

## PROJECTIVE CHARACTERS OF DEGREE ONE AND THE INFLATION-RESTRICTION SEQUENCE

R. J. HIGGS

(Received 14 May 1987)

Communicated by H. Lausch

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\alpha$  be a fixed cocycle of  $G$  and  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  denote the set of irreducible projective characters of  $G$  lying over the cocycle  $\alpha$ .

Suppose  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the author shows that there exists a  $G$ -invariant element of  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  of degree 1 if and only if  $[\alpha]$  is an element of the image of the inflation homomorphism from  $M(G/N)$  into  $M(G)$ , where  $M(G)$  denotes the Schur multiplier of  $G$ . However in many situations one can produce such  $G$ -invariant characters where it is not intrinsically obvious that the cocycle could be inflated. Because of this the author obtains a restatement of his original result using the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre exact sequence of cohomology. This restatement not only resolves the apparent anomalies, but also yields as a corollary the well-known fact that the inflation-restriction sequence

$$\{1\} \rightarrow M(G/N) \rightarrow M(G) \rightarrow M(N)$$

is exact when  $N$  is perfect.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): 20 C 25.

*Keywords and phrases*: projective representations of finite groups.

All groups,  $G$ , considered in this paper are finite and all representations of  $G$  are defined over the complex numbers. The reader unfamiliar with projective representations is referred to [3] for basic definitions and elementary results.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate under which circumstances the following well-known corollary to Clifford's theorem can be generalized to projective characters.

---

© 1989 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/89 \$A2.00 + 0.00

Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $[\chi_N, 1_N] \neq 0$ , where  $1_N$  denotes the trivial character of  $N$ . Then  $N \leq \text{Ker}(\chi)$ .

Our generalization will take the form of answering the following question.

Let  $\alpha$  be a cocycle of  $G$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Under which necessary and sufficient conditions does there exist a  $G$ -invariant projective character of  $N$  with degree 1 and cocycle  $\alpha_N$ ?

Our motivation for investigating this problem is provided by Haggarty and Humphreys [1] who said “Given a subgroup  $L$  of  $G$ , a cocycle  $\alpha$  of  $G$  determines a cocycle  $\alpha_L$  of  $L$  by restriction. However elements which are  $\alpha_L$ -regular in  $L$  need not be  $\alpha$ -regular in  $G$ . This fact complicates the theory of projective characters.”

The implication here can be taken to be that given that every element of  $L$  is  $\alpha$ -regular (see 1.1 for this definition), then the theory of projective characters is similar to ordinary character theory. Indeed as the theory applies to induction from  $L$  to  $G$  this is the case, however we shall show in Section 1 that this is certainly not the case when looking at the restriction from  $G$  to  $L$ , where  $L \trianglelefteq G$ .

### 1. Projective characters of degree one

To avoid repetition we fix the following notation for the rest of this paper. Let  $G$  be a group,  $\alpha$  be a cocycle of  $G$ , and  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  denote the set of irreducible projective characters of  $G$  with cocycle  $\alpha$ . We shall also use without further reference the fact due to Schur that  $o([\alpha])$  in  $M(G)$  divides  $\xi(1)$  for all  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ , where  $M(G)$  denotes the Schur multiplier of  $G$ . We thus have that there is an element of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  of degree 1 if and only if  $[\alpha] = [1]$ . We now recall some more well-known facts about projective characters in a series of lemmas. We start however with a basic definition.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** An element,  $x$ , of  $G$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -regular if  $\alpha(x, g) = \alpha(g, x)$  for all  $g \in C_G(x)$ .

It is easy to check that if  $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ , then  $x$  is  $\alpha$ -regular if and only if  $x$  is  $\beta$ -regular. Also any conjugate of an  $\alpha$ -regular element is  $\alpha$ -regular, so that we may speak of the  $\alpha$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

**LEMMA 1.2.** (i) *There exists  $\beta \in [\alpha]$  such that*

$$\frac{\beta(g, x)\beta(gx, g^{-1})}{\beta(g, g^{-1})} = 1$$

*for all  $\beta$ -regular  $x \in G$ , and all  $g \in G$ .*

(ii) An element,  $x$ , of  $G$  is  $\alpha$ -regular if and only if there exists  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  such that  $\xi(x) \neq 0$ .

