Association between breast-feeding and anthropometry and CVD risk factor status in adolescence and young adulthood: the Young Hearts Project, Northern Ireland
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Abstract

Objective: To examine the association between breast-feeding and blood pressure, anthropometry and plasma lipid profile in both adolescence and young adulthood.

Design: Longitudinal study of biological and behavioural risk factors for CVD.

Setting: The Young Hearts Project, Northern Ireland.

Subjects: Schoolchildren aged 12 years and 15 years who participated in a cross-sectional study of lifestyle and health, and who were followed up as young adults aged 20–25 years.

Results: There was no significant difference in height, weight, BMI, skinfold thickness measurements, blood pressure or plasma lipid profile in adolescents who had been breast-fed compared with those who had not been breast-fed. However, by the time these adolescents had reached adulthood, those who had been breast-fed were significantly taller than those who had not been breast-fed (standing height, \( P = 0.013 \); leg length, \( P = 0.035 \)). Specifically, the breast-fed group was on average taller by 1.7 cm (95% CI 0.4, 3.0 cm) and had longer legs by 1.0 cm (95% CI 0.1, 1.9 cm). There was no significant difference in other anthropometric measures, blood pressure or plasma lipid profile in adults who had been breast-fed compared with those who had not been breast-fed.

Conclusions: Compared with those who had not been breast-fed, individuals who had been breast-fed were taller in adulthood. Given the known association of increased adult height with improved life expectancy, the results from the present study support a beneficial effect of breast-feeding.
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Only 35% of UK babies are being exclusively breast-fed at 1 week, 21% at 6 weeks, 7% at 4 months and 3% at 5 months¹, despite WHO recommendations that infants should be fed breast milk exclusively for the first six months of life². Although it is widely accepted that breast-feeding has numerous health benefits for both the infant and mother³,⁴, controversy remains over the benefits of breast-feeding on the incidence of adult CVD⁵ and, in particular, CVD mortality⁶–⁸.

Increasing evidence suggests that breast-feeding is associated with lower CVD risk factors such as serum total cholesterol (TC) and LDL cholesterol (LDL-C)⁹–¹¹; however, the effect of breast-feeding is not clear for all known risk factors, including BMI and blood pressure¹²–¹⁵. Evidence suggests that breast-feeding in infancy may have a small effect on BMI in adolescence and adult life¹². Breast-feeding is also associated with lower levels of TC and LDL-C in adulthood⁶,⁹. The magnitude of the effect of breast-feeding on blood pressure remains uncertain, and concerns have been expressed about the influence of publication bias and confounding on this relationship¹³,¹⁴,¹⁶. Little is known about the effect of breast-feeding on height in adolescence and adulthood; however, emerging evidence points to a potential association with increased stature¹⁷,¹⁸.

Several recent studies have assessed the association between breast-feeding and cardiovascular risk factors in adolescence, reporting no relationship between breast-feeding and TC and LDL-C⁹,¹⁹, no relationship between breast-feeding and lower LDL-C:HDLC cholesterol (HDL-C).
ratio\(^{(19)}\), and no relationship between breast-feeding and lower blood pressure\(^{(20)}\); the persistence of these associations into adulthood is less clear. The present study examines the association between breast-feeding and blood pressure, anthropometric measures and plasma lipid profile in adolescents aged 12–15 years, and in these same individuals as young adults aged 20–25 years.

**Methods**

**Participants**

In 1989–90, a 2% representative sample of schoolchildren from Northern Ireland aged 12 years and 15 years (\(n = 1015\)) participated in a cross-sectional study of lifestyle and health (Young Hearts Study 1 (YH1))\(^{(21)}\). In 1992–3, 455 of the former 12-year-olds participated in a second screening (Young Hearts Study 2 (YH2)); and between October 1997 and October 1999, all original participants were invited to participate in a third screening phase (Young Hearts Study 3 (YH3)) as young adults and 489 young adults attended\(^{(22)}\). The present study utilises data and samples obtained at the first and third screening (YH1 and YH3) for all participants who attended the third screening (\(n = 489\)). Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee, Queen’s University Belfast, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Procedures**

