Generation of polarized particle beams at relativistic laser intensities
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Abstract
The acceleration of polarized electrons, positrons, protons and ions in strong laser and plasma fields is a very attractive option for obtaining polarized beams in the multi-mega-electron volt range. Recently, there has been substantial progress in the understanding of the dominant mechanisms leading to high degrees of polarization, in the numerical modeling of these processes and in their experimental implementation. This review paper presents an overview on the current state of the field, and on the concepts of polarized laser–plasma accelerators and of beam polarimetry.
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1. The need for polarized beams

Spin-polarized particle beams are commonly used in nuclear and particle physics to study the interaction and structure of matter, and to test the Standard Model of particle physics[1–4]. In particular, the structure of sub-atomic particles like protons or neutrons is explored to get further insights into quantum chromodynamics (QCD)[5] or to probe the nuclear spin structure[6]. Polarized particle beams are also advantageous for achieving a deeper understanding of nuclear reactions[7], to search for symmetry violations, to pin down quantum numbers of new particles[8–10] or to investigate molecular dynamics[11,12]. The technique for producing polarized beams depends not only on the particle species, but also on their kinetic energies. For stable ones, such as electrons or protons, polarized sources can be employed with subsequent acceleration in a linear accelerator or a synchrotron. For unstable particles, like muons, polarization-dependent particle decays are exploited[11], while stable secondary beams, like antiprotons, might be polarized in dedicated storage rings by spin-dependent interactions[12]. Electron or positron beams also spontaneously polarize in the magnetic fields of storage rings due to the emission of spin-flip synchrotron radiation, the so-called Sokolov–Ternov effect[13–16]. This effect was first experimentally observed with low degrees of polarization[17,18], and later utilized at several electron rings to generate a highly polarized beam during storage[19–25].

All of the above scenarios still rely on conventional particle accelerators that are typically very large in scale and budget[16]. In circular accelerators, depolarizing spin resonances must be compensated by applying complex correction techniques to maintain the beam’s polarization[26–32]. In linear accelerators, such a reduction of polarization can be neglected due to the very short interaction time between particle bunches and the accelerating fields.

Concepts based on laser-driven acceleration at extreme light intensities have been promoted during recent decades. Ultra-intense and ultra-short laser pulses can generate accelerating fields in plasmas that are at the order of tera-volts per meter, about four orders of magnitude greater compared...
to conventional accelerators. The goal, therefore, is to build the next generation of highly compact and cost-effective accelerator facilities using a plasma as the accelerating medium; see for example Ref. [33]. Despite many advances in the understanding of the phenomena leading to particle acceleration in laser–plasma interactions, however, a largely unexplored issue is how an accelerator for strongly polarized beams can be realized. In simple words, there are two possible scenarios: either the magnetic laser or plasma fields can influence the spin of the accelerated beam particles, or the spins are too inert, such that a short acceleration has no influence on the spin alignment. In the latter case, the polarization would be maintained throughout the whole acceleration process, but a pre-polarized target would be required.

In this paper, we review the concepts and methods that could lead to the generation of polarized particle beams based on ultra-intense lasers. We focus on two main approaches. The first one is devoted to collision between unpolarized high-energy electron beams and ultra-relativistic laser pulses, introduced in Section 2.1. Section 2.2 focuses on concepts for pre-polarized targets for sequential particle acceleration. Suitable targets are described in Section 7.

2. Concepts

2.1. Polarization build-up from interactions with relativistic laser pulses

Strong-field quantum electrodynamics (QED) processes – like nonlinear Compton scattering and radiation reactions – can strongly modify the dynamics of light charged particles, such as electrons or positrons. Analogous to the Sokolov–Ternov effect in a strong magnetic field, electrons can rapidly spin-polarize in ultra-strong laser fields due to an asymmetry in the rate of spin-flip transitions, i.e., interactions where the spin changes sign during the emission of a γ-ray photon. Several such scenarios have been discussed in the literature; for a more quantitative discussion we refer to Section 4.

1. Li et al. describe electron radiative spin effects by a Monte Carlo spin-resolved radiation approach in the local constant field approximation. Due to a spin-dependent radiation reaction, a monochromatic, elliptically polarized laser pulse can split an initially unpolarized relativistic electron ensemble along the propagation direction into two oppositely transversely polarized parts; see Figure 1.

A similar spin-dependent deflection mechanism is found by Geng et al., who study the spin-correlated radiation-reaction force during the interaction of an initially polarized electron bunch with a linearly polarized laser pulse. The discovered mechanism dominates over the Stern–Gerlach force, which can provide a new perspective for studying spin-dependent QED effects.

2. Del Sorbo et al. calculate the rate of spin-flip transitions for electrons circulating at the magnetic nodes of two colliding, circularly polarized laser pulses; see Figure 2. They find that a sizeable (∼50%) spin polarization is expected in one laser period for lasers of an intensity within the reach of next-generation laser systems.

