## BOUNDING THE VALENCY OF POLYGONAL GRAPHS WITH ODD GIRTH

MANLEY PERKEL

1. Introduction. In this paper we investigate the action of finite groups $G$ on finite polygonal graphs. The notion of a polygonal graph was introduced in [17]: A polygonal graph is a pair $(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ consisting of a graph $\mathscr{H}$ which is regular, connected and has girth $m$ for some $m \geqq 3$, and a set $\mathscr{E}$ of $m$-gons of $\mathscr{H}$ such that every 2 -claw of $\mathscr{H}$ is contained in an unique element of $\mathscr{E}$. (See Section 2 for the definitions of the terms used here.) If $\mathscr{E}$ is the set of all $m$-gons of $\mathscr{H}$, so that there is in $\mathscr{H}$ an unique $m$-gon on every one of its 2 -claws, then we write $\mathscr{H}$ for $(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ and call $\mathscr{H}$ a strict polygonal graph. If we wish to emphasize the integer $m$, then we call $(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ an $m$-gon-graph (respectively, a strict m-gon-graph).

Examples of polygonal graphs not arising from regular solids are known mainly with girth $m \leqq 6$ and with valency $k \leqq 5$. Fewer examples with $m>6$ or $k>5$ are known, the most notable arising from $J_{1}$, Janko's first simple group ( $m=5$ and $k=11$ ), which in fact can be characterized by this action on a polygonal graph [15]. These examples will be discussed in Section 3. In Section 2 we define the terms used in this paper and prove some basic lemmas about strict polygonal graphs and their automorphism groups.

In Sections 4 and 5 we shall assume that $(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ is a polygonal graph of valency $k \geqq 3$ on a set $\Omega$, with girth $m$, $m$ odd, $m \geqq 5$, and that $G \leqq$ Aut ( $\mathscr{H}$ ) is a group of automorphisms of $\mathscr{H}$ transitive on $\Omega$. We also suppose that for any 2 -claw $(x: y, z), x, y, z \in \Omega$, every involution in $G_{x y z}$ fixes (pointwise) the $m$-gon in $\mathscr{E}$ on $(x: y, z)$, but no other $m$-gon on $(x: y, z)$. This latter hypothesis is automatically satisfied if $\mathscr{H}$ is a strict $m$-gon-graph, and in the case that $G_{x y z}$ has no involutions we interpret this hypothesis to mean that $G_{x y z}$ fixes the $m$-gon in $\mathscr{E}$ on $(x: y, z)$, and no other $m$-gon on ( $x: y, z$ ).

We shall then prove the following two theorems.
Theorem 1. Let $x \in \Omega$. Suppose that for some prime $p$ and integer $n>0$, $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{n}\right) \leqq G_{x}{ }^{\Delta(x)} \leqq P \Gamma L\left(2, p^{n}\right)$ on $p^{n}+1$ points. Then either $k=3$ and $G_{x} \simeq \Sigma_{3}, k=4$ and $G_{x} \simeq A_{4}$ or $\Sigma_{4}, k=5$ and $G_{x} \simeq A_{5}$ or $\Sigma_{5}, k=6$ and $G_{x} \simeq \operatorname{PSL}(2,5)$, or $k=10$ and $G_{x} \simeq \operatorname{PSL}(2,9)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(2,9)\langle\alpha\rangle$, where $\alpha$ is the non-trivial automorphism of the field of 9 elements.

[^0]Theorem 2. If $k$ is odd, $G_{x}$ is 3 -transitive on $\Delta(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$, and $\mathscr{H}$ contains no strict m-gon-graph of valency 3 as a subgraph, then $k=5$ and $G_{x} \simeq A_{5}$.

All the examples of polygonal graphs with $m$ odd from Section 3 (except the Petersen graph) satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1. As for Theorem 2, the only example arising from Section 3 which satisfies its hypotheses is the pentagraph $\mathscr{H}_{31}$ with Aut $\left(\mathscr{H}_{31}\right) \simeq \operatorname{PSL}(2,31)$.

Remark. If we remove the restriction that $m$ be odd, then there are further examples of polygonal graphs satisfying the remaining hypotheses of Theorems 1 and 2. However, I know of no example of a polygonal graph with $k$ and $m$ odd ( $k>3$ ) which does contain a strict $m$-gon-graph of valency 3 as a subgraph (whether or not $G_{x}{ }^{\Delta(x)}$ is 3 -transitive), whereas there are such examples if we allow either $k$ or $m$ (or both) to be even.

