

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

p-units in ray class fields of real quadratic number fields

Hugo Chapdelaine

Compositio Math. 145 (2009), 364–392.

doi:10.1112/S0010437X08003886







https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X08003886 Published online by Cambridge University Press



p-units in ray class fields of real quadratic number fields

Hugo Chapdelaine

Abstract

Let K be a real quadratic number field and let p be a prime number which is inert in K. We denote the completion of K at the place p by K_p . We propose a p-adic construction of special elements in K_p^{\times} and formulate the conjecture that they should be p-units lying in narrow ray class fields of K. The truth of this conjecture would entail an explicit class field theory for real quadratic number fields. This construction can be viewed as a natural generalization of a construction obtained by Darmon and Dasgupta who proposed a p-adic construction of p-units lying in narrow ring class fields of K.

Contents

1	Introduction	364
2	Modular units and Eisenstein series	371
3	Proof of Theorem 1.1	376
4	From \mathcal{H} to $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ and the Shimura reciprocity law	383
5	Special values of zeta functions and periods of Eisenstein series	387
6	P-adic zeta functions and p-adic Kronecker limit formula	390
Acknowledgements		391
References		391

1. Introduction

Let K be a real quadratic number field and let p be a prime number which is inert in K. Darmon and Dasgupta proposed a p-adic construction of special elements $u \in K_p^{\times}$ where K_p stands for the completion of K at p. The present two authors have conjectured that u is a p-unit in L, i.e. $u \in \mathcal{O}_L[1/p]^{\times}$, where L is a suitable narrow ring class field of K. Moreover, they also predicted that for all infinite places ν of L, $|u|_{\nu} = 1$. Owing the last condition it is essential to assume beforehand that L is a totally complex field, otherwise $u = \pm 1$, thus the importance of working in the narrow sense. In fact, it is not too hard to see that such a $u \neq \pm 1$ is necessarily contained in a CM-field. As explained in the introduction of [DD06], those conjectural p-units can be thought of as analogues of classical elliptic units which are constructed by evaluating modular functions at imaginary quadratic numbers. Darmon and Dasgupta also constructed a p-adic L-function which interpolates \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of special values of partial zeta functions attached to L/K and related it to their invariant u. This is the so-called p-adic Kronecker limit formula. The first goal of this paper is to extend their p-adic construction to the case where L is a narrow ray class field of K. The transition from a narrow ring class field situation to the case of a narrow ray class field is a natural interesting question raised by Darmon and other experts and requires some subtle refinements, but the main central ideas come from [DD06]. The second goal is to prove a *p*-adic Kronecker limit formula which allows us to relate the first derivative of a certain *p*-adic zeta function to our *p*-adic invariant. The approach used to define our *p*-adic invariant is similar to that developed in [DD06], but it is more direct since the *p*-adic measures appearing in our construction are known to be \mathbb{Z} -valued rather than just \mathbb{Z}_p -valued. The analogue of this result in the context of ring class fields was not available when the paper [DD06] was written, but it was later proved by Dasgupta (see [Das07a, Theorem 1.3]) and this proof could be adapted to the more general setting of ray class fields (see [Cha, Theorem 13.1]).

We now describe the construction of our *p*-adic invariant and its appearance in a *p*-adic Kronecker limit formula. We first need to fix some notation and definitions. Let (p, N_0, f) be a triple of strictly positive integers which are pairwise coprime and where *p* is a prime number. Also, fix a pair (K, \mathfrak{N}) where *K* is real quadratic number field with ring of algebraic integers \mathcal{O}_K and \mathfrak{N} is an integral \mathcal{O}_K -ideal such that $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{N} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z}$ ('Heegner hypothesis'). Finally, we also require the prime number *p* to be inert in *K*.

DEFINITION 1.1. We define $D(N_0, f)$ to be the free abelian group generated by the symbols $\{[d_0, r] : 0 < d_0 | N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}\}$. If $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$ we call f the conductor of δ and N_0 the level of δ . A typical element $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$ will be denoted by

$$\delta = \sum_{d_0|N_0, r} n(d_0, r)[d_0, r],$$

where the sum goes over $d_0|N_0$ $(d_0 > 0)$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$ with $n(d_0, r) \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have a natural action of $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on $D(N_0, f)$ given by $j \star [d_0, r] := [d_0, jr]$ where $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ and we extend this action \mathbb{Z} -linearly to all of $D(N_0, f)$. We use the short-hand notation

$$\delta_j := j \star \delta_j$$

Let $\delta = \sum_{d_0|N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} n(d_0, r)[d_0, r] \in D(N_0, f)$ be such that the integers $n(d_0, r)$ are subject to the following three conditions.

- (1) If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{f}$, then for all $d_0 | N_0$ we have $n(d_0, r) = 0$.
- (2) For all $r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{d_0|N_0} n(d_0, r)d_0 = 0$.
- (3) For all $d_0|N_0$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$, $n(d_0, pr) = n(d_0, r)$.

An element $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$ satisfying conditions (1)–(3) will be called a *good divisor* for the triple (N_0, f, p) .

We want to associate Eisenstein series to any good divisor $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$. Let

$$E_k(r,\tau) := \left(\frac{(-1)^k (2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (0,0) \neq (m,n)}} \frac{e^{-2\pi i m r/f}}{(m+nf\tau)^k}$$
$$= \frac{-\widetilde{B}_k(-r/f)}{k} + \frac{1}{f^k} \sum_{b=0}^{f-1} e^{-2\pi i b r/f} \sum_{m \ge 1} \sum_{n \ge 1} m^{k-1} (q_{n\tau+b/f}^m + (-1)^k q_{n\tau-b/f}^m), \quad (1)$$

where $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$ stands for the complex upper half plane, $r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$, $q_{n\tau+b/f} = e^{2\pi i(n\tau+b/f)}$ and $\tilde{B}_k(x) := B_k(\{x\})$ where $B_k(x)$ is the kth Bernoulli polynomial and $0 \leq \{x\} < 1$ is the fractional part of x. When $k \geq 3$ the convergence of the right-hand side of (1) is absolute and therefore $E_k(r, \tau)$ is a modular form of weight k for the modular group $\Gamma_1(f)$. When k = 2 the convergence is not absolute. Nevertheless, the corresponding q-expansion of (1) still converges and therefore we take it as the definition of $E_2(r, \tau)$. In the case where $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f}$ and k = 2, one can show that $E_k(r, \tau)$ satisfies the correct transformation formula and therefore corresponds to a holomorphic modular form of weight two for the modular group $\Gamma_1(f)$. In a similar way, we also define

$$\begin{split} E_k^*(r,\tau) &:= \left(\frac{(-1)^k (2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (0,0) \neq (m,n)}} \frac{e^{2\pi i n r/f}}{(m+n\tau)^k} \\ &= \frac{-\widetilde{B}_k(r/f)}{k} + \sum_{b=0}^{f-1} e^{2\pi i b r/f} \sum_{m \ge 1} \sum_{n \ge 1} m^{k-1} (q_{(fn+b)\tau}^m + (-1)^k q_{(fn-b)\tau}^m). \end{split}$$

The Eisenstein series $E_k(r, \tau)$ and $E_k^*(r, \tau)$ are related by the formula

$$E_k^*(r,\tau) = \det(W_f)^k E_k(r, W_f \tau) (W_f \tau)^k, \qquad (2)$$

where $W_f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Next we want to associate Eisenstein series to a good divisor $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $\delta = \sum_{d_0|N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} n(d_0, r)[d_0, r] \in D(N_0, f)$ be a fixed good divisor. To any integer $k \geq 2$ we associate the Eisenstein series

$$F_{k,\delta}(\tau) := \sum_{d_0|N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} d_0 n(d_0, r) E_k(r, d_0 \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{k,\delta}^*(\tau) := \sum_{d_0, r} d_0^{k-1} n\left(\frac{N_0}{d_0}, r\right) E_k^*(r, d_0 \tau),$$

and

$$F_{k,\delta,p}(\tau) := F_{k,\delta}(\tau) - p^{k-1}F_{k,\delta}(p\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{k,\delta}^*(\tau) - p^{k-1}F_{k,\delta}^*(p\tau),$$

which are related by the formula

$$F_{k,\delta}(W_{fN_0}\tau) = (-1)^k \tau^k N_0 F_{k,\delta}^*(\tau) \quad \text{where } W_{fN_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ fN_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3)

For every $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle p \rangle$, we set

$$\widetilde{F}_k(r,z) := -12fF_{k,\delta_r}(z)$$
 and $\widetilde{F}_{k,p}(r,z) := -12fF_{k,\delta_r,p}(z),$

and similarly we set

$$\widetilde{F}^*_k(r,z):=-12F^*_{k,\delta_r}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{F}^*_{k,p}(r,z):=-12F^*_{k,\delta_r,p}(z).$$

In the definition of a good divisor we have forced the condition $n(d_0, r) = 0$ for all $d_0|N_0$ when $r \equiv 0 \pmod{f}$, because we want the function $\widetilde{F}_2(r, \tau)$ to satisfy the correct transformation formula, i.e. we want $\widetilde{F}_2(r, \tau)$ to be a modular form of weight two. For a fixed integer $k \geq 2$, we can think of $\{\widetilde{F}_k(r, z)\}_{(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle p \rangle}$ as a family of Eisenstein series indexed by elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle p \rangle$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(f)$ we have the transformation formula

$$\widetilde{F}_k(\gamma \star r, \gamma \tau)(c\tau + d)^{-k} = \widetilde{F}_k(r, \tau),$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \star r := dr \pmod{f}$. A similar formula holds for $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, \tau)$. As the divisor δ satisfies condition (2), the constant terms of the *q*-expansions of $\widetilde{F}_k(r, \tau)$ (respectively $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, \tau)$) vanish at the cusps $\Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$ (respectively $\Gamma_0(fN_0)\{0\}$) where ∞ stands for the cusp 1/0. It is 'well known' that the period integrals

$$\int_{c_1}^{c_2} z^n \widetilde{F}_k(r, z) \, dz \tag{4}$$

are rational numbers, for $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$ and $0 \le n < k$. For explicit formulas of these periods given in terms of Dedekind sums see of [Cha, Proposition 11.1].

We need to introduce some background about p-adic integration. Let

$$\mathbb{X} := (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_p \times p\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

DEFINITION 1.3. Let A be an abelian group. An A-valued distribution on X is a map

 $\mu : \{ \text{Compact open sets of } \mathbb{X} \} \to A$

which is finitely additive, i.e. for any disjoint union, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$, of compact open sets of X we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(U_i)$$

A distribution is said to be a *measure* if A can be chosen to be a bounded subgroup of \mathbb{Q}_p .

Let

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) : \det(\gamma) > 0, c \equiv 0 \pmod{fN_0} \right\}.$$

Note that the orbit $\Gamma_0\{\infty\} = \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}.$

The next theorem is the crucial technical ingredient for the definition of our *p*-adic invariant.

THEOREM 1.1. There exists a unique collection of p-adic measures $\tilde{\mu}_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}$ on $(\mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus (0, 0)$ taking values in \mathbb{Z} and indexed by triples

$$(r, c_1, c_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle \overline{p} \rangle \times \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\} \times \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\},$$

such that:

(1) for every homogeneous polynomial $h(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x, y]$ of degree k - 2,

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} h(x,y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}(x,y) = (1-p^{k-2}) \int_{c_1}^{c_2} h(z,1)\widetilde{F}_k(r,z) \, dz;$$

(2) for all $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ and all compact open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^2 \setminus (0, 0)$,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}(U) = \widetilde{\mu}_{\gamma \star r}\{\gamma c_1 \to \gamma c_2\}(\gamma U);$$

(3) for every homogeneous polynomial $h(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x, y]$ of degree k - 2,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} h(x, y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}(x, y) = \int_{c_1}^{c_2} h(z, 1) \widetilde{F}_{k, p}(r, z) \, dz.$$

Proof. See \S **3**.

Remark 1.1. A similar statement is true if one replaces $\widetilde{F}_k(r, z)$ by $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, z)$ and the orbit $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\}$ by $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{0\}$.