Seipt et al. also demonstrate that the electron orbits involved are unstable and study the robustness of the spin polarization when accounting for the instability of an electron trajectory in a magnetic node using a deterministic model for the radiation-reaction force. They point out that depolarization effects due to chaotic spin precession may strongly limit the achievable electron polarization. In addition, stochasticity – which may affect the rate of migration of the electrons from the magnetic node – needs further investigation. For these reasons, a more promising approach seems to be the following.

3. Radiative polarization of high-energy electron beams in collisions with ultra-short pulsed bichromatic (two-color) laser fields has been proposed by Seipt et al. and Song et al. The scheme is depicted in Figure 3 and is based on the asymmetric distribution of the...
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Electrons propagating through a bichromatic laser pulse perform spin-flips dominantly in certain phases of the field: electrons initially polarized along the +y direction (yellow trajectories) flip their spin to down (trajectory colored purple) dominantly when $B_y > 0$, and this is where $1\omega$ and $2\omega$ add constructively (blue contours). The opposite spin-flip dominantly happens when $B_y < 0$, where the $1\omega$ and $2\omega$ components of the laser are out of phase (orange contours).

Figure 2. Schematic representation of electron spin polarization employing the standing wave of two colliding, circularly polarized laser pulses.

Field structure that deflects spin-up/down electrons via quantum radiation reaction.

Figure 3. Electrons propagating through a bichromatic laser pulse perform spin-flips dominantly in certain phases of the field: electrons initially polarized along the +y direction (yellow trajectories) flip their spin to down (trajectory colored purple) dominantly when $B_y > 0$, and this is where $1\omega$ and $2\omega$ add constructively (blue contours). The opposite spin-flip dominantly happens when $B_y < 0$, where the $1\omega$ and $2\omega$ components of the laser are out of phase (orange contours).

2.2. Polarized beams from pre-polarized targets

(1) Wen et al. and Wu et al. have put forward a method for generating intense polarized electron beams. It is based on the electron polarization of a gas jet via photo-dissociation by a circularly polarized ultra-violet (UV) laser pulse followed by electron laser-wakefield acceleration (LWFA) by an intense laser pulse. This scheme is illustrated in Figure 5.

Assuming that at the moment of irradiation with the accelerating multi-terawatt laser pulse the electrons in the target are fully polarized (see Section 7 for target details), one has to optimize the injection into the wakefield and the subsequent acceleration to multi-mega-electron volt (MeV) energies such that a high degree of electron polarization is maintained. These processes can be modeled with the help of full three-dimensional particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations, incorporating the spin dynamics via the Thomas–Bargmann Michel Telegdi (T–BMT) equation (see Equation (2) below) and proton beam generation.

(2) In a subsequent paper, Wu et al. apply their scheme developed by Wu et al. to wakefield acceleration driven by a particle beam (PWFA). In this scheme, the unpolarized electron driver beam can be generated via the well-understood LWFA. The electron-beam driver is free of the prepulse issue associated with a laser driver, thus eliminating possible depolarization of the pre-polarized gas due to ionization by a prepulse.
Figure 4. Scheme for laser-based polarized positron beam production\textsuperscript{[42]}. Two-color laser

Figure 5. Sketch of the all-optical laser-driven polarized electron acceleration scheme using a pre-polarized target\textsuperscript{[40]}. LG, Laguerre–Gaussian; OAP, optical parametric amplification.

(3) The first applications of pre-polarized targets employed by Wen et al\textsuperscript{[45]} and Wu et al\textsuperscript{[46,49,50]} were actually aiming at the laser-induced acceleration of proton beams\textsuperscript{[51–54]}. This is because protons have much smaller magnetic moments and, therefore, their spin alignment in the plasma magnetic fields is much more inert as compared to electrons. Also, from the target point-of-view, polarized nuclei can be provided more easily than electrons (see Section 7), and the necessary proton polarimetry can be achieved in a straight-forward manner (see Section 8). Figure 6 shows the schematic layout of a laser-based accelerator for polarized proton beams, which is simpler than the set-up shown in Figure 5 because the 234.62 nm UV light for Cl ionization is not required. The first description of this scheme can be found in Ref. \textsuperscript{[51]}.

