

## WEIGHTS FOR COVERING GROUPS OF SYMMETRIC AND ALTERNATING GROUPS, $p \neq 2$

G. O. MICHLER AND J. B. OLSSON

**Introduction.** In his fundamental paper [1] J. L. Alperin introduced the idea of a weight in modular representation theory of finite groups  $G$ . Let  $p$  be a prime. A  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  is called a radical subgroup of  $G$  if  $R = O_p(N_G(R))$ . An irreducible character  $\varphi$  of  $N_G(R)$  is called a weight character if  $\varphi$  is trivial on  $R$  and belongs to a  $p$ -block of defect zero of  $N_G(R)/R$ . The  $G$ -conjugacy class of the pair  $(R, \varphi)$  is a weight of  $G$ . Let  $b$  be the  $p$ -block of  $N_G(R)$  containing  $\varphi$ , and let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$ . A weight  $(R, \varphi)$  is a  $B$ -weight for the block  $B$  of  $G$  if  $B = b^G$ , which means that  $B$  and  $b$  correspond under the Brauer homomorphism. Alperin's conjecture on weights asserts that the number  $l^*(B)$  of  $B$ -weights of a  $p$ -block  $B$  of a finite group  $G$  equals the number  $l(B)$  of modular characters of  $B$ .

At present, a theoretical proof of Alperin's conjecture seems to be inaccessible. However, its truth has been proved for several classes of groups. In [2] J. L. Alperin and P. Fong have verified it for the  $p$ -blocks of the symmetric and the general linear groups, where  $p \neq 2$ .

It is the purpose of this article to show that for odd primes  $p$  Alperin's weight conjecture holds for the  $p$ -blocks  $B$  of the covering groups  $S^+(n)$  or  $A^+(n)$  of the finite symmetric groups  $S(n)$  or alternating groups  $A(n)$  of degree  $n$ , respectively; see Corollaries 5.3 and 5.5.

Recently, the second author [13] has determined the number  $l(B)$  of modular characters of a  $p$ -block  $B$  of  $S^+(n)$ ,  $A^+(n)$ , and  $A(n)$ . Using the methods of our joint paper [11] we construct in Section 4 all  $B$ -weights,  $(R, \varphi)$  of  $B$  having the same radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$ ; see Theorem 4.11. This result and a counting technique of Alperin and Fong [2] enable us in Section 5 to compute the number  $l^*(B)$  of all  $B$ -weights of  $B$ , see Theorems 5.2 and 5.4. In each case it turns out that  $l(B) = l^*(B)$ , which is the assertion of Alperin's conjecture.

In Section 1 we restate some subsidiary and known results about irreducible modular characters of covering groups. By Alperin and Fong [2] we may assume that  $B$  is a spin block of  $S^+(n)$  or  $A^+(n)$  with width  $w$ . In Section 3 we reduce the conjecture to the case where  $B$  is the principal spin block of  $S^+(pw)$ , which has a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $X$  of  $S^+(pw)$  as a defect group, see Reduction Theorem 3.4. Now let  $R$  be any radical subgroup of

---

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Statens Naturvidenskabelige Forskningsraad, Denmark.

Received by the editors March 21, 1990.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1991.

$S^+(pw)$  contained in  $X$ . In Section 2 the group structure of the normalizer  $N^+$  of  $R$  in  $S^+(pw)$  is determined. With these subsidiary results, the above mentioned theorems are proved in Sections 4 and 5.

Concerning our terminology and notation we refer to Feit [5], Gorenstein [6] and James and Kerber [9].

**1. Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper  $p$  is an *odd* prime. A large amount of notation and many introductory results from our paper [11] are needed here. We give a condensed version of the most important concepts in order to make this paper more self-contained and refer the reader to [11], § 1-2 for further details.

We consider the covering group  $\hat{S}(n) = S^+(n)$  of the symmetric group  $S(n)$  defined by the generators and relations

$$\hat{S}(n) = \left[ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, z \mid \begin{array}{l} z^2 = 1, a_i^2 = z, (a_i a_{i+1})^3 = z \\ [a_i, a_j] = z \quad \text{if } |i - j| \geq 2 \end{array} \right].$$

The other covering group of  $S(n)$ , which plays a minor role, is denoted by  $\tilde{S}(n)$ . We let  $\pi$  be the canonical epimorphism

$$\pi: S^+(n) \rightarrow S(n) \text{ with kernel } \ker \pi = \langle z \rangle.$$

When  $H$  is a subgroup of  $S(n)$  we define

$$H^+ = \pi^{-1}(H), \quad H^- = \pi^{-1}(H \cap A(n)).$$

Moreover  $S^-(n) = A(n)^+ = A^-(n)$  is the covering group of  $A(n)$ . The exceptional 6-fold covers of  $A(6)$  and  $A(7)$  are denoted by  $C_6$  and  $C_7$ , respectively. When  $H \subseteq S(n)$  and  $P$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ , then  $P$  may also be considered as normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $H^+$ . In this situation we often write  $H^+/P$  as  $[H/P]^+$  for notational convenience.

$I(G)$  and  $I(B)$  denote the sets of ordinary irreducible characters of the group  $G$  or of a  $p$ -block  $B$  of  $G$ , respectively. The corresponding sets of irreducible Brauer characters are denoted by  $\text{IBr}(G)$  and  $\text{IBr}(B)$ . Moreover,  $D_0(G)$  is the set of irreducible characters of  $p$ -defect 0 of  $G$ . When  $H \subseteq S(n)$  and  $\varepsilon$  is a sign, a character of  $H^\varepsilon$ , which does not have  $z$  in its kernel, is called a *spin character* of  $H^\varepsilon$ . We let

$$\text{SI}(H^\varepsilon) \subseteq I(H^\varepsilon), \quad \text{SIBr}(H^\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{IBr}(H^\varepsilon)$$

be the subsets of spin characters and

$$\text{SD}_0(H^\varepsilon) = \text{SI}(H^\varepsilon) \cap D_0(H^\varepsilon).$$

A  $p$ -block  $B$  of  $H^\varepsilon$  is called a *spin block* if  $I(B) \subseteq \text{SI}(H^\varepsilon)$ . The *principal* spin block is the one containing the principal spin characters. Two characters  $\chi, \psi \in I(H^\varepsilon)$  (or  $\in \text{IBr}(H^\varepsilon)$ ) are called *associate* if

$$\chi^{H^+} = \psi^{H^+} \ (\varepsilon = -1) \text{ or } \chi_{H^-} = \psi_{H^-} \ (\varepsilon = 1).$$

If  $\chi$  has only itself as an associate character we call  $\chi$  *selfassociate* (s.a.) and put  $\chi^a = \chi$ . Otherwise,  $\chi$  is called *non-selfassociate* (n.s.a.) and we let  $\chi^a$  be the unique character  $\neq \chi$  which is associate to  $\chi$ . Each spin character  $\chi$  has a *sign*, which is given by

$$\sigma(\chi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi^a \\ -1 & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi^a \end{cases}.$$

We define  $SD_0(H^\varepsilon)_+$  and  $SD_0(H^\varepsilon)_-$  to be the set of s.a. characters and the set of *pairs* of n.s.a. characters in  $SD_0(H^\varepsilon)$ , respectively. Thus, if

$$d_0(H^\varepsilon)_\sigma = |SD_0(H^\varepsilon)_\sigma|$$

then

$$d_0(H^\varepsilon) = d_0(H^\varepsilon)_+ + 2d_0(H^\varepsilon)_-.$$

Since  $p$  is odd, we get easily the following

LEMMA 1.1. *If  $H^+ \neq H^-$  then for any signs  $\varepsilon, \sigma$*

$$d_0(H^\varepsilon)_\sigma = d_0(H^{-\varepsilon})_{-\sigma}.$$

Suppose now that the subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_u$  of  $S(n)$  operate on disjoint sets, i.e., that for all  $i, j, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq u$  any element of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is fixed by at least one of the groups  $H_i, H_j$ . Then  $H_1, \dots, H_u$  form a direct product  $H = H_1 \times \dots \times H_u$  and

$$H^+ = H_1^+ \hat{\times} \dots \hat{\times} H_u^+,$$

where  $\hat{\times}$  denotes a twisted central product defined by Humphreys [7].

LEMMA 1.2. *There is a surjective map  $\hat{\otimes}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SI}(H_1^+) \times \dots \times \text{SI}(H_u^+) &\rightarrow \text{SI}(H^+) \\ (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_u) &\rightarrow \chi_1 \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \chi_u. \end{aligned}$$

*The basic properties of the map  $\hat{\otimes}$  are listed in [11], Proposition 1.2.*

LEMMA 1.3. *For each sign  $\sigma$ ,*

$$d_0(H^+)_\sigma = \sum_{\{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_u)\}} d_0(H_1^+)_{\sigma_1} \dots d_0(H_u^+)_{\sigma_u},$$

*where  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_u)$  runs through all  $u$ -tuples of signs satisfying  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_u = \sigma$ .*

The labels of characters and blocks in  $S^\varepsilon(n)$  are described in [12] and [14]. To each block  $B$  there is associated a non-negative integer  $w(B)$ , called the *width* of  $B$ . (In our paper [11], it was called the *weight* of  $B$ , but the name is changed to avoid confusion). Moreover, each block has a *core*  $\gamma(B)$ , which is a partition of a special type (a *p*-bar core, if  $B$  is a spin block, a *p*-core otherwise). We have

$$n = w(B)p + |\gamma(B)|.$$

Furthermore, a spin block  $B$  has a sign  $\delta(B)$  (see [11], § 1).

Let  $H \subseteq S(n)$ . A block  $B$  of  $H^\varepsilon$  is called *proper* if it contains s.a. and n.s.a. characters. Examples of proper blocks are spin blocks of positive defect (i.e. positive width) in  $S^+(n)$  and ordinary blocks of positive defect (width) in  $S^+(n)$  with a symmetric core.