Now we need to introduce certain notions in order to give a precise definition of our *p*-adic invariant. Let $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the so-called *p*-adic upper half plane endowed with its structure of rigid analytic space and let K_p be the completion of K at the prime p. Note that $\mathcal{H}_p \cap K \neq \emptyset$. For certain pairs $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)$ we want to associate a *p*-adic invariant $u(r, \tau) \in K_p^{\times}$. Let us fix an embedding $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. For every $\tau \in K - \mathbb{Q}$ we define the order \mathcal{O}_{τ} as $\operatorname{End}_K(\Lambda_{\tau})$ where Λ_{τ} is the lattice $\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{O} be an order of K of conductor coprime to N_0 and let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathcal{O}$. Note that $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{n} \sim \mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z}$. A pair $(r, \tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times (\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)$ is said to be $(\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{n})$ -admissible if $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\tau} = \mathcal{O}_{N_0\tau}, \Lambda_{N_0\tau} = \mathfrak{n}\Lambda_{\tau}$, and if $\tau - \tau^{\sigma} > 0$ where σ is the non-trivial automorphism of K. When there is no need to specify the pair $(\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{n})$ we simply say that the pair (r, τ) is admissible. In §4 we give some motivation for the notion of admissibile pairs which we denote by \sim (see Definition 4.4 and Remark 4.3). We are now ready to define our *p*-adic invariant.

DEFINITION 1.4. For every admissible pair $(r, \tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times (\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)$ such that τ is reduced (see Definition 1.6), we define the *p*-adic invariant

$$u(\delta_r,\tau) = u(r,\tau) := p^{\psi_r\{\infty \to \gamma_\tau \infty\}} \oint_{\mathbb{X}} (x - \tau y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_r\{\infty \to \gamma_\tau \infty\}(x,y) \in K_p^{\times},\tag{5}$$

where γ_{τ} is an oriented generator of the stabilizer of τ under the action of Γ_1 (see Definition 1.5), i.e. γ_{τ} is chosen in such a way that it generates the quotient $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_1}(\tau)/\langle \pm 1 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\gamma_{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\epsilon > 1$. For any pair of cusps $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$, the quantity $\psi_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}$ is defined by the following integral

$$\psi_r\{c_1 \to c_2\} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \widetilde{F}_2(r,\tau) \, d\tau, \tag{6}$$

where the complex line integral on the right-hand side is taken along the unique geodesic C in the complex upper half plane \mathcal{H} connecting the cusps c_1 and c_2 .

Remark 1.2. One can define in an analoguous way a *p*-adic invariant $u^*(r, \tau)$ by replacing the Eisenstein series $\widetilde{F}_k(r, \tau)$ in the statement of Theorem 1.1 by the Eisenstein series $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, \tau)$.

It is explained in § 2 that the rational number $\psi_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}$ is, in fact, always an integer. Some explanations about the multiplicative integral appearing in (5) are in order. This *p*-adic integral is defined by

$$\oint_{\mathbb{X}} (x - \tau y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_r \{\infty \to \gamma_\tau \infty\}(x, y) := \lim_{||\mathcal{U}|| \to 0} \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} (x_U - \tau y_U)^{\widetilde{\mu}_r \{\infty \to \gamma_\tau \infty\}(U)} \in K_p^{\times}, \tag{7}$$

where \mathcal{U} is a cover of \mathbb{X} by disjoint compact open sets, (x_U, y_U) is an arbitrary point of $U \in \mathcal{U}$, and the *p*-adic limit is taken over increasingly fine covers \mathcal{U} . The product in (7) makes sense since the measures $\tilde{\mu}_r \{c_1 \to c_2\}$ are \mathbb{Z} -valued and not only \mathbb{Z}_p -valued.

The appellation *p*-adic invariant for the quantity $u(r, \tau)$ is appropriate in light of the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. Let (r, τ) and (r', τ') be $(\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{n})$ -admissible pairs such that τ and τ' are reduced and

$$\gamma \star (r, \tau) := (\gamma \star r, \gamma \tau) = (r', \tau'), \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0.$$

Then

$$u(r,\tau) \equiv u(r',\tau') \;(\text{mod } (K_p^{\times})_{\text{tor}}),\tag{8}$$

where $(K_p^{\times})_{\text{tor}} = \mu_{p^2-1}$.

It is a natural question to ask whether (8) remains valid without the modulo (mod μ_{p^2-1}). The author did not attempt to prove it but numerical examples suggest that this refinement is true. Under a mild assumption on τ , one can show that $(r, \tau) \sim (r', \tau')$ if and only if there exists a $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ such that $\gamma \star (r, \tau) = (r', \tau')$ (see § 4).

When $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$, we conjecture that the element $u(r, \tau)$ lies in the narrow ray class field K of conductor f which we denote by $K(f\infty)$. To be precise, assume that the minimal quadratic polynomial with integer coefficients satisfied by τ has the form

$$A\tau^2 + B\tau + C = 0, \quad (A, B, C) = 1, \quad A > 1$$

where $N_0|A$ and $B^2 - 4AC = \operatorname{disc}(K)$.

CONJECTURE 1.1. Let $L = K(f\infty)^{Frob(\mathfrak{p}/\wp)}$ where $\wp = p\mathcal{O}_K$ and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of $K(f\infty)$ above \wp . Then the element $u(r,\tau) \in K_p^{\times}$ is a 'strong *p*-unit' in *L*, i.e. an element of $\mathcal{O}_L[1/p]^{\times}$, such that $|u(r,\tau)|_{\nu} = 1$ for all infinite places ν of *L*.

In § 4.1 (see Conjecture 4.1), we propose a *conjectural Shimura reciprocity law* which describes the action of $Gal(\overline{K}/K)$ on $u(r, \tau)$.

In §5 we introduce the zeta functions $\zeta^*(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$ (respectively $\zeta(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$) which interpolates certain periods of $\widetilde{F}_k(r, \tau)$ with respect to the cusp ∞ (respectively certain periods of $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, \tau)$ with respect to the cusp zero). The reader should keep in mind the following:

periods of
$$F_k(r, z) \rightsquigarrow \zeta^*(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$$
 and $u(r, \tau)$,
periods of $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, z) \rightsquigarrow \zeta(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$ and $u^*(r, \tau)$.

Finally, in §6, we prove a *p*-adic analogue of the Kronecker limit formula which relates our *p*-adic invariant $u(r, \tau)$ to the first derivative at s = 0 of a certain *p*-adic zeta function. More precisely, we prove that:

- (1) $3(\zeta_p^*)'(\delta, (r, \tau), 0) = -\log_p N_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}_p}(u(r, \tau));$
- (2) $3\zeta^*(\delta, (r, \tau), 0) = v_p(u(r, \tau)),$

where $\zeta_p^*(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$ is a *p*-adic zeta function interpolating the special values

$$(1 - p^{-2n})\zeta^*(\delta, (r, \tau), n)$$

for even integer $n \leq 0$ such that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$.

Here we want to point out that our choice of working with the periods of $\widetilde{F}_k(r, z)$ (with respect to the cusp ∞) rather than that coming from $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, z)$ (with respect to the cusp zero) is not necessarily the best choice. For example, the formulas which relate the special values of $\zeta(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$ to the special values of classical partial zeta functions K are much simpler than that showing up in the case of $\zeta^*(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$. Nevertheless, we have decided to work with the periods of $\widetilde{F}_k(r, z)$ rather than that coming from $\widetilde{F}_k^*(r, z)$ since this has the advantage of

simplifying the formulas which relate the Darmon–Dasgupta invariant to the *p*-adic invariant $u(r, \tau)$, see [Cha07b]. Note that in the special case where f = 1, which was the case considered in [DD06], $\zeta_p^*(\delta, (r, \tau), s) = \zeta_p(\delta, (r, \tau), s)$, which becomes false when f > 1. This can be accounted by the fact that the two cusps zero and infinity are inequivalent modulo $\Gamma_0(f)$ when f > 1.

In [Das07b], Dasgupta proposed a conjectural *p*-adic construction of *p*-units lying in narrow ray class fields of any totally real number field. In particular, his method allows him to construct *p*-units in narrow ray class fields of a real quadratic number field *K*. However, his new method is rather different from the modular symbols approach initiated in [DD06] and that developed here. One special feature of our modular symbols approach is the possibility of computing in polynomial time the *p*-adic invariant $u(r, \tau) \in K_p^{\times}$. For numerical examples which support the conjectural algebraicity of $u(r, \tau)$, see [Das07a, Cha] and [Cha07a].

Notation

Let K be a real quadratic number field and \mathcal{O} be a \mathbb{Z} -order of K. Let $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} + \omega\mathbb{Z}$ be the maximal \mathbb{Z} -order of K. Every \mathbb{Z} -order \mathcal{O} of K can be written uniquely as $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + n\omega\mathbb{Z}$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is called the conductor of \mathcal{O} . An \mathcal{O} -module $\Lambda \subseteq K$ will be called an \mathcal{O} -ideal. An \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{a} will be called integral if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. By an invertible \mathcal{O} -ideal we mean an \mathcal{O} -ideal Λ such that $\operatorname{End}_K(\Lambda) = \{\lambda \in K : \lambda\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda\} = \mathcal{O}$. Note that if \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are invertible \mathcal{O} -ideals, then $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ is an invertible \mathcal{O} -ideal. However, if \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are invertible \mathcal{O} -ideals, then in general $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) := \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is not an invertible \mathcal{O} -ideal. If \mathfrak{c} is an invertible ideal and \mathfrak{f} is an integral \mathcal{O} -ideal, then we say that $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) = 1$ if there exists two \mathcal{O} -invertible integral ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ such that $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^{-1}, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{f} = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{f} = \mathcal{O}$. Given an integral \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{f} we define the set

 $I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f}) := \{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq K : \mathfrak{b} \text{ is an invertible integral } \mathcal{O}\text{-ideal coprime to } \mathfrak{f}, \text{ i.e. } \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{O}\}.$

Consider the monoid $I_{\mathcal{O}}(1)$. For every integral \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{f} we define an equivalence relation on the monoid $I_{\mathcal{O}}(1)$ which we denote by $\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in I_{\mathcal{O}}(1)$. We say that $\mathfrak{a} \sim_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{b}$ if and only if there exists an element $\lambda \in 1 + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}, \lambda \gg 0$ (totally positive), such that $\lambda \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$. Note that if $\mathfrak{a} \sim_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{b}$, then $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{f}) = (\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{f})$. The set $I_{\mathcal{O}}(1)/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is a finite monoid. The set of invertible elements of $I_{\mathcal{O}}(1)/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is exactly $I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f})/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$. By class field theory, the ideal class group $I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f})/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$ corresponds to an abelian extension of K which we denote by $K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)$ where $\infty = \infty_1 \infty_2$ corresponds to the product of the two distinct real places of K. We call $K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)$ the narrow ray class field of K of conductor \mathfrak{f} .

We let

$$P_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f}\infty) = \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \in K : \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}, \beta \neq 0, (\alpha \mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}) = (\beta \mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}) = \mathcal{O}, \alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \gg 0 \right\}.$$
(9)

It is easy to see that for $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f}), \mathfrak{a} \sim_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{b}$ if and only if there exists a $\lambda \in P_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f}\infty)$ such that $\lambda \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$. We can thus think of $I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f})/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$ as $I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f})/P_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f}\infty)$.

Let p be a prime number which is inert in K. Instead of working with \mathbb{Z} -lattices and \mathbb{Z} -orders of K, one could well work with $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -lattices and $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -orders of K. It is an easy exercise to see that all of the notions introduced previously are still valid in this setting. For any \mathbb{Z} -module $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and a prime number p, we define $M^{(p)} := M[1/p] \simeq M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$.

DEFINITION 1.5. For quantities p, f, N_0 fixed, we define:

- (1) $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 := \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{fN_0} \};$
- (2) $\Gamma_0 = \{ \gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 : \det(\gamma) = 1 \};$
- (3) $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \{ \gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 : a \equiv 1 \pmod{f}, c \equiv 0 \pmod{fN_0} \};$
- (4) $\Gamma_1 = \{ \gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 : \det(\gamma) = 1 \}.$

For a fixed prime number p we let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \cup \mathcal{T}_1$ be the Bruhat–Tits tree for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ where \mathcal{T}_0 corresponds to its set of vertices and \mathcal{T}_1 corresponds to its set of edges. We let v_0 be the standard vertex of \mathcal{T} which corresponds to the homothety class of $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$. Finally we let $\mathrm{red} : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{T}$ be the reduction map.