(4) The first attempt to experimentally study spin effects during laser-induced acceleration is based on a nuclear polarized \textsuperscript{3}He target\textsuperscript{[55,56]}. These experiments profit from the fact that hyperpolarized \textsuperscript{3}He gas can be produced rather easily and maintains its nuclear polarization over several days at ambient room temperature and under small magnetic holding fields (Section 7). The main goal of these studies is to demonstrate nuclear polarization conservation in a (laser-induced) plasma. This would open the possibility of inertial confinement fusion with spin-polarized fuel, in which the cross-sections for nuclear fusion reactions can be enhanced, leading to higher energy yields compared to the case of unpolarized fuel\textsuperscript{[57]}. Another goal is to realize an intense spin-polarized \textsuperscript{3}He-ion source, which is extremely challenging with conventional approaches. The main experimental challenge – besides the preparation of the polarized helium target – is the demonstration of laser-induced ion acceleration out of gas-jet targets. This has recently been achieved in a feasibility study with an unpolarized gas-jet target performed at PHELIX, GSI Darmstadt, where helium ions with energies of a few MeV have been observed; see Figure 7. Thus, the ion energies are sufficiently high for the polarimetry (see Section 8) in a beam time with a polarized target, scheduled for fall 2020.

3. Theoretical background

It is still an issue for current research how particle spins are affected by the huge electromagnetic fields that are inherently present in laser-induced plasmas or in the laser fields themselves, and what mechanisms may lead to the production of highly polarized beams. Early attempts to
describe these processes can be found in Refs. [47,58]. A schematic overview of the interplay between single particle trajectories (blue), spin (red) and radiation (yellow) is shown in Figure 8; details can be found in Ref. [48].

When particle spins are treated in the semiclassical limit, it is the T–BMT equation[59,60] that determines the spin precession of individual particles around the local electromagnetic field lines. If particles with mass \( m \), charge \( q \cdot e \), anomalous magnetic moment \( a \) and velocity \( \vec{v} \) move in an electromagnetic field \( \vec{E}, \vec{B} \) with vanishing gradient, their spin vectors \( \vec{s} \) precess according to

\[
\frac{d\vec{s}}{dt} = -\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{s},
\]

In cgs units the rotational frequency \( \vec{\Omega} \) is given by[16]

\[
\vec{\Omega} = \frac{q \cdot e}{mc} \left[ \Omega_B \cdot \vec{B} - \Omega_v \left( \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \cdot \vec{B} \right) \frac{\vec{v}}{c} - \Omega_E \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{E} \right].
\]

where

\[
\Omega_B = a + \frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad \Omega_v = \frac{a \gamma}{\gamma + 1}, \quad \Omega_E = a + \frac{1}{1 + \gamma}.
\]

Spin precession is a deterministic process and can be calculated by treating the spin as an intrinsic electron magnetic moment. In the non-QED regime, only a theory, which contains the T–BMT equation, describes the particle and spin motion in electromagnetic fields in a self-consistent way.

Under classical and semi-classical limits, the acceleration of charged particles is treated within the framework of classical field theory. This theory also describes the reaction of the particle motion due to radiation, if the particle energy and/or laser field strength is sufficiently high. Introducing spin into electron dynamics leads to a spin-dependent radiation reaction. The radiation power of electrons in different spin states varies such that they feel a stronger radiation-reaction force when the spins are anti-parallel to the local magnetic field in the rest frame of the radiating electron, which can lead to a split of electrons with distinctive spin states.

The Stern–Gerlach force primarily influences the trajectory of a particle. In general, the radiation-reaction force exceeds the Stern–Gerlach force by far if the particles are relativistic (kinetic energies well above 1 GeV) or even ultra-relativistic (above 1 TeV) (see also Ref. [36]). There are, however, some field configurations that reverse this situation, so that the radiation-reaction force can be neglected compared to the Stern–Gerlach force (see e.g., Ref. [61]).

A direct coupling between single particle spins and radiation fields is treated in the context of quantum field theory. Within this theory, the mechanism that describes the spontaneous self-polarization of an accelerated particle ensemble is known as the Sokolov–Ternov effect. The stochastic spin diffusion from photon emission is a non-deterministic process resulting in the rotation of the spin vector in the presence of a magnetic field with the emission of a photon.

A discussion of the generalized Stern–Gerlach force shows that the trajectories of individual particles are perturbed by a change of the particle’s motion induced by the T–BMT equation rather than by coupling of the spin to the change of the particles’ energy or velocity rates; while even small field variations must be taken into account[48]. With regard to a possible polarization build-up through spin-dependent beam split effects, it is found that a tera-electron volt electron beam has the best option to be polarized when the plasma is dense enough and the acceleration distance (time) is large enough. For protons, we do not see any realistic case to build up a polarization by beam separation. In conventional circular accelerators, the Sokolov–Ternov effect restores the alignment of the spins in experimentally proven polarization times in the range of minutes or hours, depending on the energy
of the beam and the bending radius of the beam in bending magnets. The scaling laws for laser-plasma fields predict that the spins of electron moving in strong ($\approx 10^{17}$ V/m) fields should be polarized in less than a femtosecond $^{(48)}$.

Del Sorbo et al.$^{(37,39)}$ proposed that this analog of the Sokolov–Ternov effect could occur in the strong electromagnetic fields of ultra-high-intensity lasers, which would result in a buildup of spin polarization in femtoseconds for laser intensities exceeding $5 \times 10^{22}$ W/cm$^2$. In a subsequent paper$^{(38)}$ they develop a local constant crossed-field approximation of the polarization density matrix to investigate numerically the scattering of high-energy electrons from short, intense, laser pulses.