Let  $B^*$  be the unique block of  $H^{-\varepsilon}$  ( $\neq H^\varepsilon$ ) covering  $B$  (when  $\varepsilon = -1$ ) or covered by  $B$  (when  $\varepsilon = 1$ ). We call  $B$  and  $B^*$  corresponding blocks. If  $B$  is proper we let  $l_+(B)$  and  $l_-(B)$  be the number of s.a. and the number of *pairs* of n.s.a. Brauer characters of  $B$ , respectively. The following result follows immediately.

LEMMA 1.4.  $l_\sigma(B) = l_{-\sigma}(B^*)$  for each sign  $\sigma$ .

When  $\lambda$  is a partition,  $\lambda^0$  denotes its conjugate (dual) partition. If  $\lambda = \lambda^0$ , then  $\lambda$  is called *symmetric*. When  $r, w \in \mathbb{N}$  we let  $K(r, w) = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \mid \lambda_i \text{ partition and } \sum_i |\lambda_i| = w\}$  and  $k(r, w) = |K(r, w)|$ . If  $\mathbf{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in K(r, w)$  let  $\mathbf{\lambda}^0 = (\lambda_r^0, \lambda_{r-1}^0, \dots, \lambda_1^0)$ . An  $r$ -tuple  $\mathbf{\lambda}$  of partitions is called self-dual, if  $\mathbf{\lambda} = \mathbf{\lambda}^0$ . The set of all such self-dual  $\mathbf{\lambda}$  is denoted by

$$K^s(r, w) = \{\mathbf{\lambda} \in K(r, w) \mid \mathbf{\lambda} = \mathbf{\lambda}^0\}$$

and  $k^s(r, w) = |K^s(r, w)|$ .

In [13] the second author computed the number of modular characters of a  $p$ -block of the covering group of  $S^\varepsilon(n)$ . In particular, he showed the following two results:

PROPOSITION 1.5. Let  $B$  be a block of  $S(n)$  of width  $w(B) = w > 0$  and core  $\gamma(B)$ .

(1) If  $\gamma(B)$  is nonsymmetric and  $B^*$  is the block of  $A(n)$  covered by  $B$ , then

$$l(B) = l(B^*) = k(p-1, w).$$

(2) If  $\gamma(B)$  is symmetric, then

$$l_-(B) = \frac{1}{2}[k(p-1, w) - l_+(B)],$$

where

$$l_+(B) = k^s(p-1, w) = \begin{cases} k((p-1)/2, w') & \text{if } w = 2w' \\ 0 & \text{if } w \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 1.6. Let  $B$  be a spin block of  $S^\varepsilon(n)$  of width  $w(B) = w > 0$  and with sign  $\delta(B) = \delta$ . Then for every sign  $\sigma$ ,

$$l_\sigma(B) = \begin{cases} k((p-1)/2, w) & \text{if } \sigma \in \delta = (-1)^w, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**2. Normalizers of radical subgroups.** In this section the group structure of the normalizers of the radical  $p$ -subgroups in the covering  $S^+(n)$  of the symmetric groups  $S(n)$  is determined.

The proofs of these subsidiary results depend on the following constructions and lemmas of Alperin and Fong [2] describing the structure of the normalizers of the radical  $p$ -subgroups of  $S(n)$ .

Let  $S(n) = S(V)$  be the symmetric group of degree  $n$  acting on a set  $V$  with  $n = |V|$  elements. For each positive integer  $c$ , let  $A_c$  be an elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $S(n)$  with order  $|A_c| = p^c$ , embedded regularly as a subgroup of  $S(p^c)$ . It is well known that  $C_{S(p^c)}(A_c) = A_c$ , and  $N_{S(p^c)}(A_c)/A_c \cong \text{GL}(c, p)$ .

For each sequence  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{s(r)})$  of positive integers, let  $A_r = A_{c_1} \wr A_{c_2} \wr \dots \wr A_{c_{s(r)}}$ , and  $d(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{s(r)} c_i$ . With this notation Alperin and Fong [2] have shown

**LEMMA 2.1.** *a)  $A_r$  is embedded uniquely up to conjugacy as a transitive subgroup of  $S(p^{d(r)})$ .*

*b)  $N_{S(p^{d(r)})}(A_r)/A_r \cong \text{GL}(c_1, p) \times \text{GL}(c_2, p) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(c_{s(r)}, p)$ .*

The group  $A_r$  is called a *basic  $p$ -subgroup* of  $S(p^{d(r)})$  with degree  $\text{deg}(A_r) = p^{d(r)}$  and length  $l(A_r) = s(r)$ .

Lemmas (2A) and (2B) of Alperin and Fong [2] are restated as

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $C$  be the set of sequences  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{s(r)})$  of positive integers. Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G = S(n) = S(V)$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

*a) There exist decompositions*

$$V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_u$$

$$R = R_0 \times R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_u$$

*such that  $R_0$  is the identity subgroup of  $S(V_0)$ , and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$   $R_i \neq 1$  is a basic  $p$ -subgroup  $A_r$  of  $S(V_i)$  for some sequence  $r \in C$ .*

*b) For each  $r \in C$  let  $V(r) = \cup_i V_i$ ,  $R(r) = \prod_i R_i$ , where  $i$  runs over all the indices  $i$  such that  $R_i = A_r$ . Let  $\zeta(r)$  be the multiplicity of  $A_r$  in  $R(r)$ . Then  $\zeta$  is a function  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  satisfying  $\sum_r \zeta(r)p^{d(r)} \leq n$  and the following assertions hold:*

$$R = R_0 \times \prod_r R(r),$$

$$N_G(R) = S(V_0) \times \prod_r N_{S(V(r))}(R(r))$$

$$N_G(R)/R = S(V_0) \times \prod_r N_{S(V(r))}(R(r))/R(r).$$

$\zeta$  is called the *multiplicity function* of  $R$ .

*c) If  $V_r$  denotes the underlying set of  $A_r$  in  $V$  then*

$$N_{S(V(r))}(R(r)) \cong [N_{S(V_r)}(A_r)] \wr S(\zeta(r)),$$

$$N_{S(V(r))}(R(r))/R(r) \cong [N_{S(V_r)}(A_r)/A_r] \wr S(\zeta(r)).$$

d) For each  $r \in C$   $A_r$  is a basic  $p$ -subgroup of  $S(p^{d(r)})$  with length  $l(A_r) = s(r)$  and degree  $\text{deg}(A_r) = p^{d(r)}$ , and

$$R \cong \prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{\{r, d(r)=d\}} (A_r)^{\zeta(r)}.$$

e) The  $G$ -conjugacy class of the radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  is uniquely determined by the multiplicity function  $\zeta: C \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , i.e.,

$$R =_G R_\zeta = \prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{\{r | d(r)=d\}} (A_r)^{\zeta(r)}.$$

PROOF. a) follows at once from (2A) of [2]. Assertions b) and c) are restatements of (2B) of [2]. Certainly, d) is a consequence of a). The final statement follows from d) and Lemma 2.1a).

DEFINITION. For every radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  with multiplicity function  $\zeta$  the number

$$w(R) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{\{r | d(r)=d\}} \zeta(r) p^{d-1}$$

is called the *width* of  $R$ .

We now turn to the covering groups  $S^+(n)$  of  $S(n)$ . The semidirect product of the groups  $H$  and  $N$  is denoted by  $N \rtimes H$ , where  $N$  is assumed to be normal.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $p \neq 2$  and let  $c$  be a positive integer. Then the following assertions hold:

a)  $\text{GL}(c, p) \cong \text{SL}(c, p) \rtimes C$ , where

$$C = \left\langle m = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}(c, p) \mid \alpha \in \text{GF}(p)^* \text{ with } O(\alpha) = p - 1 \right\rangle.$$

b)  $m$  is an odd permutation of  $S(p^c)$  having  $p^{c-1}$  fixed points and  $p^{c-1}$  orbits of length  $p - 1$ .

c)  $\text{SL}(c, p)$  consists of even permutations of  $S(p^c)$ .

d)  $\text{GL}(c, p)^+ \cong \text{SL}(c, p) \rtimes C^+$ , where

$$C^+ = \begin{cases} C \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \text{ and } c \text{ is even,} \\ & p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \text{ and } c \text{ is odd,} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2(p-1)\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. a) holds trivially as  $\det(m^i) \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ .

b) The matrix  $m$  operates on the  $\text{GF}(p)$ -vector space  $A_c \cong \text{GF}(p)^c$  by matrix multiplication. Therefore,  $m$  has  $p^{c-1}$  fixed points and  $\frac{p^c - p^{c-1}}{(p-1)} = p^{c-1}$  orbits of length  $(p - 1)$ .

c) holds because  $\text{SL}(c, p)$  and the alternating group  $A(p^c)$  are both perfect subgroups of the symmetric group  $S(p^c)$ .

d) Since  $p$  is odd, the Schur multiplier of  $\text{SL}(c, p)$  is trivial by [4], p. XVI. Hence  $\text{SL}(c, p)^+ \cong \text{SL}(c, p) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\text{GL}(c, p)^+ \cong \text{SL}(c, p) \rtimes C^+$ . The assertions on the structure of  $C^+$  follow from b) and Lemma 3.6 of [11].

LEMMA 2.4. For each sequence  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s)$  of positive integers  $c_i$  with  $d = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i$  the following assertion holds:

$$[N_{S(p^d)}(A_r)]^+ / A_r \cong \text{GL}(c_1, p)^+ \mid \text{GL}(c_2, p)^+ \mid \cdots \mid \text{GL}(c_s, p)^+,$$

where  $\mid$  denotes the (untwisted) central product.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3  $\text{GL}(c_i, p) = \text{SL}(c_i, p) \rtimes C_i$ , where  $C_i = \langle m_i \rangle$  is generated by an odd permutation  $m_i$  of  $S(p^{c_i})$  having  $p^{c_i-1}$  fixed points and  $p^{c_i-1}$  orbits of length  $p - 1$ . Therefore,

$$(m_i)^+(m_j)^+ = (m_j)^+(m_i)^+ \text{ for } i \neq j$$

by the proof of Lemma 3.7 of [11].