The sampling procedure for the Young Hearts Studies has been described in detail elsewhere\(^{(21–29)}\). In brief, for both YH1 and YH3, information was collected on dietary intake (using the dietary history method with a trained researcher), physical activity (using a modified version of the Baecke questionnaire of habitual physical activity), smoking and drinking habits, and other lifestyle variables. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0·1 kg using an electronic balance (200 kg \(\times\) 0·1 kg; SECA, Hamburg, Germany). Standing height and sitting height were measured to the nearest millimetre using a Harpenden portable stadiometer (Holtain, Crymych, UK). For measurements of height and weight, participants wore light indoor clothing and no shoes. BMI was computed as weight (kg)/[height (m)]\(^2\).

Waist:hip ratio (WHR) was measured and used as a measure of central adiposity. Skinfold thicknesses were obtained from four sites (triceps, biceps, subscapular and suprailiac) using callipers (Holtain) and body fat composition was estimated according to the method of Durnin and Rahaman\(^{(24)}\). Blood pressure was measured twice from the antecubital vein. Blood was immediately separated into whole blood, plasma/serum and buffy coat and stored as aliquots at \(-70^\circ\)C until analyses. TC and triacylglycerol from serum were measured using enzymatic assays (Boehringer Mannheim, East Sussex, UK) on a Cobas Fara centrifugal analyser. HDL-C was measured by enzymatic assay following phosphotungstate precipitation. LDL-C was calculated using the Friedewald formula\(^{(25)}\).

Occupational class of head of household was classified according to the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys (1990) using information gathered at YH1. Birth weight was recorded from the Child Health System records (\(n = 456\)) and, where not available, birth weight as reported in a parental questionnaire was used (\(n = 29\)). Birth weight standard deviation scores (SDS) were calculated as described by Freeman et al\(^{(20)}\). Information on infant feeding (breast-fed (yes/no) and duration of breast-feeding) was obtained from a parental questionnaire collected as part of YH1 (\(n = 472\)). Maternal and paternal height and weight were also self-reported in this parental questionnaire, allowing the calculation of maternal and paternal BMI.

**Statistical analyses**

The SPSS statistical software package version 14·0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Mean and standard deviation were used to describe the continuous variables. Categorical variables were compared between the breast-fed and non-breast-fed groups using the \(\chi^2\) test. The means of continuous variables were compared in the breast-fed and non-breast-fed groups using the independent-samples \(t\) test. Multiple linear regression models were used to adjust for any difference between the breast-fed and non-breast-fed groups with respect to potential confounders either identified from the literature or identified from our analyses (sex, age, social class, birth weight SDS, gestational age, maternal height and paternal height (for height measures only); sex, age, social class, birth weight SDS, gestational age, maternal BMI and paternal BMI (for all other measures)). ANOVA was used to compare the mean of continuous variables by duration of breast-feeding and, as before, multiple linear regression models were used to adjust for potential confounders. Analysis of covariance was used to analyse differences in response between adolescence and adulthood.

**Results**

A total of 489 participants who participated in YH1 attended the YH3 screening, representing 48·2% of the 1015 who had been originally screened. Breast-feeding information was available on 472 participants, with 101 participants (21·4%) recorded as being breast-fed as infants. There were small but significant increases in maternal and paternal height, social class and birth weight in breast-fed infants (\(P = 0·005, P = 0·03,\)
The differences in the anthropometric measures, blood pressure and lipoproteins between the adolescents attending the YH1 screening according to breast-fed status are presented in Table 2. Adolescents who had been breast-fed had significantly lower average systolic blood pressure compared with those who had not been breast-fed (112 vs. 115 mmHg, P = 0.04); however, after adjustment for confounders this association was attenuated (P = 0.23). There was no significant difference in height, weight, BMI, skinfold thickness measurements, diastolic blood pressure, TC or HDL-C in adolescents who had been breast-fed compared with those who had not been breast-fed.