DEFINITION 1.6. A point $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$ is said to be reduced if $\operatorname{red}(\tau) = v_0$. This is equivalent to saying that $|\tau - t|_p \geq 1$ for $t = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1$ and $|\tau|_p \leq 1$, where $| \cdot |_p$ stands for the *p*-adic valuation on \mathbb{C}_p normalized in a such a way that $|p|_p = 1/p$.

For a short introduction to the objects defined in the previous paragraph, see [Dar04, ch. 5].

2. Modular units and Eisenstein series

The results presented in this section relate periods of modular units with periods of Eisenstein series. This was the initial point of view that was taken in [Cha]. We also explain how the *p*-adic invariant $u(r, \tau)$ is related to a certain 2-cocycle $\kappa \in Z^2(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times})$. All of the results presented here can be found in [Cha]. The main result proved in this section is the proof of Theorem 1.2 which uses in an essential way the 2-cocycle κ .

Let $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}), f > 1$ be a positive integer and let $X(f)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}^*/\Gamma(f)$ be the modular curve with full level f structure. For a pair $(r/f, s/f) \in ((1/f)\mathbb{Z})^2$ we associate the Siegel function

$$g_{(r/f,s/f)}(\tau) = -e^{2\pi i (s/f)(r/f-1)/2} q_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}B_2(r/f)} (1-q_z) \prod_{n\geq 1} (1-q_{\tau}^n q_z) (1-q_{\tau}^n q_{-z}),$$
(10)

where $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$, $z = (r/f)\tau + s/f$, $q_{\tau} = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, $q_z = e^{2\pi i z}$, $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial and $\tilde{B}_2(x) := B_2(\{x\})$ with $0 \leq \{x\} < 1$ being the fractional part of x. The infinite product (10) converges whenever $Im(\tau) > 0$. On [DK81, p. 36] it is explained that the function $g_{(r/f,s/f)}(\tau)^{12f}$ is a modular unit on $X(f)(\mathbb{C})$, i.e. a meromorphic function on $X(f)(\mathbb{C})$ with its divisor supported on the set of cusps of $X(f)(\mathbb{C})$. Let $N_0 > 0$ be a positive integer prime to fand let $d_0|N_0, d_0 > 0$. Consider this special case of Siegel functions

$$g_{(r/f,0)}(d_0 f\tau) = q_{fd_0\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{B}_2(r/f)}(1 - q_{rd_0\tau}) \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 - q_{d_0f\tau}^n q_{rd_0\tau})(1 - q_{d_0f\tau}^n q_{-rd_0\tau})$$

Let $\delta = \sum_{d_0|N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} n(d_0, r)[d_0, r] \in D(N_0, f)$ be a good divisor. We define a family of modular functions, indexed by $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, by the formula

$$\beta_{\delta_j}(\tau) := \prod_{d_0 \mid N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} g_{(r/f,0)} (d_0 f \tau)^{12n(d_0,jr)}.$$
(11)

Owing to assumption (3) in Definition 1.1, $\beta_{\delta_{pj}}(\tau) = \beta_{\delta_j}(\tau)$. Therefore, we can think of the functions $\beta_{\delta_j}(\tau)$ as being indexed by the cosets $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle \overline{p} \rangle$. Using assumption (2) of Definition 1.1, a direct calculation shows that the function $\beta_{\delta_j}(\tau)$ is invariant under the

substitution $\tau \mapsto \gamma \tau$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(f) \cap \Gamma_0(fN_0)$. In particular, the function $\beta_{\delta_j}(\tau)$ may be viewed as a modular unit of level fN_0 . Moreover, for all $c \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$ one has that $\beta_{\delta_j}(c) = 1$ (this uses assumption (2)), so that $\beta_{\delta_j}(\tau)$ is holomorphic on the set of cusps $\Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$ $= \{a/c : (a, c) = 1 \text{ and } (fN_0)|c\}$. Here, ∞ stands for the cusp 1/0.

The definition of $\widetilde{F}_k(r,\tau)$ of the introduction was motivated by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $\delta = \sum_{d_0|N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} n(d_0, r)[d_0, r] \in D(N_0, f)$ be a good divisor. Then when the weight k is equal to two, we have:

(1) dlog $\beta_{\delta_r}(\tau) = 2\pi i \widetilde{F}_2(r,\tau) d\tau;$

(2) dlog $\beta_{\delta_r,p}(\tau) = 2\pi i \widetilde{F}_{2,p}(r,\tau) d\tau$.

Proof. This is a straightforward computation.

2.1 Construction of a modular symbol

Let $\mathcal{M} = Div_0(\Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\})$ denote the group of degree-zero divisors on the set $\Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$. Note that \mathcal{M} has a natural left action by $\Gamma_0(fN_0)$. A partial modular symbol with values in an abelian group A is simply a group homomorphism from \mathcal{M} to A. If ψ is a partial modular symbol and $c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$, then we write

$$\psi\{c_1 \rightarrow c_2\}$$
 or $\psi[m]$ for $\psi([c_1] - [c_2])$, where $m = [c_1] - [c_2] \in \mathcal{M}$.

Assumption (2) of Definition 1.1 implies that the differential dlog $\beta_{\delta_r}(\tau)$ on \mathcal{H}^* is holomorphic at the points of the set $\Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$. Thus, we may define a family of partial modular symbols ψ_r , indexed by $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle \bar{p} \rangle$, by the rule

$$\psi_r\{c_1 \to c_2\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \text{dlog } \beta_{\delta_r}(\tau),$$
 (12)

where the complex line integral on the right-hand side is taken along the unique geodesic C in \mathcal{H}^* connecting the cusps c_1 and c_2 . The rational integer $\psi_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}$ may be understood as the winding number of the closed loop $\beta_{\delta_r}(C)$ around the origin in the complex plane.

Remark 2.1. In light of Proposition 2.1 we see that (12) coincides with (6).

The partial modular symbol ψ_r is $\Gamma_0(fN_0)$ -invariant in the sense that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)$ one has

$$\psi_r\{c_1 \to c_2\} = \psi_{\gamma \star r}\{\gamma c_1 \to \gamma c_2\},\tag{13}$$

where, for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma \star j \equiv dj \pmod{f}$. The identity (13) follows directly from the transformation formula $\beta_{\delta_{\gamma\star r}}(\gamma \tau) = \beta_{\delta_r}(\tau)$ where $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)$.

We define the *p*-stabilization of $\beta_{\delta_r}(\tau)$ to be

$$\beta_{\delta_r,p}(\tau) := \frac{\beta_{\delta_r}(\tau)}{\beta_{\delta_r}(p\tau)}.$$

Using the infinite product of $\beta_{\delta_r,p}(\tau)$ and assumption (3) of Definition of 1.1 one can show that $\beta_{\delta_r,p}(\tau)$ is $U_{p,m}$ -invariant, i.e.

$$U_{p,m}(\beta_{\delta_r,p}(\tau)) := \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \beta_{\delta_r,p}\left(\frac{\tau+k}{p}\right) = \beta_{\delta_r,p}(\tau).$$
(14)

(The index *m* of $U_{p,m}$ stands for multiplicative.) For a proof of (14) see [Cha, Proposition 3.5 and Remark 4.7]. Moreover, for all $c \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$, one also has $\beta_{\delta_r,p}(c) = 1$.

The family of *p*-stabilized modular units $\{\beta_{\delta_j,p}(\tau)\}_{j\in(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})\times/\langle \overline{p}\rangle}$ gives rise naturally to a family of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ -invariant partial modular symbols with values in the abelian group of \mathbb{Z} -valued measures on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In order to make the previous statement precise, we need to introduce some notation.

The group of matrices

$$\operatorname{GL}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right) = \left\{\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right) : \operatorname{det}(\gamma) > 0\right\}$$

acts naturally on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by the rule $x \mapsto \gamma x = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$, where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ and $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We define a ball in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to be a translate of \mathbb{Z}_p by some element of $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$, i.e. a ball in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a set of the form

$$\gamma \mathbb{Z}_p := \{ \gamma x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \},\$$

where $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$. We denote the set of all such balls by \mathcal{B} .

THEOREM 2.1. There exists a unique system of \mathbb{Z} -valued measures on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, indexed by triples

$$(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle \overline{p}\rangle \times \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\} \times \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\}$$

satisfying the following properties (for all $(r, c_1, c_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle \overline{p} \rangle \times \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\} \times \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\}$):

- (1) $\mu_r \{ c_1 \to c_2 \} (\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)) = 0;$
- (2) $\mu_r \{c_1 \to c_2\}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = (1/2\pi | i) \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_r, p}(\tau);$
- (3) ($\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ -invariance property) for all $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ and all compact open $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ we have

$$\mu_{\gamma \star r} \{ \gamma c_1 \to \gamma c_2 \} (\gamma U) = \mu_r \{ c_1 \to c_2 \} (U).$$

Proof. The key idea is to use the $U_{p,m}$ -invariance of the modular units $\beta_{\delta_r,p}(\tau)$. The latter property can be used to 'package' these various winding numbers into a family of *p*-adic \mathbb{Z} -valued measures on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. For a proof, see [Cha, Theorem 5.1].

The following lemma states that the system of measures $\tilde{\mu}$ appearing in Theorem 1.1 lifts the system of measures μ appearing in Theorem 2.1.

LEMMA 2.1. For all compact open $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ we have

$$\pi_* \widetilde{\mu}\{c_1 \to c_2\}(U) := \widetilde{\mu}_j\{c_1 \to c_2\}(\pi^{-1}(U)) = \mu_j\{c_1 \to c_2\}(U),$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} -bundle given by $(x, y) \mapsto x/y$.

Proof. See [Cha, Proof of Lemma 6.1].

2.2 Construction of a 2-cocycle

The family of measures constructed in Theorem 2.1 will enable us to construct a 2-cocycle $\kappa \in Z^2(\widetilde{\Gamma}_1, K_p^{\times})$.

Let $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$ be a fixed good divisor.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, $c_1, c_2 \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\}$ and let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}_p \cap K_p$. We define

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_r, p}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \log_p\left(\frac{t - \tau_2}{t - \tau_1}\right) d\mu_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}(t),$$

where $\mu_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}$ is the measure of Theorem 2.1 for the modular unit $\beta_{\delta,p}(\tau)$. Since the measures $\mu_r\{c_1 \to c_2\}$ are \mathbb{Z} -valued it makes sense also to define the multiplicative integral

$$\oint_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \beta_{\delta_r, p}(\tau) \, d\mu_r \{ c_1 \to c_2 \}(t) := \lim_{\mathcal{C} = \{U_i\}} \prod_i \left(\frac{t_i - \tau_2}{t_i - \tau_1} \right)^{\mu_r \{c_1 \to c_2\}(U_i)}$$

where t_i is an arbitrary point of U_i and the limit goes over a set of covers that become finer and finer.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p \cap K_p$ and fix $c \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\}$ and $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Then for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ we define

$$\kappa_{c,(r,\tau)}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) := \oint_{\tau}^{\gamma_1\tau} \int_{\gamma_1c}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2c} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_r,p}(z) \in K_p^{\times}.$$

We let the group $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ act trivially on K_p^{\times} .

PROPOSITION 2.2. The 2-cochain $\kappa_{c,(r,\tau)} \in C^2(\widetilde{\Gamma}_0, K_p^{\times})$ is a 'twisted' 2-cocycle satisfying the relation

$$(d\kappa_{c,(r,\tau)})(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3) = \kappa_{c,(r,\tau)}(\gamma_2,\gamma_3) - \kappa_{c,(\gamma_1^{-1}\star r,\tau)}(\gamma_2,\gamma_3)$$

for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$.

In particular, $(d\kappa_{c,(r,\tau)})|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = 0$, i.e. $\kappa_{c,(r,\tau)}|_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_1} \in Z^2(\widetilde{\Gamma}_1, K_p^{\times})$.

Proof. See [Cha, Proposition 5.7].

2.3 Explicit splitting of a 2-cocycle

DEFINITION 2.3. To each $v \in \mathcal{T}_0$ (set of vertices of the Bruhat–Tits tree for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) we associate a well-defined partial modular symbol $m_v\{c_1 \to c_2\}$ on the set of cusps $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0\{\infty\}$ taking values in the set of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ -invariant \mathbb{Z} -valued measures on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We define

$$m_{v_0,r}\{c_1 \to c_2\} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_r}(z), \quad m_{\gamma v, \gamma \star r}\{\gamma c_1 \to \gamma c_2\} = m_{v,r}\{c_1 \to c_2\},$$

where $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}), \ \gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0, \ r \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle p \rangle \text{ and } c_1, c_2 \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}.$

Note that the assignment $v \mapsto m_{v,r} \{c_1 \to c_2\}$ satisfies the harmonicity property

$$\sum_{d(v',v)=1} m_{v',r} \{ c_1 \to c_2 \} = (p+1)m_{v,r} \{ c_1 \to c_2 \}.$$

The last equality comes from the fact that $\widetilde{F}_2(r, z)$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue (1 + p) for the Hecke operator $T_2(p)$ (see [Cha, Equation (4.19)]).