Furthermore, Lemma 2.3 asserts that  $\text{SL}(c_i, p)$  consists of even permutations of  $S(p^{c_i})$ . As  $p$  is odd,  $\text{SL}(c_i, p)$  is generated by even permutations  $x_i$  of odd order. Now let  $i \neq j$ , and assume that  $x_i^+$  and  $x_j^+$  are preimages of odd order in  $S^+(p^{c_i})$  and  $S^+(p^{c_j})$ , respectively, such that  $[x_i^+, y_j^+] = z$ . Then  $(x_i^+)^{-1}(y_j^+)(x_i^+) = y_j z$  has even order, a contradiction. Thus  $[x_i^+, y_j^+] = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Hence  $\text{GL}(c_i, p)^+ = \text{SL}(c_i, p)C_i^+$  and  $\text{GL}(c_j, p)^+ = \text{SL}(c_j, p)C_j^+$  commute elementwise for  $i \neq j$ . This completes the proof.

DEFINITION. For  $x \in S(n)$  and any positive integer  $k$  the  $k$ -fold diagonalization of  $x$  in  $S(nk)$  is denoted by  $\Delta_k x$ .

For example, if  $x = (1, 3, 4) \in S(5)$  then

$$\Delta_3 x = (1, 3, 4)(6, 8, 9)(11, 13, 14) \in S(15).$$

With the notation of Lemma 2.2 and Section 1 the following subsidiary result holds.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $S(n)$  with multiplicity function  $\zeta$ . Then

$$S(\zeta(r))^+ \cong [\Delta_{p^{d(r)}} S(\zeta(r))]^+ \cong \begin{cases} \hat{S}(\zeta(r)) & \text{if } p^{d(r)} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \tilde{S}(\zeta(r)) & \text{if } p^{d(r)} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Since  $p^{d(r)}$  is odd, the result follows immediately from Lemma 3.5 of [11].

As in Section 1 the twisted Humphreys product of two or finitely many groups is denoted by  $\hat{\times}$  or  $\hat{\prod}$ , respectively. The Humphreys product of  $u$  copies of a group  $U$  is denoted by  $\hat{\prod}_u U$ . With this and the notation of Lemma 2.2 we have

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let  $p \neq 2$ . If  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of the covering group  $G^+ = S^+(n)$  of  $S(n)$  with multiplicity function  $\zeta$ , then*

- a)  $N_{G^+}(R) = S^+(V_0) \times \hat{\prod}_r [N_{S(v(r))}(R(r))]^+$
- b)  $N_{G^+}(R)/R = S^+(V_0) \times \hat{\prod}_r [N_{S(v(r))}(R(r))]^+ / R(r)$
- c)  $[N_{S(v(r))}(R(r))]^+ \cong [[N_{S(v(r))}(A_r)] \wr S(\zeta(r))]^+$
- d)  $[N_{S(v(r))}(R(r))]^+ / R(r) \cong [[N_{S(v(r))}(A_r)/A_r] \wr S(\zeta(r))]^+$
- e) *If  $M_r$  denotes the base subgroup of the wreath product  $[N_{S(v(r))}(A_r)/A_r] \wr S(\zeta(r))$ , then  $[N_{S(v(r))}(R(r))]^+ / R(r) = M_r^+ S^+(\zeta(r))$ ,  $M_r^+ \cap S(\zeta(r))^+ = \langle z \rangle$ ,  $M_r^+ \cong \hat{\prod}_{\zeta(r)} [N_{S(p^{d(r))}(A) \tau / A_r}]^+$ ,*

$$[S(\zeta(r))]^+ \cong \begin{cases} \hat{S}(\zeta(r)) & \text{if } p^{d(r)} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \tilde{S}(\zeta(r)) & \text{if } p^{d(r)} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

f) *If  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{s(r)})$  and  $s = s(r)$ , then*

$$[N_{S(p^{d(r))}(A_r)]^+ / A_r \cong \text{GL}(c_1, p)^+ \vee \cdots \vee \text{GL}(c_s, p)^+,$$

where  $\vee$  denotes the (untwisted) central product.

PROOF. Assertions a), b), c) and d) follow immediately from the remarks in Section 1 and Lemma 2.2. Lemma 2.5 implies e). The final statement f) is a restatement of Lemma 2.4. This completes the proof.

**3. Reduction Theorem.** Let  $B$  be a proper block of  $S^\varepsilon(n)$  of positive width. A  $B$ -weight  $(R, \varphi)$  is called s.a. (n.s.a.) if the character  $\varphi$  is s.a. (n.s.a.) as a character of  $N_{S^\varepsilon(n)}(R)$ . We let  $l_+^*(B)$  be the number of s.a.  $B$ -weights and  $l_-^*(B)$  the number of pairs of n.s.a.  $B$ -weights. In the last section Alperin's weight conjecture will be verified by showing  $l_\sigma(B) = l_\sigma^*(B)$  for any sign  $\sigma$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $B$  and  $B^*$  be corresponding blocks of  $S^\varepsilon(n)$  and  $S^{-\varepsilon}(n)$ , respectively. Let  $\sigma$  be a sign. Then*

$$l_\sigma^*(B) = l_{-\sigma}^*(B^*).$$

PROOF. Assume that  $B$  is a block of  $S^+(n)$  and let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight. Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.6 imply  $|N_{S^+(n)}(R) : N_{S^-(n)}(R)| = 2$ . By a result of Blau ([11], Lemma 2.3)  $(R, \varphi^*)$  is a  $B^*$ -weight whenever  $\varphi^*$  is a constituent of the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $N_{S^-(n)}(R)$ . Since all  $B^*$ -weights may be obtained in this way, the result follows in the case  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Now Lemma 1.4 completes the proof.

NOTATION. Let  $B$  be a proper spin block of  $S^+(n)$ ,  $w(B) = w > 0$ . Let  $(R_\zeta, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight. Thus

$$N_{S^+(n)}(R) = S^+(V_0) \times \prod_r [N_{S(V(r))}(R(r))]^+$$

in the notation of Section 2. By Lemma 1.2 we may write  $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$ , where  $\varphi_0 \in \text{SI}(S^+(V_0))$ ,  $\varphi_1 \in \text{SI}(\prod_r [N_{S(V(r))}(R(r))]^+)$ .

Since  $\varphi$  has defect 0 as a character of  $N_{S^+(n)}(R)/R$ , Proposition 1.2(1) of [11] implies that  $\varphi_0 \in \text{SD}_0(S^+(V_0))$ . With this notation we state:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $B$  be a spin block of  $S^+(n)$  with sign  $\delta(B)$ , positive width  $w(B)$  and  $p$ -bar core  $\gamma(B)$ . Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight with radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  of width  $w(R)$ . Then:*

- (1)  $w(B) = w(R)$
- (2)  $\varphi_0$  is an irreducible defect zero spin character of  $S^+(V_0)$  labelled by  $\gamma(B)$ .
- (3)  $\sigma(\varphi_0) = \delta(B)$ .

PROOF. By the general remarks in [2], Section 1, there exists a block  $b$  of  $RC_{S^+(n)}(R)$  with  $R$  as defect group, such that  $b^G = B$ . Thus  $(R, b)$  is a self centralizing  $B$ -subpair in the sense explained in [3], Section 3.8(e). Moreover, by the proposition proved there, the core of  $B$  has to be a partition of  $n - w(R)p$ , which proves (1). (2) is a consequence of the description of the inclusion of subpairs given in [3], Theorem A. (3) follows from the definitions.

THEOREM 3.3 (REDUCTION THEOREM). *Let  $B$  be a spin block of  $S^\epsilon(n)$  of positive width  $w$  and sign  $\delta(B) = \delta$ . If  $\sigma$  is a sign then*

$$l_\sigma^*(B) = l_\sigma^*(B_0),$$

where  $B_0$  is the principal spin block of  $S^{\epsilon\delta}(pw)$ .

PROOF. Let  $B^*$  be the block of  $S^{-\epsilon}(n)$  corresponding to  $B$  and  $B_0^*$  be the block of  $S^{-\epsilon\delta}(wp)$  corresponding to  $B_0$ . By Proposition 3.1

$$l_\sigma^*(B) = l_{-\sigma}^*(B^*), \quad l_\sigma^*(B_0) = l_{-\sigma}^*(B_0^*).$$

We may therefore assume that  $\epsilon = 1$ , so that  $B$  is a spin block of  $S^+(n)$ . Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight. In the notation above  $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$ , where  $\varphi_0$  is a spin character labelled by  $\gamma(B)$ . Moreover, by Proposition 3.2(1)  $R$  may be considered as a radical subgroup of  $S^+(pw)$ . Thus  $(R, \varphi_1)$  is a weight in  $S^+(pw)$ . Since only the principal spin block  $B_0^+$  of  $S^+(pw)$  has width  $w$ ,  $(R, \varphi_1)$  is a  $B_0^+$ -weight. Conversely, if  $(R, \varphi_1)$  is a  $B_0^+$ -weight, then  $(R, \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1)$  is a  $B$ -weight. Using [11], Proposition 1.2(1), we see that

$$\sigma(\varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1) = \sigma(\varphi_0)\sigma(\varphi_1) = \delta(B)\sigma(\varphi_1).$$

If  $\delta(B) = 1$ ,  $B_0 = B_0^+$  and the map  $(R, \varphi_1) \rightarrow (R, \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1)$  induces a sign preserving bijection between the sets of the weights of  $B_0$  and of  $B$ . If  $\delta(B) = -1$ , then  $B_0^* = B_0^+$ . If

$(R, \varphi_1)$  is a s.a.  $B_0^+$ -weight then  $(R, \varphi_0 \hat{\otimes} \varphi_1)$  and  $(R, \varphi_0^a \hat{\otimes} \varphi_1)$  is a pair of n.s.a.  $B$ -weights. If  $(R, \varphi_1)$  and  $(R, \varphi_1^a)$  is a pair of n.s.a.  $B_0^+$ -weights then  $\varphi_0 \hat{\otimes} \varphi_1 = \varphi_0 \hat{\otimes} \varphi_1^a$  and  $(R, \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1)$  is a s.a.  $B$ -weight. This shows that  $l_\sigma^*(B_0^*) = l_\sigma^*(B_0^+) = l_{-\sigma}(B)$ . Since  $l_\sigma^*(B_0^*) = l_{-\sigma}^*(B_0)$ , the result follows in this case, too.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $p \neq 2$ . To prove the weight conjecture for all spin  $p$ -blocks of  $S^\varepsilon(n)$ , it suffices to do so for the principal spin  $p$ -block of  $S^+(pw)$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**PROOF.** By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 1.6 it suffices to prove the result for the principal spin blocks of  $S^\varepsilon(wp)$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{N}$ . But the result for  $\varepsilon = -1$  follows from the corresponding result for  $\varepsilon = 1$  by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 1.4.