PROOF. See (7.2.4) and (7.2.5) of [3].

We call a cocycle satisfying the condition of 1.2(i) a class-function cocycle, in the sense that by (ii) the elements of  $\text{Proj}(G, \beta)$  are then class functions.

Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , for  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  we define the  $g$ -conjugate,  $\zeta^g$ , of  $\zeta$  by

$$\zeta^g(x) = f_\alpha(g, x)\zeta(gxg^{-1})$$

for  $g \in G$ , and all  $x \in N$ ; where  $f_\alpha(g, x) = \alpha(g, x)\alpha(gx, g^{-1})/\alpha(g, g^{-1})$ . This defines an action of  $G$  on  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  for which Clifford's theorem holds. Having defined our action we can now begin to look at the relationship between  $\alpha_N$ -regular elements of  $N$  and  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ .

LEMMA 1.3. Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $x$  be an  $\alpha_N$ -regular element of  $N$ . Then for all  $g \in G$ ,  $x^g$  is  $\alpha_N$ -regular.

PROOF. Let  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  such that  $\zeta(x) \neq 0$ , and  $g \in G$ . Then  $\zeta^g \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  and  $\zeta^g(x^g) = c\zeta(x)$  for some  $c \neq 0$ . Thus  $x^g$  is  $\alpha_N$ -regular.

Our next result shows that  $G$ -invariance, not surprisingly, does not depend upon the choice of cocycle from  $[\alpha]$ .

LEMMA 1.4. Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $\mu: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be a mapping with  $\mu(1) = 1$ , and

$$\beta(g, h) = \frac{\mu(g)\mu(h)}{\mu(gh)}\alpha(g, h) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G.$$

Suppose  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N) = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_t\}$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{Proj}(N, \beta_N) = \{\mu_N\zeta_1, \dots, \mu_N\zeta_t\}$ ;
- (ii) for  $g \in G$ ,  $\zeta_i^g = \zeta_j$  if and only if  $(\mu_N\zeta_i)^g = \mu_N\zeta_j$ .

PROOF. (i) See pages 72–73 of [3].

(ii) Let  $g \in G$ . Then for all  $x \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_N\zeta_i)^g(x) &= f_\beta(g, x)(\mu_N\zeta_i)(gxg^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{\beta(g, x)\beta(gx, g^{-1})}{\beta(g, g^{-1})}\mu(gxg^{-1})\zeta_i(gxg^{-1}) \\ &= f_\alpha(g, x)\mu(x)\zeta_i(gxg^{-1}) = \mu(x)\zeta_i^g(x). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1.5. Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , and let  $\text{inf}$  denote the inflation homomorphism from  $M(G/N)$  into  $M(G)$ . Then there exists a  $G$ -invariant  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$  if and only if  $[\alpha] \in \text{Im}(\text{inf})$ .

PROOF. Suppose there exists a  $G$ -invariant  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$ . Let  $P$  be an irreducible projective representation of  $G$  with cocycle  $\alpha$  which has  $\delta$  as a constituent of  $P_N$ . Then, if  $P$  has degree  $n$ , we have that  $P$  induces a homomorphism  $\bar{P}: G/N \rightarrow PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$  defined by  $\bar{P}(gN) = \Pi(P(g))$  for all  $g \in G$ , where  $\Pi$  denotes the natural homomorphism from  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  onto  $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Now any section of  $\bar{P}$  will be a projective representation of  $G/N$  with some cocycle  $\beta$  of  $G/N$ , moreover  $[\beta]$  does not depend on the choice of section, and it is clear that  $\text{inf}([\beta]) = [\alpha]$ .