Finally it should be remarked that with $m=5$, Theorem 2 provides a characterization of $\operatorname{PSL}(2,31)$ in its action on a 5 -gon-graph. This is done in [16].
2. Notation and preliminary results. All groups and graphs to be considered will be finite, and the graphs will be undirected with no loops or multiple edges.

If $\mathscr{H}$ is a graph on a set $\Omega$ and if $x, y \in \Omega$, we write $x \sim y$ to mean $x$ is adjacent to $y$, i.e. $(x, y)$ is an edge of $\mathscr{H}$. A path of length $n>0$ in $\mathscr{H}$ is a sequence $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of $n+1$ vertices $x_{i} \in \Omega$ such that $x_{i} \backsim x_{i+1}$ for all $i=0, \ldots, n-1$, and $x_{i} \neq x_{i+2}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-2$. The above path is a circuit of length $n$ if $x_{0}=x_{n}$ and $x_{n-1} \neq x_{1}$, in which case we write $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. It is called a simple path (respectively, a simple circuit) if $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ for any $i \neq j, 0 \leqq i, j \leqq n$ (except of course $x_{0}=x_{n}$ in the case of a circuit).

Remark. We shall not distinguish the circuit $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ from the circuits $\left(x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{i}, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{n}, \ldots, x_{i+1}\right)$, for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$; for our purposes these circuits are considered to be the same.

The definitions of connected graphs, distance from $x$ to $y, x, y \in \Omega$, diameter of a graph $\mathscr{H}$, subgraph of $\mathscr{H}$, induced subgraph of $\mathscr{H}$, and connected component of $\mathscr{H}$ are as in [11]. The girth of $\mathscr{H}$ is the minimum of the lengths of all circuits of $\mathscr{H}$.

For all $i \geqq 0$ and $x \in \Omega$, define $\Delta_{i}(x)$ to be the set of all $y \in \Omega$ at distance $i$ from $x$ (where $\Delta_{0}(x)=\{x\}$ ). Of course, if the diameter of $\mathscr{H}$ is $n$, then $\Delta_{i}(x)=\emptyset$ for $i>n$. Often we will just write $\Delta(x)$ for $\Delta_{1}(x)$, the points adjacent to $x$.

An $m$-claw, denoted by $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$, is a subgraph $\overline{\mathscr{H}}$ of $\mathscr{H}$ on the $m+1$ distinct points $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\} \subseteq \Omega$, where $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\} \subseteq \Delta\left(x_{0}\right)$ and there are no further adjacencies between the $x_{i}$ 's in $\overrightarrow{\mathscr{H}}$.

The valency of a vertex $x$ of $\mathscr{H}$ is $|\Delta(x)| . \mathscr{H}$ is said to be regular (of valency $k$ ) if $|\Delta(x)|=k$ for all $x \in \Omega$. If $k=3, \mathscr{H}$ is called a cubic graph, while if
$k=2, \mathscr{H}$ is connected and $|\Omega|=m \geqq 3, \mathscr{H}$ is called an $m$-gon. An $m$-gon, with $m=3,4,5, \ldots$ will be called, respectively, a triangle, rectangle, pentagon,.... The automorphism group of $\mathscr{H}$ will be denoted by Aut $(\mathscr{H})$.

A polygonal graph is a pair $(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ consisting of a graph $\mathscr{H}$ which is regular, connected and has girth $m$ for some $m \geqq 3$, and a set $\mathscr{E}$ of $m$-gons of $\mathscr{H}$ such that every 2 -claw of $\mathscr{H}$ is contained in an unique element of $\mathscr{E}$. If $\mathscr{E}$ is the set of all $m$-gons of $\mathscr{H}$, so that there is in $\mathscr{H}$ an unique $m$-gon on every one of its 2-claws, then we write $\mathscr{H}$ for ( $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ and call $\mathscr{H}$ a strict polygonal graph. If we wish to emphasize the integer $m$, then we call $(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ an $m$-gon-graph (respectively a strict m-gon-graph). For example, if the valency is $k$, then with $k=2$, an $m$-gon-graph is just an $m$-gon, while if $k$ is arbitrary and $m=3$ then $\mathscr{H}$ is just the complete graph on $k+1$ vertices (or the 1 -skeleton of the $k$-dimensional tetrahedron). For this reason we shall assume that $k>2$ and $m>3$. Strict $m$-gon-graphs with $m=4,5,6, \ldots$ will also be called, respectively, rectagraphs, pentagraphs, hexagraphs, . . . .