We turn to the case of the alternating groups.

**NOTATION.** Let  $B$  be a block of  $S(n)$  of positive width  $w(B) = w > 0$ . Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight. As before we may write  $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$ , where  $\varphi_0 \in D_0(S(V_0))$  and  $\varphi_1 \in I\left(\prod_r N_{S(V(r))}(R(r))\right)$ .

As already noted in [11] with this notation the following result holds.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Let  $B$  be a block of  $S(n)$  of positive width  $w(B) = w > 0$ . Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight. Then:*

- (1)  $w(B) = w(R)$
- (2)  $\varphi_0$  is an irreducible defect zero character of  $S(V_0)$  labelled by  $\gamma(B)$ .

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $p$  be odd. To prove the weight conjecture for all  $p$ -blocks of  $A(n)$ , it suffices to do so for the principal  $p$ -block of  $A(pw)$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight in  $S(n)$ , where  $B$  is a block of  $S(n)$  of width  $w = w(B) > 0$  covering the block  $B^*$  of  $A(n)$ . Write  $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1$  as above. As  $\varphi^a = \varphi_0^a \otimes \varphi_1^a$  it follows that  $\varphi$  is s.a. if and only if both  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$  are s.a.

Suppose first that  $\gamma(B)$  is non-symmetric. Then  $\varphi_0$  is n.s.a., since  $\varphi_0$  is labelled by  $\gamma(B)$ . This means that the restriction  $\varphi^*$  of  $\varphi$  to  $N_{A(n)}(R)$  is irreducible. Therefore, it is clear that the map  $(R, \varphi) \rightarrow (R, \varphi^*)$  is a bijection between the sets of  $B$ -weights and  $B^*$ -weights. Thus  $l^*(B) = l^*(B^*)$ . By Proposition 1.5  $l(B) = l(B^*)$ . Since  $l(B) = l^*(B)$  by Alperin and Fong [2] the weight conjecture is true for blocks of  $A(n)$  with non symmetric core.

Suppose next that  $\gamma(B)$  is symmetric. Thus  $\varphi_0$  is s.a. Hence  $\varphi$  s.a. if and only if  $\varphi_1$  is s.a. Moreover, if  $B_0$  is the principal block of  $S(wp)$  then  $(R, \varphi_1)$  is a  $B_0$ -weight. Using Proposition 3.5 we see that the map  $(R, \varphi) \rightarrow (R, \varphi_1)$  is a bijection between the sets of weights of  $B$  and  $B_0$  preserving s.a. and n.s.a. weights. Thus  $l_\sigma^*(B) = l_\sigma^*(B_0)$ . Similarly,  $l_\sigma(B) = l_\sigma(B_0)$ , by Proposition 1.5(2). Now  $B_0$  covers the principal block  $B_0^*$  of  $A(wp)$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 1.4 we get  $l_\sigma^*(B^*) = l_\sigma^*(B_0^*)$ ,  $l_\sigma(B^*) = l_\sigma(B_0^*)$ , which proves our claim.

**4. Construction and parametrization of the weight characters.** In this section we construct all irreducible weight characters  $\varphi$  having the same radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  with  $\zeta : C \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  as multiplicity function. Again let  $d(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{s(r)} c_i$  for all  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{s(r)}) \in C$ . By the results of Sections 1 and 2 it suffices to determine the  $p$ -blocks of defect zero in

$$N_r^+ = [N_{S(p^{d(r)})(A_r)} / A_r \wr S(\zeta(r))]^+ \text{ for all } r \in C,$$

where  $A_r$  denotes a basic  $p$ -subgroup of  $S^+(p^{d(r)})$  with length  $s(r)$  and degree  $p^{d(r)}$ .

Let  $M_r$  be the base subgroup of the wreath product  $N_r = N_{S(p^{d(r)})(A_r)} / A_r \wr S(\zeta(r))$ . Then by Proposition 2.6

$$N_r^+ = M_r^+ \cdot S^+(\zeta(r)), \quad M_r^+ \cap S^+(\zeta(r)) = \langle z \rangle, \text{ and}$$

$$M_r^+ = \prod_{\zeta(r)}^{\wedge} [N_{S(p^{d(r)})(A_r)} / A_r]^+ \triangleleft N_r^+,$$

where  $\prod_m^{\wedge} U$  denotes the Humphreys product of  $m$  copies of the group  $U$ .

The defect zero characters  $\theta$  of  $M_r^+$  are easily determined by means of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. In order to find the irreducible constituents of their induced characters  $\theta^{N_r^+}$  the following subsidiary results and notations are needed.

As in [7] let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the class of finite groups  $G^+$  with central involution  $z \neq 1$  and a homomorphism  $s : G^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with  $s(z) = 0$ . Let  $G$  be the quotient group  $G^+ / \langle z \rangle$  and let  $\pi$  be the natural epimorphism  $G^+ \rightarrow G$ . An irreducible representation  $\rho : G^+ \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, F)$  is called a spin representation of  $G^+$ , if  $\rho(z) = -I_n$ , where  $I_n \in \text{GL}(n, F)$  denotes the identity matrix.

Certainly,  $S^+(n) \in \mathcal{G}$ , where for each  $x \in S^+(n)$

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi(x) \in S(n) \text{ is an odd permutation} \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi(x) \in A(n) \end{cases}$$

In this context the homomorphism  $s : S^+(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is also denoted by  $\delta$ .

In [7], p. 450, Humphreys constructed for each pair of groups  $G_i^+ \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , a uniquely determined group  $G_1^+ \hat{\times} G_2^+ \in \mathcal{G}$  with involution  $z$ .

For the sake of an easy reference the following result is stated.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $G_i^+ = (G_i^+, s_i, z_i) \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that  $G_i = \pi(G_i^+)$  has a perfect normal subgroup  $H_i$  and a cyclic subgroup  $C_i$  such that  $H_i \cap C_i = 1$ , and  $G_i = H_i C_i$ . If  $H_i$  has trivial Schur multiplier  $H^2(H_i, \mathbb{C}) = 1$  and  $s_i(H_i \times \langle z_i \rangle) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $G_i^+ = H_i \rtimes C_i^+$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and*

$$G_1^+ \hat{\times} G_2^+ = (H_1 \times H_2) \rtimes (C_1^+ \hat{\times} C_2^+).$$

**PROOF.** Consider the direct product  $G_1^+ \times G_2^+$  with twisted multiplication

$$(*) \quad (g_1, g_2)(g'_1, g'_2) = (z_1^{s_1(g'_1)s_2(g_2)} g_1 g'_1, g_2 g'_2).$$

Let  $Z$  be the subgroup  $\langle (1_1, 1_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle$ . Then by [7]  $G_1^+ \times G_2^+ = (G_1^+ \times G_2^+)/Z$ .

Since  $H'_i = H_i$  and  $H^2(H_i, \mathbb{C}) = 1$  we have  $G_i^+ = H_i \rtimes C_i^+$ . Therefore using (\*) the final assertion  $G_1^+ \times G_2^+ = (H_1 \times H_2) \rtimes (C_1^+ \times C_2^+)$  follows.

DEFINITION [7]. If  $P$  is an irreducible spin representation of  $G^+ \in \mathcal{G}$ , then its associate spin representation  $P^a$  of  $G^+$  is defined by

$$P^a(g) = (-1)^{s(g)} P(g) \text{ for every } g \in G^+.$$

$P$  is called self associate (s.a.) if  $P = P^a$ , and non self associate (n.s.a.) otherwise.

Since the covering groups  $\hat{S}(n)$ ,  $\tilde{S}(n)$  of the symmetric group  $S(n)$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}$ , this definition is easily seen to be a generalization of the corresponding one given in Section 1 for the s.a. or n.s.a. irreducible spin representations of  $S^+(n)$ .

DEFINITION [7]. Let  $M_i$  be a n.s.a. irreducible spin representation of  $G_i^+ = (G_i^+, s_i, z_i) \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then the spin representation  $M_1 \hat{\otimes} M_2$  of  $G_1^+ \times G_2^+$  is defined by  $(M_1 \hat{\otimes} M_2)(g_1 \times g_2) = (M_1(g_1) + (-1)^{s_2(g_2)} M_1^a(g_1)) \otimes M_2(g_2)$  for all  $g_i \in G_i^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

The spin representation  $M_1 \hat{\otimes} M_2$  is called the Humphreys product of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . It is an irreducible spin representation of  $G_1^+ \times G_2^+$  by Theorem 2.4 of [7].