The differences in the anthropometric measures, blood pressure and lipoproteins between the young adults in YH3 who had been breast-fed compared with those who had not been breast-fed are presented in Table 3. Adults who had been breast-fed were significantly taller (higher standing height, trunk length and leg length) than those who had not been breast-fed (P = 0.007, P = 0.045 and P = 0.006, respectively). After adjustment for potential confounding variables, including maternal and paternal height, the association remained for standing height (P = 0.013) and leg length (P = 0.035). Specifically, the breast-fed group was on average taller by 1.7 cm (95% CI 0.4, 3.0 cm) and had longer legs by 1.0 cm (95% CI 0.1, 1.9 cm) than the non-breast-fed group. Furthermore, the association with height in adulthood between the breast-fed and non-breast-fed groups remained after adjustment for height in adolescence (P = 0.013). There was evidence that adults who had been breast-fed had slightly higher mean TC (4.8 vs. 4.5 mmol/l, P = 0.04) compared with those who had not been breast-fed and there was some indication of higher LDL-C (3.0 vs. 2.8 mmol/l, P = 0.07) when compared with those who had not been breast-fed. However, when the analyses were adjusted for potential confounding variables these associations were slightly attenuated and were not statistically significant (P = 0.061 and P = 0.054, respectively).

The effect of duration of breast-feeding on anthropometric measures, blood pressure and lipoproteins in adolescents attending the YH1 screening and YH3 screening was examined. There was no significant association between these measures and duration of breast-feeding in the YH1 or YH3 participants (data not shown).

**Discussion**

In the current longitudinal study, individuals who had been breast-fed were, on average, 1.7 cm taller in adulthood than those who had not been breast-fed, a difference that was not observed during adolescence. The difference in standing height associated with breast-feeding, that was apparent in adulthood, seemed to be...
### Table 2: Anthropometric measures, blood pressure and lipoproteins in breast-fed and non-breast-fed groups during adolescence (12–15 years; YH1): Young Hearts Project, Northern Ireland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Breast-fed (n = 101)</th>
<th>Not breast-fed (n = 371)</th>
<th>Difference in mean</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value*</th>
<th>Adjusted difference in mean</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at time of participation (years)</strong></td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>13.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height (cm)</strong></td>
<td>158.7 ± 10.0</td>
<td>157.5 ± 10.5</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg)</strong></td>
<td>49.7 ± 11.5</td>
<td>49.9 ± 11.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>22.0 ± 5.9</td>
<td>22.5 ± 6.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triceps skinfold thickness (mm)</strong></td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>6.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biceps skinfold thickness (mm)</strong></td>
<td>12.5 ± 4.7</td>
<td>12.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subscapular skinfold thickness (mm)</strong></td>
<td>8.3 ± 4.2</td>
<td>8.8 ± 4.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suprailiac skinfold thickness (mm)</strong></td>
<td>11.7 ± 7.1</td>
<td>12.2 ± 6.8</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>112.1 ± 10.9</td>
<td>115.0 ± 12.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>69.5 ± 8.8</td>
<td>71.3 ± 9.7</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TC (mmol/l)</strong></td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDL-C (mmol/l)</strong></td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YH1, Young Hearts Study 1; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, HDL cholesterol.

*Independent-samples t test.

†Adjusted analysis, model controlling for age, gender, social class, birth weight standard deviation score, gestational age and either maternal and paternal height (height measures only) or maternal and paternal BMI (all other measures).

