
Pro		0
		≥65
	ıp, years	41-64
	Age group, years	26-40
		. 18–25 26–40 41–64 ≽65
	Gender	Male Female
	Ge	Male
Overall		
ltem		

		Ger	Gender		Age group, years	p, years			Education years	n years		-	Household income	income <sup>1</sup>			Urbanicity	
		Male	Female	<b>I8-25</b>	2640 4164	4164	≥65	0	<b>9</b> 	7–12	≫ <b>I3</b>	_	Ł	ЧA	т	Urban	Semi-	Rura
																	urban	
Can be treated	45.0 (1.4)	42.6	47.2	42.6	45.9	45.7	49.2		43.1	45.5	42.4	44.3	49.3	42.6	<b>4</b> 8.I	48.4	43.7	41.1
outside hospital		(2.1)	(1.8)	(2.3)	(2.2) (3.0)	(3.0)	(5.5)	(3.4)	(3.5) (1.7)	(1.7)	(3.2)	(1.7)	(2.3) (3.4)	(3.4)	(4.6)	(2.7)	(2.7) (2.8) (	(2.4)
		χ²=4.34	χ²=4.34, P=0.10		χ <sup>2</sup> =2.6II,	P=0.60			χ <sup>2</sup> =3.87, I	o=0.49			χ <sup>2</sup> =4.38,	P=0.32		$\chi^{2}=$	7.93, P=0.1	6
Tend to be mentally	91.5 (0.8)	90.5	92.4	93.0	90.6	91.5	89.5		90.2	92.I	90.4	92.4	91.1	90.5	9.16	90.8	92.3	91.7
retarded		(1.2)	(1.1)	(1.8)	(0.1)	(1.3)	(3.4)	(1.7)	(1.5)	(0.1)	(2.1)	(1.2)	(1.8)	(1.8)	(2.2)	(0.9)	(1.8)	(9.1)
		χ <sup>2</sup> =2.37	χ <sup>2</sup> =2.37, P=0.29		χ <sup>2</sup> =3.26,	P=0.54			χ <sup>2</sup> =2.50, I	°=0.58			χ <sup>2</sup> = <b>Ι.52</b> ,	P=0.77		$\chi^2 =$	I.04, P=0.7	6
Are a public nuisance	95.2 (0.6)	95.5	95.0	92.7	95.8	97.5	96.4		93.0	96.7	<b>9</b> 3.I		93.9	97.3	92.6	94.1	96.1	96.2
		(0.8)	(0.9)	(1.2)	(1.1)	(0.8)	(1.1)	(1.1)	(1.5) (0.7)	(0.7)	(2.0)	(0.1)	(1.4) (1.2)	(1.2)	(3.0)	(1.2)	(1.4)	(0.7)
		χ²=0.36	χ²=0.36, P=0.62		(²=14.45,	P < 0.03			r <sup>2</sup> =14.29,	P < 0.05			χ <sup>2</sup> =7.97,	P=0.28		$\chi^{2=}$	4.46, P=0.3	0
Can work in regular jobs	25.5 (0.8)	26.7	24.3	22.6	28.4	24.7	24.5		20.3	27.2	27.0		17.5	26.6	32.8	25.0	22.1	29.3
		(1.5)	(1.5)	(2.8)	(1.6)	(1.9)	(3.3)	(2.8)	(1.6)	(1.9)	(3.3)	(1.7)	(2.4)	(1.3)	(4.3)	(1.4)	(2.3)	(1.7)
		χ²=I.47	χ <sup>2</sup> =Ι.47, P=0.35		$\chi^2 = 9.52,$	P=0.12			χ <sup>2</sup> =9.52,	P=0.12			$\chi^2 = 17.21$ , $P < 0.01$	P<0.01		$\chi^{2=}$	7.50, P=0.0	6
Are dangerous because of	96.5 (0.5)	95.6	97.2	96.1	96.2	97.3	96.8	97.8	9.96	95.7	96.9	97.2	96.7	96.0	93.I	95.9	97.3	96.7
violent behaviour		(0.9)	(9.0)	(1.2)	(0.7)	(0.7) (0.7)	(1.1)	(0.9)	(0.1) (0.1)	(0.1)	(1.2)	(0.8)	(0.1)	(1.3)	(2.0)	(0.9)	(1.1)	(0.7)
		χ²=3.55	χ²=3.55, P=0.14		χ <sup>2</sup> =Ι.44, P=0.62	P=0.62			χ <sup>2</sup> =3.23, P=0.55	°=0.55			χ <sup>2</sup> =7.10, P=0.20	P=0.20		χ²==	χ <sup>2</sup> = <b>Ι.98,</b> P=0.54	4
I. Categorised as low (L), low average (LA), high average (HA) and high (H).	rage (LA), high av	erage (HA) a	nd high (H).															