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose that the groups  $G_i^+ = H_i C_i^+ \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1. Let  $\theta_i$  be a  $G_i^+$ -stable irreducible representation of  $H_i$ , and let  $\lambda_i$  be a linear spin representation of  $G_i^+$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- $P_i = \theta_i \otimes \lambda_i$  is a n.s.a. irreducible spin representation of  $G_i^+$ .
- $P_i^a = \theta_i \otimes \lambda_i^a$ .
- $P_1 \hat{\otimes} P_2 = (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2) \times (\lambda_1 \hat{\otimes} \lambda_2)$  is an irreducible spin representation of  $G_1^+ \times G_2^+ = (H_1 \times H_2) \rtimes (C_1^+ \times C_2^+)$ .

PROOF. As  $\ker s_i$  is a proper subgroup of  $G_i^+$ , each linear character  $\lambda_i$  of  $G_i^+$  is n.s.a. by Theorem 1.1 of [7]. Since  $G_i^+/H_i = C_i^+$  or  $G_i^+/(H_i \times \langle z \rangle) = C_i$  is cyclic, the stable irreducible representation  $\theta_i$  of  $H_i$  can be extended to an irreducible representation of  $G_i^+$  by Corollary 11.22 of Isaacs [8], p. 186. Hence a) follows from Corollary 6.17 of [8], p. 85, because  $H_i \times \langle z \rangle \subseteq \ker s_i$  by hypothesis.

b) is an immediate consequence of a).

By Lemma 4.1 each  $g_i \in G_i^+ = H_i \rtimes C_i^+$  has a unique representation  $g_i = h_i c_i$  with  $h_i \in H_i$  and  $c_i \in C_i^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $H_i$  is perfect, it follows that  $\ker \lambda_i \geq H_i$ . By a), Corollary 6.17 of [8], p. 86, and the definition of  $P_1 \hat{\otimes} P_2$  the following equations holds.

$$\begin{aligned} (P_1 \hat{\otimes} P_2)(g_1 \times g_2) &= [P_1(g_1) + (-1)^{s_2(g_2)} P_1^a(g_1)] \otimes P_2(g_2) \\ &= [(\theta_1 \otimes \lambda_1)(h_1 c_1) + (-1)^{s_2(h_2 c_2)} (\theta_1 \otimes \lambda_1^a)(h_1 c_1)] \otimes (\theta_2 \otimes \lambda_2)(h_2 c_2) \\ &= [\theta_1(h_1) \lambda_1(c_1) + (-1)^{s_2(c_2)} \theta_1(h_1) \lambda_1^a(c_1)] \otimes \theta_2(h_2) \lambda_2(c_2) \\ &= \theta_1(h_1) [\lambda_1(c_1) + (-1)^{s_2(c_2)} \lambda_1^a(c_1)] \otimes \theta_2(h_2) \lambda_2(c_2) \\ &= \theta_1(h_1) \otimes \theta_2(h_2) \otimes [\lambda_1(c_1) + (-1)^{s_2(c_2)} \lambda_1^a(c_1)] \otimes \lambda_2(c_2) \\ &= [(\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2)(h_1 \times h_2)] \otimes [(\lambda_1 \hat{\otimes} \lambda_2)(c_1 \times c_2)], \end{aligned}$$

because  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_1^q$  are linear characters. Now Lemma 4.1 completes the proof.

The following subsidiary result is proved in our paper [11]. In order to restate it the following notation is needed.

For every positive integer  $t$  let  $s = \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ . In [14], p. 450, I. Schur constructed  $t$  complex  $2^s \times 2^s$  matrices  $F_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$  satisfying the following relations

$$(4.3) \quad F_i^2 = E, F_i F_j = -F_j F_i \text{ for } i \neq j,$$

where  $E$  denotes the  $2^s \times 2^s$  identity matrix.

With these matrices  $F_i$  we constructed in [11] a selfassociate spin representation  $D$  of the covering group  $S_t^+$  with degree  $2^s$  as follows.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $D_i = (-1)^{t-i-1} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}}(F_{t-i} + F_{t-i+1})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$ . Let  $D: S_t^+ \rightarrow \text{GL}(2^s, \mathbb{C})$  be defined by*

$$D(a_i) = \begin{cases} D_i & \text{if } S_i^+ = \hat{S}_i \\ \sqrt{-1}D_i & \text{if } S_i^+ = \tilde{S}_i \end{cases} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t - 1.$$

$$D(z) = -E \text{ in each case,}$$

where  $\pi(a_i) = (i, i + 1) \in S(t)$ . Then  $D$  is a s.a. spin representation of the covering group  $S_t^+$  of the symmetric group  $S(t)$  with degree  $2^s$ . If  $t$  is odd, then  $D$  is the principal spin representation of  $S_t^+$ , and if  $t$  is even, then  $D$  is the direct sum of the principal spin representation and its associate representation.

PROOF. See Lemma 4.2 of [11].

LEMMA 4.5. *Let  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s) \in C$  be a sequence of positive integers. Let  $A_r$  be a basic  $p$ -subgroup of  $S(p^d)$  of length  $s$  and degree  $p^d$ . Let  $S_{r,t}^+ = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{t-1}, z\}$  be a covering group of  $S(t)$ , where  $\pi(a_i) = (i, i + 1) \in S(t)$ . Then:*

- a) *Each element  $u \in N_{r,t}^+ = [(N_{S(p^d)}(A_r)/A_r \wr S(t)]^+$  can be represented by a  $(t + 1)$ -tuple  $\mu = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t, a)$ , where  $x_i \in [N_{S(p^d)}(A_r)/A_r]^+, a \in S_t^+$  and  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) \in M_{r,t}^+$  where  $M_{r,t}$  is the base subgroup of  $N_{r,t}$ .*
- b) *The multiplication of the group  $N_{r,t}^+$  is given by*

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t, a_i)(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t, a) = (x_1 y_1^*, \dots, x_t y_t^*, a_i a) z^e,$$

where

$$y_j^* = \begin{cases} y_j & \text{if } j \neq i, i + 1 \\ y_{i+1} & \text{if } j = i \\ y_i & \text{if } j = i + 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $e = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq t} d(y_j^*) \delta(x_k) + \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{i, i+1\} \\ 1 \leq i \leq t}} \delta(y_s^*) + \delta(y_i^*) \delta(y_{i+1}^*)$ .

PROOF. See Lemma 3.10 of [11].

LEMMA 4.6. Let  $\tau = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s) \in C$  be a sequence of positive integers. Let  $A_\tau$  be a basic  $p$ -subgroup of  $S(p^{d(\tau)})$  of length  $s(\tau) = s$  and degree  $p^d = p^{d(\tau)}$ . For every positive integer  $t$  let  $M_{\tau,t}$  be the base subgroup of the wreath product

$$N_{\tau,t} = N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau \wr S(t) = M_{\tau,t} \rtimes S(t).$$

Then the following assertions hold:

- $[N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+ = \text{GL}(c_1, p)^+ \mid \text{GL}(c_2, p)^+ \mid \cdots \mid \text{GL}(c_s, p)^+$
- $\text{GL}(c_i, p)^+ = \text{SL}(c_i, p) \rtimes C_i^+$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , where  $C_i$  is a cyclic group of order  $p - 1$ .
- Each irreducible defect zero spin representation  $\theta$  of  $[N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+$  is of the form

$$\theta = \bigotimes_{i=1}^s (\text{St}_i \otimes \lambda_i) = \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \text{St}_i \right) \otimes \lambda,$$

where  $\text{St}_i$  denotes the Steinberg representation of  $\text{SL}(c_i, p)$ ,  $\lambda_i$  is a n.s.a. linear spin representation of  $C_i^+$ , and  $\lambda = \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \lambda_i$ .

- $[N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+$  has  $e(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)^s$  pairs of n.s.a. irreducible defect zero spin representations  $\theta$ , and  $d_0\left([N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+\right)_+ = 0$ .
- Each  $N_{\tau,t}^+$ -stable irreducible defect zero spin representation of  $M_{\tau,t}^+$  is the  $t$ -fold Humphreys power  $\hat{\otimes}_t \theta$  of a n.s.a. irreducible defect zero representation  $\theta$  of  $[N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+$ .

PROOF. a) holds by Lemma 2.4.

b) is a restatement of Lemma 2.3d).

c) By Steinberg's tensor product theorem each irreducible defect zero representation  $\theta$  of  $\text{GL}(c_i, p)^+$  is of the form  $\theta = \text{St}_i \otimes \lambda_i$ , where  $\text{St}_i$  denotes the Steinberg representation of  $\text{SL}(c_i, p)$ , and  $\lambda_i$  is a linear representation of  $\text{GL}(c_i, p)^+$ . From Lemma 2.3 follows that  $\theta$  is a spin representation if and only if  $\lambda_i$  is a spin representation. Thus c) holds.

d) Now Lemma 4.2 asserts that  $\text{GL}(c_i, p)^+$  has  $\frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$  pairs of n.s.a. irreducible defect zero spin representations, each of which is of the form  $\text{St}_i \otimes \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^a$ . Since the center of  $\text{GL}(c_i, p)$  is in the kernel of  $\text{St}_i$ , it follows from a) that  $[N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+$  has  $(p - 1)^s$  irreducible defect zero spin representations  $\theta$ , which are pairwise n.s.a. Thus  $e(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)^s$ , and  $d_0\left([N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+\right)_+ = 0$ .

e) By Proposition 2.6,  $M_{\tau,t}^+$  is the  $t$ -fold Humphreys product

$$M_{\tau,t}^+ = \hat{\prod}_t [N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau]^+.$$

Therefore, Propositions 1.2 and 1.5 of [11] assert that each irreducible defect zero spin character  $\mu$  of  $M_{\tau,t}^+$  is of the form  $\mu = \theta_1 \hat{\otimes} \theta_2 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \theta_t$ , where each  $\theta_i$  is an irreducible defect zero spin character of  $N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau)/A_\tau$ . Let  $a_i \in S_t^+$  map onto the

transposition  $\pi(a_i) = (i, i + 1) \in S(t)$ . Then by Lemma 3.11 of [11]  $S_t^+$  operates on  $\mu$  via

$$\mu^{a_i} = \theta_1^a \hat{\otimes} \theta_2^a \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \theta_{i-1}^a \hat{\otimes} \theta_{i+1} \hat{\otimes} \theta_i \hat{\otimes} \theta_{i+2}^a \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \theta_t^a.$$

Hence d) and Proposition 1.2 imply that  $\mu$  is stable in  $N_{r,t}^+$  if and only if  $\theta_i = \theta$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . This completes the proof.

