Internal accumulation in firn and its significance for the mass balance of Storglaciären, Sweden
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ABSTRACT. The discussion on global change has led to increased interest in glacier mass balance since glaciers can be used as climatic indicators. To meet the need for high-quality mass-balance data requires critical examination of traditional mass-balance methods and their possible errors. One issue regarding mass-balance measurements that has received little attention is internal accumulation. Our study shows that internal accumulation in the firn layer of Storglaciären, Sweden, significantly affects the mass balance of the glacier. This occurs because the winter cold wave penetrates below the previous year’s summer surface and into underlying firn. We estimated internal accumulation from measurements of temperature and water content in firn. The depth of the 0°C isotherm correlated with snow depth and air temperature, so that low snow depth and low air temperature separately cause a deeper 0°C isotherm. We determined irreducible gravimetric water content in firn to 2–3%, which corresponds to an irreducible water saturation of 6–8%. Our value for firn is relatively high compared with that for snow, probably due to trapped water in isolated firn pores. Refreezing of percolating meltwater in spring accounted for ~30% of annual internal accumulation. The remaining 70% was due to re-freezing of retained capillary water in firn pores during winter. Disregarding internal accumulation would lead to underestimation of annual net mass balance by 0.04–0.06 m w.e., corresponding to 3–5% of annual accumulation of the entire glacier in an average year. Hence, internal accumulation potentially becomes a source for systematic error if not accounted for in mass-balance measurements.

INTRODUCTION

Glaciers are important for storage of water resources (Jansson and others, 2003). Changes in long-term storage from climate change are of interest to, for example, water-stressed societies that depend on glacier melt for agricultural production. Hence, providing accurate glacier mass-change estimates (Dyurgerov and Meier, 1997) becomes important and with that mass-balance determination on reference glaciers (Fountain and others, 1999). This paper deals with the contribution of so-called internal accumulation to glacier mass balance.

Internal accumulation can be defined in two ways. It can be viewed as mass added to the firn by freezing percolating water and water trapped in temperate firn. This is the definition commonly used for mass-balance work (Trabant and Mayo, 1983; Østrem and Brugman, 1991) since it involves mass added to the glacier that would escape detection by traditional mass-balance measurement techniques. However, from the point of view of an energy-balance approach, internal accumulation can also comprise water refreezing in the annual snowpack. This water is generated by melting at the snow surface but may refreeze lower down only to again be subject to melting at a later stage of a melt season. Hence such water is melted several times and may be accounted more than once when comparing mass loss to melt potential. The term internal accumulation is thus ambiguous between disciplines.

In this paper, we define internal accumulation as ice formation within a glacier due to freezing of liquid water that is not accounted for by traditional mass-balance measurements. Hence, internal accumulation is produced through two processes: (1) freezing of percolating water in cold snow and firn, and (2) freezing of water trapped by capillary action in snow and firn by the winter cold. This formation becomes significant for mass balance when the winter cold wave in the accumulation area penetrates below the summer surface of the previous year and when the firn layer is warmed to temperate conditions through refreezing of percolating water in summer. Internal accumulation introduces a systematic error into the mass-balance calculation, and methods to determine whether the contribution is significant or not need improvement.

Internal accumulation and superimposed ice formation are caused by the same processes. Whereas superimposed ice forms above the previous year’s summer surface and hence does not evade accounting in standard mass-balance measurements (Østrem and Brugman, 1991), internal accumulation occurs below the previous year’s summer surface and hence adds to mass accounted for during past mass-balance measurements but not accounted for during the year in question. Hence this adds mass to a glacier which by standard techniques (Østrem and Brugman, 1991) is considered lost through ablation.

Internal accumulation was recognized in many early studies (Hughes and Seligman, 1939; Ahlmann and Eriksson, 1946; Shumskii, 1964) but was often regarded as insig-
significant for mass balance. Often, only refreezing of percolating water was considered (Paterson, 1994). Trabant and Mayo (1985) extended the work of Bazhev (1973) and developed a method for estimating internal accumulation from minimum firn temperature at the snow–firn interface. Trabant and Mayo found that internal accumulation contributed 7–64% of the annual accumulation on Alaskan glaciers and that internal accumulation occurs in all glaciers with water percolation and seasonal negative temperature in the firn layer. Trabant and Benson (1986) estimated internal accumulation together with superimposed ice formation on McCall Glacier, Alaska, to ~40% of the annual net accumulation for the years 1969–72.