Description of the spin-dependent dynamics and radiation in optical laser fields requires a classical spin vector that precesses during photon emission events following the T–BMT equation. This is accomplished by projecting the spin states after each emission onto a quantization axis. The latter could be the local magnetic field in the rest frame of the radiating electron$^{(34,42)}$. Alternatively, Seipt et al.$^{(40)}$ suggest that the spin orientation either flips or stays the same, depending on the radiation probability. It has recently been pointed out by Geng et al.$^{(62)}$ that, by generalizing the Sokolov–Ternov effect, the polarization vector consisting of the full spin information can be obtained.

4. Model calculations I: strong field QED

Figure 9 shows the prediction from Li et al.$^{(34)}$ for the splitting of an well-collimated, initially unpolarized electron beam after the interaction with an elliptically polarized laser pulse. It is seen that typical electron deflection angles of a few mrads can be achieved. It is concluded that from a separation of the electron distribution with $\theta_0 > 0$ (or $< 0$) one can obtain an electron beam with positive (or negative) transverse polarization of roughly 34%. This number can even be increased to approximately 70% by excluding the electrons near $\theta_0 = 0$. This is, however, at the expense of a significantly reduced electron flux, and would require a very precise control of the shot-to-shot electron divergence angle.

In a follow-up paper, Guo et al.$^{(35)}$ investigate stochasticity effects in radiative polarization of a relativistic electron beam head-on colliding with an ultra-strong laser pulse in the quantum radiation-reaction regime. These enhance the splitting effect into the two oppositely polarized parts as described by Li et al.$^{(34)}$. Consequently, an increase of the achievable electron polarization by roughly a factor of two is predicted at the same required high accuracy for the selection of the electron deflection angles.

Another paper from Li et al.$^{(63)}$ investigates the impacts of spin polarization of an electron beam head-on colliding with a strong laser pulse on the emitted photon spectra and electron dynamics in the quantum radiation regime. Using a formalism similar to that of Li et al.$^{(34)}$, they developed an alternative method of electron polarimetry based on nonlinear Compton scattering in the quantum radiation regime. Beam polarization can be measured via the angular asymmetry of the high-energy photon spectrum in a single-shot interaction of the electron beam with a strong laser pulse.

Seipt et al.$^{(40)}$ propose the use of bichromatic laser fields to polarize electron beams and predict a measurable modification of the resulting quantum radiation reaction. They describe spin-dependent radiation-reaction effects, and use a Boltzmann equation for distribution functions of spin-polarized electrons. They also apply a quasi-classical tracking approach where electrons are pushed classically between photon emissions, and the emissions are treated fully quantum-mechanically using a Monte Carlo algorithm employing spin-dependent photon emission rates. In doing so, they can determine optimum parameters for achieving maximum radiative polarization. The $\chi_0$–$\chi_2$ parameter scan shown in Figure 10 yields a maximum degree of polarization of about 17%.

Song et al.$^{(41)}$ find that electron polarization strongly depends on the relative phase of the two-color laser pulse; see Figure 11. They conclude that, with realistic laser parameters, maximum degrees of polarization of roughly 10% seem within reach.
electron kinetic energy is 10 GeV, the energy spread 6% and the angular divergence 0.2 mrad. In this scenario, a highly polarized (up to 65%), intense (up to 10⁶/bunch) positron beam can be obtained.

### 5. Model calculations II: particle-in-cell simulations

#### 5.1. Electron acceleration

Wen et al. demonstrate that kilo-ampere (kA) polarized electron beams can be produced via laser-wakefield acceleration from a gas target. For this purpose, they implement the electron spin dynamics in a PIC code, which they use to investigate electron beam dynamics in self-consistent three-dimensional particle-in-cell simulations. By appropriately choosing the laser and gas parameters, they show that the depolarization of electrons induced by the laser-wakefield acceleration process can be as low as 10%.

In the weakly nonlinear wakefield regime, electron beams carrying currents of the order of 1 kA and retaining the initial electronic polarization of the plasma can be produced. The predicted final electron beam polarization and current amount to (90.6%, 73.9%, 53.5%) and (0.31 kA, 0.59 kA, 0.90 kA) for \(a_0 = (1, 1.1, 1.2)\), respectively. Wen et al. point out that compared to currently available conventional sources of polarized electron beams, the flux is increased by four orders of magnitude.