The next theorem gives an explicit splitting of the 2-cocycle appearing in Definition 2.2.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p \cap K_p$, $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_1$ and $v = \operatorname{red}(\tau)$. Define $\rho_{c,(r,\tau)}(\gamma) := p^{m_{v,r}\{c \to \gamma c\}\}} \oint_{\mathbb{X}} (x - \tau y) d\widetilde{\mu}_r\{c \to \gamma c\}(x, y).$ (15)

Then $\rho_{c,(r,\tau)} \in C^1(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times})$ is a 1-cochain such that of $d\rho_{c,(r,\tau)} = \kappa_{c,(r,\tau)}$.

Proof. The proof uses in an essential way Lemma 2.1 and property (3) of Theorem 1.1. For a detailed proof see [Cha, Theorem 6.2]. \Box

Remark 2.2. For an admissible pair (r, τ) one has that $\rho_{\infty,(r,\tau)}(\gamma_{\tau}) = u(r, \tau)$.

COROLLARY 2.1. Let $(r, \tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p \cap K$ be an admissible pair such that $\operatorname{red}(\tau) = v_0$. Let $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_1$. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(\rho_{c,(r,\tau)}(\gamma)) = m_{v_0,r}\{c \to \gamma c\}.$$
(16)

Proof. This follows directly from the definition of $\rho_{c,(r,\tau)}$.

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Theorem 1.2 will be a direct consequence of the next two propositions.

PROPOSITION 2.3. For $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p \cap K$ let

$$\Gamma_{1,\tau} := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_1 : \gamma \tau = \tau \}.$$

Let $\rho_{c,(r,\tau)}$ be the 1-cochain appearing in Theorem 2.2 when viewed as an element of $Z^1(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times})$. Then $\rho_{c,(r,\tau)}|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}}$ modulo $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times})|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}}$ does not depend on the base point $c \in \Gamma_0\{\infty\}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \Gamma_1\{\infty\}$. We want to show that

$$\rho_{x,(r,\tau)}|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}} - \rho_{y,(r,\tau)}|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}} \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times})|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}} = Z^1(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times})|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}}.$$

This is equivalent to showing that $(d\rho_{x,(r,\tau)})|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}} - (d\rho_{y,(r,\tau)})|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}} = 0$. The previous equality means exactly that $(\kappa_{x,(r,\tau)} - \kappa_{y,(r,\tau)})|_{\Gamma_{1,\tau}} = 0$. Let us compute as follows.

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{x,(r,\tau)}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2}) &- \kappa_{y,(r,\tau)}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2}) = \int_{\tau}^{\gamma_{1}\tau} \int_{\gamma_{1}x}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}x} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_{r},p}(z) - \int_{\tau}^{\gamma_{1}\tau} \int_{\gamma_{1}y}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}y} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_{r},p}(z) \\ &= \int_{\tau}^{\gamma_{1}\tau} \int_{\gamma_{1}x}^{\gamma_{1}y} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_{k},p}(z) - \int_{\tau}^{\gamma_{1}\tau} \int_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}x}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}y} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_{r},p}(z) \\ &= \int_{\tau}^{\gamma_{1}\tau} \int_{\gamma_{1}x}^{\gamma_{1}y} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_{r},p}(z) - \int_{\tau}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\tau} \int_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}x}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}y} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_{r},p}(z) \\ &+ \int_{\gamma_{1}\tau}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\tau} \int_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}x}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}y} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_{r},p}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now applying γ_1^{-1} to the bounds of the third term of the last equality (note that $\gamma_1^{-1} \star r = r$) and setting

$$c_{x,y}(\gamma) := \int_{\tau}^{\gamma\tau} \int_{\gamma x}^{\gamma y} \operatorname{dlog} \beta_{\delta_r,p}(z) \in C^1(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times}),$$

we obtain

$$\kappa_{x,(r,\tau)}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) - \kappa_{y,(r,\tau)}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) = c_{x,y}(\gamma_1) - c_{x,y}(\gamma_1\gamma_2) + c_{x,y}(\gamma_2) = (dc_{x,y})(\gamma_1,\gamma_2).$$

We have thus proved that $d(\rho_{x,(r,\tau)} - \rho_{y,(r,\tau)} - c_{x,y})|_{\Gamma_1} = 0$. So

$$\rho_{x,(r,\tau)} - \rho_{y,(r,\tau)} - c_{x,y} \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times}).$$

Finally, evaluating at γ_{τ} and using the fact that $c_{x,y}(\gamma_{\tau}) = 0$ proves the proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.4. The abelianization of Γ_1 , i.e. $(\Gamma_1)^{ab} = \Gamma_1/[\Gamma_1, \Gamma_1]$ is a finite group.

Proof. See [Men67] and [Ser70].

COROLLARY 2.2. The group $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma_1, K_p^{\times})$ is a finite abelian group of exponent dividing $\#(K^{\times})_{\operatorname{tor}} = p^2 - 1.$

Remark 2.3. Note that the Proposition 2.4 is obviously false if one replaces Γ_1 by the larger group $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let (r, τ) be an admissible pair. Let $U \subset (\mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus (0, 0)$ be a compact open subset, let $c_1, c_2 \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ and let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$. A direct computation which uses property (2) of Theorem 1.1 shows that

$$\oint_U (x - \tau y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_r \{c_1 \to c_2\}(x, y) = \oint_{\gamma U} (C\tau + D)(x - \gamma \tau y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_{\gamma \star r} \{\gamma c_1 \to \gamma c_2\}(x, y). \tag{17}$$

Now let (r, τ) and (r', τ') be admissible pairs as given in the statement of Theorem 1.2. By assumption there exists a $\eta \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ such that $\eta \star (r, \tau) = (r', \tau')$. Since τ and τ' are reduced we see that $\eta \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 \cap \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \Gamma_0(fN_0)$. Note that $\gamma_{\tau'} = \eta \gamma_\tau \eta^{-1}$ where γ_τ and $\gamma_{\tau'}$ are as in Definition 1.4. Let $\xi = \eta \infty$. We have

$$u(r', \tau') = \rho_{\infty, (r', \tau')}(\gamma_{\tau'}) = \rho_{\xi, (r', \tau')}(\gamma_{\tau'}) \pmod{\mu_{p^2 - 1}},$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.3 ($\gamma_{\tau'} \in \Gamma_{1,\tau'}$) and Corollary 2.2. Now let us compute directly $\rho_{\xi,(\tau',\tau')}(\gamma_{\tau'})$. We have

$$\rho_{\xi,(r',\tau')}(\gamma_{\tau'}) = p^{m_{v_0,r'}\{\xi \to \gamma_{\tau'}\xi\}} \oint_{\mathbb{X}} (x - \tau'y) d\widetilde{\mu}_{r'}\{\xi \to \gamma_{\tau'}\xi\}(x,y)$$
$$= p^{m_{v_0,r}\{\infty \to \gamma_{\tau}\infty\}} \oint_{\mathbb{X}} (x - \tau y) d\widetilde{\mu}_{r}\{\infty \to \gamma_{\tau}\infty\}(x,y),$$

where the last equality uses (17) and the $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ -equivariance of the modular symbol $m_{v_0,r'}\{\xi \to \gamma_{\tau'}\xi\}$. This concludes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the existence of the system of measures which appear in Theorem 1.1.

The proof is technical and long but essentially it follows the same lines as that given in [DD06]. We only prove in detail the new ingredients which are not straightforward adaptations of [DD06]; for a more detailed version of the proof see [Cha]. The uniqueness of the family of measures follows easily from properties (1) and (2). It remains to show the existence of such a family. We first prove the existence of a family of measures which satisfy properties (1), (2) and (3) under the weaker assumption that they take values in \mathbb{Z}_p rather than \mathbb{Z} . We divide the proof into five steps. We let $\xi = a/c \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 \{\infty\}$ where $p \nmid c$ and $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle p \rangle$ and write $\mu_{\xi,j} := \widetilde{\mu}_j \{\xi \to \infty\}$.

First step. There exists a unique family of \mathbb{Z}_p -valued measures on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ which satisfies the property

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p} h(x, y) \, d\mu_{\xi, j}(x, y) = (1 - p^{k-2}) \int_{\xi}^{i\infty} h(z, 1) \widetilde{F}_k(j, z) \, dz.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$I_{n,m}(j) := \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p} x^n y^m d\mu_{\xi,j}(x,y) = (1-p^{n+m}) \int_{\xi}^{i\infty} z^n \widetilde{F}_{n+m+2}(j,z) dz$$
$$= -\frac{12}{f^{n+m}} (1-p^{n+m}) \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \binom{a}{c}^{n-l} (-1)^l$$
$$\times \sum_{d_0 \mid N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} n(d_0, r) d_0^{-l} D_{n+m-l+1,l+1}^{jr \pmod{f}}(a, c/d_0)$$
(18)

for all integers $n, m \ge 0$, where

$$D_{s,t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) := c^{s-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c \\ h \equiv r \pmod{f}}} \frac{\widetilde{B}_s(h/c)}{s} \frac{\widetilde{B}_t(ha/c)}{t}$$

The second equality follows from [Cha, Proposition 11.4] which provides explicit formulas for the rational periods of $\tilde{F}_k(j, z)$.

The key tool in showing the existence and uniqueness of $\{\mu_{\xi,j}\}\$ is the following result, which is a two-variables version of a classical theorem of Mahler.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $b_{n,m} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be constants indexed by integers $n, m \ge 0$. There exists a unique measure μ on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{x}{n} \binom{y}{m} d\mu(x, y) = b_{n,m}.$$

For any $0 \le n$ and $0 \le i \le n$, define the rational numbers $c_{n,i}$ via $\binom{x}{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{n,i} x^i$. For $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle p \rangle$ we let

$$J_{n,m}(j) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{i'=0}^{m} c_{n,i} c_{m,i'} I_{i,i'}(j)$$

So in order to show that the measures $\mu_{\xi,j}$ are \mathbb{Z}_p -valued, it is enough to show, by Lemma 3.1, that $J_{n,m}(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. The way that this is proved is by interpreting the quantity $J_{n,m}(j)$ as the partial derivative of a certain rational function. More precisely,

$$J_{n,m}(j) = \binom{D_w}{m} \binom{D_z}{z} H_j^*(u,v)|_{(1,1)}$$

where $(z, w) = (1/u, u^{a/c}v^{1/c})$, $D_w = w(\partial/\partial w)$, $D_z = z(\partial/\partial z)$ and $H_j^*(u, v)$ is a rational function in $\mathbb{Z}_p(u^{1/c}, v^{1/c})$. For the exact definition of $H_j^*(u, v)$, see [Cha, Equations (12.9) and (12.10)].

Now the *p*-integrality of $J_{n,m}(j)$ is a direct consequence of the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. Consider the subring R of $\mathbb{Z}_p(u^{1/c}, v^{1/c})$ defined by

$$R := \left\{ \frac{P}{Q} : P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}_p[u^{1/c}, v^{1/c}] \text{ and } Q(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \right\}.$$

Then R is a ring stable under the operators $\binom{D_w}{m}$ and $\binom{D_z}{n}$. Furthermore, $H_j^*(u, v) \in R$.

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is identical to the proof of [DD06, Lemma 4.11] (see also [Cha, Lemma 12.2]).

Second step. There exists a unique family of partial modular symbols $\{\nu_j\}_{j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\langle p \rangle}$ supported on the set of cusps $\Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$ of \mathbb{Z}_p -valued measures on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p} h(x, y) \, d\nu_j \{ r \to s \}(x, y) = (1 - p^{k-2}) \int_r^s h(z, 1) \widetilde{F}_k(j, z) \, dz$$

for $r, s \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)\{\infty\}$ and for every homogeneous polynomial $h(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ of degree k - 2. Furthermore, if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)$, then $\nu_j\{r \to s\}(U) = \nu_{\gamma \star j}\{\gamma r \to \gamma s\}(\gamma U)$, i.e. the system of measures is $\Gamma_0(fN_0)$ -invariant.

