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Abstract. Within the framework of linear and regular celestial mechanics, we revise a recent method of Belen'kii (1981). We generalize some of his results, giving a new regularizing function.

We make an application to the zonal earth satellite, considering the hamiltonian function through the harmonic $J_{4}$. After the angular variable $u$ has been removed, we introduce a new time and we reduce the problem to a linear equation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the method of regularization given by Belen'kii (1981) is revised. We propose a function $g(r)$ that generalizes the one studied by him. Then an application to the zonal earth satellite, considering harmonics through $J_{4}$, is made.

We use the canonical set of variables ( $\left.P_{r}, P_{u}, P_{h}, r, u, h\right)$ of Hill (1913) and, in order to apply that regularization, the angular variable u is eliminated (Caballero, 1975) using von Zeipel's method. As a consequence the new hamiltonian is

$$
\bar{H}\left(\bar{P}_{r}, \bar{P}_{u}, \bar{P}_{h}, \bar{r},-,-\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{P}_{r}^{2}+\frac{\bar{P}^{2}}{\bar{u}^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{a_{1}}{\bar{r}}+\frac{a_{3}}{\bar{r}^{3}}+\frac{a_{4}}{\bar{r}^{4}}+\frac{a_{5}}{\bar{r}^{-5}}\right)
$$

where $\bar{P}_{u}, a_{i}$ are constant.
We make a transformation of time $d \tau=g_{5}^{-1}(\bar{r})$ dt that reduces the problem to a linear equation.

Other analytical theories have been proposed based on canonical elements associated with a suitable time regularization (KustaanheimoStiefel, 1965; Scheifele-Graf, 1974; Deprit, 1981). In particular, regularizations linearizing the equations, that have also applications in other dynamics problems, have been considered by Stiefel-Scheifele (1971), Belen'kii (1981), Szebehely (1976).
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## 2. BELEN'KII REGULARIZATION

In certain problems of Celestial Mechanics, the hamiltonian of the relative motion of a particle in a central force-field has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{r}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} P_{\phi}^{2}\right)+V_{0}(r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=\dot{\mathrm{r}}$ denotes the radial velocity, $\mathrm{P}_{\phi}=r^{2} \dot{\phi}=\mathrm{c}$ is the angular
momentum and momentum and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}=-\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{a_{i}}{r^{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the potential function.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { The energy integral } H=h \text {, may be written as } \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d r}{d t}\right)^{2}=h-\left\{V_{0}(r)+\frac{c^{2}}{2 r^{2}}\right\}=h-V(r) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and Belen'kii introduces a new independent variable $\tau$, by means of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tau=g^{-1}(r) d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g(x)>0$ and $g(x) \in C^{(1)}$. Then, (3) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d r}{d \tau}\right)^{2}=g^{2}(r)\{h-V(r)\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differenciating (5) with respect to $\tau$, and after dividing by the nonzero factor $d r / d \tau$, Belen'kii equals the result to a linear expression, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} r}{d \tau^{2}}=\frac{d}{d r}\left(g^{2}(r)\{h-V(r)\}\right)=2 c_{1} r+c_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have written $2 c_{1}$ for subsequent simplifications. $c_{2} / c_{1} \sum_{\text {full study of the linear equation (6) for the three cases }}^{\text {( }}$, has been given by Belen'kii (1981.a; Section 2 ).
Integrating (6), the regularizing function must satisfy the rela-
$g^{2}(r)\{h-V(r)\}=c_{1} r^{2}+c_{2} r+c_{3}$
Belen'kii has applied (7) to the potentials
$V_{0}=V_{2}=-\frac{a_{1}}{r}-\frac{a_{2}}{r^{2}} \quad ; \quad V_{0}=V_{3}=-\frac{a_{1}}{r}-\frac{a_{2}}{r^{2}}-\frac{a_{3}}{r^{3}}$
where the corresponding regularizing functions are

$$
g_{2}(r)=r \quad ; \quad g_{3}(r)=r^{3 / 2}(1+\beta r)^{-1 / 2}
$$

respectively. The parameter $\beta$ depends on $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $h$.
Likewise, Ferrer and Elipe (1982), studying these potentials have considered the following regularizing function

$$
g_{3}(r)=r^{3 / 2}(\beta+r)^{-1 / 2}
$$

which allows the treatment of these cases in a more uniform manner.

