Field trials of the rodenticide 5-p-chlorophenyl silatrane against wild house mice (*Mus musculus* L.)*

BY F. P. ROWE, T. SWINNEY AND A. BRADFIELD

Pest Infestation Control Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Hook Rise South, Tolworth, Surbiton, Surrey

(Received 15 November 1973)

SUMMARY

The performance of the rodenticide 5-p-chlorophenyl silatrane at 0.5% in a wholemeal flour/pinhead oatmeal/corn oil bait was compared with that of zinc phosphide at 3% in the same base in poison treatments carried out against urban infestations of the house mouse (*Mus musculus* L.). Each poison treatment was conducted for 1 day and after 3 days' pre-baiting. The success of the treatments was assessed from census baitings conducted before and after treatment. Treatment success varied considerably with both poisons used but in general 5-p-chlorophenyl silatrane proved to be at least as effective as zinc phosphide, a commonly used acute rodenticide for the control of mice.

INTRODUCTION

In the laboratory, 5-*p*-chlorophenyl silatrane performed well in oral dosing experiments using *Mus musculus* of the LAC Grey strain and also in feeding tests on LAC Grey and wild mice when it was included in bait at a concentration of 0.5 % (Greaves, Redfern & Tinworth, 1974). The results of field trials carried out in urban areas against free-living mice using the poison at the same concentration are presented below and compared with the results of similar treatments done with 3.0 % zinc phosphide.

METHODS

In each treatment poison bait was applied for 1 day after 3 days of pre-baiting. In all, six treatments were conducted with each poison in a miscellany of premises including kitchens, offices and shop-stores chosen at random from those available. The pre-bait and carrier used for the poison was the same as that employed in the laboratory tests (i.e. wholemeal flour (5%), corn oil (5%) and pinhead oatmeal (to 100%)) except for the addition of 0.05% of the dye chlorazol sky blue.

The percentage success of each poison treatment was calculated from the total amount of plain bait (canary seed, *Phalaris canariensis*) eaten during the last 3 days of 4 day pre- and post-treatment censuses. The census baits were laid on small wooden trays distributed at close intervals throughout the infested area. The trays were laid at the same sites in the two censuses, but the pre-bait, placed

* Crown copyright.

4

			Estimated	(%)	100.0	91.8	75.8	73-7	100.0	11.8	0-0	88.4	77.8	30.3	34.9	75.6		
of pre-baiting and 1-day poison treatments against mice using 5-p-chlorophenyl silatrane and zinc phosphide		Post-treatment census Consumption of	canary seed (g) on day	4	0	က	6	37	0	7	20	4	c1	6	13	9	110	011
		st-treatment cer Consumption of	ed (g	en	0	က	Ĵ	38	0	10	18	0	0	80	13	က	98	
		-trea	ry se	0	•	ŝ	61	31	•	13	11		•	9	15	61	84	
	1	Post	cana	1	0	0	1	30	0	9	L	61	0	9	12	61	65	
	ment		No. of visits to noison haits	on day 1	1	9	*	œ	*	77	67	4	°,	62	67	1		
	Poison treatment	of pre-	day	ŝ	9	37	13	41	33	16	17	15	15	15	11	6	328	
s ago vide	Poi	otion	ຍ (ສົ	8	5	37	õ	120 141	37	20	14	16	æ	12	8	6	293	е.
y poison treatments ago and zinc phosphide		Consumption of pre-	bait (g) on day	H	6	34	8		31	16	5	23	e.	15	4	11	244 293 328	Undetectable.
		Pre-treatment census Consumption of canary	day	Ŧ	11	50	20	151	49	13	15	15	e	10	25	14	376	n *
-day		on of	seed (g) on day	က	16	36	25	145	37	13	14	15	4	6	24	14	275 352	
$nd \ 1$		reatn mpti	ed (g)	63	15	24	21	107	34	8	9	13	2	14	14	17	275	
sults of pre-baiting an	ſ	Pre-t Consu	se	-	7	11		72	17	9	0	11	0	5	5	11	142	
			Treatment	Trial no.	1	2	c,	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12		
Table 1. Results of		;		Type	0.5%	5-p-chlorophenyl	silatrane				3.0~%	zine-phosphido					Totals	

F. P. ROWE, T. SWINNEY AND A. BRADFIELD

50

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	Variance ratio (F)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Significance} \\ (P) \end{array}$
Residual error in post- treatment census	10	1005-4		
Regression of post- on pre- treatment census takes in				
the error term	1	6809.9		
Deviations from the				
regression	9	360.4		
Between (adjusted) poison				
means	1	882.0	$2 \cdot 4$	> 0.05

Table 2. Analysis of the data in Table 1 using the pre-treatment census takes for days2-4 as the independent co-variates of initial infestation size to adjust the dependentpost-treatment takes

in the same number of trays, was put down at different sites. Pre-baiting was begun 7 days after the end of the pre-treatment census and the post-treatment census was carried out 7 days after the end of the poison treatment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the comparative pre-baiting and 1-day poisoning treatments with 5-*p*-chlorophenyl silatrane and zinc phosphide are shown in Table 1. The daily amounts of canary seed and of pre-bait eaten at the censuses and during the pre-baiting period are also given – together with the numbers of poison baits visited by mice and the estimated percentage kills.

Table 1 shows that variable control resulted from both the 5-*p*-chlorophenyl silatrane and zinc phosphide treatments and that complete control was achieved in only two of the twelve treatments (nos. 1 and 5) – each time with 5-*p*-chlorophenyl silatrane. The total control obtained, calculated from the total amount of pre-treatment and post-treatment census bait eaten in days 2, 3 and 4, was $79\cdot 2\%$ in the case of the six 5-*p*-chlorophenyl silatrane treatments and $42\cdot 5\%$ for the zinc phosphide treatments. When however an analysis of co-variance was applied to the data in order to eliminate any effect attributable to infestation size, no significant difference between the efficacy of the two poisons could be demonstrated (Table 2). Thus it may be concluded only that 5-*p*-chlorophenyl silatrane would be a reasonable alternative acute poison to zinc phosphide for use against mice.

Although no treatments were conducted in which 5-*p*-chlorophenyl silatrane bait was laid directly, Table 1 shows that the consumption of pre-treatment census bait increased considerably between days 1 and 2 and that a less pronounced upward trend in the consumption of pre-bait also occurred during the pre-baiting period. The data tend therefore to support the conclusion of Southern (1954) that a short period of pre-baiting is advisable when acute poisons are used against mice that are well supplied with other food.

In a wider context the results of the trials emphasize the difficulty of drawing

51

to poison baits entire populations of mice existing in diverse environments and often living on a variety of foods, and of then ensuring, even if they are so drawn, that each individual consumes a lethal dose of the poison.

REFERENCES

GREAVES, J. H., REDFERN, R. & TINWORTH, H. (1974). Laboratory tests of 5-p-chlorophenyl silatrane as a rodenticide. Journal of Hygiene 73, 39-43.
SOUTHERN, H. N. (1954). Control of rats and mice, vol. 3. Oxford: Clarendon Press