The proof of this step uses step 1. The argument is identical to the proof of [DD06, Lemma 4.13] (see also [Cha, Lemma 12.4]). Note that the $\Gamma_0(fN_0)$ -invariance boils down basically to the transformation formula $E_k(\gamma \star r, \gamma \tau)(c\tau + d)^{-k} = E_k(r, \tau)$ where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)$.

Third step. Let $r, s \in \Gamma\{\infty\}$. The measures $\nu_j\{r \to s\}$ constructed in step 2 satisfy the following formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} h(x, y) \, d\nu_j \{ r \to s \}(x, y) = \int_r^s h(z, 1) \widetilde{F}_{k, p}(j, z) \, dz,$$

for every homogeneous polynomial $h(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ of degree k - 2.

The proof of this step uses step 2 and follows the same lines as the proof of [DD06, Lemma 4.14] where [DD06, Lemma 4.15] is replaced by the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $s, t \ge 1$. For any rational number a/c (p could divide c), we have inside \mathbb{Q}_p the identity

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} D_{s+(p-1)p^{j},t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) = D_{s,t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) - p^{s-1} D_{s,t}^{p^{-1}r \pmod{f}}(pa,c).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is different from the proof of [DD06, Lemma 4.15] since we do not use reciprocity formulas for Dedekind sums. For this reason we have decided to include it.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $x = a/c \in \mathbb{Q}$ with (a, c) = 1 and assume first that $p \nmid c$. Let b be an integer such that $abp \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$. Note that

$$D_{s,t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) = c^{s-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le c \\ l \equiv ar \pmod{f}}} \frac{\overline{B}_s(lbp/c)}{s} \frac{\overline{B}_t(l/c)}{t}.$$
(19)

Therefore,

$$D_{s+(p-1)p^{j},t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) = c^{s-1+(p-1)p^{j}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le c \\ l \equiv ar \pmod{f}}} \frac{B_{s+(p-1)p^{j}}(lbp/c)}{s} \frac{\widetilde{B}_{t}(l/c)}{t}$$
(20)

and, similarly,

$$D_{s+(p-1)p^{j},t}^{r \pmod{f}}(pa,c) = c^{s-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le c \\ l \equiv ar \pmod{f}}} \frac{B_{s+(p-1)p^{j}}(lb/c)}{s} \frac{\widetilde{B}_{t}(l/c)}{t}.$$
 (21)

Write $y = \{lbp/c\}$ and $y' = \{lb/c\}$. Since $c^{(p-1)p^j} \to 1$, then subtracting p^{s-1} times (21) to (20) we see that it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} B_{s+(p-1)p^j}(y) = B_s(y) - p^{s-1} B_s(y').$$
(22)

For s > 0, this follows from the proof of [You01, Theorem 3.2]. In the course of the proof of [You01, Theorem 3.2], Young obtains for any positive integer b coprime to p the congruence

$$(b^{s+(p-1)p^{j}} - 1)\frac{B_{s+(p-1)p^{j}}(x) - p^{s-1+(p-1)p^{j}}B_{s+(p-1)p^{j}}(x')}{s + (p-1)p^{j}} - (b^{s} - 1)\frac{B_{s}(x) - p^{s-1}B_{s}(x')}{s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{j+1}\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$$
(23)

where x' is such that $px' - x \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $s \ge 1$. The denominator of B_n/n at p is well behaved. If $(p-1) \nmid n$, then B_n/n is p-integral. If (p-1)|n, then $v_p(B_n/n) = -1 - v_p(n)$. Using the previous observation it follows that $\lim_{j\to\infty} p^{(p-1)p^j} B_{s+(p-1)p^j}(x') = 0$. Letting $j \to \infty$ in (23) we obtain that

$$(b^{s}-1)\lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{B_{s+(p-1)p^{j}}(x)}{s} = (b^{s}-1)\frac{B_{s}(x)-p^{s-1}B_{s}(x')}{s}.$$
(24)

When $s \ge 1$ we can always choose b such that $b^s - 1 \ne 0$. Therefore, we can cancel the two factors $b^s - 1$ in (24) to obtain (22). It remains to treat the case where s = 0.

We have $v_p(y) \ge 1$. Let $g = (p-1)p^j$. Note that

$$B_{g}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{g} {\binom{g}{k}} B_{k} y^{g-k}$$

= $y^{g} + g \left(\sum_{k=1}^{g-1} {\binom{g-1}{k-1}} \frac{B_{k}}{k} y^{g-k} \right) + B_{g}.$ (25)

If $(p-1) \nmid k$, then $B_k/k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If (p-1)|k, then we can write $k = (p-1)p^u m$ with (m, p) = 1. So $v_p((B_k/k)y^{g-k}) \geq -1 - u + (p-1)p^u \geq 0$ since $p^{j-u} - 1 \geq m$. We thus deduce from (25) that $\lim_{j\to\infty} B_{(p-1)p^j}(y) = B_{(p-1)p^j}$.

Let ω be the Teichmüller character at p. If we look at $L_p(s)$ the p-adic L-function twisted by the trivial character, we have the formula

$$L_p(1-n) = -(1-\omega^{-n}(p)p^{n-1})\frac{B_{n,\omega^{-n}}}{n}.$$

Here ω^{-n} means the primitive character associated to ω^{-n} (so $\omega^{-n}(a)$ is not necessarily equal to $\omega(a)^{-n}$). So letting $n = (p-1)p^j$, we have $\omega^{-n}(p) = 1$ and we obtain

$$L_p(1 - (p-1)p^j) = -(1 - p^{(p-1)p^j} - 1)\frac{B_{(p-1)p^j}}{(p-1)p^j}$$

Now we know that $\lim_{s\to 1} (s-1)L_p(s) = 1 - 1/p$. So letting $j \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} B_{(p-1)p^j} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

This proves the claim for s = 0.

We need to treat now the case where p|c. This case turns out to be simple. Let us prove the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. Let h be any integer and $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that p|c. Then we have the following:

(1)
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} c^{s+g} \widetilde{B}_{s+g}(h/c) = c^s \widetilde{B}_s(h/c), \text{ if } (h, p) = 1;$$
(2)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} c^{s+g} \widetilde{B}_{s+g}(h/c) = 0 \text{ if } h$$

(2)
$$\lim_{j\to\infty} c^{s+g} B_{s+g}(h/c) = 0$$
, if $p|h$,

where $g = (p-1)p^j$.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let us prove the first case. We have

$$c^{s+g}\widetilde{B}_{s+g}\left(\frac{h}{c}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{s+g} \binom{s+g}{k} B_k h^{s+g-k} c^k$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s+g}{k} B_k h^{s+g-k} c^k + \sum_{k=s+1}^{s+g} \binom{s+g}{k} B_k h^{s+g-k} c^k.$$
(26)

Now since $|c|_p < 1$, $|h|_p = 1$, $|\binom{m}{k}|_p \le 1$ and $|B_k|_p \le p$, the limit in (26) when $j \to \infty$ exists. Since (h, p) = 1 the limit of the first term is $c^s \tilde{B}_s(h/c)$ and the limit of the second term is zero. This proves the first part of the lemma.

Assume now that p|h. If $v_p(h) \ge v_p(c)$, then $h/c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. In this case we know that $\lim_{j\to\infty} \widetilde{B}_{s+(p-1)p^j}(h/c)$ exists by (22). Finally since p|c it follows that $\lim_{j\to\infty} c^{s+g}\widetilde{B}_{s+g}(h/c) = 0$. Assume now that $v_p(c) > v_p(h) = m \ge 1$. Then by the first part of the Lemma 3.4 we know that $\lim_{j\to\infty} (c/p^m)^{s+g}\widetilde{B}_{s+g}((h/p^m)/(c/p^m))$ exists. It follows $\lim_{j\to\infty} c^{s+g}\widetilde{B}_{s+g}(h/c) = 0$ since $m \ge 1$.

With Lemma 3.4 it is now easy to prove Lemma 3.3 for the case where p|c. We have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} D_{s+g,t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c \\ h \equiv r \pmod{f}}} c^{s+g-1} \widetilde{B}_{s+g-1} \left(\frac{h}{c}\right) \widetilde{B}_t \left(\frac{ah}{c}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c \\ h \equiv r \pmod{f} \\ (p,h)=1}} c^{s-1} \widetilde{B}_{s-1} \left(\frac{h}{c}\right) \widetilde{B}_t \left(\frac{ah}{c}\right).$$
(27)

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{split} D_{s,t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) &- p^{s-1} D_{s,t}^{p^{-1}r \pmod{f}}(pa,c) \\ &= D_{s,t}^{r \pmod{f}}(a,c) - p^{s-1} D_{s,t}^{p^{-1}r \pmod{f}}(a,c/p) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c \\ h \equiv r \pmod{f}}} c^{s-1} \widetilde{B}_{s-1}\left(\frac{h}{c}\right) \widetilde{B}_t\left(\frac{ah}{c}\right) - \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c/p \\ h \equiv p^{-1}r \pmod{f}}} p^{s-1}\left(\frac{c}{p}\right)^{s-1} \widetilde{B}_{s-1}\left(\frac{h}{c/p}\right) \widetilde{B}_t\left(\frac{ah}{c/p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c \\ h \equiv r \pmod{f}}} c^{s-1} \widetilde{B}_{s-1}\left(\frac{h}{c}\right) \widetilde{B}_t\left(\frac{ah}{c}\right) - \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c/p \\ h \equiv p^{-1}r \pmod{f}}} c^{s-1} \widetilde{B}_{s-1}\left(\frac{h}{c}\right) \widetilde{B}_t\left(\frac{ah}{c}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \le h \le c \\ h \equiv r \pmod{f}}} c^{s-1} \widetilde{B}_{s-1}\left(\frac{h}{c}\right) \widetilde{B}_t\left(\frac{ah}{c}\right). \end{split}$$

Compare with (27). This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Fourth step. Let $r, s \in \Gamma\{\infty\}$. The measures $\nu_j\{r \to s\}$ are supported on X.

Proof. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(fN_0)$ and set $\mu(\gamma, z) := (cz + d)$. Let $h(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree k - 2 = m + n - 2. Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})} h(x, y) \, d\nu_j \{r \to s\}(x, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} h(\gamma(x, y)) \, d\nu_j \{r \to s\}(\gamma(x, y)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} h(\gamma(x, y)) \, d\nu_{\gamma^{-1}\star j} \{\gamma^{-1}r \to \gamma^{-1}s\}(x, y) \\ &= \int_{\gamma^{-1}r}^{\gamma^{-1}s} h(\gamma z, 1) \mu(\gamma, z)^{k-2} \widetilde{F}_{k, p}(\gamma^{-1} \star j, z) \, dz \\ &= \int_r^s h(z, 1) \mu(\gamma^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)} \widetilde{F}_{k, p}(\gamma^{-1} \star j, \gamma^{-1}z) \, d(\gamma^{-1}z). \end{split}$$

Let $M(p) \subset M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the set of primitive matrices of determinant p. Let

$$\left\{\eta_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}\right\}_{i=1}^{p+1}$$

be a complete set of representatives of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus M(p)$. Then we have

$$T_k(p)E_k(j,z) = p^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} E_k(d_i j, \eta_i z)\mu(\eta_i, z)^{-k},$$
(28)

where $T_k(p)$ stands for the Hecke operator at p. For some background about Hecke operators in this context see [Cha, § 4.8].

Let $P = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\{\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}\}_{i=1}^{p+1}$ be a complete set of representatives of $\Gamma_0(pfN_0) \setminus \Gamma_0(fN_0)$. Note that the set $\{P\gamma_i^{-1}\}_{i=1}^{p+1}$ is a complete set of representatives of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus M(p)$.