Conversely, suppose  $[\alpha] = \text{inf}([\beta])$  for some  $[\beta] \in M(G/N)$ . Then regarding  $\beta$  as a cocycle of  $G$  we have that the trivial character,  $1_N$ , of  $N$  is an element of  $\text{Proj}(N, \beta_N)$ . As such  $1_N$  is  $G$ -invariant since  $\beta$  is constant on the cosets of  $N$  in  $G$ , and hence there exists a  $G$ -invariant  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$  by 1.4.

This result would appear to have easily answered our original question. However we shall now demonstrate that in certain circumstances it is possible to produce  $G$ -invariant projective characters of degree 1 in situations where it is not intrinsically obvious that the cocycle could be inflated.

LEMMA 1.6. *Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $[\alpha_N] = [1]$ . Then there exists  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N', \alpha_{N'})$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$  such that  $\delta$  is  $G$ -invariant.*

PROOF. Since  $[\alpha_N] = [1]$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \{\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N) : \delta(1) = 1\}$  is non-empty and  $G$  acts upon it. Now for  $\delta \in \mathcal{A}$  we have that  $\delta_{N'}$  is irreducible, and so by Clifford's theorem there exists a bijection from  $\text{Irr}(N/N')$  onto  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda\delta$ . Now if  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  such that  $\delta$  is a constituent of  $\xi_N$ , we have that  $\xi_N = e(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_t)$ , where  $\delta = \delta_1, \dots, \delta_t$  are the distinct  $G$ -conjugates of  $\delta$ . Thus  $\xi_{N'} = e\delta_{N'}$ , and so  $\delta_{N'}$  is  $G$ -invariant.

It is obvious that if there is a  $G$ -invariant element of  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  of degree 1, then necessarily every element of  $N$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. One could conjecture, falsely as it happens, that this was also a sufficient condition, but our next major result shows that to some extent this conjecture would be justified.

LEMMA 1.7. *Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $x$  be an  $\alpha_N$ -regular element of  $N$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_N$  is class-function cocycle of  $N$ . Then for each  $g \in G$  and all  $y \in N$ ,*

$$f_\alpha(g, x) = f_\alpha(g, x^y).$$

PROOF. By 1.2 and 1.3 we may let  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  such that  $\zeta(gxg^{-1}) \neq 0$ . Now for  $g \in G$  and all  $y \in N$  we have that

$$\zeta^g(x) = f_\alpha(g, x)\zeta(gxg^{-1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\zeta^g)^{y^{-1}}(x) &= f_\alpha(y^{-1}, x)\zeta^g(x^y) \\
 &= \zeta^g(x^y), \quad \text{since } \alpha_N \text{ is a class-function cocycle;} \\
 &= f_\alpha(g, x^y)\zeta(gx^y g^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

But  $gxg^{-1}$  and  $gx^y g^{-1}$  are conjugate in  $N$ , since  $G$  permutes the classes of  $N$ . Thus since  $\alpha_N$  is a class-function cocycle we have that both  $\zeta(gx^y g^{-1}) = \zeta(gxg^{-1}) \neq 0$ , and  $\zeta^g(x) = \zeta^g(x^y)$ , hence  $f_\alpha(g, x) = f_\alpha(g, x^y)$ .

**THEOREM 1.8.** *Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ;  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_r$  be the  $\alpha_N$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $N$  fixed by  $g \in G$ , and  $x_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_N$  is a class-function cocycle of  $N$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^r f_\alpha(g, x_i)$  is the number of  $g$ -invariant elements of  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ .*