Our aim was to improve on methods of quantifying internal accumulation in firn and to determine its significance for the mass balance of Storglaciären. Storglaciären (Fig. 1) is a small polythermal valley glacier in northern Sweden with a cold surface layer in the ablation area (Petersson and others, 2003). The cold surface layer is analogous to permafrost, and results from a negative energy balance at the glacier surface. In the accumulation area, the cooled surface layer can easily be warmed to melting temperature each year through percolation and refreezing of surface meltwater (Schneider, 2001), thus maintaining the accumulation area of the glacier under temperate conditions. Detailed mass-balance measurements have been carried out since 1946 (Holmlund and Jansson, 1999). Jansson (1999a) estimated uncertainties in measured variables on the calculated mass balance to be ~0.1 m w.e., Östling and Hooke (1986) calculated refreezing of percolating water from the cold content of the snow layer on Storglaciären in spring (Hooke and others, 1983) to 0.02 m w.e. However, they did not consider refreezing of capillary water during winter. Holmlund (1987) used the term internal accumulation for the spring component only and estimated refreezing of percolating water from mean values of air temperature, mass balance and firm density to 0.03 m w.e. (2% of annual winter balance, \( b_w \)).

Accurate determination of internal accumulation requires information on both temperature and water content in the firn layer. Therefore, we measured temperature profiles in several boreholes in the accumulation area; physical characteristics and water content of firn were determined from a firn core. We obtained values for internal accumulation of 0.04–0.06 m w.e. (3–5% of \( b_w \)), indicating that the contribution of internal accumulation in firn may be of similar magnitude to that in snow. Although the annual contribution of internal accumulation to the mass balance of the glacier is small, its systematic nature indicates a significant influence in the longer term.

THEORY AND PROCESSES OF INTERNAL ACCUMULATION

Internal accumulation, \( b_I \), occurs by two processes: refreezing of percolating meltwater in cold firn, \( b_p \), and freezing of water held by capillary forces, \( b_c \). (Terminology and abbreviations in the following largely follow Mayo and others (1972) and Trabant and Mayo (1985).) Thus, \( b_I \) can be described by

\[
b_I = b_p + b_c.
\]

\( b_p \) is the maximum internal accumulation for a given temperature profile and given by

\[
b_p = C_p L^{-1} \int_{H_0}^{H_a} \rho_i \Delta T \, dh; \tag{1}
\]

\( b_c \) is calculated from the irreducible water content by

\[
b_c = \int_{H_{se}}^{H_a} (1 - \rho_i \rho_i^{-1}) \, dh. \tag{2}
\]

In Equations (1) and (2), \( \rho_i \) is firm density, \( \rho_i \) is ice density, \( C_p \) is specific heat of ice, \( \Delta T \) is temperature increase in K at depth \( h \) due to refreezing of percolating water, \( L \) is latent heat of fusion of ice, \( H_0 \) is the depth of the snow–firm interface, \( H_{se} \) is the depth of the previous year’s summer surface, \( H_a \) is the maximum depth of the 0°C isotherm at the end of winter and \( \rho_i \) is irreducible water saturation, which is the

Fig. 1. Location map of Storglaciären (67.9°N, 18.5°E) showing locations of temperature measurements and core drillings.
volume of water divided by pore volume when all free water drained the firn.