Based on similar PIC simulations Wu et al. predict even larger electron beam currents via vortex Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) laser-driven wakefield acceleration; see Figure 12. The topology of the vortex wakefield resolves the depolarization issue of the injected electrons. Their method releases the limit on beam flux for polarized electron acceleration and

For positrons, rather high degrees of polarization seem to be achievable, even for currently achievable laser parameters. Chen et al. employ a scenario with an initial electron energy of 2 GeV and laser full intensity \(a_0 = 83\). It has been shown that highly polarized positron beams with \(2 \times 10^4\) particles and a polarization degree of 60% can be obtained within a small angular divergence of ~74 mrad. Wan et al. find that their optimal parameters include a laser intensity of the order of \(10^{22}\) W/cm², an ellipticity of the order of 0.03, a laser pulse duration less than about 10 cycles and an initial electron energy of several giga-electron volts (GeV). This leads to 86% polarization of the positron beam, with the number of positrons more than 1% of the initial electrons. As for the electron beams in Ref. [34], however, the emission angles of the two positron beams with opposite polarization differ by only a few milliradians. Li et al. use a peak laser intensity of \(I = 2.75 \times 10^{22}\) W/cm² \((a_0 = 141)\), a full width at half maximum (FWHM) pulse duration of five laser periods, laser wavelength 1 \(\mu\)m and focal radius 5 \(\mu\)m. The initial
promises more than an order of magnitude boost in peak flux, as compared to Gaussian beams.

Wu et al.\cite{wu2021} find that beam polarization depends on the azimuthal angle in a plasma wakefield due to the symmetric bubble field; see Figure 13. Accordingly, an X-shaped slit (spin filter) is proposed to significantly enhance beam polarization of the accelerated electrons. A beam polarization of about 80% is achieved by filtering out the low-polarization population using the slit, while the initial polarization is only about 35%.

5.2. Heavy particles

Hützen et al.\cite{huetzen2020} present the first scheme for a laser-based accelerator for polarized particle beams using 3D PIC simulations with explicit spin treatment. They can show that proton polarization is sufficiently conserved during the acceleration process for foil\cite{huetzen2020} and gaseous\cite{huetzen2020} targets and, thus, suggest the use of pre-polarized monatomic gases from photo-dissociated hydrogen halide molecules in combination with peta-watt (PW) lasers. For an $a_0 = 200$ laser pulse they predict high degrees of polarization at proton energies of a few GeV; see Figure 14. Thus, it suggests the use of pre-polarized mono-atomic gases from photo-dissociated hydrogen halide molecules in combination with 10 PW class lasers.

Jin et al.\cite{jin2021} extend the PIC simulations to smaller, currently achievable, laser powers. They find that proton beams with an energy above 50 MeV and ~ 80% polarization can be obtained (see Figure 15) employing the magnetic vortex acceleration mechanism. Such measurements are now being prepared at the SULF facility of SIOM, Shanghai.

No PIC simulations with treatment of spin effects have so far been carried out for particles heavier than protons. Only a scan of the parameter space (target density, laser pulse energy and duration) has been published\cite{li2021}, aiming at the optimization of the ion flux and kinetic energy accelerated in a polarized gas-jet target; see Figure 16. It is seen that a channel in the ion density is generated via a combination of strong self-focusing and radial ponderomotive expulsion of electrons within the first 0.5 mm of the gas target, followed by filamentation and hosing for longer times. In general, a cleaner and longer channel is generated at lower densities; whereas the laser pulse is prone to filamentation and radial dispersion with increasing density. The influence of these structures on the ion spins is subject of ongoing simulations in the framework of JuSPARC\cite{juvarsa2021}.

6. Lessons learned from theoretical studies

From the literature outlined in Sections 2–5 it becomes clear that a wealth of (mostly theoretical) pathways towards the realization of laser-induced polarized particle acceleration have been put forward in recent years. These concepts strongly differ for the various particle species. In some cases it is necessary to wait for significant progress in laser technology. Our conclusions for a strategy aiming at the speedy realization of laser-induced polarized particle acceleration are given below.

1. For currently realistic laser parameters, pre-polarized targets are needed to achieve electron beams with polarizations well above 10%. Such targets should
provide high degrees of electronic polarization (> 50%) and should allow for operation at laser facilities (e.g., robustness against electromagnetic pulses (EMPs) and target heating).

(2) Due to their three-orders-smaller magnetic moments, measurable polarization for heavier particles (protons, ions) can only be achieved with nuclear pre-polarized targets.

(3) For positrons, no pre-polarized targets can be realized. Here, high degrees of polarization (90%) can be obtained from the scattering of peta-watt laser pulses on an unpolarized relativistic electron beam (which can be laser-generated). Such schemes require precise control of all involved beam pointings (to the few-milliradian level).

(4) Gas-jet targets are preferable to foil targets since they allow operation with state-of-the-art kilo-hertz laser systems. Low-density targets are also less challenging in terms of depolarizing effects.