From (30) we deduce that

$$\frac{-1}{12f} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \widetilde{F}_{k,p}(\gamma_i^{-1} \star j, \gamma_i^{-1} z) \mu(\gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)} d(\gamma_i^{-1} z)
= \sum_{d_0,r} n(d_0, r) d_0 \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} E_k(a_i r j, d_0 \gamma_i^{-1} z) \mu(\gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)} d(\gamma_i^{-1} z)
- p^{k-1} \sum_{d_0,r} n(d_0, r) d_0 \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} E_k(a_i r j, p d_0 \gamma_i^{-1} z) \mu(\gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)} d(\gamma_i^{-1} z).$$
(29)

 As

$$E_k(r, \gamma z)\mu(\gamma, z)^{-(k-2)}d(\gamma z) = E_k(\gamma^{-1} \star r, z) dz,$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(f)$, we have that

$$E_k(a_i r j, d_0 \gamma_i^{-1} z) \mu(\gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)} d(\gamma_i^{-1} z) = E_k(\gamma_i \star (a_i r j), d_0 z) dz$$

= $E_k(jr, d_0 z) dz.$

From [Cha, Equation (4.19)] one may deduce that

$$T_k(p)E_k(j,z) = p^{k-1}E_k(j,z) + E_k(pj,z).$$
(30)

Using the fact that (28) is equal to (30), that $\mu(P\gamma, z) = \mu(\gamma, z)$ and $pd_0\gamma_i^{-1}z = d_0P\gamma_i^{-1}z$ we obtain

$$p^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} E_k(a_i r j, d_0 P \gamma_i^{-1} z) \mu(\gamma_i^{-1}, z) d(\gamma_i^{-1} z)$$

= $p^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} E_k(a_i r j, d_0 P \gamma_i^{-1} z) \mu(P \gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)} d(P \gamma_i^{-1} z)$
= $(T_k(p) E_k(r j, d_0 z)) dz$
= $(p^{k-1} E_k(r j, d_0 z) + E_k(pr j, d_0 z)) dz.$

Now because $p \star \delta = \delta$ we find that

$$\sum_{d_0,r} n(d_0,r) d_0(p^{k-1}E_k(rj,d_0z) + E_k(prj,d_0z)) dz = (p^{k-1}+1) \sum_{d_0,r} n(d_0,r) d_0E_k(rj,d_0z).$$

Substituting the last expression in (29) we find

$$-12f((p+1) - (p^{k-1} + 1))\sum_{d_0, r} n(d_0, r)d_0E_k(rj, d_0) = (p - p^{k-1})\widetilde{F}_k(j, z)$$

Finally, note that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p+1} \gamma_i(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})$ is a degree p cover of X. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{split} p \int_{\mathbb{X}} h(x,y) \, d\nu_j \{r \to \}(x,y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{\gamma_i(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})} h(x,y) \, d\nu_j \{r \to \}(x,y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_r^s h(z,1) \widetilde{F}_{k,p}(\gamma_i^{-1} \star j, \gamma_i^{-1} z) \mu(\gamma_i^{-1}, z)^{-(k-2)} d(\gamma^{-1} z) \\ &= (p - p^{k-1}) \int_r^s h(z,1) \widetilde{F}_{k,p}(j,z) \, dz \\ &= (p - p^{k-1}) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}} h(x,y) \, d\nu_j \{r \to s\}(x,y) \\ &= p \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p} h(x,y) \, d\nu_j \{r \to s\}(x,y). \end{split}$$

Since this holds for any h homogeneous of degree k we obtain that the support of $\nu_j \{r \to s\}$ is included in \mathbb{X} .

Fifth step. Now we want to extend the measures $\nu_j\{r \to s\}$ to the space $\mathbb{Q}_p^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. The compact open set \mathbb{X} is a fundamental domain for the action of multiplication by p on $\mathbb{Q}_p^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ where by multiplication by p we mean $\binom{p \ 0}{0 \ p}(x, y) = (px, py)$. Hence, if for a compact open $U \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ we define

$$\widetilde{\mu}_j\{r \to s\}(U) := \nu_j\{r \to s\}(U),$$

then $\widetilde{\mu}_j\{r \to s\}$ extends uniquely to a $\Gamma_0(fN_0)$ -invariant partial modular symbol of \mathbb{Z}_p -valued measures on $\mathbb{Q}_p^2 \setminus \{0\}$ which is invariant under the action of multiplication by p:

$$\widetilde{\mu}_j\{r \to s\}(pU) = \widetilde{\mu}_j\{r \to s\}(U),$$

for all compact open $U \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. This almost proves Theorem 1.1. It remains to show that the modular symbol $\tilde{\mu}_j$ is ' $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ -invariant', i.e. for all compact open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and all pairs of cusps $r, s \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0 \{\infty\}$,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{\gamma\star j}\{\gamma r \to \gamma s\}(\gamma U) = \widetilde{\mu}_j\{r \to s\}(U).$$
(31)

Note that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 = \langle \Gamma_0(fN_0), P \rangle$ where $P = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. By construction the modular symbol $\widetilde{\mu}_j$ is $\Gamma_0(fN_0)$ -invariant therefore in order to show (31) it is enough prove it for the matrix P. This is proved in exactly the same way as the proof of [DD06, Lemma 4.17].

Finally, it remains to show that our measures $\nu_i \{r \to s\}$ are \mathbb{Z} -valued.

THEOREM 3.1. The measures $\widetilde{\mu}_i \{\infty \to a/c\}$ take values in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. This result is an easy adaptation of the proof of [Das07a, Theorem 1.3]. The interested reader may find all of the details in [Cha, \S 13].

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. From \mathcal{H} to $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ and the Shimura reciprocity law

Let K be a real quadratic field and let p be a prime number inert in K. Let us fix an embedding $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and let $G_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = \{1, \sigma\}$. Choose a \mathbb{Z} -order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq K$ and fix a positive integer N coprime to p. In [DD06] the authors associate to such data the set

$$\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(N) := \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}} = \{ \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{p} : \mathcal{O}_{\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}_{N\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}^{(p)}, \tau - \tau^{\sigma} > 0 \},$$
(32)

where $\mathcal{O}_{\tau} = \operatorname{End}_{K}(\Lambda_{\tau})$ and $\Lambda_{\tau} = \mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 4.1. Note that the notion involved in (32) differs slightly from that in [DD06] since in their setting \mathcal{O} was assumed to be $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -orders instead of \mathbb{Z} -orders. Therefore, there is no need to tensor over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. One can verify that the set $\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N)$ is nonempty if and only if there exists an \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{N} such that $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{N} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$; this is the so-called Heegner hypothesis.

We propose the following generalization of $\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(N)$.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let (N_0, f, p) and (K, \mathfrak{N}) be as in the introduction. Let \mathcal{O} be an order of K of conductor coprime to N_0 and let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{N}$. To such data we associate the following sets:

(1) $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(N_{0});$ (2) $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{n});$ (3) $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(N_{0}, f);$ (4) $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{n}, f);$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{n}) := \{ \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{p} : \mathcal{O}_{\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}_{N_{0}\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}^{(p)}, \mathfrak{n}\Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)} = \Lambda_{N_{0}\tau}^{(p)}, \tau - \tau^{\sigma} > 0 \}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(N_{0}, f) := \{ \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{p} : \mathcal{O}_{\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}_{N_{0}\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}^{(p)}, (\Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}, f\mathcal{O}^{(p)}) = 1, \tau - \tau^{\sigma} > 0 \}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{n},f) := \{ \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{p} : \mathcal{O}_{\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}_{N_{0}\tau}^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}^{(p)}, \mathfrak{n}\Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)} = \Lambda_{N_{0}\tau}^{(p)}, (\Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}, f\mathcal{O}^{(p)}) = 1, \tau - \tau^{\sigma} > 0 \}.$$

Note that the notation $(\Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}, f) = 1$ is equivalent to saying that (A, f) = 1, where $Q_{\tau}(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$. One has the two 'stratifications':

- (1) $\coprod_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}\times\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{n}))=\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}\times\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0);$
- (2) $\coprod_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}\times\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{n},f)) = \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}\times\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0,f);$

where the two disjoint unions run over the elements of the set

$$\{\mathfrak{n} \leq \mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{n} \text{ is an invertible } \mathcal{O} \text{-ideal and } \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{n} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z}\}.$$
 (33)

DEFINITION 4.2. We have a natural left action of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ on the set $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \star (r, \tau) := \left(dr, \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)$$

If there exists a $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ such that $\gamma \star (r, \tau) = (r', \tau')$, then we simply write $(r, \tau) \approx (r', \tau')$.

We now define a map that allows us to go from the set $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f)$ to the set of integral $\mathcal{O}^{(p)}$ -ideals.

DEFINITION 4.3. We define a map Ω (which depends on p and f)

$$\Omega: \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p(N_0, f) \to \bigg\{ \mathbb{Z}\bigg[\frac{1}{p}\bigg] \text{-modules contained in } K \text{ of rank two} \bigg\},\$$

by the rule

$$(r, \tau) \mapsto A_r \Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}$$

where $0 \neq A_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the smallest integer such that the following properties hold:

- (1) $A_r \equiv r \pmod{f};$
- (2) $A_r \Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}$ is $\mathcal{O}^{(p)}$ -integral;

where $Q_{\tau}(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ and $\mathcal{O}^{(p)} = \operatorname{End}_K(\Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}).$

Remark 4.2. In the definition of the map Ω , it is important to assume that $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p(N_0, f)$ otherwise the integer A_r does not always exist.

Now we introduce another equivalence relation, denoted by \sim , on $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$.

DEFINITION 4.4. Let $(r, \tau), (r', \tau') \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p(N_0)$. We say that $(r, \tau) \sim (r', \tau')$ if and only if there exists a totally positive element

$$\lambda \in 1 + f(\widetilde{r}' \Lambda_{\tau'}^{(p)})^{-1}$$

such that $(\tilde{r}\Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}, \tilde{r}\Lambda_{N_0\tau}^{(p)}) = (\lambda \tilde{r}'\Lambda_{\tau'}^{(p)}, \lambda \tilde{r}'\Lambda_{N_0\tau'}^{(p)})$ where \tilde{r}, \tilde{r}' are the unique integers such that $1 \leq \tilde{r}, \tilde{r}' \leq f, \tilde{r} \equiv r \pmod{f}$ and $\tilde{r}' \equiv r' \pmod{f}$.

Remark 4.3. It is an easy exercise to see that the two stratifications (1) and (2) appearing at the bottom of Definition 4.1 are preserved under the equivalence relation \sim . In the case where $(r, \tau), (r', \tau') \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f)$ it is easy to see that $(r, \tau) \sim (r', \tau')$ if and only if there exists

a totally positive element $\lambda \in 1 + f\Omega(r', \tau')^{-1}$ such that

$$(A_r \Lambda_\tau^{(p)}, A_r \Lambda_{N_0 \tau}^{(p)}) = (\lambda A_{r'} \Lambda_{\tau'}^{(p)}, \lambda A_{r'} \Lambda_{N_0 \tau'}^{(p)}),$$

where $A_r \Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)} = \Omega(r, \tau)$ and $A'_{r'} \Lambda_{\tau'}^{(p)} = \Omega(r', \tau')$.

LEMMA 4.1. The equivalence relations induced by ~ and \approx , when restricted to the distinguished subset $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$, are the same.

Proof. See [Cha, $\S 5$].

COROLLARY 4.1. The stratification

$$(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(N_{0}, f) = \coprod_{\mathfrak{n}} ((\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{n}, f))$$
(34)

is preserved under \approx .

Define the set $\mathcal{M}_K(N_0, f, p)$ to be $\left\{ (L, M) : \text{ pairs of } \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \text{-modules of rank two in } K, \operatorname{End}_K(L) = \operatorname{End}_K(M) = \mathcal{O}^{(p)}, (L, f\mathcal{O}^{(p)}) = (M, f\mathcal{O}^{(p)}) = 1 \text{ and } L/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$

We have a natural equivalence relation on $\mathcal{M}(N_0, f, p)$ which we denote again by \sim , where $(L, M) \sim (L', M')$ if and only if there exists a totally positive element $\lambda \in 1 + fL'^{-1}$ such that $(L, M) = (\lambda L', \lambda M')$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. There exists a natural bijection of sets, which we denote by ψ , between

$$\psi: ((\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f)) / \sim \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_K(N_0, f, p) / \sim,$$

where $\psi([(r, \tau)]) := [(A_r \Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)}, A_r \Lambda_{N_0 \tau}^{(p)})]$ and $A_r \Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)} = \Omega(r, \tau)$. (The brackets denote the class modulo \sim .)