438

 Table 3
 Views of respondents about people with mental illness

tion 82.7 (I.3) 78.1 (I.1)	Gender Female															
82.7 (I.3) 78.1 (I.1)			Age grou	Age group, years			Education years	n years		-	Household income	income <sup>r</sup>			Urbanicity	
82.7 (I.3) 78.1 (I.1)	7 70	I8-25	26-40	41-64	≥65	0	9I	7-12	<b>⊗</b> I3	_	۲A	ЧA	т	Urban	Semi-	Rural
82.7 (1.3) 78.1 (1.1)	8 4														urban	
78.1 (1.1)	1.00	84.5	82.4	81.0	80.6	86.7	81.7	85.0	73.7	84.2	81.8	82.3	75.0	78.1	87.0	85.7
78.1 (1.1)	(1.0)	(2.5)	(1.6) (2.1)	(2.1)	(3.7)	(2.1)	(2.1)	(1.3)	(4.1)	(1.9)	(2.7)	(1.8)	(3.3)	(2.3)	(2.4)	(1.4)
: 78.1 (1.1)	χ <sup>2</sup> =21.64, P < 0.001		χ <sup>2</sup> =2.66, i	P=0.59		х	$\chi^2$ =25.23, P < 0.005	P < 0.005			χ <sup>2</sup> =8.26, P=0.12	P=0.12		$\chi^{2=2}$	$\chi^2 = 23.60, P < 0.01$	10
	79.9	<b>8</b> 5.I	76.4	71.8	77.0	77.8	78.4	79.8	73.7	80.7	80.8	76.2	65.I	73.0	82.6	81.9
	(1.4)	(2.3)	(1.8)	(2.0)	(4.7)	(2.8)	(2.4) (1.1)	(1.1)	(2.9)	(1.6)	(2.0)	(2.2)	(4.6)	(2.0)	(1.8)	(I.4)
χ <sup>2</sup> =4,	χ <sup>2</sup> =4.03, P=0.05		$\chi^2 = 30.54, P < 0.0$	P < 0.0			χ <sup>2</sup> =5.14,	P=0.28			$\chi^2 = 22.31$ , $P < 0.0$	P < 0.0		$\chi^{2}=2$	$\chi^2$ =24.64, P < 0.00 I	10
Could maintain a friendship 16.9 (0.9) 18.4	<b>I5.5</b>	I5.5	15.7	20.8	16.7	13.7	18.4	I5.6	21.3	15.1	17.2	<b>18.6</b>	24.3	16.6	15.4	<b>I8.5</b>
(E:I)	(1.2)	(2.1)	(1.3)	(6.1)	(3.1)	(2.1)	(2.1)	(1.7)	(2.2)	(1.7)	(2.3)	(1.7)	(3.6)	(1.5)	(2.0)	(1.1)
χ <sup>2=3</sup>	χ²=3.16, P=0.10		χ <sup>2</sup> =6.65, P=0.18	P=0.18			χ <sup>2</sup> =8.69, P=0.14	P=0.14			χ <sup>2</sup> =9.01, P=0.11	P=0.11		$\chi^{2=}$	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.91, P=0.46	
Unwilling to share a room 81.2 (1.1) 81.6	80.9	85.0	78.1	80.I	85.6	80.2	81.3	8I.8	80.4	83.0	80.I	79.9	80.I	74.9	86.2	86.4
(1:7)	(1.9)	(1.7)	(2.0)	(1.5)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(1.6)	(1.2)	(2.5)	(1.6)	(2.2)	(2.4)	(4.6)	(1.6)	(2.1)	(1.7)
χ <sup>2=0</sup>	χ²=0.16, P=0.81		$\chi^2 = 12.97$ , $P < 0.05$	P < 0.05			χ <sup>2</sup> =0.56, P=0.87	P=0.87			χ <sup>2</sup> =3.05, P=0.57	P=0.57		$\chi^{2=4}$	$\chi^2 = 42.55$ , $P < 0.00$ I	10
Ashamed if people knew 82.9 (0.7) 81.5	84.1	86.0	83.3	78.3	80.3	80.4	8.18	84.6	82.9	83.4	85.3	82.4	76.4	80.2	83.9	85.9
someone in your family has (1.5)	(1.0)	(1.7)	([.])	(I.4)	(3.2)	(2.2)	(2.1)	(1.2)	(2.5)	(1.5)	(I.4)	(1.8)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(2.0)	(I.4)
been diagnosed with mental $\chi^{2=2.}$	χ²=2.46, P=0.20		χ <sup>2</sup> =11.72, P=0.0 14	P=0.0 I4			χ <sup>2</sup> =3.64, P=0.45	P=0.45			χ <sup>2</sup> =6.38, P=0.2	P=0.21		$\chi^{2=}$	$\chi^2 = 8.60, P < 0.05$	5
illness																
Could marry someone with 3.4 (0.6) 3.8	3.0	2.4	3.9	3.8	2.5	2.0	4.5	3.5	2.5	3.0	4.5	3.2	4.2	3.8	2.4	3.5
mental illness (0.7)	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.7)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.1)	(1.3)	(0.7)	([.3)	(0.8)	(1.7)	(0.6)	(0.6)	(1.3)
χ²=0	χ²=0.99, P=0.17		χ <sup>2</sup> =2.87, P=0.34	P=0.34			χ <sup>2</sup> =4.63, P=0.3	P=0.31		4		χ²=2.Ιέ	χ²=2.16, <i>P</i> =0.5I		χ²=I.83, P=0.46	°=0.46

