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#### Abstract

We demonstrate how the angle-dependent redistribution function can be incorporated into the 2-D transfer modelling of solar prominences. Some preliminary numerical simulations have been performed and we present their results by comparing the emergent hydrogen $\mathrm{L} \alpha$ line profiles computed with the angle-averaged and angle-dependent redistributions.


## 1. Introduction

Standard angle-averaged redistributions are currently used for Lyman lines in prominences and prominence-like structures, both in 1-D (Gouttebroze et al. 1993, Heinzel 1995), as well as in 2-D cases (Paletou 1995). Since 2-D transfer computations can explicitly account for strong anisotropies of the incident solar radiation, the angle-dependent approach seems to be more appropriate in such cases. In the present contribution we investigate this problem and demonstrate the differences between angle-averaged and more rigorous angle-dependent 2-D simulations for the case of prominences.

To achieve this, we have applied a 2-D code of Gorshkov (1996) to the problem of radiative transfer in solar prominences (Figure 1) for the case of a 4-level plus continuum HI atom. The main features of the code are: Multilevel Accelerated Lambda Iterations (MALI) scheme with Partial Frequency Redistribution (PRD) in resonance lines; modified long-characteristics method (timing is linearly proportional to the number of grid points) for 2-D solution of Radiative Transfer Equation (RTE); an ability to calculate angle- and height-dependent boundary conditions based on observational data.

## 2. Basic Formulae

### 2.1. Angle-Dependent PRD

In our calculations we used the redistribution function in the form:

$$
R\left(\nu^{\prime}, \nu, \Theta\right)=\gamma R_{I I}\left(\nu^{\prime}, \nu, \Theta\right)+(1-\gamma) \phi(\nu) \phi\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)
$$



Figure 1. Photon scattering geometry.
where $\gamma$ is the coherence parameter, $\Theta$ is the scattering angle between directions of the incoming and the outgoing photons ( $n$ ' and $n$, correspondingly), and $R_{I I}$ represents Hummer's (1962) function for the case of purely coherent scattering in the atom's frame.

Similarly to the angle-averaged case, PRD effects are taken into account by introducing the ratio of emission and absorption coefficients for a given line transition $i j(i<j)$ :

$$
\rho_{\nu n} \equiv \frac{\psi_{\nu n}}{\phi_{\nu}}=1+\gamma \frac{n_{i}}{n_{j}} \frac{B_{i j}}{P_{j}}\left(\bar{R}_{I I}(\nu, n)-\bar{J}\right),
$$

where $n_{i}$ and $n_{j}$ are the populations of atomic levels $i$ and $j, B_{i j}$ is the Einstein coefficient for absorption, $P_{j}$ represents a probability for an atom to leave the level $j ; \bar{J}=(4 \pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{4 \pi} I_{\nu^{\prime} n^{\prime}} \phi_{\nu^{\prime}} d \nu^{\prime} d \Omega^{\prime}$ is the mean integrated intensity and $\bar{R}_{I I}$ stands for the scattering integral (see Hubený 1985). Contrary to the standard PRD, $\rho$ now depends on the angle $\Theta$ because $\bar{R}_{I I}$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{I I}(\nu, n)=\left(4 \pi \phi_{\nu}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{4 \pi} R_{I I}\left(\nu^{\prime}, \nu, \Theta\right) I_{\nu^{\prime} n^{\prime}} d \nu^{\prime} d \Omega^{\prime} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the redistribution function is $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right.$ are frequencies counted from the line center and expressed in Doppler units):

$$
R_{I I}\left(x^{\prime}, x, \Theta\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{g(\Theta)}{\pi \sin \Theta} \exp \left\{-\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2} \csc ^{2} \frac{\Theta}{2}\right\} H\left[a \sec \frac{\Theta}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\left(x+x^{\prime}\right) \sec \frac{\Theta}{2}\right] \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} H\left(a, x^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) & \Theta \Theta<\pi \\ \frac{a}{2 \pi^{3 / 2}} \exp \left\{-\left(\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{2}\right\}\left[\left(\frac{x+x^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{2}+a^{2}\right]^{-1} & \Theta=0\end{cases}
$$

Here $H(a, x)$ is the Voigt function and $a$ the damping parameter. Examples of the function $R_{I I}\left(x^{\prime}, x, \Theta\right)$ are shown in Figure 2. Since the function varies very sharply with $\Theta$ and $x^{\prime}$, we used appropriate $\Theta$ - and $x^{\prime}$-dependent frequency quadratures for the evaluation of the scattering integral (*).


Figure 2. Function $R_{I I}\left(x^{\prime}, x, \Theta\right)$ for $x^{\prime}=0$ (left) and $x^{\prime}=10$ (right).

### 2.2. Changes in the Formal Solution of RTE

Since we need to know specific intensities $I_{\nu}$ to calculate the integral (*), the following changes in a standard Feautrier scheme of the formal solution of RTE are necessary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{2} u / d \tau^{2} & =u-\bar{S}+d \Delta S / d \tau \\
d^{2} v / d \tau^{2} & =v-\Delta S+d \bar{S} / d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $u=\left(I_{\nu}^{+}+I_{-\nu}^{-}\right) / 2$ and $v=\left(I_{\nu}^{+}-I_{-\nu}^{-}\right) / 2$ are Feautrier variables; $\bar{S}=\left(S_{\nu}^{+}+\right.$ $\left.S_{-\nu}^{-}\right) / 2$ and $\Delta S=\left(S_{\nu}^{+}-S_{-\nu}^{-}\right) / 2$ represent averaged sum and difference of source functions in positive ( + ) and negative ( - ) directions. The scale of optical depths $\tau$ is calculated in a positive direction. To solve these transfer equations, we introduced corresponding changes in an improved Feautrier method of Rybicki and Hummer (1991).

## 3. Results and Conclusion

Using the above-described approach, we have computed 2-D transfer for the prominence model having the following parameters: dimensions $\Delta Z=2000$ $\mathrm{km}, \Delta Y=2000 \mathrm{~km}$, low boundary at the height $H=10,000 \mathrm{~km}$ above the solar surface, temperature $T=8000 \mathrm{~K}$, gas pressure $P_{\text {gas }}=0.05 \mathrm{dyn} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ turbulent velocity $V_{t u r b}=5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. The angle-dependent incident radiation field was used similarly as in Gorshkov (1996), where other details of our numerical procedure are described. As a result of preliminary simulations, we present a comparison of emergent $L \alpha$ line profiles (taken in the center of the slab) for the cases of angle-averaged and angle-dependent PRD (see Figure 3). The main effect seen here is a lowering of the intensity in the line core. As a next step in


Figure 3. Calculated emergent profiles for the $\mathrm{L} \alpha$ line as seen on the disk (left) and on the limb (right).
this work we intend to demonstrate the influence of the angle-dependent PRD on spectral diagnostics of prominence plasmas.
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