Firn porosity, \( n \), is the ratio of pore volume to total volume. It can be calculated from firn density, \( \rho_f \), and gravimetric water content, \( \theta_m \), by

\[
    n = 1 - \frac{\theta_m \rho_i}{\rho_f},
\]

where \( \theta_m \) is defined as the ratio between the mass of liquid water in the firn and the mass of the total firn sample and \( \rho_i \) is density of ice. When all free water has drained, the remaining water is held in firn, against gravity, by capillary and adhesive forces; this is called irreducible water content, \( \theta_i \). According to Equation (2), \( S_{wi} \) is needed to calculate the capillary component of internal accumulation. \( S_{wi} \) can be calculated from \( \theta_{mi} \) as

\[
    S_{wi} = \frac{\theta_{mi} \rho_i}{n},
\]

where \( \rho_w \) is density of water. Equation (2) differs from the definition of Trabant and Mayo (1985) who used \( H_d \) as the upper boundary of the active layer for both components of internal accumulation. Trabant and Mayo (1985) did not consider that liquid water in the annual snow layer is determined as part of the summer balance when density is measured in fall.

To visualize the processes acting in the firn area, we can use the following conceptual model. In spring, a cold, dry snow-and-firm cover overlies a temperate firn layer (Fig. 2a). There is no free water. In late spring—early summer, temperature in the firn layer rapidly rises to 0°C from the heat released as percolating meltwater refreezes (Fig. 2b). Due to refreezing of percolating meltwater in the layer between \( H_d \) and \( H_a \), additional ice is accumulated which was not measured by normal mass-balance methods (Ostrem and Brugman, 1991). The cold content of the firn layer determines the magnitude of this component (Equation (1)). Surplus meltwater is trapped in small pores, veins and three-grain intersections (Fig. 2c). Melting continues and water saturation in the firn layer rises from 0 at the end of winter (Fig. 2a) to \( S_{wi} \) in early summer (Fig. 2c). When water saturation exceeds \( S_{wi} \), an outflow of water from the firm layer starts (\( S_{wi} > S_{wi} \)). In fall (Fig. 2c), water input at the surface ceases, all movable water drains, and water saturation reaches its minimum, \( S_{wi} \). Summer balance is determined in fall (Fig. 2c) and snow density is measured down to the depth of the previous year’s summer surface, \( H_s \). Trapped capillary water in the snow layer is part of measured snow density and does not need to be considered for internal accumulation. Capillary water below \( H_s \) down to \( H_b \), on the other hand, is not measured. This water freezes during the following winter (Fig. 2d) and thus contributes to accumulation. The mass of internally accumulated capillary water is calculated using Equation (2). At the end of the following winter a new cycle of refreezing of percolating meltwater, firm warming and wetting and refreezing of capillary water starts. The active layer of internal accumulation can undergo several cycles until it leaves the zone of subfreezing temperatures. Refreezing of capillary water below the previous year’s summer surface extends the mass-balance year to the following winter, and the annual layer to the depth of the 0°C isotherm.

As mentioned above, \( H_d \) and \( H_b \) delimit the active layer of internal accumulation. This is different along the firm line, which is where the transition between firm and ice emerges on the glacier surface. In this zone, maximal firm depth, \( H_f \), is smaller than \( H_b \) and confines the active layer. The accumulation area can thus be divided into an area of

---

Fig. 2. Processes of internal accumulation in firm of a temperate glacier. \( S_w \) is the water saturation; \( S_{wi} \) is the irreducible water saturation; \( T \) is the firn temperature; \( H_d \) is the depth of the snow–firm interface; \( H_s \) is the depth to the previous year’s summer surface; \( H_b \) is the depth to the temperate snow or firm. See text for a detailed explanation.

---
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maximum internal accumulation $A_{\text{max}}$, in which $H > H_0$, and an area with reduced internal accumulation $A_{\text{red}}$, where $H \leq H_0$ (Fig. 3). Total internal accumulation in firn, $b_{\text{tot}}$ was estimated by

$$b_{\text{tot}} = b_t A_{\text{max}} + 0.5 A_{\text{red}}$$

(5)

where $A$ is the total area of the glacier.

**METHODS**

In order to estimate the contribution of internal accumulation to the mass balance of Storglaciären, we need to establish the necessary parameters for Equations (1) and (2) and apply the calculations to the entire accumulation area. Because of the complexity of the measurements needed to establish the internal accumulation at a point, we have concentrated on careful sampling at one site and investigated how these measurements can be extrapolated for the accumulation area.