 Table 4
 Attitude of respondents towards a person with mental illness

https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.186.5.436 Published online by Cambridge University Press

country and its various parts are dissimilar in their access to mental health services (Ayonrinde et al, 2004), both of which factors may affect views about and attitude to mental illness. Nevertheless, a few studies conducted among other ethnic groups in Nigeria, albeit on a much smaller scale, suggest that the findings here with regard to widespread poor knowledge of and attitude towards mental illness may not be peculiar to the Yoruba ethnic group (Binitie, 1970; Awaritefe & Ebie, 1975). Also, we have focused on mental illness generally, not on specific mental disorders. In answering questions about mental illness, respondents might have done so with a mind-set on a particular group of mental disorders, probably psychotic disorders, even though our translation sought to capture the specific focus of our interest on mental illness. Although this might have biased their responses in one direction, it would still be remarkable if the public view of what constitutes mental illness was a narrow one.

#### **Causes of mental illness**

The common views about what causes mental illness provide a basis for setting other findings of our study in context. This is because views about causation are strongly associated with stigmatising attitudes to mental illness (Bhugra, 1989; Hayward & Bright, 1997; Haghighat, 2001). Our results suggest that knowledge about mental illness is very poor in the Nigerian community. The widespread belief that misuse of drugs is the cause of mental illness may be regarded as good, in view of its possible restraining effect on the use of illicit or psychoactive substances. However, since this is only true for a very limited number of mental disorders, and since the public often views the misuse of substances as a moral failing, this belief may translate to a notion of mental illness as being selfinflicted. Such a view is more likely to elicit condemnation rather than understanding or sympathy (Weiner et al, 1988). Other than alcohol, the most commonly used psychoactive substance in Nigeria is cannabis. It is not uncommon for the public in Nigeria to make the assumption that anyone using cannabis will have a mental illness or that anyone with mental illness has used cannabis. Indeed, criminality is also often included in the causal link. Thus, the use of cannabis is often seen as implying a criminal predisposition and vice versa. Next in importance in the list of possible causes of mental illness was a belief that it could be due to possession by evil spirits, and this view was expressed by as many as a third of our respondents. Also, almost one in ten in the community thought mental illness might be a divine punishment. Such views, apart from further implying that people with mental illness might in some way be deserving of their lot, have important ramifications for the seeking of medical care by persons affected. A supernatural view of the origin of mental illness may imply that orthodox medical care would be futile and that help would be more likely to be obtained from spiritualists and traditional healers. Indeed, previous studies in Nigeria have suggested that care for mental illness is most often sought from these providers (Gureje et al, 1995) and that a view about supernatural causation of mental illness is shared by them. In proffering a 'biological' or 'brain disease' causation for mental illness, our respondents could have meant any of several things. Poisoning, either deliberate or by eating dangerous herbs, is commonly seen as a possible cause of mental illness. There is also a cultural understanding that some emotionally trying traditional rites or rituals could lead to mental illness in those who are not psychologically or physically prepared. Childbirth can also upset the body mechanisms and lead to mental health problems.