7. Experimental techniques I: polarized targets

For the experimental realization of polarized beam generation from laser-induced plasmas, the choice of the target is a crucial point. Pre-polarized solid foil targets suitable for laser acceleration via target normal sheath acceleration (TNSA) or radiation-pressure acceleration (RPA) are not yet available, and their realization seems extremely challenging. In previous experiments, hydrogen nuclear polarization has mostly been realized through a static polarization, e.g., in frozen spin targets or with polarized $^3$He gas. For proton acceleration alone, polarized atomic beam sources based on the Stern–Gerlach principle are currently available, which, however, offer a too small particle density. To laser-accelerate polarized electrons and protons, a new approach with dynamically polarized hydrogen gas targets is needed. A statically polarized $^3$He target, a dynamically polarized hydrogen target for protons, as well as a hyperpolarized cryogenic target for the production and storage of polarized $^3$He, $D_2$ and HD foils are being prepared at the Forschungszentrum Jülich within the ATHENA project.

7.1. Static polarization: $^3$He

In order to develop a laser-driven spin-polarized $^3$He-ion beam source available for nuclear physics experiments as well as for the investigation of polarized nuclear fusion, one challenge is the provision of a properly statically polarized $^3$He gas-jet target. The essential components of such a target are a magnetic holding field for storing pre-polarized $^3$He gas for a long time duration within the PHELIX target chamber, and a non-magnetic nozzle for providing the desired gas-jet target (see Figure 17). All components must be designed such that the polarization is maintained sufficiently long for the experiments. A relaxation time of 20.9 h has already been achieved for a prototype of the setup.

The magnetic holding field consists of an outer Halbach array composed of an upper and lower ring of 48 NdFeB permanent magnets, 1100 mm in diameter, together with an inner Helmholtz coil array consisting of four single Helmholtz coils. In the Halbach array the permanent magnets are stacked at an optimum distance such that its field homogeneity is sufficiently high to maintain nuclear $^3$He polarization. The Helmholtz coils are oriented so that their magnetic field is aligned parallel to the laser-propagation direction. A single coil consists of a coiled Cu sheet with a width and thickness of 40 mm. The outer and inner diameters of the naked Cu coil are 789 mm and 709 mm, respectively. Both inner coils are separated by 285.75 mm, while the two single front/rear coils are separated by a distance of 218.95 mm. In contrast to electric coils, the permanent magnets used do not need to be cooled in vacuum, and they provide a constant field, even in the presence of huge EMPs.

The second essential component for the layout of a polarized $^3$He target is the gas-jet source. The pre-polarized $^3$He gas is delivered at an intrinsic pressure of 3 bar. By using a pressure booster built of non-magnetic materials, the desired final pressure can be reached (up to 30 bar). To synchronize the gas flux with the incoming laser pulse, a home-made non-magnetic valve with piezo actuators has been prepared. In order to generate a broad plateau-like density distribution...
Simulated normalized He\(^{2+}\) ion-number density during the passage of a peta-watt laser pulse (6.5 ps after it entered the simulation box at the left boundary) through an unpolarized helium gas jet target. (a) 2%; (b) 3%; (c) 4%; (d) 12% critical density\(^{[56]}\).

Perspective view of the 3D model of the fully mounted magnetic system inside the PHELIX chamber\(^{[57,67]}\).

with sharp density gradients, a supersonic de Laval nozzle is used.

7.2. Dynamic polarization: protons and electrons

For the realization of a dynamically polarized electron and ion source, a novel laser-based target system is under preparation: two laser beams for protons, and three beams for electron polarization, are focused into a gas jet made of biatomic linear molecules with at least one hydrogen atom, for example, HCl or HBr gas (see Figure 18)\(^{[46,51,52,64,69,70]}\).

The special feature of the EKSPLA SL330 series JuS-PARC_MIRA system\(^{[64]}\) is the simultaneous output of the fundamental wavelength at 1064 nm and the fifth harmonic at 213 nm, provided by a Nd:YAG crystal serving as the active medium. Operating at a repetition rate of 5 Hz and a pulse duration of 170 ps, the linear polarized fundamental beam is focused onto the gas jet with a pulse energy of 100 mJ. The molecular electric dipole moment \(\mu\) is thus aligned relative to the electric field of the laser light, leading to an increased polarization signal. Simultaneously, but at an angle of 90\(^\circ\), the strongly focused circularly polarized fifth-harmonic beam with an intensity of about 10\(^{12}\) W\(\cdot\)cm\(^{-2}\) is also guided into the vacuum chamber (Figure 19). The interaction with the already aligned HCl or HBr molecules leads to a photo-dissociation process by UV excitation and, finally, the polarization of the H nuclei via hyperfine spin beating with a period of about 350 ps. For the realization of a polarized electron target, unlike that for a polarized proton target, an additional third laser at 234.62 nm UV light to ionize the Cl or Br atoms is needed. The resulting thermal expansion of the electrons creates a large Coulomb field that expels the Cl or Br ions, together with their unpolarized electrons\(^{[46]}\).