## 3. A NEW REGULARIZING FUNCTION

In a more general problem, with the potential

$$
-v_{0}=-v_{n}=\frac{a_{1}}{r}+\frac{a_{3}}{r^{3}}+\ldots+\frac{a_{n}}{r^{n}}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=v_{0}+\frac{c^{2}}{2 r^{2}}=-\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{a_{i}}{r^{i}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}=-c^{2} / 2$. In this case we propose the following regularizing
function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(r)=r^{n / 2}\left\{r^{n-2}+\alpha_{1} r^{n-3}+\alpha_{2} x^{n-4}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-2}\right\}^{-1 / 2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots \alpha_{n-2}$, are parameters which depend on $a_{i}, h$, and must be suitably chosen to have $g_{n}(r)>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inserting (8), (9) in (7), we arrive at the equation } \\
& h r^{n}+\sum_{1}^{n} a_{i} r^{n-i}=\left\{r^{n-2}+\sum_{1}^{n-2} \alpha_{k} r^{n-k-2}\right\}\left\{c_{1} r^{2}+c_{2} r+c_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating the coefficients of the same powers of $r$ in both sides, we have the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=c_{1} ; \quad a_{1}=c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} ; \quad a_{2}=c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \alpha_{1}+c_{3} \\
& a_{i}=c_{1} \alpha_{i}+c_{2}^{\alpha_{i-1}+c_{3} \alpha_{i-2}} \quad(i=3, \ldots, n-2) \\
& a_{n-1}=c_{2} \alpha_{n-2}+c_{3} \alpha_{n-3} ; \quad ; \quad a_{n}=c_{3} \alpha_{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving (10) with respect to the coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ $\alpha_{n-2}$, we get the expression of the coefficients in terms ${ }^{\prime}$ of $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ $\cdots a_{n}, h$.

The study of this last system is difficult and it seems that the more practical way of solving it is by a numerical method.

In particular, we have studied the system (10) for $n=5$, taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{5}(r)=r^{5 / 2}\left(r^{3}+\alpha r^{2}+\beta r+\gamma\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the equations of that system are given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h=c_{1} & \left(122_{1}\right) & a_{3}=c_{1} \gamma+c_{2} \beta+c_{3} \alpha \\
a_{1}=c_{1} \alpha+c_{2} & \left(12_{4}\right)  \tag{12}\\
\left.a_{2}=c_{1} \beta+c_{2} \alpha+c_{3}\right) & \left(12_{3}\right) & a_{4}=c_{2} \gamma+c_{3} \beta \\
a_{5}=c_{3} \gamma & \left(12_{5}\right)
\end{array}
$$

From $\left(12_{1}\right),\left(12_{2}\right),\left(12_{3}\right)$ we obtain
$c_{1}=\mathrm{h} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{c}_{2}=\mathrm{a}_{1}-\mathrm{h} \alpha=\mathrm{c}_{2}(\alpha)$
$c_{3}=a_{2}-a_{1} \alpha+h \alpha^{2}-h \beta=c_{3}(\alpha, \beta)$
From $\left(12_{6}\right)$, if $a_{5} \neq 0$, we get: $c_{3} \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0$. Then $\gamma=a_{5} / c_{3}(\alpha, \beta)$.
Finally, substituting the above expressions in $\left(12_{4}\right)$, (12 $)$, we have the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& C \beta^{2}+B B+A=0 \\
& D^{\prime} \beta^{3}+C^{\prime} \beta^{2}+B^{\prime} B+A^{\prime}=0 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=h^{2} \alpha^{5}-2 h a_{1} \alpha^{4}+\left(a_{1}^{2}+2 h a_{2}\right) \alpha^{3}-\left(2 a_{1} a_{2}+a_{3} h\right) \alpha^{2}+\left(a_{2}^{2}+a_{1} a_{3}\right) \alpha \\
& +h a_{5}-a_{2} a_{3} \\
& B=-h(2 h+1) \alpha^{3}+4 h a_{1} \alpha^{2}-\left(3 h a_{2}+a_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha+a_{1} a_{1}+h a_{3} \\
& A=h\left(2 h-a_{1}\right) \\
& D^{\prime}=h^{2} \\
& C^{\prime}=-2 h\left(h \alpha^{2}-a_{1} \alpha+a_{2}\right) \\
& B^{\prime}=h^{2} \alpha^{4}-2 h a_{1} \alpha^{3}+\left(a_{1}^{2}+2 h a_{2}\right) \alpha^{2}-2 a_{1} a_{2} \alpha+a_{2}^{2}+h a_{4} \\
& A^{\prime}=-a_{4} h \alpha^{2}+\left(a_{1} a_{4}-h a_{5}\right) \alpha+a_{1} a_{5}-a_{2} a_{4} \\
& \text { Eliminating } \beta \text { in (14) we get an equation of the form } P(\alpha)=0 \text { whe- } \\
& \text { re } P(\alpha) \text { is a polynomial in } \alpha \text { of eighteenth degree. Thus it seems conve- } \\
& \text { nient to solve (14) by numerical methods. }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. SOME PARTICULAR CASES FOR THE NEW REGULARIZING FUNCTION
i) $a_{5}=0$