If $G$ is a group acting on a set $\Omega$, then we shall denote by $x^{\theta}$ the image of $x \in \Omega$ by an element $g \in G . \Omega(g)=\left\{x \in \Omega: x^{g}=x\right\}$ and for $H \subseteq G, \Omega(H)=$ $\cap_{g \in H} \Omega(g)$. If $\Delta \subseteq \Omega, G_{\Delta}=\left\{g \in G: x^{g} \in \Delta\right.$ for all $\left.x \in \Delta\right\}$ is the setwise stabilizer of $\Delta$, and $G_{[\Delta]}=\left\{g \in G: x^{g}=x\right.$ for all $\left.x \in \Delta\right\}$ is the pointwise stabilizer of $\Delta$. If $\Delta=\{x, y, z, \ldots\}$ we also write $G_{x y z} \ldots$ for $G_{[\Delta]} . G_{\Delta}{ }^{\Delta}$ denotes the group of permutations induced by $G_{\Delta}$ on $\Delta$, so that $G_{\Delta}{ }^{\Delta} \simeq G_{\Delta} / G_{[\Delta]}$.

If $G$ is transitive on $\Omega$, the rank of $G$ on $\Omega$ is the number of orbits of $G_{x}$ on $\Omega$; these orbits are called the suborbits. Clearly $\{x\}$ is a suborbit for $G_{x}$, called a trivial suborbit. If $\Delta \subseteq \Omega-\{x\}$ is a non-trivial suborbit for $G_{x}$, then we can construct a graph $\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{H}(\Delta)$ as follows. The vertices of $\mathscr{H}$ are the elements of $\Omega$, and $y \backsim z$ if and only if there is a $g \in G$ with $y^{g}=x$ and $z^{g} \in \Delta$. $\mathscr{H}(\Delta)$ is undirected if and only if there is a $g \in G$ with $x^{g} \in \Delta$ and $g^{2} \in G_{x}$. Clearly since $\Delta$ is a suborbit, $\Delta=\Delta_{1}(x)$. Also $G^{\Omega} \leqq$ Aut $(\mathscr{H})$ and $G_{x}$ is transitive on $\Delta(x)$. We call $\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{H}(\Delta)$ the graph constructed with respect to the suborbit $\Delta$.

If $G \leqq$ Aut $(\mathscr{H})$ is a group of automorphisms of a graph $\mathscr{H}$ with vertex set $\Omega$, if $x \in \Omega$ and $H \leqq G_{x}$, then we denote by $\Omega_{x}(H)$ the set $\Omega(H) \cap \Delta(x)$, i.e. $\Omega_{x}(H)$ is the set of vertices fixed by $g$ at distance $i$ from $x$.

In this paper $\Sigma_{n}, A_{n}, D_{n}$ and $Z_{n}$ will denote respectively, the symmetric group of degree $n$, the alternating group of degree $n$, and the dihedral and cyclic groups of order $n . Q_{8}$ is the quaternion group of order 8. By a (finite) regular nearfield we shall mean a nearfield constructed from a (finite) field as in Theorem 20.7.2 of M. Hall, Jr. [10].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a connected, undirected graph (no loops or multiple edges) and suppose that every 2 -claw of $\mathscr{H}$ is contained in a unique $m$-gon of $\mathscr{H}$. If the girth of $\mathscr{H}$ is $m$ then $\mathscr{H}$ is a strict m-gon-graph.

Proof. All we need to show is that $\mathscr{H}$ is regular. So let $x$ be a vertex of $\mathscr{H}$ of valency $k$, where $k$ is the maximal valency of all vertices of $\mathscr{H}$. Let
$\Delta(x)=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\}$. Suppose that the valency of $y_{1}$ is $l<k$ and let $\Delta\left(y_{1}\right)=$ $\left\{x=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{l}\right\}$.