#### Views about mental illness

Negative views about individuals with mental illness were widely held. Less than half of the respondents thought that people with mental illness could be treated outside a hospital or other health facility, implying a belief that community-based care is unlikely to be feasible and might even be dangerous for the public. Only about a quarter thought that mentally ill people could work in regular jobs. Most respondents thought that people with mental illness were mentally retarded, were a public nuisance and were dangerous because of their violent behaviour. These negative views were uniformly expressed by all groups in our study, and there was no clear gender, age, educational or economic correlate of poor knowledge. Negative views of mental illness have been reported in some studies to be more common among the poorly educated, those of low social class and persons aged 50 years and above (Wolff et al, 1996); our study did not identify such associations.

# Attitudes towards people with mental illness

The negative views expressed by respondents were indicative of the degree of tolerance they might have of people with mental illness. In particular, views such as those of dangerousness and low intelligence have been found to fuel community resentment of people with mental illness (Hayward & Bright, 1997; Corrigan & Watson, 2002). Consequently, the attitudes of our survey respondents to people with mental illness were not surprising. We found that most people in the community would be afraid to have a conversation with someone known to have a mental illness and only a few would consider such a person for friendship. The closer the intimacy required for the interaction, the stronger the community's desire to keep a distance. Thus, less than 4% would consider marrying anyone with mental illness. Here again, the associations with demographic or residential features were very few indeed. Other than a somewhat more tolerant attitude to people with mental illness shown by respondents residing in urban areas and by men, there was no interpretable relationship between negative attitudes to those who are mentally ill on the one hand, and age, education or income on the other hand. Previous studies of selected groups in Nigeria have suggested that negative attitude to mental illness may be less pervasive among the well educated (Odejide & Olatawura, 1979). Our findings suggest that the attitudes of such groups do not reflect those of the community at large.

#### The universality of stigma

The findings of this survey do not support the claim that mental illness is less stigmatised in developing countries (Fabrega, 1991). Although developing countries constitute a diverse group in terms of culture and social norms, it is nevertheless true that our findings are in broad agreement with the observations made by others working in places such as India and Ethiopia (Thara & Srinivasan, 2000; Shibre et al, 2001). Indeed, as noted by Murthy (2002), stigmatisation of mental illness probably exists everywhere, even though the form and nature of it may differ across cultures. Our observations suggest that poor knowledge of the causes of mental illness, especially an attribution to supernatural causation, as well as very negative views of persons with mental illness, may indeed be more common in African communities than hitherto realised. Attitude to mental illness is consequently characterised by intolerance of even basic social contact with people known to have such illness. In a society in which poor health facilities and poverty make the care of people with mental illness a major burden for both patients and their families, the degree of stigma experienced by individuals with mental illness suggest an unusual level of illness-related burden.

The need for the development of a wellarticulated mental health policy has been identified for most African countries where none exists (Gureje & Alem, 2000). Findings such as those of our study suggest that a strong emphasis on public education should be an important component of any such policy.

# REFERENCES

Awaritefe, A. & Ebie, J. C. (1975) Complementary attitudes to mental illness in Nigeria. *African Journal of Psychiatry*, 1, 37–43.

Ayonrinde, O., Gureje, O. & Lawal, R. (2004) Psychiatric research in Nigeria: bridging tradition and modernisation. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **184**, 536–538.

Berzins, K. M., Petch, A. & Atkinson, J. M. (2003) Prevalence and experience of harassment of people with mental health problems living in the community. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **183**, 526–533.

**Bhugra, D. (1989)** Attitudes toward mental illness: a review of the literature. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, **80**, 1–12.

Binitie, A. O. (1970) Attitudes of educated Nigerians to psychiatric illness. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, **46**, 391–398.

**Brockington, I. F., Hall, P., Levings, J., et al (1993)** The community's tolerance of the mentally ill. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **162**, 93–99.

