Corrigenda

Volume 92 (1982), 467-483

'A Kahn-Priddy sequence and a conjecture of G. W. Whitehead'

By NICHOLAS J. KUHN

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540

(Received 23 August 1983; revised 7 October 1983)

In this note we show that Propositions 5·2 and 5·3 of [1] are incorrect as stated. Thus statement (5) of Theorem 1·3 must be considered as a conjecture and certainly does not follow from our constructions. Our error does not affect any other results in the paper, and, indeed, § 5 was included mainly to provide some conceptual motivation for our constructions.

We use the notation of [1].

Recall that X_k is a wedge summand of $\Sigma^{\infty}D_2^kS^1$ and Y_k is an 'iterated cofiber' space mapping to $\Sigma^{k-1}D_2^kS^1$ such that

$$H_{ullet}(X_k) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \operatorname{Im} T_i$$
 and $H_{ullet}(\Sigma^{1-k}Y_k) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \ker p_i$.

in $H_{*}(D_{2}^{k}S^{1})$. Our mistake was in the assertion, made in the last line of the proof of Proposition 5.2, that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \operatorname{Im} T_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \ker p_{i^{\bullet}}.$$
 (*)

In fact, if $k \ge 4$, only the relation \subseteq is true. We neglected to consider elements in the kernel of $H_{\pm}(D_2D_2Y) \rightarrow H_{\pm}(D_AY)$ of the form

$$(a \bar{*} b) \bar{*} (c \bar{*} d) + (a \bar{*} c) \bar{*} (b \bar{*} d)$$

where a, b, c, and d are elements of $H_*(Y)$.

The small size of $H_*(S^1)$ easily implies that (*) is true when k=2. With more care one can check that (*) is even true when k=3. We now give what is essentially the simplest example showing that (*) is false when k=4.

Notation. Let Σ_5 denote the symmetric group on $\{1, ..., 5\}$ and let $x \in H_1(S^1)$ be the generator. If $\sigma \in \Sigma_5$ and

$$y = [(\bar{Q}^{i_{2}}\bar{x} * \bar{Q}^{i_{1}}x) * (\bar{Q}^{i_{2}}\bar{x} * \bar{Q}^{i_{2}}x)] * [(\bar{Q}^{i_{4}}\bar{x} * \bar{Q}^{i_{5}}x) * \bar{Q}^{i_{7}}\bar{Q}^{k}x] \in H_{+}(D_{2}^{4}S^{1}),$$

let $\sigma(y)$ denote the element

$$[\bar{Q}^ix \, \overline{\ast} \, \bar{Q}^{i\sigma(1)}x) \, \overline{\ast} \, \bar{Q}^{i\sigma(2)}x \, \overline{\ast} \, \bar{Q}^{i\sigma(3)}x)] \, \overline{\ast} \, [(\bar{Q}^{i\sigma(4)}x \, \overline{\ast} \, \bar{Q}^{i\sigma(5)}x) \, \overline{\ast} \, \bar{Q}^j \bar{Q}^k x].$$

Now let $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma_5$ be the permutations

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $y + \alpha(y) \in \ker p_{3^*}$ and $y + \beta(y) \in \ker p_{2^*}$ where we recall that

$$p_1 \colon D_2^4S^1 \to D_2D_2D_4S^1, \quad p_2 \colon D_2^4S^1 \to D_2D_4D_2S^1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_3 \colon D_2^4S^1 \to D_4D_2D_2S^1.$$

It is easily checked that the subgroup G generated by α and β has a presentation $\langle \alpha, \beta | \alpha^2 = \beta^2 = (\alpha \beta)^6 = 1 \rangle$ and thus can be identified with the dihedral group of order 12.

Example. Let

$$y = [(\overline{Q}{}^2x \,\overline{\ast}\, \overline{Q}{}^3x) \,\overline{\ast}\, (\overline{Q}{}^4x \,\overline{\ast}\, \overline{Q}{}^5x)] \,\overline{\ast}\, [\overline{Q}{}^6x \,\overline{\ast}\, \overline{Q}{}^7x) \,\overline{\ast}\, \overline{Q}{}^3\overline{Q}{}^1x]$$

and let $\overline{y} = \Sigma_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(y)$. Then $\overline{y} \in \ker p_{2^{\bullet}} \cap \ker p_{3^{\bullet}}$ by our observation above, and $\overline{y} \in \ker p_{1^{\bullet}}$ because $\overline{Q}{}^{3}\overline{Q}{}^{1}x$ is in the kernel of $H_{+}(D_{2}D_{2}S^{1}) \to H_{+}(D_{4}S^{1})$. \overline{y} is not in $\operatorname{Im} T_{1} \cap \operatorname{Im} T_{2} \cap \operatorname{Im} T_{3}$.

Remarks. (1) Although (*) does not hold in general, it is true when restricted to the primitives in the coalgebra $H_*(D_2^kS^1)$ (or equivalently, the subspace of 'pure wreath product elements' in $H_*(D_2^kS^1)$). (2) We do not know whether or not Y_k is a stable wedge summand in $\Sigma^{k-1}D_2^kS^1$. If it is, it would follow that $\Sigma^{k-1}X_k$ is a summand in $\Sigma^{\infty}Y_k$.

REFERENCE

[1] N. J. Kuhn. A Kahn-Priddy sequence and a conjecture of G. W. Whitehead. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 92 (1982), 467-483.