**Water content**

In order to determine internal accumulation, firn samples must be collected just before surface melting and percolation of water commence. Two firn cores were therefore drilled at site 29 (Fig. 1) in May 1998 and 1999, a few weeks before melting started in each year. A Polar Ice Coring Office (PICO) auger was used, obtaining a 7.5 cm diameter core. The cores were weighed immediately after drilling with a digital scale (±1 g) to determine density.

Because the active layer of internal accumulation (Fig 2) was frozen, water content could not be determined in this layer. Water content in snow decreases with decreasing porosity (Coléou and Lesaffre, 1998). As porosity usually decreases with depth, water content measured just below the

$0^\circ$C isotherm should thus yield a minimum value for the water content in the active layer.

Measurements of water content and porosity are difficult. The most critical point for measuring the free-water content of temperate firn is that the temperature has to be kept at $0^\circ$C throughout the analysis. To minimize melting of ice or freezing of free water, analyses must be carried out in the field. Due to such problems, only the 1999 core could be used to determine water content. Colbeck (1978) reviewed different methods and showed through an error analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results and that, for example, calorimetric analysis that only direct measurements of liquid volume gave reasonable results.

Liquid-water content in firn in the present investigation was determined by adapting a dilution method originally developed for snow (Davis and others, 1985). An aqueous salt solution is diluted when mixed with wet snow or firn.
The change in concentration is the base for the measurements. The temperature of all tools and solutions was constant at 0°C (±0.1°C) by placing them in plastic bottles in an ice bath. A section of a firn core (3 × 20 cm) of mass \( m_f \) was crushed with a wooden stick in a 1.5 L stainless-steel thermos bottle. The firn sample was weighed with a digital scale with an accuracy of 0.01 g. A stock solution (0.01 N HCl) of mass \( m_s \) and of known impurity concentration, \( c_s \), was mixed thoroughly for 30–60 s with the firn sample and was diluted by the liquid water in the sample. The mixed solution was decanted into a plastic beaker and extracted using a syringe with a 40 μm filter to eliminate small ice grains. The impurity concentration of the mixed stock solution, \( c_m \), was then measured. The gravimetric liquid-water content of the firn sample, \( \theta_m \), was then calculated as

\[
\theta_m = \frac{m_w}{m_f} = \frac{m_w}{m_t} = 1 - \frac{c_m}{c_s} = \frac{c_s - c_w}{c_s}.
\]  

Concentration was determined from electrical conductivity, \( \kappa_s \), of the solution measured with a standard conductivity meter (WTW LF 340). Conductivity depends on temperature and is not linear to the concentration of HCl. All measurements were carried out at 0°C and the measurements were normalized to 25°C automatically by the conductivity meter. The relationship between concentration ratio and conductivity ratio is

\[
\frac{c_m}{c_s} = \left(\frac{\kappa_m}{\kappa_s}\right)^q.
\]  

The exponent, \( q \), was determined from tables to be 1.0383 for HCl in the concentration range 0.005–0.01 N and a temperature of 25°C (Hammer and DeWane, 1970). Electrical conductivity of liquid water stored in the firm, \( \kappa_w \), was 10⁻³ mS⁻¹, whereas electrical conductivity of the stock solution and the diluted stock solution, \( \kappa_s \) and \( \kappa_m \), were in the region of 4.8 mS cm⁻¹, resulting in \( c_w/c_s < 0.002 \), whereas \( c_m/c_s \sim 0.95 \). Accordingly, the term \( c_w/c_s \) in Equation (6) could be neglected.

Temperature measurements

Firm temperature was measured continuously in 1 m intervals along a vertical profile by type-K thermocouples and a Campbell Scientific CR10 data logger from May 1997 to December 1999 (29 in Fig. 1). A reference temperature was measured with a Campbell Scientific TI07 probe with an accuracy of ±0.2°C. In addition, temperature was measured in several boreholes in the firn area in spring 1998 and 1999 (Fig. 1). These boreholes were drilled with a steam drill (Heucke, 1999) and had a diameter of ≈3.5 cm. A platinum thermistor (Pt100) was lowered into the hole and pressed against the hole wall by a spring. Temperature was measured with an accuracy of ±0.1°C in 0.2–1 m intervals to determine the depth of the 0°C isotherm.