With the notation of (4.3) and of the previous lemmas we can now state

LEMMA 4.7. *Let  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s) \in C$  be a sequence of positive integers. Let  $A_r$  be a basic  $p$ -subgroup of  $S(p^d)$  of length  $s$  and degree  $p^d$ . Let  $S_t^+ = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{t-1}, z \rangle$  be a covering group of  $S(t)$ , where  $\pi(a_i) = (i, i + 1) \in S(t)$ . Let  $N_{r,t}^+ = [N_{S(p^d)}(A_r) / A_r \wr S(t)]^+ = M_{r,t}^+ S_t^+$ , where  $M_{r,t}$  denotes the base subgroup of the wreath product.*

Suppose that  $\theta = (\otimes_{i=1}^s \text{St}_i) \otimes \lambda$  is a n.s.a. irreducible defect zero spin representation of  $[N_{S(p^d)}(A_r) / A_r]^+$ . For every  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) \in M_{r,t}^+$  and every  $a \in S_t^+$  let

$$D_\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t, a) = \otimes_t \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \text{St}_i \right)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) \otimes \prod_{i=1}^t \lambda(x_i) F_i^{\delta(x_1)} \dots F_1^{\delta(x_t)} D(a),$$

where  $D: S_t^+ \rightarrow \text{GL}(2^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor}, \mathbb{C})$  is the spin representation of  $S_t^+$  defined in Lemma 4.4, and where  $\otimes_t \mu$  denotes the  $t$ -fold tensor power of the representation  $\mu$ .

Then the following assertions hold:

- a)  $D_\theta$  is an irreducible spin representation of  $N_{r,t}^+$  extending the  $t$ -fold Humphreys power  $\hat{\otimes}_t \theta \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{r,t}^+)$  of  $\theta$ .
- b) If  $t$  is even, then  $D_\theta$  is s.a.
- c) If  $t$  is odd, then  $D_\theta$  is n.s.a.

PROOF. By Lemma 4.6a) and b)

$$[N_{S(p^d)}(A_r) / A_r]^+ = [\text{GL}(c_1, p) \bigvee \dots \bigvee \text{GL}(c_s, p)]^+,$$

and  $\text{GL}(c_i, p)^+ = \text{SL}(c_i, p) \rtimes C_i^+$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , where  $C_i$  is a cyclic group of order  $p - 1$ . Thus Lemma 4.2 implies that the  $t$ -fold Humphreys power

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\otimes}_t \theta &= \hat{\otimes}_t [(\bigotimes_{i=1}^s \text{St}_i) \otimes \lambda] = \otimes_t (\bigotimes_{i=1}^s \text{St}_i) \otimes (\hat{\otimes}_t \lambda) \\ &\in \text{SI} \left( \prod_t^{\vee^s} [\text{SL}(c_i, p)] \rtimes \prod_t^{\wedge} [C_i^+] \right), \text{ and} \\ \hat{\otimes}_t \lambda &\in \text{SI} \left( \prod_t^{\wedge} [C_i^+] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is  $S_t^+$ -stable. Since  $\lambda$  is a n.s.a. linear representation of  $\bigvee_{i=1}^s C_i^+$ , it follows from Lemma 4.6 and the proof of Lemma 4.3 of [11] that

$$D_\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t, a) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^t \left[ \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \text{St}_i \right](x_j) \otimes \prod_{j=1}^t \lambda(x_j) F_j^{\delta(x_1)} \dots F_1^{\delta(x_t)} D(a)$$

defines an irreducible spin representation of  $N_{r,t}^+$  such that its restriction  $D_{\theta|_{M_{r,t}^+}} = \hat{\otimes}_t [(\otimes_{i=1}^s \text{St}_i) \otimes \lambda]$ . The remaining assertions b) and c) also follow from Lemma 4.3b) and c) of [11].

PROPOSITION 4.8. *Let  $\tau = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s) \in C$  be a sequence of positive integers. Let  $A_\tau$  be a basic  $p$ -subgroup of  $S(p^d)$  of length  $s$  and degree  $p^d$ . Let  $N_{\tau,t}^+ = [N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau) / A_\tau] \wr S(t)^+ = M_{\tau,t}^+ \cdot S_t^+$ , where  $M_{\tau,t}$  denotes the base subgroups of the wreath product. Then the following assertions hold:*

- a) *Each  $N_{\tau,t}^+$ -stable irreducible defect zero spin representation  $\varphi$  of  $M_{\tau,t}$  is of the form  $\varphi = \hat{\otimes}_t \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is an irreducible defect zero spin representation of  $N_{S(p^d)}(A_\tau) / A_\tau$ .*
- b) *Each  $N_{\tau,t}^+$ -stable irreducible defect zero spin representation  $\varphi = \hat{\otimes}_t \theta$  of  $M_{\tau,t}^+$  can be extended to an irreducible spin representation  $D_\theta$  of  $N_{\tau,t}^+$ , and every irreducible defect zero constituent  $V$  of  $\varphi^{N_{\tau,t}^+}$  is of the form  $V = D_\theta \otimes T$ , where  $T$  is an irreducible defect zero representation of  $N_{\tau,t}^+ / M_{\tau,t}^+ \cong S(t)$ .*
- c) *If  $t$  is odd then every irreducible constituent  $V$  of  $\varphi^{N_{\tau,t}^+}$  is n.s.a.*
- d) *If  $t$  is even then every irreducible constituent  $V$  of  $\varphi^{N_{\tau,t}^+}$  is s.a.*

PROOF. a) is a restatement of Lemma 4.6e). b) The existence of the extension  $D_\theta$  of  $\varphi = \hat{\otimes}_t \theta$  is guaranteed by Lemma 4.7. Therefore, Corollary 6.17 of Isaacs [8], p. 85, asserts that every irreducible constituent  $V$  of  $\varphi^{N_{\tau,t}^+}$  is of the form  $V = D_\theta \otimes T$ , where  $T$  is an irreducible representation of  $N_{\tau,t}^+ / M_{\tau,t}^+ \cong S(t)$ . Now  $V$  belongs to a  $p$ -block of defect zero if and only if  $T$  does. Thus b) holds.

Assertions c) and d) follow from Proposition 4.4, a) and b) of [11], respectively.

LEMMA 4.9. *Let  $B$  be the principal spin block of  $G^+ = S^+(wp)$ . Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G^+$  with width  $w(R) = w$ . If  $(R, \varphi)$  is a  $B$ -weight, then the irreducible defect zero spin character  $\varphi$  of  $N_{G^+}(R) / R$  has sign  $\sigma(\varphi) = (-1)^w$ .*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.2c) the function  $\zeta$  is uniquely determined by the radical subgroup  $R$  of  $G^+$ . Now Proposition 3.2 asserts that

$$w = w(B) = w(R) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{r \in C_d} \zeta(r) p^{d-1}, \text{ where } C_d = \{r \in C \mid d(r) = d\}.$$

Hence

$$(*) \quad w \equiv \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{r \in C_d} \zeta(r) \pmod{2}$$

because  $p$  is odd.

Furthermore, Lemma 2.2e) asserts that

$$R = \prod_{d \geq 1} \prod_{r \in C_d} (A_r)^{\zeta(r)}.$$

Now Proposition 2.6 implies that

$$N_{G^+}(R) / R = \hat{\prod}_{d \geq 1} \hat{\prod}_{r \in C_d} [(N_{S(p^d)}(A_r) / A_r) \wr S(\zeta(r))]^+.$$

Hence  $\varphi \in \text{SD}_0(N_{G^+}(R) / R)$  factors as

$$\varphi = \hat{\otimes}_{d \geq 1} [\hat{\otimes}_{r \in C_d} \varphi_r],$$

where  $\varphi_r$  is an irreducible defect zero spin character of the group  $[(N_{S(p^d)}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(r))]^+$ .

The spin character  $\varphi_r$  has sign  $\sigma(\varphi_r) = (-1)^{\zeta(r)}$  by assertions c) and d) of Proposition 4.8. Hence

$$\sigma(\varphi) = (-1)^u, \text{ where } u = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{r \in C_d} \zeta(r).$$

From (\*) follows that  $u \equiv w \pmod 2$ . Hence  $\sigma(\varphi) = (-1)^w$ . This completes the proof.

**PROPOSITION 4.10.** *Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G^+ = S^+(wp)$  with width  $w(R) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{r \in C_d} \zeta(r)p^{d-1}$ , where  $C_d = \{r \in C \mid d(r) = d\}$ . For each sequence  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{s(r)}) \in C$  let  $X(r)$  be the set of  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)^{s(r)}$ -tuples  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{e(r)})$  of  $p$ -core partitions  $\kappa_i$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{e(r)} |\kappa_i| = \zeta(r)$ , where  $e(r) = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)^{s(r)}$ . Let  $N_r = (N_{S(p^{d(r)}}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(r)))$ . Then for each  $r \in C$  there is a bijection between the sets  $X(r)$  and  $SD_0(N_r^+)_{-\sigma}$ , where  $\sigma = (-1)^{\zeta(r)}$ . Furthermore,  $d_0(N_r^+)_{-\sigma} = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Fix  $r \in C$ . Let  $s = s(r)$ ,  $d = d(r)$ ,  $t = \zeta(r)$  and  $e = e(r) = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)^{s(r)}$ . Then  $N_r = (N_{S(p^d)}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(t) = M_r \rtimes S(t)$ , where  $M_r$  is the base subgroup of the wreath product.