In this case, from ( $12_{6}$ ) it follows that we can take $\gamma=0$. Then, the last three equations of ${ }^{6}(12)$ reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{3}=c_{2} \beta+c_{3} \alpha \\
& a_{4}=c_{3} \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,substituting $c_{3}$, given by (13), in (151), we get
$\beta=\frac{a_{3}-a_{2} \alpha+a_{1} \alpha^{2}-h \alpha^{3}}{a_{1}-2 h \alpha}$
and substituting $\beta$ in $\left(15{ }_{2}\right)$, we have a sixth degree equation $\sum_{0}^{6} A_{n} \alpha^{n}=0$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{6}=2 h^{3} \\
& A_{5}=-5 a_{1} h^{2} \\
& A_{4}=4\left(a_{1}^{2}+h a_{2}\right) h \\
& A_{3}=-\left\{a_{1}^{3}+6 a_{1} a_{2} h+\left(2 a_{3}+1\right) h^{2}\right\} \\
& A_{2}=2 a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+\left(3 a_{1} a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}\right) h-4 a_{4} h^{2} \\
& A_{1}=-\left\{\left(a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) a_{1}+\left(4 a_{1} a_{4}+2 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{2}\right) h\right\} \\
& A_{0}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-a_{1}^{2} a_{4}-a_{3} h
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the regularizing function is

$$
g_{4}(r)=r^{2}\left(r^{2}+\alpha r+\beta\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

and we must take the values $\alpha, \beta$ in such a way that $r^{2}+\alpha r+\beta>0$ or else, we must find the range of $r$ for which the regularization is well defined.
ii) $a_{4}=a_{5}=0$

Again, it is sufficient to take $\gamma=\beta=0$ in (12). Then, the parameter $\alpha$ verifies the cubic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \alpha^{3}-a_{1} \alpha^{2}+a_{2} \alpha-a_{3}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regularizing function is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}(r)=r^{3 / 2}(r+\alpha)^{-1 / 2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A study and application of (17) and (18) has been made by FerrerElipe (1982).
iii) $a_{3}=a_{4}=a_{5}=0$

In this case it is sufficient to take $\gamma=\beta=\alpha=0$. Then, the system (12) reduces to
where $^{\mathrm{c}_{1}=\mathrm{h}} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{c}_{2}=\mathrm{a}_{1} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{c}_{3}=\mathrm{a}_{2}$
$g_{2}(r)=r$
is the regularizing function of Sundman.

## 5. AN APPLICATION TO THE ZONAL EARTH SATELLITE

I.- It is well known that the kinetic energy $T$ and the potential $V$ of an artificial zonal satellite of the Earth, in the canonical set of variables $\left(P_{r}, P_{u}, P_{h}, r, u, h\right)$ of $H i l l(1913)$, are given by the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{r}^{2}+\frac{P_{u}^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \\
& V=-\frac{\mu}{r}\left\{1-\sum_{n>2} J_{n}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{n} P_{n}(\sin \phi)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding hamiltonian with the harmonics $J_{2}, J_{3}, J_{4}$ is given by the expression