Air temperature was measured at 28S3 (Fig. 1) with a Pt100 thermistor and a Campbell Scientific CR10 data logger during the periods May–November 1997 and May–October 1998 and 1999. The measurements during the available winter months, September, October and May, were correlated with air temperature at Tarfala Research Station (TRS). The regression equation was used to estimate mean winter air temperature in the accumulation area. The site could not be occupied during the remaining months because of the accumulating snow and logistic difficulties in regularly visiting the site.

Firm limit and firn depth

According to Equations (1) and (2) \( H_w, H_{sf} \) and \( H_0 \) must be known to calculate internal accumulation. \( H_0 \) was determined from the firm temperature profile. \( H_w \) and \( H_{sf} \) were determined from snow probing and stake readings carried out by the TRS.

In order to use Equation (5), the firm–ice transition depth must be determined. Minimum water level in spring, which can be used as a measure for the firm–ice transition (Tartan, 1989), was determined in several boreholes at location 29 to ~18.5 m below the snow surface. These point measurements were augmented by ground-penetrating radar measurements to determine the spatial distribution of the firm–ice transition. The radar sounding profile from stake 29 to stake 20 (Fig. 4) shows several radar reflections, which are caused by variations in density, firn structure and water content. The white line follows a layer, which could be the firm–ice transition. It correlates with minimum water level at 29 and the depth where firm density reached 850–900 kg m⁻³ (Fig. 5). The layer intersects the 0°C isotherm.
~400 m down-glacier of stake 29 and emerges at the surface just above stake 20 (Fig. 4).

The location of the firn limit was determined from aerial photographs taken in 1998 and 1999. Note that the firn limit is not the annual snowline. The firn limit is similar to the mean equilibrium line when the glacier is in balance with the present climate. On a glacier with negative mass balance, the snowline is located higher than the firn limit, and vice versa on glaciers with positive mass balance. The firn-free areas are shaded dark in Figure 6. The firn area is ~49% of the total glacier area. The mapping shows that the radar profile was located in a corridor of firn between areas of net mass loss, which explains the shallow firn depth (Fig. 4). The reflecting layer in the radar image changes inclination ~150 m from the firn limit. Using this inclination in Figure 3, the horizontal distance between the firn limit and the

---

**Fig. 6. Areas of maximum, reduced and no internal accumulation on Storglaciären. Firn limit determined from aerial and terrestrial photographs in August 1998 and September 1999.**

**Fig. 7. Firn density, \( \rho \), porosity, \( n \), gravimetric water content, \( \theta_m \), and water saturation, \( S_w \), in core C2-99 at location 29, Storglaciären, May 1999. Ice lenses are shown as shaded areas.**

**Fig. 8. Irreducible water content, \( \theta_{ml} \), and porosity, \( n \). Snow data from Colliou and Lesaffre (1998) (open circles) and firn data from core C2-99 at location 29 on Storglaciären at 11.5–18.6 m depth (filled circles).**
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The border between $A_{\text{max}}$ and $A_{\text{red}}$ was estimated to be 100 m. A 100 m transition zone was then drawn around all firn-free areas (light shaded in Fig. 6) in which $H < H_0$. The remaining firn area (white) is where $H > H_0$ and where internal accumulation was not limited by the firn–ice transition.