**PROOF (BRAUER, ISAACS).** Let  $\zeta_i, \mathcal{E}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  denote respectively the elements of  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  and the  $\alpha_N$ -regular classes of  $N$ . Let  $x_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$ , if  $\mathcal{E}_i^g = \mathcal{E}_j$  we shall write  $x_i^g = x_j$ . For  $g \in G$ , we define  $A(g) = (a_{ij})$ , where  $a_{ij} = 1$ , if  $\zeta_i^g = \zeta_j$  and is zero otherwise. We also define  $B(g) = (b_{ij})$ , where  $b_{ij} = f_\alpha(g, x_j)$  if  $\mathcal{E}_i^g = \mathcal{E}_j$  and is zero otherwise. We note from 1.7 that  $f_\alpha(g, x_j)$  is independent of the choice of  $x_j \in \mathcal{E}_j$ . Finally let  $P = (p_{ij})$ , where  $p_{ij} = \zeta_i(x_j)$ . Then we have that the  $(l, m)$ th entry of  $A(g)P$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^t a_{lj}\zeta_j(x_m) = \zeta_l^g(x_m)$ ; whereas the  $(l, m)$ th entry of  $PB(g)$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^t \zeta_l(x_j)b_{jm} = f_\alpha(g, x_m)\zeta_l(gx_m g^{-1}) = \zeta_l^g(x_m).$$

Thus  $P^{-1}A(g)P = B(g)$  and so  $\text{trace}(A(g)) = \text{trace}(B(g))$ . But  $\text{trace}(A(g))$  is the number of  $g$ -invariant elements of  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ , whereas  $\text{trace}(B(g)) = \sum_{i \in I} f_\alpha(g, x_i)$  where  $I = \{i : \mathcal{E}_i^g = \mathcal{E}_i\}$ .

As applications of the above theorem we have the following results.

**COROLLARY 1.9.** *Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , and suppose that every element of  $N$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. Then each  $g \in G$  fixes at least one element of  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ .*

**PROOF.** By 1.4(ii) we may assume that  $\alpha$  is a class-function cocycle of  $G$ . Let  $g \in G$ , then by 1.8 the number of  $g$ -invariant elements of  $\text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  equals the number of classes of  $N$  fixed by  $g$ .

**COROLLARY 1.10.** *Let  $N$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/C_G(N)$  is cyclic. Suppose that every element of  $N$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. Then there exists  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$  which is  $G$ -invariant.*

**PROOF.** Let  $C = C_G(N)$  and  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ . Then  $\delta(1) = 1$  and  $C$  is a subgroup of the inertia subgroup,  $I_G(\delta)$ , of  $\delta$  in  $G$ , since  $N$  is abelian and every

element of  $N$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. Let  $g \in G$  such that  $\langle gC \rangle = G/C$ , then by 1.9  $g$  fixes some  $\delta' \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ . Thus  $G = \langle g, C \rangle \leq I_G(\delta')$ .

It is interesting to note that Mangold in (5.1) of [7] claimed that every element of  $G$  is  $\alpha$ -regular if and only if  $[\alpha] = [1]$ . The first of the following examples demonstrates that this is in fact false in general for a non-abelian group, and hence also shows that the condition of every element of  $G$  being  $\alpha$ -regular is not even sufficient generally, to guarantee the existence of an element of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  of degree 1.

**EXAMPLES.** Let  $p$  be a prime number, and  $H$  be the ‘‘einfachste’’ representation group for  $(C_p)^4$  as in (3.5.4) of [3], so that  $|H| = p^{10}$  and  $H = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 : x_i^p = [x_i, x_j, x_k] = 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4 \rangle$ .

Let  $s = [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4]$  and  $A = \langle s \rangle$ , so that  $A \leq Z(H) \cap H'$  and  $|A| = p$ . It is easy to show that no non-trivial element of  $A$  is a commutator, see [5] for a generalization of this result. Now let  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(A)$  be defined by  $\lambda(s^j) = \omega^j$  for  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p}$ , and let  $\alpha$  be the cocycle of  $G_1 = H/A$  constructed in the normal way from  $\lambda$ , see pages 180–182 of [2] for example. Then by construction  $o([\alpha]) = p$ . Now with the definition and results of pages 195–197 of [2], we have that every element of  $G_1$  is ‘ $\lambda$ -special’ trivially, and hence every element of  $G_1$  is  $\alpha$ -regular.