---

Adolescents who had been breast-fed had lower average systolic blood pressure compared with those who had not, although there was less evidence of this association after adjusting for confounding factors. Furthermore, in adulthood, those who had been breast-fed had lower HDL-C and TAG levels compared with those who had not been breast-fed in terms of weight, BMI, skinfold thickness and systolic blood pressure. However, breast-fed adolescents tended to have higher BMI and lower waist circumference compared with those who had not been breast-fed in terms of weight, BMI, skinfold thickness and systolic blood pressure. Higher BMI and lower waist circumference were associated with lower HDL-C and TAG in those who had not been breast-fed, whereas those who had been breast-fed had significantly different between individuals who had not been breast-fed for measures of BMI and HDL-C, including setting height and body composition. The results of the present study are subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at www.cambridge.org/core/terms. (all other measures).
Table 3 Anthropometric measures, blood pressure and lipoproteins in breast-fed and non-breast-fed groups during young adulthood (20–25 years; YH3): Young Hearts Project, Northern Ireland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Breast-fed (n 101)</th>
<th>Not breast-fed (n 371)</th>
<th>Difference in mean (breast-fed minus not breast-fed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value*</th>
<th>Adjusted difference in mean (breast-fed minus not breast-fed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at time of participation (years)</strong></td>
<td>22.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>22.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.6, 0.2</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.4, 3.0</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standing height (cm)</strong></td>
<td>173.6 ± 9.1</td>
<td>170.8 ± 9.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.8, 4.9</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.1, 1.5</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trunk length (cm)</strong></td>
<td>90.7 ± 4.2</td>
<td>89.7 ± 4.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0, 2.0</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.1, 1.5</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leg length (cm)</strong></td>
<td>82.9 ± 5.9</td>
<td>81.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.5, 3.1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0, 0.7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg)</strong></td>
<td>71.3 ± 13.1</td>
<td>69.7 ± 12.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-1.3, 4.4</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.2, 5.2</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>23.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>23.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-1.1, 0.5</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.7, 1.0</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>113 ± 41</td>
<td>113 ± 41</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.3, 0.9</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.9, 1.2</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>74.9 ± 9.2</td>
<td>73.7 ± 9.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-0.8, 3.3</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.8, 3.8</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TC (mmol/l)</strong></td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01, 0.4</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.01, 0.5</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDL-C (mmol/l)</strong></td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1, 0.08</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.1, 0.07</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDL-C (mmol/l)</strong></td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.01, 0.4</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.004, 0.4</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAG (mmol/l)</strong></td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.02, 0.2</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.03, 0.2</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YH3 Young Hearts Study 3; WHR, waist:hip ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, HDL cholesterol; LDL-C, LDL cholesterol.

*Independent-samples t-test.

+Adjusted analysis, model controlling for age, gender, social class, birth weight standard deviation score, gestational age and either maternal and paternal height (height measures only) or maternal and paternal BMI (all other measures).
this, and consistent with results of the present study, Dietz” proposes that the consequences of infant feeding may only become apparent at some stage later in development rather than in early childhood, as suggested by an animal study where effects of overfeeding in infancy only became apparent some years later. The positive association between breast-feeding and stature in the present study naturally raises the possibility that the precise duration of breast-feeding may have an important influence on this relationship; however, this could not be adequately examined in the current study, perhaps owing to small numbers. A study in the literature in Brazilian young adult men, aged 18 years (n 2250), has reported a borderline association (P = 0·06) between duration of breast-feeding and height.

We found no significant association between breast-feeding and BMI in adolescence or adulthood. A recent quantitative systematic review examining the influence of breast-feeding on obesity in later life demonstrated a protective effect of breast-feeding on BMI; however, the authors state that the precise magnitude of this effect is still unclear and that the impact of confounding and publication bias on this finding requires closer examination. It is worth noting that the small difference observed in the systematic review lies within our 95% confidence intervals for the difference in BMI between breast-fed and non-breast-fed participants, and therefore the two studies are compatible in their findings.

We found no association between breast-feeding and blood pressure in adolescence or adulthood; however, two recent meta-analyses, mostly examining cross-sectional data, do report an association between breast-feeding and systolic or diastolic blood pressure in later life. Both indicate that the effect is likely to be small (pooled mean difference 0·5–1·4 mmHg) and, again, may be strongly influenced by confounding and bias. A unique study in the literature by Singhal et al. measured blood pressure at age 13–16 years in a group of children who had been born prematurely and had participated in birth in a randomised trial of banked breast milk or formula. Children assigned to receive banked breast milk had significantly lower mean arterial blood pressure (between-group difference of 4·2 mmHg) at age 13–16 years compared with those assigned to receive preterm formula. Although we were able to show lower average systolic blood pressure in adolescents who had been breast-fed compared with those who had not been breast-fed, this association was attenuated after adjusting for confounding factors.