Proof. First define the map $\widetilde{\psi}: (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f) \to \mathcal{M}_K(N_0, f, p)$, by

$$\widetilde{\psi}(r,\tau) = (A_r \Lambda_\tau^{(p)}, A_r \Lambda_{N_0 \tau}^{(p)}),$$

where $A_r \Lambda_{\tau}^{(p)} = \Omega(r, \tau)$. A direct calculation shows that the map $\tilde{\psi}$ descends to a well-defined map when one goes to the quotient on both sides; we denote this new map by ψ . Now let us construct a 'map' going in the other direction. Let $(L, M) \in \mathcal{M}_K(N_0, f, p)$. As $(L, f\mathcal{O}^{(p)}) = 1$, there exists an integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{f}$ and aL is $\mathcal{O}^{(p)}$ -integral. We can thus assume beforehand that L is $\mathcal{O}^{(p)}$ -integral without changing the class of modulo \sim . Let $\mathcal{O}^{(p)} = \mathbb{Z}[1/p] + \mathbb{Z}[1/p]\omega$. As $L/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z}$, there exists an ordered $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -basis (ω_1, ω_2) of L such that $L = \mathbb{Z}[1/p] \omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}[1/p]\omega_2$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}[1/p]\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}[1/p]N_0\omega_2$. We claim that we can always choose ω_1 in such a way that $\omega_1 \equiv integer \pmod{f}$. Let us prove this.

If $\omega_1 \equiv integer \pmod{f}$, then we are done. Let us suppose that $\omega_1 \not\equiv integer \pmod{f}$. In this case one can assume without lost of generality that $\omega_2 = a + b\omega$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ and $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f}$, otherwise replace ω_2 by $\omega_2 + \omega_1$. Now since N_0 is coprime to f one can find an integer k such that $\omega_1 - N_0 k \omega_2 \equiv integer \pmod{f}$. Then the new basis $\{\widetilde{\omega}_1, \widetilde{\omega}_2\}$ where $\widetilde{\omega}_1 = (\omega_1 - N_0 k \omega_2)$ and $\widetilde{\omega}_2 = \omega_2$ satisfies the required property.

Let $\omega_1 \equiv u \pmod{f}$ where $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $(L, f\mathcal{O}) = 1$ we have (u, f) = 1. Therefore, there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^{(p)}$ such that $\alpha \omega_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{f}$ and $\alpha \omega_1 \gg 0$. Note that $\alpha \equiv u^{-1} \pmod{f}$. Now we can write the pair (L, M) as

$$(L, M) = \left(\alpha^{-1} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \alpha \omega_1 + \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \alpha \omega_2 \right), \alpha^{-1} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] + \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] N_0 \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right) \right) \\ \sim \left(\alpha^{-1} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] + \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right), \alpha^{-1} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] + \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] N_0 \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right) \right).$$

Now set $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$. Without lost of generality we can assume that $\tau > \tau^{\sigma}$ otherwise replace τ by $-\tau$. Finally, we send the pair (L, M) on the pair $(u, \tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f)$. One can check that this construction gives a well-defined map (when one descends to the quotient on both sides) which is an inverse of ψ .

Let $\mathfrak{f}^{(p)} = f\mathcal{O}^{(p)}$. Class field theory gives an isomorphism

$$I_{\mathcal{O}^{(p)}}(\mathfrak{f}^{(p)})/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}^{(p)}} \xrightarrow{rec^{-1}} Gal(K(\mathfrak{f}^{(p)}\infty)/K),$$

where $K(\mathfrak{f}^{(p)}\infty)$ is the abelian extension of K which corresponds by class field theory to the ideal class group $I_{\mathcal{O}^{(p)}}(\mathfrak{f}^{(p)})/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}^{(p)}}$. Note that $K(\mathfrak{f}^{(p)}\infty) = K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)^{\langle Fr_{\wp} \rangle}$ where $p\mathcal{O} = \wp, K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)$ is the abelian extension corresponding to the ideal class group $I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f})/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and $K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)^{\langle Fr_{\wp} \rangle}$ is the subfield of $K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)$ fixed by the Frobenius at \wp . We set $L := K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)^{\langle Fr_{\wp} \rangle}$.

Using Proposition 4.1 we see that there is a natural action of $G_{L/K}$ on $((\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f))/\sim$ given by the following rule: let $[(r, \tau)] \in ((\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f))/\sim$ and $\psi[(r, \tau)] = [(L, M)]$. Now define

$$rec^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \star [(r,\tau)] := \psi^{-1}[(\mathfrak{b}L,\mathfrak{b}M)]$$

Note that this Galois action preserves the stratification (34). From this one sees directly that this action is simple but, in general, not transitive since the indexing set of the stratification might be of size larger than one.

4.1 Shimura reciprocity law

We are now ready to formulate the Shimura reciprocity law which describes the action of G_K on $u(r, \tau)$. We assume in the next conjecture that the number field L is totally complex otherwise the conjecture says nothing interesting.

CONJECTURE 4.1. Let $(r, \tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f)$. Then

$$u(r,\tau) \in \mathcal{O}_L\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]^{\times},$$

where $L = K(f\mathcal{O}\infty)^{\langle Fr_{\wp} \rangle}$, $\wp = p\mathcal{O}$. Moreover, we have a Shimura reciprocity law. Let

$$rec: G_{L/K} \to I_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f})/\langle Q_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{f}\infty), p \rangle,$$

where $\mathfrak{f} = f\mathcal{O}$. Then for $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$ we have

$$u(k, \tau)^{\sigma^{-1}} = u(k', \tau') \pmod{\mu_{p^2-1}},$$

where $\sigma \star [(k, \tau)] = [(k', \tau')]$. Furthermore, if we let c_{∞} denote the complex conjugation in $G_{L/K}$, then

$$u(r,\tau)^{c_{\infty}} = u(r,\tau)^{-1} \pmod{\mu_{p^2-1}}.$$

Remark 4.4. In [DD06], since the conductor f = 1, one is led to consider various orders of K. However, in our case, since f can vary, it is sufficient to consider only the case, where $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$. As explained before the statement of the theorem, if we want our construction to be interesting, it is essential to assume beforehand that L is totally complex. Let $L = K(\mathfrak{f}\infty)^{\langle Fr_{\wp} \rangle}$ where $\mathfrak{f} = f\mathcal{O}_K$. Then class field theory implies that L is totally complex if and only if the index

$$n := \left[\mathcal{O}_K \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] (f)^{\times} : \mathcal{O}_K \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] (f\infty)^{\times} \right],$$

is equal to one or two, where $\mathcal{O}_K[1/p](f\infty)^{\times}$ corresponds to the group of totally positive units of $\mathcal{O}_K[1/p]$ congruent to one modulo f and $\mathcal{O}_K[1/p](f)^{\times}$ corresponds to the group of units of $\mathcal{O}_K[1/p]$ congruent to one modulo f. We expect $u(r, \tau)$ to be contained in the largest CM subfield contained in L which we denote by L_{CM} . In general the field L_{CM} can be a proper subfield of Lof index two (see [Cha07a, Proposition 7.1]).

5. Special values of zeta functions and periods of Eisenstein series

In this section we introduce various zeta functions and we show how their special values are related to certain periods on Eisenstein series.

5.1 The zeta function twisted by an additive character

Let K be a real quadratic field with discriminant D and fix a positive integer f coprime to D. We let $\mathcal{O}_K(\infty)^{\times}$ stand for the group of totally positive units of \mathcal{O}_K .

DEFINITION 5.1. Let \mathfrak{a} be an integral \mathcal{O}_K -ideal. We define

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, f, w_1, s) := \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{f\sqrt{D}}\right)^s \sum_{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \setminus \left\{0 \neq \mu \in \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{f\sqrt{D}}\right\}} \frac{w_1(\mu) e^{2\pi i Tr_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu)}}{|\mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu)|^s},$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathcal{O}_{K}(\infty)^{\times} \cap (1 + f\mathfrak{a}^{-1})$ and w_{1} is the sign character given by sign $\circ N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$.

It is easy to see that the first entry of Ψ depends only on the narrow ray class modulo f, i.e. for $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in I_{\mathcal{O}_K}(1)$ if $\mathfrak{a} \sim_f \mathfrak{b}$, then $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, f, w_1, s) = \Psi(\mathfrak{b}, f, w_1, s)$.

For any point $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p \cap K$ we let $Q_{\tau}(x, y) = A(x - \tau y)(x - \tau^{\sigma} y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ $(A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}, A > 0 \text{ and } (A, B, C) = 1)$ be the primitive quadratic form associated to τ . We always have the formulas $\mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\Lambda_{\tau}) = 1/A$ and $cond(\mathcal{O}_{\tau})^2 D = B^2 - 4AC$ where $Q_{\tau}(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ and $cond(\mathcal{O}_{\tau})$ is the conductor of the order \mathcal{O}_{τ} .

Let $\widetilde{A}\Lambda_{\tau}$ be an integral \mathcal{O}_{K} -ideal where $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_{p} \cap K$. Note that $A|\widetilde{A}$ where $(1/A) = \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\Lambda_{\tau})$. A direct calculation shows that

$$\Psi(\widetilde{A}\Lambda_{\tau}, f, w_1, s) = -\sum_{\langle \eta_{\tau} \rangle \setminus \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)\}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}(Q_{\tau}(m,n))}{|Q_{\tau}(m,n)|^s} e^{(-2\pi i (\widetilde{A}/A)n)/f}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1,$$
(35)

where η_{τ} is the matrix corresponding to the action of the generator $\epsilon > 1$ of $\Gamma_{\widetilde{A}\Lambda_{\tau}} = \mathcal{O}_K(\infty)^{\times} \cap f(\widetilde{A}\Lambda_{\tau})^{-1}$ on the lattice Λ_{τ} with respect to the ordered basis $\{\tau, 1\}$. The action of a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ acting on the vector (x, y) is given by (ax + by, cx + dy). In the case where $(\widetilde{A}, f) = 1$ one has that $c \equiv 0 \pmod{f}$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{f}$. In fact, one can show that $\langle \pm \eta_{\tau} \rangle = \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_1(f)}(\tau)$. Based on the previous discussion we introduce the following zeta function.

DEFINITION 5.2. Let $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)$. We define

$$\zeta((r,\tau),s) = -\sum_{\langle \eta_\tau \rangle \setminus \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)\}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}(Q_\tau(m,n))}{|Q_\tau(m,n)|^s} e^{-2\pi i r n/f}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1,$$

where $Q_{\tau}(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ and $\langle \pm \eta_{\tau} \rangle = \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_1(f)}(\tau)$.

A direct calculation shows that if (r, τ) is equivalent to (r', τ') under the action of $\Gamma_0(f)$, then $\zeta((r, \tau), s) = \zeta((r', \tau'), s)$. Let $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\widetilde{A}\Lambda_{\tau}$ be an integral \mathcal{O}_K -ideal. Let r be the image of the integer \widetilde{A}/A inside $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$. Then from (35) one readily sees that

$$\Psi(A\Lambda_{\tau}, f, w_1, s) = \zeta((r, \tau), s).$$

Now we want to define a dual zeta function to $\zeta((r, \tau), s)$ (dual in the sense of the functional equation).

DEFINITION 5.3. Let $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)$. We define

$$\widehat{\zeta}((r,\tau),s) := f^{2s} \sum_{\langle \eta_{\tau} \rangle \setminus (0 \neq (m,n) \equiv (r,0) \pmod{f})} \frac{\operatorname{sign}(Q_{\tau}(m,n))}{|Q_{\tau}(m,n)|^{s}}, \quad Re(s) > 1,$$
(36)

where $\langle \pm \eta_{\tau} \rangle = \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_1(f)}(\tau)$.

Note that the matrix η_{τ} preserves the congruence $(r, 0) \pmod{f}$.

There is a functional equation which relates $\zeta((r, \tau), s)$ to $\widehat{\zeta}((r, \tau), s)$.

THEOREM 5.1. Let $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)$. Then we have

$$-F_{w_1}(s)\zeta((r,\tau),s) = F_{w_1}(1-s)\widehat{\zeta}((r,\tau),1-s), \quad Re(s) < -1,$$
(37)

where $F_{w_1}(s) = \operatorname{disc}(Q_{\tau})^{s/2} \pi^{-s} \Gamma((s+1)/2)^2$.

Proof. Note that the left-hand side of (37) makes sense when Re(s) < -1, since ζ admits a meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} (see [Cha, Corollary 8.1]). All of the essential ingredients in the proof of the previous theorem can be found in [Sie68]. For a detailed proof, see [Cha, § 8.3].

By the previous theorem we can now evaluate the zeta function $\widehat{\zeta}((r, \tau), s)$ at negative integers. The key result concerning these special values is due to Siegel.