For a different type of example let  $B = \langle s, t \rangle$  where  $t = [x_1, x_3]$ ,  $M = \langle x_1, x_3, A \rangle$ , and define  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(B)$  by  $\mu(s^j t^k) = \lambda(s^j)$ . One can then check that every element of  $N = M/B$  is  $\mu$ -special, but that not every element of  $MZ(H)/Z(H)$  is  $\nu$ -special for any extension,  $\nu$ , of  $\mu$  to  $Z(H)$ . So if  $\beta$  is the cocycle of  $G_2 = H/B$  constructed from  $\mu$ , we have shown that every element of the abelian group  $N$  is  $\beta$ -regular, but that no element of  $\text{Proj}(N, \beta)$  can be  $G_2$ -invariant.

## 2. The inflation-restriction sequence

Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Then we have the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre exact sequence of cohomology:

$$\begin{aligned} \{1\} \rightarrow H^1(G/N, \mathbb{C}^*) &\xrightarrow{\text{inf}_1} H^1(G, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_1} H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*)^G \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{tra}} M(G/N) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}_2} M(G) \end{aligned}$$

where the action of all groups on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is trivial, see [6, page 354].

It is clear that we may replace  $M(G)$  in this exact sequence by  $M(G)^\# = \{[\alpha] \in M(G) : [\alpha_N] = [1]\}$ . In this section we shall extend this new sequence one term to the right, and in doing so we shall give a practical test to see whether an element of  $M(G)^\#$  is in the image of  $\text{inf}_2$ . Thus it is 1.5 which connects the results of Section 1 to those of this section.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $N \leq G$ ,  $\alpha$  be a cocycle of  $G$  such that  $[\alpha] \in M(G)^\#$ , and  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$ . Then

(i) the mapping  $\alpha' : G/N \rightarrow H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*)$  defined by  $\alpha'(gN) = \delta/\delta^g$  is a crossed homomorphism;

(ii) the mapping  $\tau : M(G)^\# \rightarrow H^1(G/N, H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*))$  defined by  $\tau([\alpha]) = [\alpha']$  is a homomorphism.

PROOF. (i) Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $\delta^g, \delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ , and so since  $\delta(1) = 1$  we have that  $\delta/\delta^g \in H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Now let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , and suppose that  $g_1x = g_2$  for  $x \in N$ . Then

$$\alpha'(g_2N) = \frac{\delta}{\delta^{g_1x}} = \frac{\delta}{(\delta^{g_1})^x} = \frac{\delta}{\delta^{g_1}} = \alpha'(g_1N),$$

since  $N \leq I_G(\delta^{g_1})$ . Thus  $\alpha'$  is well defined. Finally let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Then

$$\alpha'(g_1g_2N) = \frac{\delta}{\delta^{g_1g_2}} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta^{g_1}}\right)^{g_2} \frac{\delta}{\delta^{g_2}} = (\alpha'(g_1N))^{g_2N} \alpha'(g_2N).$$

(ii) Suppose  $\beta \in [\alpha]$ , and let  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be a mapping with  $\mu(1) = 1$  such that

$$\beta(g, h) = \frac{\mu(g)\mu(h)}{\mu(gh)}\alpha(g, h) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G.$$

Let  $\nu \in \text{Proj}(N, \beta_N)$  with  $\nu(1) = 1$ . Then by 1.4 we have that  $\nu = \mu_N\delta_1$  for some  $\delta_1 \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ . But  $\delta_1 = \lambda\delta$  for  $\lambda \in H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*)$  as in the proof of 1.6. Thus

$$\frac{\nu}{\nu^g} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^g} \frac{\mu_N\delta}{(\mu_N\delta)^g} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^g} \frac{\delta}{\delta^g}$$

as in the proof of 1.4, and so  $\tau$  is well defined. Clearly  $\tau$  is a homomorphism.

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $N \leq G$ . Then the sequence

$$M(G/N) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} M(G)^\# \xrightarrow{\tau} H^1(G/N, H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*))$$

is exact.