In agreement with a meta-analysis examining the effects of infant feeding on cholesterol in children and adolescents (1–16 years), we found no association between breast-feeding and TC or LDL-C at the adolescent stage of life. The same meta-analysis reported lower mean TC and LDL-C in adults (≥17 years) who had been breast-fed. In contrast, we found higher TC and LDL-C in breast-fed adults compared with those who had not been breast-fed, although this association was attenuated and lost significance when the analysis was adjusted for confounding factors. While not consistent with the majority of studies, two other studies in adults have also shown an association, albeit non-significant, between breast-feeding and increased lipoproteins. Possible explanations for these differing results include differences in the alternatives to breast-feeding, chance or residual confounding.

An important strength of the current study is the length of follow-up; there are few studies in the literature where follow-up information is available into adulthood. This allowed us to examine associations between breast-feeding, anthropometry and cardiovascular risk factor status at both the adolescent and adult life stages in a representative sample of young people from Northern Ireland, a region of high coronary mortality. The wide spectrum of cardiovascular and anthropometric measures recorded and the ability to control for many confounding factors, such as gestational age, birth weight and maternal and paternal height and BMI, are also important strengths of the study. As with all studies of this nature, however, the limitations must be recognised. First, the numbers studied are relatively small, making it difficult to examine any effect of duration of breast-feeding on the parameters examined. Second, we had no information on the exclusivity of breast-feeding and were unable to examine differences in those who had been exclusively or partially breast-fed. Although the classification of ‘breast-fed’ or ‘not breast-fed’ was based on questionnaire data collected from parents when the children were aged, on average, 14 years, the accuracy of such data has shown to be valid for up to 20 years after birth. Third, we had no information on weaning practices among those studied and could not assess the importance of age of weaning, although it is unclear if age of weaning is a significant confounder. Fourth, given the nature of epidemiological studies, the possibility of residual or unobserved confounding by this or other factors can only be minimised. Finally, in view of the small numbers of participants, the wide confidence intervals and the multiple comparisons carried out, the positive association between stature and breast-feeding must be interpreted with caution and may be a chance finding.

The current study did not find an association between breast-feeding and cardiovascular risk factor status; there was, however, a significant association between breast-feeding and increased adult stature. Evidence indicates that height is inversely associated with CVD mortality risk, respiratory disease risk and stroke, although results are inconsistent for risk of cancer. The findings reported here confirm previous reports relating to breast-feeding and stature and, given the association of increased height with improved life expectancy, further evidence for the promotion of breast-feeding.
Breast-feeding, height and CVD factors

The current study did not differentiate between exclusive and non-exclusive breast-feeding and duration of breast-feeding was not taken into account, yet an effect of breast-feeding on adult stature was still apparent. These results suggest that any breast-feeding in infancy, and not just exclusive breast-feeding to 6 months, may be associated with increased height in adulthood; however, this would need to be examined in an adequately powered data set. The WHO recommends that infants should be fed breast milk exclusively for the first six months of life[3]. While such recommendations are to be encouraged owing to the numerous health benefits of breast-feeding for mother and infant, less than 3% of infants in the UK are breast-fed exclusively to 6 months[20]. It is important that mothers continue to be made aware that any breast-feeding is more beneficial than no breast-feeding, thus reducing the guilt experienced by mothers who are not able, for various reasons, to exclusively breast-feed for 6 months[54].

In summary, we have shown that breast-feeding is associated with increased adult height. Given the known association between increased adult height and improved life expectancy, the results from the present study add to the literature supporting a beneficial effect of breast-feeding on health-related parameters.
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