THEOREM 5.2 (Siegel [Sie68]). For any even integer $n \leq 0$ we have that $\widehat{\zeta}((r, \tau), n)$ is a rational number.

Proof. In [Sie68], Siegel gives explicit formulas for the values $\zeta((r, \tau), n)$ for odd integers $n \ge 1$. These values are equal to a certain power of π times a rational number for which he gives an explicit formula involving Bernoulli polynomials. Using the functional equation of $\zeta((r, \tau), s)$ and Siegel's explicit formulas for the values of $\zeta((r, \tau), n)$, where $n \ge 1$ is an odd integer, we deduce the rationality of $\widehat{\zeta}((r, \tau), n)$ for even integers $n \le 0$.

5.2 Archimedean zeta function associated to a class in $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0))/\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$

In this section we want to associate to any class in $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0))/\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ a well-defined Archimedean zeta function. We first start by stating a useful elementary lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p \cap K$ such that $(\operatorname{disc}(Q_{\tau}), p) = 1$ and let

$$\langle \pm \gamma_{\tau} \rangle = \operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])}(\tau)$$

Then $\gamma_{\tau} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ where γ_{τ} is well defined up to ± 1 .

Proof. See the proof of [Cha, Lemma 8.1].

Remark 5.1. It is easy to show that if τ is reduced, i.e. if $\operatorname{red}(\tau) = v_0$ where v_0 is the standard vertex on the Bruhat–Tits tree and red is the reduction map, then $(\operatorname{disc}(Q_{\tau}), p) = 1$. However, the converse is false. We can therefore think of the reduced requirement as a finer notion compared with the more naive condition $(\operatorname{disc}(Q_{\tau}), p) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let $(r, \tau), (r', \tau') \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ and assume that $\operatorname{red}(\tau) = \operatorname{red}(\tau') = v_0$. Then if $(r', \tau') \approx (r, \tau)$, i.e. if there exists a $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ such that $(r', \tau') = \gamma \star (r, \tau)$, then we have

$$\zeta((r,\tau),s) = \zeta((r',\tau'),s) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta((r,\tau^*),s) = \zeta((r',\tau'^*),s),$$

where $\tau^* = 1/(fN_0\tau)$ and $\tau'^* = 1/(fN_0\tau')$.

Proof. Since τ and τ' are reduced we have $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(f)$. It thus follows that $\zeta((r, \tau), s) = \zeta((r', \tau'), s)$. Let us show the other equality. A direct calculation reveals that

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & c/fN_0 \\ bfN_0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau'^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that (r, τ^*) and (r', τ'^*) are $\Gamma_0(f)$ -equivalent and thus $\zeta((r, \tau^*), s) = \zeta((r', \tau'^*), s)$. \Box

We have thus succeeded to attach well-defined Archimedean zeta functions to any class of $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0))/\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$. So far we have not used the level N_0 -structure built in $\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$. The next object we define is a zeta function attached to a good divisor $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$ and a pair $(r, \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$.

DEFINITION 5.4. Let $\delta = \sum_{d_0,r} n(d_0,r)[d_0,r] \in D(N_0,f)$ be a good divisor and $(j,\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$ $\times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ with $\operatorname{red}(\tau) = v_0$ and $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Then we define:

(1)
$$\zeta(\delta_j, (1, \tau), s) := \sum_{d_0 \mid N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} n(d_0, r) d_0^s \zeta((rj, d_0 \tau), s);$$

(2) $\zeta^*(\delta_j, (1, \tau), s) := \sum_{d_0 \mid N_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} n(N_0/d_0, r) d_0^s \widehat{\zeta}((-rj, d_0 \tau^*), s)$ where $\tau^* = 1/(fN_0 \tau).$

With the help of Proposition 5.1 it is an easy exercise to show that $\zeta(\delta_j, (1, \tau), s)$ and $\zeta^*(\delta_j, (1, \tau), s)$ depend only on the class of $(1, \tau)$ modulo \approx when τ is reduced. We have the formulas $\zeta(\delta_{aj}, (1, \tau), s) = \zeta(\delta_j, (a, \tau), s)$ and $\zeta^*(\delta_{aj}, (1, \tau), s) = \zeta^*(\delta_j, (a, \tau), s)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 5.2. Note that there is a hat on zeta functions appearing on the right-hand side of Definition 5.4(1) and (2). One can think of * as an involution on the set $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathcal{H}_p \cap K)$ given by $(r, \tau) \mapsto (-r, \tau^*)$ where $\tau^* = 1/(fN_0\tau)$. This involution * allows us to relate our *p*-adic invariant $u(r, \tau)$ to the Gross–Stark *p*-units; see [Cha07b]. If we restrict this involution to the distinguished subset $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0, f)$, then we obtain a map

$$*: (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}}(N_{0}, f) \to (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\mathcal{O}^{*}}(N_{0})$$
$$(r, \tau) \mapsto (-r, \tau^{*}),$$

where $\tau^* = 1/(fN_0\tau)$ and $cond(\mathcal{O}^*) = f \cdot cond(\mathcal{O})$. Note that if $Q_{\tau}(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$, then $Q_{\tau^*}(x, y) = \text{sign}(C)(CN_0f^2x^2 + Bfxy + (A/N_0)y^2)$.

5.3 The special values $\zeta^*(\delta, (r, \tau), 1 - k)$ as integrals of Eisenstein series $F_{2k}(r, z)$ We would like to state a result which relates periods of Eisenstein series to special values of the Archimedean zeta functions $\zeta(\delta_r, (1, \tau), s)$ and $\zeta^*(\delta_r, (1, \tau), s)$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let $(j, \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ where $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ and $\operatorname{red}(\tau) = v_0$. Then, for all odd integers $k \geq 1$, we have:

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} 3\zeta^*(\delta_j, (1,\tau), 1-k) &= \int_{\xi_2}^{\gamma_\tau^*\xi_2} Q_{\tau^*}(z,1)^{k-1} \widetilde{F}_{2k}^*(j,z) \, dz \\ &= f^{2k-2} \int_{\xi_1}^{\gamma_\tau\xi_1} Q_\tau(z,1)^{k-1} \widetilde{F}_{2k}(j,z) \, dz; \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$3\zeta(\delta_j, (1,\tau), 1-k) = \int_{\xi_2}^{\gamma_\tau \xi_2} Q_\tau(z,1)^{k-1} \widetilde{F}_{2k}^*(j,z) \, dz;$$

where $\tau^* = 1/(fN_0\tau)$, $\xi_1 = \infty$, $\xi_2 = 0$, $\langle \pm \gamma_\tau \rangle = \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_1}(\tau)$ with $c\tau + d > 1$ for $\gamma_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. The second equality of part (1) follows from (3). The proof of parts (1) and (2) is similar to the proof of [DD06, Proposition 3.2]. For a detailed proof see [Cha, Lemma 9.2]. \Box

6. P-adic zeta functions and p-adic Kronecker limit formula

DEFINITION 6.1. Let $\delta \in D(N_0, f)$ be a good divisor and let $(j, \tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ such that $(\operatorname{disc}(Q_{\tau}), p) = 1$. We define the *p*-adic zeta function

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_p^*(\delta_j, (1, \tau), s) &:= \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle Q_{f\tau}(fx, y) \rangle^{-s} d\widetilde{\mu}_j \{\infty \to \gamma_\tau \infty\}(x, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \langle f \rangle^{-2s} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle Q_\tau(x, y) \rangle^{-s} d\widetilde{\mu}_j \{\infty \to \gamma_\tau \infty\}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$
(38)

where $\langle x \rangle$ denotes the unique element in $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ that differs from x by a (p-1)th root of unity.

This zeta function makes sense for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and as usual $\langle \pm \gamma_\tau \rangle = \operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_1}(\tau)$.

COROLLARY 6.1. For an even integer $n \leq 0$ congruent to zero modulo p-1, we have

$$(1 - p^{-2n})\zeta^*(\delta_j, (1, \tau), n) = \zeta_p^*(\delta_j, (1, \tau), n).$$

Proof. Combine Proposition 5.2(1) with Theorem 1.1(1).

Remark 6.1. We thus see that our *p*-adic zeta function interpolates rational values of the Archimedean zeta function $\zeta^*(\delta_j, (1, \tau), s)$ at negative integers.

LEMMA 6.1. The derivative $(\zeta_p^*)'(\delta_j, (1, \tau), 0)$ at s = 0 is given by

$$(\zeta_p^*)'(\delta_j, (1, \tau), 0) = -\frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_p(Q_\tau(x, y)) d\widetilde{\mu}_j \{\xi \to \gamma_\tau \xi\}(x, y) \quad \text{where } \xi = \infty.$$

Proof. This is a direct calculation using (38). Note that the integral over X of $\log_p(Q_{f\tau}(fx, y)) = \log_p f^2 + \log_p Q_{\tau}(x, y)$ is the same as $\log_p Q_{\tau}(x, y)$ since the total measure is zero so that the constant term $\log_p f^2$ vanishes.

We can now deduce a *p*-adic Kronecker limit formula.

THEOREM 6.1. Let $(r, \tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(N_0)$ with τ reduced, i.e. $\operatorname{red}(\tau) = v_0$. Then $3(\zeta_p^*)'(\delta_r, (1, \tau), 0) = -\log_p \mathbf{N}_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}_p}(u(\delta_r, \tau)).$ (39)

Proof. From Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\log_p u(\delta_r, \tau) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_p(x - \tau y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_r \{\infty \to \gamma_\tau \infty\}(x, y). \tag{40}$$

Replacing τ by τ^{σ} in the previous identity gives us

$$\log_p u(\delta_r, \tau^{\sigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \log_p(x - \tau^{\sigma} y) \, d\widetilde{\mu}_r \{\infty \to \gamma_{\tau^{\sigma}}(\infty)\}(x, y). \tag{41}$$

However, $\gamma_{\tau} = \gamma_{\tau^{\sigma}}$. Therefore, adding (40) and (41) and using Lemma 6.1 gives (39).

PROPOSITION 6.1. We have $3\zeta^*(\delta_r, (1, \tau), 0) = \operatorname{ord}_p(u(\delta_r, \tau)).$

Proof. Combine Corollary 2.1 with Proposition 5.2(1) after having set k = 1.

Acknowledgements

It is my pleasure to express my deepest gratitude to my PhD advisor, Professor Henri Darmon, who introduced me to the subject of this paper. I would also like to thank Claude Levesque for a detailed proofreading of this article which led to some improvements. Finally, I would like to acknowledge the efforts of the anonymous referee who pointed out many mistakes and inaccuracies and whose suggestions led to many improvements of this paper.

References

Cha H. Chapdelaine, Elliptic units in ray class fields of real quadratic number fields, version with a few corrections and supplements. available at http://www.mat.ulaval.ca/fileadmin/Pages_personnelles_des_profs/hchapd/thesis_final.pdf.

Cha07a H. Chapdelaine, Computation of p-units in ray class fields or real quadratic number fields, J. Math. Comp. (accepted).

- Cha07b H. Chapdelaine, *Elliptic p-units and Gauss sums* (submitted).
- Dar04 H. Darmon, *Rational points on modular elliptic curves* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004).
- DD06 H. Darmon and S. Dasgupta, *Elliptic units for real quadratic fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **163** (2006), 301–346.
- Das07a S. Dasgupta, Computations of elliptic units for real quadratic number fields, Canad. J. Math. 59 (2007), 553–574.
- Das07b S. Dasgupta, Shintani zeta functions and Gross-Stark units for totally real fields, Duke Math. J. 143 (2008), 225–279.
- DK81 S. Lang and D. S. Kubert, *Modular units* (Springer, New York, 1981).
- Men67 J. Mennike, On Ihara's modular group, Invent. Math. 4 (1967), 202–228.
- Ser70 J.-P. Serre, Le problème des groupes de congruences pour SL_2 , Ann. of Math. (2) **92** (1970), 489–527.

- Sie68 C. L. Siegel, Bernoullische Polynome und quadratische Zahlkörper, Nach. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. K1. II (1968), 7–38.
- You01 P. T. Young, Kummer congruences for values of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials, Acta Arith.
 48 (2001), 277–288.

Hugo Chapdelaine hugo.chapdelaine@mat.ulaval.ca

Département de mathématiques et de statistique (DMS), Université Laval, Pavillon Alexandre-Vachon, 1045 avenue de la Médecine, Local 1056, Québec G1V 0A6, Canada