On each of the 2-claws $\left(x: y_{1}, y_{i}\right), 2 \leqq i \leqq k$, there is in $\mathscr{H}$ a unique $m$-gon $\Pi_{i}$, say. Since there are $l<k$ vertices of $\mathscr{H}$ adjacent to $y_{1}$, some vertex $x_{j}, 2 \leqq j \leqq l$, must occur in at least two of the $m$-gons $\Pi_{i}$. But then there are two $m$-gons in $\mathscr{H}$ on the 2 -claw ( $y_{1}: x, x_{j}$ ), a contradiction.

Thus $y_{1}$ has valency $k$, and since $\mathscr{H}$ is connected, so does every vertex of $\mathscr{H}$. Thus $\mathscr{H}$ is regular.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\mathscr{H}$ is a strict m-gon-graph on $\Omega$ and that $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ are two induced subgraphs of $\mathscr{H}$ which are also strict $m$-gon-graphs, on $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ respectively, where $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ are subsets of $\Omega$ with $\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Then connected components of the subgraph of $\mathscr{H}$ induced by $\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}$ are points, edges, or strict m-gon-graphs.

Proof. Let $C$ be a connected component of $\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}$. If $C$ is not a point or an edge, then $C$ contains 2-claws. On each 2 -claw of $C$ there is an unique $m$-gon II in $\mathscr{H}$. However the 2-claw is in both $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ by definition of $C$, so that II is in $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and in $\mathscr{H}_{2}$, whence $\Pi$ is in $C$. Thus each 2-claw of $C$ is on a (necessarily unique) $m$-gon of $C$.

Now apply Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a graph of girth $m \geqq 3$, and $G \leqq$ Aut ( $\mathscr{H}$ ). Suppose that $(x: y, z)$ is a 2 -claw in $\mathscr{H}$ and $g \in G_{x y z}$. If $g$ fixes the vertices of an $m$-gon of $\mathscr{H}$ on $(x: y, z)$ setwise, then $g$ fixes these vertices pointwise.

Proof. This is obvious, since the girth of $\mathscr{H}$ is $m$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a strict m-gon-graph and $G \leqq$ Aut ( $\mathscr{H}$ ) with $\Omega(G) \neq \emptyset$. Then connected components of the subgraph of $\mathscr{H}$ induced by $\Omega(G)$ are points, edges, or strict m-gon-graphs.

Proof. Let $C$ be a connected component of $\Omega(G)$ which is not a point or an edge. Then for $g \in G, C$ is contained in a connected component $C(g)$ of $\Omega(g)$, and clearly $C=\bigcap_{g \in G} C(g)$.

Now by Lemma 2.3, the unique $m$-gon in $\mathscr{H}$ on any 2 -claw of $C(g)$ is in $C(g)$. Thus by Lemma 2.1, $C(g)$ is a strict $m$-gon-graph whence by Lemma 2.2, $C=\cap_{g \in G} C(g)$ is also a strict $m$-gon-graph.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a strict m-gon-graph and $G \leqq$ Aut ( $\mathscr{H}$ ). For $x$ a vertex of $\mathscr{H}, G_{x}$ is faithful on $\Delta(x)$.

Proof. Let $g \in G_{x}$ and suppose $g$ fixes $\Delta(x)$ pointwise. We show by induction that $g$ fixes $\Delta_{n}(x)$ pointwise for all $n \geqq 2$, and thus, since $\mathscr{H}$ is connected, $g$ fixes $\mathscr{H}$.

So suppose $g$ fixes $\Delta_{i}(x)$ for $i<n$. Let $y \in \Delta_{n}(x)$ and choose $u \in \Delta_{n-1}(x)$, $v \in \Delta_{n-2}(x)$ with $v \backsim u \backsim y$. Let $\Pi=(y, u, v, w, \ldots)$ be the unique $m$-gon on the 2 -claw $(u: v, y$ ). Then $\Pi$ is also the unique $m$-gon on the 2 -claw ( $v: u, w$ )
and since $w \in \Delta_{i}(x)$ for some $i<n, g$ fixes this latter 2 -claw, and hence $\Pi$, pointwise by Lemma 2.3. Thus $g$ fixes $y$. Since $y$ was arbitrary, $g$ fixes $\Delta_{n}(x)$.
So $g$ fixes every vertex of $\mathscr{H}$, and thus $g=1$.
Remark. Lemma 2.5 is false for general (non-strict) polygonal graphs: a counterexample is given by the Petersen graph and its full automorphism group (see Section 3).