RESULTS

Water content

Densities of the firn cores drilled in different years, C1-98 and C2-99, were relatively similar (Fig. 5). Both cores showed frequent ice lenses, with no systematic increase of ice lenses with depth. $S_{\text{vol}}$ and $n$ were calculated according to Equations (4) and (6). Porosity decreased with depth due to increasing overburden pressure and progressive snow metamorphism (Fig. 7). Gravimetric water content varied between 2% and 6%, with higher values above ice lenses than below, but with no systematic correlation with porosity. Water saturation increased from ~8% just below the 0°C isotherm to 50–80% in the water-saturated part of the firn. In zones with ice lenses, water saturation was generally higher. Constant water content with depth, combined with decreasing porosity, leads to increasing water saturation (Fig. 7; Equation (4)). The firn in the zone of the active layer (~4.5–12 m; Fig. 5) had a density of 600–750 kg m$^{-3}$, which corresponds to a porosity of 0.18–0.35 when ignoring free water (Equation (3)). Using the results above (Fig. 7), $\theta_{\text{ml}}$ is 4–7%, which compares to a volumetric water content (pore volume divided by total volume) of 2–3% or a water saturation of 6–8% (Equation (4)).

Irreducible volumetric water content of dense snow (550–600 kg m$^{-3}$) reaches its minimum at 2–3% of unit volume (Lemmela, 1973) which corresponds to a water saturation of about 7% (Colbeck, 1974). Irreducible water content decreases with decreasing porosity. Coléou and Lesaffre (1998) investigated repacked homogeneous snow with porosity of 0.7–0.4 and found that between irreducible gravimetric water content, $\theta_{\text{ml}}$, and porosity, $n$, there was a relationship of $\theta_{\text{ml}} = 0.0143e^{3.3022n}$. Figure 8 shows the results of the present investigation in comparison with the results of Coléou and Lesaffre (1998). It is evident from this graph that irreducible water content is higher in firn than in snow. This can be explained by retention of water in smaller firn pores which manage to hold more water against gravity because of a larger capillary force, or water in isolated pores which cannot drain. However, no systematic change in water content with porosity was found within the firn layer. This indicates that probably microstructure and degree of connectivity between pores and not porosity are the governing factors for water drainage and retention processes in firn.

At 18.8 m the firn at C2-99 was water-saturated (Fig. 7). The minimum water level at the end of winter is often taken as the firn–ice transition (Fountain, 1989), which is the depth at which firn becomes practically impermeable. This concept assumes that water which is not retained in the firn due to capillary forces drains from the firn layer. During drilling through the firn into underlying impermeable ice, water in the borehole cannot escape and water level indicates the firn–ice transition. In both firn cores (Fig. 5) this level coincides with the depth at which density reaches 830–850 kg m$^{-3}$, which is the theoretical value for pore close-off (Paterson, 1994).

Temperature

Firn temperature (Fig. 9) was measured in the southern cirque of the accumulation area (Fig. 1); no temperature measurements are available from the northern cirque. Cooling of the firn layer during winter is forced by the temperature gradient in the firn, which mainly depends on air temperature and snow depth. Air temperature was derived from measurements at TRS by establishing a rating equa-
tion from simultaneous measurements at 28S3 during parts of the investigation period. 28S3 is located at ~500 m a.s.l. and TRS at 1130 m a.s.l., giving an altitude difference of 370 m. The mean temperature difference between 28S3 and TRS during the period of simultaneous measurements was 1.7°C, which results in a temperature lapse rate of ~0.46°C/100 m in altitude. Extending this lapse rate to the northern cirque (~4600 m a.s.l), air temperature was ~0.5°C colder than in the southern cirque. From Figure 10 we can estimate that a temperature decrease of 0.5°C would cause the 0°C isotherm to penetrate 0.2–0.5 m deeper. Mean snow depth in the northern and southern parts of the accumulation area was 4.4 and 3.1 m, respectively (Jansson, 1999b). As shown in Figure 11, higher snow accumulation reduces cold-wave penetration. This can be explained by a smaller temperature gradient in the firn layer due to a larger distance between snow surface and the 0°C isotherm. However, it must be remembered that the forcing occurs while the snowpack is accumulating and that the temperature at the transient snow surface and in the new snow is part of this forcing. The effect of lower air temperature at higher altitude, as described above, can be partly compensated by a lower heat flux due to higher accumulation. In the same way, large temperature gradients in firn layers with lower accumulation at lower altitudes are compensated by higher air temperature. Mean snow depths at measurement sites 29 (4.5 m) and 28S3 (3.2 m) were in same range as the mean values for the southern and northern cirques. It therefore seems justifiable to assume that the firm temperature profile in the northern cirque was similar to those measured at location 29, only yielding lower temperature. The two averaged temperature profiles (Fig. 9) therefore represent minimum and maximum temperature profiles, respectively, of the firm area.