PROOF. By 1.5 we have that  $\text{Im}(\text{inf}) \leq \text{Ker}(\tau)$ . Let  $[\alpha] \in \text{Ker}(\tau)$ . Then for  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$ , we have that  $\delta/\delta^g = \lambda/\lambda^g$  for some  $\lambda \in H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . But then  $\delta\lambda^{-1}$  is  $G$ -invariant, and so by 1.5 we obtain that  $[\alpha] \in \text{Im}(\text{inf})$ .

The above theorem can be regarded as a generalization of a result of Read, see (4.4.5) of [3], which deals with the special case when  $N$  is a central subgroup of  $G$ . We now mention some applications of 2.2, the first being well known.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $N$  be a perfect normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the sequence*

$$\{1\} \rightarrow M(G/N) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} M(G) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} M(N)$$

*is exact.*

**PROOF.** We start by noting that  $H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*) = \{1\}$ , since  $N' = N$ . Thus by 2.2 we have that  $\text{Ker}(\text{res}) = M(G)^\# = \text{Im}(\text{inf})$ .

Our next result was used by Liebler and Yellen in (2.4) of [4] to help prove that groups of central type are solvable.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , and suppose that  $(|G/N|, |N/N'|) = 1$ . Then  $M(G)^\# = \text{Im}(\text{inf})$ .*

**PROOF.** By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem we have that  $H^1(G/N, H^1(N, \mathbb{C}^*))$  is trivial, and so the desired result is immediate from 2.2.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Suppose  $G$  is metacyclic, and let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  such that both  $N$  and  $G/N$  are cyclic. Then  $M(G)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $H^1(G/N, N)$ .*

**PROOF.** From 2.2 we have that the sequence

$$\{1\} \rightarrow M(G) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^1(G/N, \text{Irr}(N))$$

is exact, since  $M(G)^\# = M(G)$ . Thus  $\tau$  is a monomorphism.

For our last application we can now explain the result of 1.6.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $N_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq G$  with  $N_2 \leq N_1, T$  denote the image of  $\text{res}: H^1(N_1, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow H^1(N_2, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , and  $M(G)^\#_i = \{[\alpha] \in M(G) : [\alpha_{N_i}] = [1]\}$ . Then the homomorphism  $\tau: M(G)^\#_2 \rightarrow H^1(G/N_2, H^1(N_2, \mathbb{C}^*))$  defined in 2.1, induces by restriction to  $M(G)^\#_1$  a homomorphism from  $M(G)^\#_1$  into  $H^1(G/N_2, T)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $[\alpha] \in M(G)^\#_1$ , and  $\delta \in \text{Proj}(N_1, \alpha_{N_1})$  with  $\delta(1) = 1$ . Then by 2.1 we have that  $\tau([\alpha]) = [\alpha']$ , where  $\alpha'(gN_2) = (\frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma})_{N_2} \in T$ .

In the situation of 1.6 we have that  $N_2 = N'_1$  and so  $T = \{1\}$ , with the above notation. Thus by 2.2 we obtain that  $M(G)^\#_1$  is a subgroup of  $\text{inf}: M(G/N'_1) \rightarrow M(G)$ .

### References

- [1] R. J. Haggarty and J. F. Humphreys, 'Projective characters of finite groups', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **36** (1978), 176–192.
- [2] I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups* (Pure and Applied Mathematics, a Series of Monographs and Textbooks 69, Academic Press, New York, London, 1976).
- [3] G. Karpilovsky, *Projective representations of finite groups* (Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 94, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985).
- [4] R. A. Liebler and J. E. Yellen, 'In search of nonsolvable groups of central type', *Pacific J. Math.* **82** (1979) 485–492.
- [5] I. D. Macdonald, 'Commutators and their products', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **93** (1986), 440–443.
- [6] S. Mac Lane, *Homology* (Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen 114, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967).
- [7] Ruth Mangold, 'Beitrage zur Theorie der Darstellungen endlicher Gruppen durch Kollinationen', *Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen* **69** (1966), 1–44.

Department of Mathematics  
University College Dublin  
Belfield  
Dublin 4, Ireland