$$
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{P}_{r}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}, r, u,-\right)=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(P_{r}^{2}+\frac{P^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)-\frac{\mu}{r} \\
H_{1}= & -\frac{\mu}{r^{3}} J_{2}\left(B_{20}+B_{22} \cos 2 u\right) \\
H_{2}= & \frac{\mu}{8 r^{4}} J_{3} \sqrt{1-\theta^{2}}\left\{3\left(1-5 \theta^{2}\right) \sin u-5\left(1-\theta^{2}\right) \sin 3 u\right\}+ \\
& \frac{3 \mu}{8 r^{5}} J_{4}\left\{\left(\frac{3}{8}-\frac{15}{4} \theta^{2}+\frac{35}{8} \theta^{4}\right)+\left(-\frac{5}{6}+\frac{20}{3} \theta^{2}-\frac{35}{6} \theta^{4}\right) \cos 2 u+\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\frac{35}{24}\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)^{2} \cos 4 u\right\}
$$

and where we use the notation

$$
B_{20}=-\frac{1}{4}\left(1-3 \theta^{2}\right) \quad ; \quad B_{22}=\frac{3}{4}\left(1-\theta^{2}\right) \quad ; \quad \theta=\frac{P_{h}}{P_{u}}=\cos I
$$

The equatorial radius of the Earth, has been taken as unity.

The elimination of the variable $u$ has been done by Caballero (1975) using the method of von Zeipel. The new hamiltonian

$$
\left.\overline{\mathrm{H}}=\overline{\mathrm{H}}, \overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{r}}, \overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{u}}, \overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{h}}, \overline{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime},-,-\right)
$$

takes the form

$$
\bar{H}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{r}^{2}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{r}}^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}_{1}}{\bar{r}}+\frac{\mathrm{a}_{3}}{\bar{r}^{3}}+\frac{\mathrm{a}_{4}}{\bar{r}^{4}}+\frac{\mathrm{a}_{5}}{\bar{r}^{5}}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d \bar{r}}{d t}\right)^{2}-\sum_{1}^{5} \frac{a_{i}}{\bar{r}^{i}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\mu ; \quad a_{2}=\frac{\bar{P}_{u}^{2}}{2} ; \quad a_{3}=J_{2} \mu B_{20}+\frac{J_{2}^{2} \mu^{3} B_{22}}{16 \bar{P}_{u}^{2}}\left(3-7 \theta^{2}\right) \\
& a_{4}=\frac{J_{2}^{2}{ }^{2} B_{22}}{48 \bar{P}_{u}^{2}}\left(-21+69 \theta^{2}\right) \quad ; \quad a_{5}=-\frac{9 J_{4}^{\mu}}{64}\left(1-10 \theta^{2}+\frac{35}{3} \theta^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{h}$ are cyclic, $\bar{P}_{u}, \bar{P}_{h}$ are constant. Hence the coefficients $a_{i}$ are constant too. Then we can apply to (19) the study made in section ${ }^{3}$. (Cid et al., 1982).
II.- As we have said, the solution of $P(\alpha)=0$ as well as the effective calculation of the values of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ which determines a regularizing function $g_{5}(r)$ with $g_{5}(r)>0$, seems to need numerical methods.

Now we give two numerical examples, obtained through the system (12), which show the feasibility of the method proposed. The existence of $g_{5}(r)$ for a wide set of values for the orbital parameters $a, ~ e, ~ I$, remains to be analyzed.

Data:
$\mu=0.00553 \quad J_{2}=1.08263110^{-3} \quad J_{4}=-1.6510^{-6}$
example 1:
$a=2$
$e=0.1$
$I=80^{\circ}$
example 2:
$a=4$
$e=0.1$
$I=80^{\circ}$

Results:

Case 1
$\begin{array}{lll}\gamma & -0.295732 & \\ \beta & -0.400184 & 10 \\ \alpha & 0.24578710^{3}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}c_{1} & -0.2765 & 10^{-2} \\ c_{2} & -0.2553 & 10^{-5} \\ c_{3} & -0.3076 & 10^{-8}\end{array}$

## Case 2

$-0.1425387$
-
-
0.8003057101
0.472656410
$-0.1382 \quad 10_{-5}^{-2}$
$\begin{array}{ll}-0.2113 & 10^{-5} \\ -0.6383 & 10^{-8}\end{array}$

We have also checked that the variation of the eccentricity $e$ in the range $0.01 \leqslant e \leqslant 0.3$ has small influence on the values of the last table. It is easy to see that in the two cases considered we have $g_{5}(r)>0$, because $r \geqslant 1$.
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