By Lemma 4.6  $[N_{S(p^d)}((A_r)/A_r)]^+$  has  $e$  pairs of n.s.a. irreducible defect zero spin representations  $\theta$ , and  $d_0([N_{S(p^d)}(A_r)/A_r]^+)_+ = 0$ . Then the representatives of these pairwise non associated characters  $\theta$  can be denoted by  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_e$ .

Let  $SD_0(M_r^+)$  be the set of irreducible defect zero spin representations  $\varphi$  of  $M_r^+$ . In order to parametrize the  $N_r^+$ -orbits of  $SD_0(M_r^+)$  we consider the following set

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_e) \in \mathbb{N}^e \mid \sum_{i=1}^e t_i = t \right\}.$$

For each  $e$ -tuple  $a = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_e) \in \mathcal{A}$  there is an irreducible defect zero spin representation of  $M_r^+$  of the form  $\theta_a = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_e$ , where each  $\mu_i$  is a  $t_i$ -fold Humphreys power  $\mu = \otimes_{i=1}^{t_i} \theta_i$  of the irreducible defect zero spin representation  $\theta_i$  of  $[N_{S(p^d)}(A_r)/A_r]^+$ . Using now Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 3.3 of Humphreys [7] and Lemma 3.11 of [11] it follows that  $\mathbb{W} = \{\theta_a \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a complete set of representatives of the  $N_r^+$ -orbits of  $SD_0(M_r^+)$ .

For each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $T_a$  be the inertial subgroup of  $\theta_a$  in  $N_r^+ = M_r^+ \cdot S^+(t)$ . Then Lemma 4.6e) implies

$$T_a/M_r^+ \cong S(t_1) \times S(t_2) \times \dots \times S(t_e)$$

Therefore Proposition 4.8b) and Clifford's theorem, see Theorem 7.16 of [10], imply that every irreducible defect zero spin representation  $\chi_a$  of  $T_a$  is of the form  $\theta_a \otimes \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_e$ , where  $\gamma_i$  is an irreducible defect zero representation of the symmetric group  $S(t_i)$ . Now the theorem of R. Brauer and G. de B. Robinson called the Nakayama Conjecture, see James and Kerber [9], p. 245, asserts that each such representation  $\gamma_i$  corresponds uniquely to a  $p$ -core partition  $\kappa_i$  of  $t_i = |\kappa_i|$ .

Furthermore, the sign of  $\chi_a$  is

$$\sigma(\chi_a) = \sigma(\theta_a) = \prod_{i=1}^e \sigma(\mu_i) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^e \mu_i} = (-1)^f = (-1)^{\zeta(\tau)}$$

for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence it follows that there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{X}(\tau)$  and  $SD_0(N_r^+)_{\sigma}$ , where  $\sigma = (-1)^f = (-1)^{\zeta(\tau)}$ . By Proposition 4.8c) and d)  $d_0(N_r^+)_{-\sigma} = 0$ . This completes the proof.

After all these preparations we now can show the main result of this section. Together with the Reduction Theorem 3.3 it gives for any spin block  $B$  of  $S^+(n)$  with positive width  $w$  the number of  $B$ -weights  $(R, \varphi)$  having the same radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$ .

**THEOREM 4.11.** *Let  $B$  be the principal spin block of  $G^+ = S^+(wp)$ . Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G^+$  with multiplicity function  $\zeta$ . Then the number of  $B$ -weights  $(R, \varphi)$  with radical subgroup  $R$  is given by:*

- a)  $d_0(N_{G^+}(R)/R) = d_0(N_{G^+}(R)/R)_+ + 2d_0(N_{G^+}(R)/R)_-$
- b) For any sign  $\sigma$

$$d_0(N_{G^+}(R)/R)_{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \prod_{r \in C} d_0(N_r^+)_{\sigma(r)} & \text{if } \sigma = (-1)^w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma(r) = (-1)^{\zeta(\tau)}$  for every  $r \in C$ .

- c) For each  $\tau \in C$   $d_0(N_r^+)_{\sigma(r)}$  equals the number of  $e(\tau)$ -tuples  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{e(\tau)})$  of  $p$ -core partitions  $\kappa_i$  such that  $\sum |\kappa_i| = \zeta(\tau)$ , where  $e(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)^{s(\tau)}$ .

**PROOF.** a) follows immediately from Section 1.

- b) Proposition 2.6 asserts that

$$N_{G^+}(R)/R = \prod_{r \in C} \left[ (N_{S(p^{d(r)})}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(\tau)) \right]^+$$

Therefore Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 yield that each  $\varphi \in SD_0(N_{G^+}(R)/R)_{\sigma}$  is a Humphreys product of the form  $\varphi = \hat{\otimes}_{r \in C} \varphi_r$ , where for each  $r \in C$

$$\varphi_r \in SD_0\left( \left[ (N_{S(p^{d(r)})}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(\tau)) \right]^+ \right)_{\sigma(r)}$$

By Proposition 4.10  $\sigma(r) = (-1)^{\zeta(\tau)}$  and  $d_0(N_r^+)_{-\sigma} = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\sigma(\varphi) = (-1)^w$  by Lemma 4.9. Since  $\sigma(\varphi) = \prod_{r \in C} \sigma(r)$ , Lemma 1.3 completes the proof of b).

- c) is a consequence of Proposition 4.10. This completes the proof.

**5. Proof of Alperin’s weight conjecture for  $S^+(n)$  and  $A^+(n)$ .** In this section the number  $l^*(B)$  of all  $B$ -weights of a  $p$ -block  $B$  of the covering groups  $S^e(n)$  of the symmetric and alternating groups is determined, where  $p \neq 2$ . In each case, it turns out that  $l(B) = l^*(B)$ , which verifies Alperin’s weight conjecture for these groups.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $C$  be the set of all sequences  $r = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{s(r)})$  of positive integers  $c_i$ . Let  $d(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{s(r)} c_i$  for each  $r \in C$ , and for every natural number  $d > 0$  let  $C_d = \{r \in C \mid d(r) = d\}$ . Then  $\sum_{r \in C_d} (p-1)^{s(r)} = (p-1)p^{d-1}$ .*

PROOF. By Alperin and Fong [2] there are  $\binom{d(r)-1}{s(r)-1}$  basic subgroups  $A_r$  of degree  $p^{d(r)}$  and length  $l(A_r) = s(r)$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \in C_d} (p-1)^{s(r)} &= \sum_{t \geq 1} \binom{d-1}{t-1} (p-1)^t \\ &= (p-1) \sum_{t \geq 1} \binom{d-1}{t-1} (p-1)^{t-1} \\ &= (p-1)[(p-1) + 1]^{d-1} = (p-1)p^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

With the notation of Section 1 we now can state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let  $B$  be a spin block of  $S^\varepsilon(n)$  with width  $w(B) = w > 0$  and sign  $\delta(B) = \delta$ . Then for every sign  $\sigma$  the number  $l_\sigma^*(B)$  of  $B$ -weights with sign  $\sigma$  is*

$$l_\sigma^*(B) = \begin{cases} k\left(\frac{1}{2}(p-1), w\right) & \text{if } \sigma = \delta = (-1)^w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $l_\sigma(B) = l_\sigma^*(B)$  for each sign  $\sigma$ .

PROOF. We keep the notations of Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 4.11. By the Reduction Theorem 3.3  $l_\sigma^*(B) = l_\sigma^*(B_0)$ , where  $B_0$  is the principal spin block of  $S^{\varepsilon\delta}(pw)$ . Furthermore, Theorem 3.4 asserts that we may assume that  $\varepsilon\delta = 1$ , i.e., that  $B_0$  is the principal spin block of  $S^+(pw)$ . By Lemma 2.2 for each  $B_0$ -weight  $(R, \varphi)$  of  $G^+ = S^+(pw)$  there is a uniquely determined multiplicity function  $\zeta : C \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that the radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  has width  $w(R) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{r \in C_d} \zeta(r)p^{d-1}$ . Furthermore, Proposition 3.2 asserts that  $w(R) = w$ . Now  $N_{G^+}(R)/R = \prod_{r \in C} \left[ (N_{S(p^{d(r)})}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(r)) \right]^+$  by Proposition 2.6. Therefore the spin character  $\varphi$  of  $N_{G^+}(R)/R$  has the Humphreys product decomposition  $\varphi = \hat{\otimes}_{r \in C} \varphi_r$ , where  $\varphi_r \in \text{SD}_0 \left[ (N_{S(p^{d(r)})}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(r)) \right]^+$  by Lemma 1.3. For each  $d \geq 1$  let  $\varphi_d = \hat{\otimes}_{r \in C_d} \varphi_r$ . Then  $\varphi = \hat{\otimes}_{d \geq 1} \varphi_d$ . For each  $r \in C$  let  $e(r) = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)^{s(r)}$ . By Theorem 4.11 there is a bijection between the characters  $\varphi_r \in \text{SD}_0 \left( \left[ (N_{S(p^{d(r)})}(A_r)/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(r)) \right]^+ \right)$  and the  $e(r)$ -tuples  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{e(r)})$  of  $p$ -core partitions  $\kappa_i$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{e(r)} |\kappa_i| = \zeta(r)$ . Using Lemma 5.1 we see that for a fixed  $d > 0$   $\sum_{r \in C_d} e(r) = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)p^{d-1}$ . Since  $\varphi_d = \hat{\otimes}_{r \in C_d} \varphi_r$ , it follows that each character  $\varphi_d$  determines uniquely a  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)p^{d-1}$ -tuple of  $p$ -core partitions  $\kappa_{dj}$  such that

$$\sum_j |\kappa_{dj}| = \sum_{r \in C_d} \zeta(r) = a_d.$$

As  $w = w(R) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{r \in C_d} \zeta(r)p^{d-1} = \sum_{d \geq 1} a_d p^{d-1}$ , it follows now from (1A) of Alperin and Fong [2] that  $\varphi$  determines uniquely an  $e$ -tuple  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_e)$  of partitions  $\lambda$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^e |\lambda_i| = w$ , where  $e = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ .

Since all the above steps of the proof can be reversed, we have shown that there is a bijection between the  $B_0$ -weights  $(R, \varphi)$  and the set of  $e$ -tuples of partitions  $\lambda_i$  such that  $\sum |\lambda_i| = w$ . By Lemma 4.9 each  $B_0$ -weight  $(R, \varphi)$  has the sign  $\sigma(\varphi) = (-1)^w$ . Hence by Section 1

$$l_\sigma^*(B_0) = \begin{cases} k(e, w) & \text{if } \sigma = (-1)^w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the first assertion holds. Together with Proposition 1.6 it implies that  $l_\sigma(B) = l_\sigma^*(B)$  for each sign  $\sigma$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 5.3.** *Let  $p \neq 2$ . Then Alperin’s weight conjecture holds for all  $p$ -blocks  $B$  of the covering groups  $S^+(n)$  of the symmetric groups.*

**PROOF.** If  $B$  is a spin block of  $S^+(n)$ , then  $l(B) = l_+(B) + 2l_-(B)$  and  $l^*(B) = l_+^*(B) + 2l_-^*(B)$ . Hence  $l(B) = l^*(B)$  by Theorem 5.2. If  $B$  is a block of  $S(n)$ , then  $l(B) = l^*(B)$  by Theorem (2C) of Alperin and Fong [2]. Thus Corollary 5.3 holds.

It remains to prove Alperin’s weight conjecture for the alternating groups. Therefore we show

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $A(n)$  with positive width  $w$ . Then  $l_\sigma(B) = l_\sigma^*(B)$  for each sign  $\sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 3.6 we may assume that  $B$  is the principal  $p$ -block of  $A(pw)$ . It is covered by the principal  $p$ -block  $B_0$  of  $S(pw)$ . Therefore Lemma 1.4 and Proposition 3.1 assert that for each sign  $\sigma$  we have

$$l_\sigma(B_0) = l_{-\sigma}(B) \text{ and } l_\sigma^*(B_0) = l_{-\sigma}^*(B).$$

Hence it suffices to show that  $l_\sigma(B_0) = l_\sigma^*(B_0)$ . As  $l(B_0) = l_+(B_0) + 2l_-(B_0) = l_+^*(B_0) + 2l_-^*(B_0) = l^*(B_0)$ , by Theorem (2C) of Alperin and Fong [2], it is enough to show that  $l_+(B_0) = l_+^*(B_0)$ .

The principal  $p$ -block  $B_0$  of  $S(pw)$  has the symmetric  $p$ -core  $\emptyset$ . Thus  $l_+(B_0) = k^s(p - 1, w)$  by Proposition 1.5. Therefore it remains to show that there is a bijection between the s.a.  $B_0$ -weights  $(R, \varphi)$  and the self-dual  $(p - 1)$ -tuples  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}) = (\lambda_{p-1}^0, \lambda_{p-2}^0, \dots, \lambda_2^0, \lambda_1^0)$  of partitions  $\lambda_j$  satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_j| = w$ , because the number of these  $(p - 1)$ -tuples equals  $k^s(p - 1, w)$  by definition.

Now let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a s.a.  $B_0$ -weight of  $G = S(pw)$  with multiplicity function  $\zeta$ . Then  $w(R) = w$ . By Lemma 2.2

$$N_G(R) / R = \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{C}} (N_{S(p^d(\tau))}(A_\tau) / A_\tau) \wr S(\zeta(\tau))$$

Hence  $\varphi$  has a tensor product decomposition

$$\varphi = \otimes_{\tau \in \mathcal{C}} \varphi_\tau, \text{ where } \varphi_\tau \in D_0 \left[ (N_{S(p^d(\tau))}(A_\tau) / A_\tau) \wr S(\zeta(\tau)) \right]$$

By Proposition 1.2 of [11]  $\varphi = \varphi^a$  if and only if  $\varphi_\tau = \varphi_\tau^a$  for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ . Lemma 2.1b) asserts that for each  $\tau = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{s(\tau)}) \in \mathcal{C}$

$$U_\tau = N_{S(p^d(\tau))}(A_\tau) / A_\tau = \prod_{i=1}^{s(\tau)} \text{GL}(c_i, p).$$

Therefore  $U_r$  has  $e(r) = (p - 1)^{s(r)}$  irreducible defect zero characters by Steinberg’s tensor product theorem, which are denoted by  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{e(r)}$ . Hence for each irreducible defect zero character  $\theta$  of the base subgroup  $M_r$  of  $N_r = (N_{S(p^{d(r)})(A_r)}/A_r) \wr S(\zeta(r))$  there are integers  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\theta = \otimes_{k=1}^{e(r)} (\otimes_{n_k} \theta_k)$  and  $\zeta(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{e(r)} n_k$ . Furthermore, by Theorem 4.3.34 of James-Kerber [9], p. 155,  $\theta$  can be extended to its inertial subgroup  $T(\theta)$  in  $N_r$  and  $T(\theta)/M_r \cong \prod_k S(n_k)$ .

By Theorem 7.16 of [10] for each s.a. irreducible defect zero character  $\varphi_r$  of  $N_r$  there is a s.a. irreducible defect zero character  $\theta$  of  $M_r$  and an irreducible defect zero character  $\mu$  of its inertial factor group  $T(\theta)/M_r \cong \prod_k S(n_k)$  such that  $\varphi_r = (\theta \otimes \mu)^{N_r}$ . By the Nakayama Conjecture [9], p. 245,  $\mu$  determines uniquely an  $e(r)$ -tuple  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{e(r)})$  of  $p$ -core partitions  $\kappa_k$  of  $n_k = |\kappa_k|$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{e(r)} |\kappa_k| = \zeta(r)$ . By Lemma 2.3 and 4.2 none of the  $e(r)$  characters  $\theta_k$  of  $U_r$  is s.a. Hence the  $\theta_k$  may be ordered such that  $\theta_k^a = \theta_{e(r)+1-k}$ . Since

$$\theta = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{e(r)} (\otimes_{|\kappa_k|} \theta_k) = \theta^a = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{e(r)} (\otimes_{|\kappa_k|} \theta_{e(r)+1-k})$$

it follows that

$$(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{e(r)})^0 = (\kappa_{e(r)}^0, \kappa_{e(r)-1}^0, \dots, \kappa_2^0, \kappa_1^0) = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{e(r)}).$$

In particular, each s.a. character  $\varphi_r$ ,  $r \in \mathcal{C}$ , corresponds uniquely to a self-dual  $e(r)$ -tuple  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{e(r)})$  of  $p$ -core partitions  $\kappa_i$  satisfying  $\sum |\kappa_i| = \zeta(r)$ . Applying now Lemma 5.1 and assertion (1A) of Alperin and Fong [2] as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 it follows that there is a bijection between the s.a.  $B_0$ -weights  $(R, \varphi)$  and the self-dual  $(p - 1)$ -tuples  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{p-1})$  of partitions satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} |\lambda_j| = w$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *Let  $p \neq 2$ . Then Alperin’s weight conjecture holds for all  $p$ -blocks  $B$  of the covering groups  $A^+(n)$  of the alternating groups  $A(n)$  and of the exceptional 6-fold covers  $C_6$  and  $C_7$  of  $A(6)$  and  $A(7)$ , respectively.*

**PROOF.** For the blocks  $B$  of  $A^+(n)$  the result holds by Theorems 5.2 and 5.4. Alperin’s weight conjecture holds for any block  $B$  of any finite group  $G$  with a cyclic defect group  $\delta(B)$  by Theorem 2.1 of Feit [5], p. 275. Since  $|C_6| = 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$  and  $|C_7| = 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , only the 3-blocks of  $G \in \{C_6, C_7\}$  have to be checked. Now  $G$  contains a central subgroup  $Z$  of order 3 such that  $G/Z \in \{A^+(6), A^+(7)\}$ . By Lemma 4.5 of Feit [5], p. 204, there is a bijection between the 3-blocks of  $G$  and the ones of  $G/Z$ , which is weight preserving. Furthermore, corresponding blocks have the same number of modular characters by Corollary 2.13 of [5], p. 102. Now the conjecture holds for  $A^+(6)$ ,  $A^+(7)$  as remarked above. This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. J. L. Alperin, *Weights for finite groups*, The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Maths. **47**(1987), 369–379.
2. J. L. Alperin, P. Fong, *Weights for symmetric and general linear groups*, J. Algebra **131**(1990), 2–22.
3. M. Cabanes, *Local structure of the  $p$ -blocks of  $\tilde{S}_n$* , Math. Z. **198**(1988), 519–543.
4. J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
5. W. Feit, *The representation theory of finite groups*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
6. D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*. Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
7. J. F. Humphreys, *On certain projective modular representations of direct products*, J. London Math. Soc. (2)**32**(1985), 449–459.
8. I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
9. G. James, A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*. Addison-Wesley, London, 1981.
10. G. O. Michler, *Ring theoretical and computational methods in group representation theory*. Vorlesungen aus dem Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Essen **18**, 1989.
11. G. O. Michler, J. B. Olsson, *The Alperin-McKay conjecture holds in the covering groups of symmetric and alternating groups,  $p \neq 2$* , J. reine angew. Math. **405**(1990), 78–111.
12. J. B. Olsson, *On the  $p$ -blocks of symmetric and alternating groups and their covering groups*, J. Algebra **128**(1990), 188–213.
13. ———, *The number of modular characters of certain  $p$ -blocks*, (to appear).
14. I. Schur, *Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen*, J. reine angew. Math. **139**(1911), 115–250.

*Institut für Experimentelle Mathematik*  
 Universität GHS Essen  
 4300 Essen 12  
 Germany

*Matematisk Institut*  
 Københavns Universitet  
 2100 København  
 Denmark