**Corrigan, P.W. & Watson, A. C. (2002)** Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry*, **I**, 16–20.

**Crisp, A. H., Gelder, M. G., Rix, S., et al (2000)** Stigmatisation of people with mental illnesses. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **177**, 4–7.

Fabrega, H. (1991) Psychiatric stigma in non-Western societies. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, **32**, 534–551.

Gureje, O. & Alem, A. (2000) Mental health policy developments in Africa. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, **78**, 475–482.

Gureje, O., Odejide, O. A. & Acha, R. A. (1995) Pathways to psychiatric care in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Tropical* and Geographical Medicine, **47**, 125–129.

Haghighat, R. (2001) A unitary theory of stigmatisation: Pursuit of self-interest and routes to destigmatisation. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **178**, 207–215.

#### **CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**

In Nigeria, poor knowledge about the cause and nature of mental illness is common in the community.

Negative attitudes to mental illness are widespread and may impair the social integration of those with mental illness.

Public enlightenment to foster community acceptance of people who are mentally ill is required for all sections of the community, especially for residents of rural areas and the young.

#### LIMITATIONS

The study did not determine attitude to people with different mental disorders. It is possible that attitude is not uniformly poor for all mental disorders.

The study was conducted in one language group in Nigeria. Other ethnic groups may have different views about and attitudes to mental illness.

The information was obtained through a self-report interview; self-report of attitude and knowledge might have been influenced by a need to conform to perceived cultural norms.

OYE GUREJE, PhD, DSc, FRCPsych, VICTOR O. LASEBIKAN, MPH, FWACP, OLUSOLA EPHRAIM-OLUWANUGA, MSc, FWACP, Department of Psychiatry, University of Ibadan; BENJAMIN O. OLLEY, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Ibadan; LOLA KOLA, MSc, Department of Medical Social Work, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria

Correspondence: Professor Oye Gureje, Department of Psychiatry, University College Hospital, PMB 5116, Ibadan, Nigeria. Tel: +234 2 2410 146; e-mail: gureje.o@skannet.com.ng

(First received 18 May 2004, final revision 14 September 2004, accepted 30 September 2004)

Hayward, P. & Bright, J. A. (1997) Stigma and mental illness: a review and critique. *Journal of Mental Health*, 6, 345–350.

Huxley, P. (1993) Location and stigma: a survey of community attitudes to mental illness. *Journal of Mental Health*, **2**, 73–80.

Jorm, A. F., Korten, A. E., Jacomb, P. A., et al (1999) Attitudes towards people with a mental disorder: a survey of the Australian public and health professionals. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, **33**, 77–83.

Kelly, L. & McKenna, H. (1997) Victimization of people with enduring mental illness in the community. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, **3**, 185–191.

Kish, L. (1995) Survey Sampling. New York: John Wiley.

Murthy, R. S. (2002) Stigma is universal but experiences are local. *World Psychiatry*, **I**, 28.

Odejide, A. O. & Olatawura, M. O. (1979) A survey of community attitudes to the concept and treatment of mental illness in Ibadan. *Nigerian Medical Journal*, **9**, 343–347.

Shibre, T., Negash, A., Kullgren, G., et al (2001) Perception of stigma among the family members of individuals with schizophrenia and major affective disorders in rural Ethiopia. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, **36**, 299–303.

**StataCorp (2001)** Stata Statistical Software, version 7.0 for Windows. College Station, TX: Stata Corporation.

Stuart, H. & Arboleda-Florez, J. (2001) Community attitudes toward people with schizophrenia. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, **46**, 245–252.

Taylor, S. M. & Dear, M. J. (1980) Scaling community attitudes toward the mentally ill. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, **7**, 225–240.

Thara, R. & Srinivasan, T. N. (2000) How stigmatizing is schizophrenia in India? *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, **46**, 135–141.

Weiner, B., Perry, R. P. & Magnusson, J. (1988) An attributional analysis of reactions to stigmas. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 55, 738–748.

Wolff, G., Pathare, S., Craig, T., et al (1996) Community knowledge of mental illness and reaction to mentally ill people. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **168**, 191–198.

World Psychiatric Association (2002) WPA Programme to Reduce Stigma and Discrimination Because of Schizophrenia. http://www.opendoors.com/english/ media/vol\_3.pdf (accessed January 2000).