Firm temperature was plotted against depth below the snow–firm interface (Fig. 9). Results from locations in the central part of the investigation area (28S3 in Fig. 1) gave a cold-wave penetration depth into firm of 7.9–8.3 m, whereas penetration depth at location 29 was 6.6–7.0 m (Fig. 9).

The effect of maximum snow depth on cold-wave penetration depth was investigated using mean values of the boreholes around 29 and in the center of the southern cirque respectively. Results show no clear functional relationship between penetration depth and snow depth (Fig. 11). However, the cold wave penetrated significantly deeper into the firm layer in the central part of the cirque around location 28S3 than at location 29 (Fig. 1). Mean air temperature calculated for different periods during winter was tested for correlation with mean cold-wave penetration depth. Mean air temperature of the entire winter (September–May) gave the best results, indicating a relationship where cold-wave penetration increased with decreasing air temperature (Fig. 10).

Internal accumulation

Internal accumulation was calculated from the two averaged temperature profiles presented in Figure 9, a water saturation of 6–8% and a density profile calculated as the arithmetic mean of both measured profiles (Fig. 5). \( b_I \) was on the order of 0.09–0.16 m w.e. (Fig. 12), \( b_I \) was 30–50% of \( b_c \) (Table 2).

Total internal accumulation was calculated using Equation (5) with the data in Tables 1 and 2. Depending on \( S_{\text{wi}} \), the temperature profile and \( H_c \), \( b_l^{\text{tot}} \) was 0.04–0.06 m w.e. Adding these results to measured accumulation (Jansson, 1999b), total internal accumulation in firm accounted for 3–5% of annual accumulation of the entire glacier.

Trabant and Mayo (1985) developed a method to estimate internal accumulation from minimum temperature at the snow–firm interface, \( T_{\text{id}} \). From Figure 9, \( T_{\text{id}} \) can be determined as ~3.5 to ~5°C. Using this value and the method of Trabant and Mayo (1985) yields a \( b_c \) of 0.11–0.15 m w.e. and a \( b_l \) of 0.03–0.04 m w.e. The value of capillary refreezing calculated with Trabant and Mayo's method is higher than the results from measured data given above. Trabant and Mayo considered that water in the previous year's snow layer is not measured when summer balance is determined in autumn, and that it therefore does not contribute to that internal accumulation which influences mass balance.

Our calculations show that air temperature, maximum

![Fig. 11. Snow depth and depth of 0°C isotherm below snow–firm interface (H). Mean values of data presented in Figure 9. Note that in 1997 and 1999 only one measurement was available at location 29.](https://doi.org/10.3189/172756504781830277)
snow depth in winter and minimum snow depth in summer are the main parameters determining internal accumulation. This is true if (1) thermal properties and water-holding capacity of the firn layer can be assumed stable from one year to another, and (2) the extent of the firn area is stable if the glacier is in near-steady state. Winter temperature at TRS and the mass balance of Storglaciären in 1997–1998 were compared with the mean values of the period 1965–1999 (Grudd and Schneider, 1996; Table 3). Both temperature and mass-balance parameters in 1997–1998 and 1998–1999 are similar to the respective mean values for the period 1965–1999. The results from 1997–1998 and 1998–1999 can therefore be regarded as representative of internal accumulation in the firn of Storglaciären for the mentioned period, 1965–1999. Under current climate conditions, traditional mass-balance methods underestimate accumulation on Storglaciären by ~0.05 m w.e. due to internal accumulation in firn. Even if this result is within the uncertainty of measured mass-balance parameters (±0.1 m w.e.; Jansson, 1999a), it underestimates accumulation systematically. An additional source for underestimating accumulation is the formation of superimposed ice in the ablation area. Superimposed ice formation on Storglaciären in the area of the equilibrium line accounted for 10% of annual accumulation in 1948 and 1949 (Schytt, 1949). Superimposed ice below the equilibrium line can easily be distinguished from glacier ice, but superimposed ice that forms at the bottom of the firn layer just above the equilibrium line is difficult to recognize since it is not visible and contributes to even larger errors (Trabant and Benson, 1986). Detailed snow probing and stake readings could help to identify this source of accumulation.