The fifth-harmonic beam is guided by customized optics with the highest possible light reflectance (reflection > 98% at 45\(^\circ\) incidence angle provided by Layertec GmbH) having a diameter of one inch for a beam diameter of 12 mm. A quartz quarter-wave plate with two-sided anti-reflection coating from EKSMA Optics converts the initially linearly polarized laser beam to circular polarization. Finally, the UV beam is focused below the HCl or HBr nozzle inside the interaction chamber. The fundamental beam at 1064 nm is guided by standard mirrors with dielectric Nd:YAG coatings and focused to an intensity of about 5 \(\times\) 10\(^{13}\) W\(\cdot\)cm\(^{-2}\) into the HCl or HBr gas. The gas is injected into the interaction chamber by a high-speed short-pulse piezo valve that can be operated at a maximum 5 bar inlet gas pressure to produce a gas density in the range of about 10\(^{19}\) cm\(^{-3}\)\(^{[51,64]}\). The valve is adjustable in height so that sufficient amounts of HCl or HBr molecules, which are spread in a cone-like shape, interact with the laser beams by keeping the backing pressure low, and thus the molecules’ mean free path large enough.
Figure 18. The 1064 nm IR laser propagates along the x-axis to align the bonds of the HCl molecules, and then UV light with a wavelength of 213 nm, propagating along the z-axis, is used to photo-dissociate the HCl molecules. A 234.62 nm UV light is used to ionize the Cl atoms. Thermal expansion of the electrons creates a large Coulomb field that expels the Cl ions. A fully polarized electron target is therefore produced for sequential acceleration\cite{46}.

Figure 19. Technical drawing of the optical setup including the JuSPARC_MIRA laser system and the target chamber for the polarized proton target\cite{64}.

7.3. Hyperpolarized cryogenic targets

The investigation of the recombination of nuclear polarized hydrogen and deuterium atoms into polarized molecules gives new insights into different fields in physics and chemistry, aiming for the optimization of storage-cell gas targets for coming accelerators experiments and the production and handling of polarized fuel for future fusion reactors. In a joint collaboration of the St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), the Institute for Nuclear Physics of the University of Cologne and the Institute for Nuclear Physics of the Forschungszentrum Jülich, the recombination processes on different surfaces, the polarization losses due to wall collisions and the polarization lifetime of the molecules have been studied. The dedicated experimental setup of the hyperpolarized cryogenic target is shown in Figure 20. A beam of hydrogen and/or deuterium atoms with selected nuclear and electron spin orientations is produced in a polarized atomic beam source (ABS). These polarized atoms enter a home-made T-shaped storage cell that is 400 mm long with a 100 mm long attachment for the atomic inlet (the outer diameter of the tube is 14 mm). In the cell, the atoms can recombine into molecules on the surface of the cell, which is exchangeable to enable measurements with different inner surface coatings on the fused-quartz wall materials, e.g., a gold surface, an additional water surface or a coating of Fomblin oil (perfluropolyether).

In the next step, a small pipe, to include an independent cooling and power supply, will be installed on one side of the cell having no direct contact with the cell. In this way, molecules can be generated and pre-cooled in the storage cell before they are frozen in the new pipe. Thus, the molecules in the storage cell can still be ionized and accelerated to measure their polarization. After the atomic flow is stopped, the pipe slowly warms up. In this way, the polarization of the molecules that have been frozen can be measured to compare the polarization values of the just-recombined molecules and those that are frozen into ice. The residual gas is pumped by cryogenic panels below $10^{-8}$ mbar without gas load to the cell. Using a superconducting solenoid at a temperature of 4 K, a magnetic field of up to 1 T in the storage cell can be generated. Additionally, it focuses an electron beam, which is produced by an electron gun at energies of a few 100 eV on the left side of the apparatus. The interaction
of the polarized atoms and evaporated molecules with the electron beam results in an ionization process. Next, the ionized protons and \( \text{H}_2^+ \) ions are accelerated by a positive electric potential across the cell of up to 5 kV to the right-hand side. The nuclear polarization of protons/deuterons or the molecular ions \( \text{H}_2^+ / \text{D}_2^+ \) and \( \text{HD}_2^+ \) is measured with a Lamb-shift polarimeter connected to the right end of the apparatus.

8. Experimental techniques II: beam polarimetry

In order to experimentally determine the degree of polarization of laser-accelerated particle bunches, dedicated polarimeters must be used. Similar devices are widely used in particle physics, for example to determine beam polarizations at classical accelerators. They are typically based on a scattering process with known analyzing power, which converts the information about the beam polarization into a measurable azimuthal angular asymmetry. In the case of laser-accelerated particles, however, a couple of peculiar requirements have to be taken into account.

1. Due to the time structure of the laser pulses, all scattered particles hit the detector within a few tens of femtoseconds. Thus, it must be virtually dead-time free or, more realistically, all particle signals from one laser shot must be integrated up.