The significance of internal accumulation for glacier mass balance depends on the areal extent of the accumulation area, the thickness of the active layer and the winter air temperature. Glaciers with a positive mass balance have high accumulation or low ablation (or both) due to large winter precipitation or low summer temperature. On such glaciers, a growing accumulation area results in a larger areal extent of the active layer. A thicker firn layer increases the area of maximum internal accumulation. At the same time, higher accumulation insulates the firn layer and decreases cold-wave penetration. Falling winter temperatures would compensate this insulation effect. In addition, due to low ablation, the remaining snow layer at the end of summer becomes thicker which decreases the active layer because a larger part of the firn layer is measured when determining summer balance. To summarize, the active layer becomes spatially more extensive and thinner on glaciers with increasing accumulation or decreasing ablation rates (or both), holding winter temperature constant. With lower winter temperature, internal accumulation will increase overall.

Glaciers with a negative mass balance have low accumulation and high ablation due to low winter precipitation or high summer temperature or both. Consequently, the accumulation area diminishes. Steady winter temperatures and low accumulation rates cause the cold wave to penetrate deeper. However, raising temperature compensates this effect. High ablation rates diminish the annual snow layer, which increases the active layer of internal accumulation. On retreating glaciers the active layer becomes spatially smaller but thicker. With increased winter temperatures, internal accumulation will decrease.

### CONCLUSIONS

Internal accumulation has two components: (1) refreezing of percolating meltwater in cold firn in spring and (2) refreezing of retained water due to capillary forces in firn during winter when the cold wave penetrates into the firn layer. The magnitude of internal accumulation therefore depends on firn temperature and irreducible water content. Firn temperature can be related to snow depth and air temperature where low accumulation and low air temperature cause the cold wave to penetrate deeper. This is explained by large temperature gradients in the firn layer and a corresponding high heat flux from the firn layer to the atmosphere. The 0°C isotherm is the lower boundary of the active layer for internal accumulation in areas where firn depth is larger than the 0°C isotherm. In the remaining area, along the firn limit, the active layer is confined by firn depth. Irreducible gravimetric water content in the firn of Storglaciären was 2–3%, which corresponds to an irreducible water saturation of 6–8%. These values are slightly higher than

### Table 1. Areal extent of the accumulation area, and area of maximum ($A_{\text{max}}$) and reduced ($A_{\text{red}}$) internal accumulation on Storglaciären, September 1998. Corresponding areas are shown in Figure 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$A_{\text{w}}$ (km$^2$)</th>
<th>$A_{\text{a}}$ (km$^2$)</th>
<th>$A_{\text{r}}$ (km$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997/98</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998/99</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Winter temperature (September–May), $T_w$, at TRS, and annual winter balance, $b_w$, and annual net balance, $b_n$, of Storglaciären

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$T_w$ (°C)</th>
<th>$b_w$ (m w.e.)</th>
<th>$b_n$ (m w.e.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965–99</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997/98</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998/99</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Internal accumulation on Storglaciären. $b_w$ is winter balance, $H_w$ is snow depth, $b_p$ is the percolation component and $b_s$ the capillary component of internal accumulation, and $S_{w1}$ is irreducible water saturation. Locations 29 and 2853 are shown in Figure 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$k_w$</th>
<th>$H_w$</th>
<th>$b_p$</th>
<th>$S_{w1}$</th>
<th>$b_s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997/98</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998/99</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
those of compact snow, possibly due to retained water in isolated pores of the firn that cannot be drained. Internal accumulation in firn plays a significant role for the mass balance of Storglaciären. Traditional mass-balance measurements underestimate annual accumulation by ∼0.05 m w.e. (∼4%) when neglecting internal accumulation in firn under present climate conditions.
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