2. The detectors must have a high EMP robustness. This is especially challenging for electronic detectors with an on-line readout.
(3) A high angular resolution is required in some cases; see Figure 9.

(4) Depending on the phase-space densities of the accelerated particles, it may be required to measure small particle numbers (per laser shot); see Ref. [72].

8.1. Proton and ion polarimetry

Raab et al.\cite{72} report a first polarization measurement of laser-accelerated particles. They developed a proton polarimeter based on the spin dependence of hadronic scattering off nuclei in silicon foil. These investigations were carried out with protons from unpolarized foil targets, illuminated by 100 TW accelerating laser pulses at the Arcturus laser facility at Düsseldorf University; see Figure 21. A careful analysis of the measured proton scattering distributions, utilizing analysis tools from the literature, allows one to measure proton-beam polarization with uncertainties as small as approximately 10%.

For the polarimetry of protons with higher kinetic energies, CH₄ (polypropylene foils), rather than silicon, is the proper material for the polarimeter. A new proton polarimeter is now being commissioned and calibrated with polarized protons at COSY-Jülich, where beam energies from 45 MeV up to 2.88 GeV are available.

8.2. Electron polarimetry

Depending on the electron beam energy, which determines the analyzing power as well as experimental access to the scattering products, one of the following spin-dependent QED processes can be used for electron polarimetry\cite{73}.

(1) Mott scattering\cite{74–76}, i.e., scattering off the nuclei in a target, used for beams between 10 keV and 1 MeV, often for polarimetry of electron sources at large accelerators.

(2) Bremsstrahlung emission in a target\cite{77}, used from about 10 MeV to a few 100 MeV, relies on measuring the degree of circular polarization of photons generated when passing the beam through a thin target\cite{78}. Statistical significance of the order of 10% can be achieved.

(3) Møller (or for positron beams Bhabha) scattering\cite{79}, i.e., scattering off the electrons in a target, used from a few 100 MeV to GeV energies in fixed target experiments at SLAC\cite{80–83} and JLab\cite{84}, but also at ELSA\cite{85} and MAMI\cite{86}. Precisions down to 0.5% can be reached\cite{87}.

(4) Compton scattering\cite{88}, i.e., scattering off a laser, used for GeV and higher energies, offers high analyzing power $O(1)$, large and precisely known cross-section\cite{89} and robust control over experimental systematics. Long-established for measuring longitudinal and transverse polarization, e.g., at SLC\cite{90}, LEP\cite{91}, HERA\cite{25,92}, ELSA\cite{93}, MAMI\cite{94} and JLab\cite{95}, it is also the method of choice for future colliders\cite{96–98}. Precisions from a few percent down to a few permil can be reached.

The short bunch length typical for plasma-accelerated beams is not a problem for any of these methods; rather, it is an advantage. All methods apart from Compton scattering are destructive. Due to the typical energies obtained in laser-wakefield experiments, method 2 is the most applicable technique for diagnosing the degree of polarization of such beams. A new polarimeter for measuring polarization of laser-plasma accelerated electrons is being designed and constructed at DESY.

9. Summary and outlook

In this review paper we discuss the current status of polarized beam generation, including polarization techniques for conventional accelerators, new ideas for laser-based accelerator facilities at relativistic laser intensities and corresponding concepts for beam polarimetry.

Polarized particle beams are an important tool in nuclear and particle physics for the study of the interaction and structure of matter and to test the Standard Model of particle physics. All techniques to deliver polarized beams for such applications are currently based on conventional particle accelerators. Unfortunately, these are typically very large in size and devour huge financial resources.

Novel concepts based on laser-driven acceleration at extreme intensities have been investigated intensively over recent decades. The advantage of laser-driven accelerators is the capability to provide accelerating fields up to teravolts per meter, about four orders of magnitude greater than conventional ones. It is therefore a highly desirable objective to build the next generation of compact and cost-effective accelerator facilities making use of laser-plasma techniques.

To get a deeper understanding of the processes leading to polarized beam production, theoretical and experimental work is gaining momentum. First of all, particle spins subject to the huge magnetic fields of laser-plasma accelerators can be monitored in theoretical studies using PIC simulations. More comprehensive tests of QED-based models have also been made to account for the radiative polarization and spin-dependent reaction effects. Much more theoretical and experimental work needs to be done to obtain a complete picture of spin motion in ultra-strong relativistic electromagnetic fields.

Simulations and analytical estimates indicate that light particles like electrons can be either polarized directly by strong laser-plasma fields or preserve polarization from
pre-polarized targets. In contrast, heavy particles like protons and ions require the latter. The first such targets, which are tailored to laser applications, are in the commissioning phase. Therefore, the first successful experiments at currently available laser intensities are to be expected within the next few years. In view of this, it seems advisable to foresee options for polarized beams for the planning of next-generation accelerator facilities.
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