The following $t$-transitive version of a theorem of Jordan (see [18], Theorem 3.7) is given without proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let the group $G$ act $t$-transitively on the set $\Omega$. Let $S$ be a Sylow subgroup of the stabilizer of some $t$ points of $\Omega$. Then $N_{G}(S)$ is $t$-transitive on $\Omega(S)$.

We conclude this section by mentioning that $m$-gon-graphs give rise to incidence structures belonging to the diagram $\cdot(m) \cdot c$. of F. Buekenhout [2].
3. Examples of polygonal graphs. In all the following examples, $k>2$ will denote the valency of the polygonal graph ( $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E}$ ), and $m>3$ its girth.

The most obvious examples of polygonal graphs are those which arise from regular solids. In particular the points and edges of the regular cube in $k$ dimensional real Euclidean space gives rise to a rectagraph $\mathscr{H}(k)$ of valency $k$ on $2^{k}$ vertices, which contains, as subgraphs, the rectagraphs $\mathscr{H}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ for any $2 \leqq k^{\prime} \leqq k$. Aut ( $\left.\mathscr{H}(k)\right)$ is isomorphic with the wreath product $Z_{2}\left\langle\Sigma_{k}\right.$ of order $2^{k} . k$ ! afforded by the obvious action of $\Sigma_{k}$ on $Z_{2}{ }^{k}$.
Another example of a rectagraph of valency $k \geqq 5$ can be obtained from the above rectagraph $\mathscr{H}(k)$ by identifying antipodal points. The resulting quotient graph on $2^{k-1}$ vertices is a rectagraph with automorphism group isomorphic with $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{H}(k)) / Z\left(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{H}(k))\right.$ of order $2^{k-1} . k$ !
There are two pentagraphs arising from regular solids. One of valency 3 on 20 vertices consists of the points and edges of the dodecahedron. For convenience this will be called the dodecahedral graph. Its automorphism group is isomorphic with $A_{5} \times Z_{2}$, and has point stabilizers isomorphic with $\Sigma_{3}$.
The other pentagraph consists of the points and edges of the 4 -dimensional polytope known as the 120 -cell (see [6] and [14]). This is a regular solid in 4 -dimensional real space which has 120 dodecahedra as its 3 -dimensional "faces" or "cells". The corresponding pentagraph of valency $k=4$ has 600 vertices, and on each 3 -claw contains a (unique) dodecahedral subgraph. Its automorphism group is isomorphic with $H / Z(H)$, where $H=S L(2,5)\} Z_{2}$, and has point stabilizers isomorphic with $\Sigma_{4}$.

Other examples of $m$-gon-graphs are known. With $k=3$ and $m=5$ we have the Petersen graph (see for example [11]) which is a (non-strict) 5-gongraph on 10 points and has automorphism group isomorphic with $\Sigma_{5}$. For the
distinguished set $\mathscr{E}$ of pentagons take any pentagon and its images under the subgroup of the automorphism group isomorphic with $A_{5}$. Examples with $k=3$ and $m=6,7,8$ and 9 exist, most of which come from regular maps (see [5], Chapter 8). For a more detailed discussion of these, and their groups, see [17].

An example of a rectagraph of valency 4 on 14 vertices is given by the incidence graph of the unique 2- $(7,4,2)$ design (see for example [4], Theorem $4.5)$. This graph has automorphism group isomorphic with $\operatorname{PGL}(2,7)$ and the stabilizer of a vertex is isomorphic with $\Sigma_{4}$.

The action of $P G L(2,11)$ on the right cosets of a subgroup isomorphic with $A_{5}$ and defining a graph with respect to the suborbit of length 5 gives an example of a rectagraph $\mathscr{H}_{11}^{\prime}$ of valency 5 . Similar constructions with the actions of $\operatorname{PSL}(2,31)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2,41)$ on right cosets of subgroups isomorphic with $A_{5}$ yield examples of a pentagraph $\mathscr{H}_{31}$ and heptagraph $\mathscr{H}_{41}$, respectively, of valency 5 . It can be shown that $\mathscr{H}_{11}{ }^{\prime}$ does not contain a subgraph isomorphic with the rectagraph $\mathscr{H}(3)$, and $\mathscr{H}_{31}$ does not contain a subgraph isomorphic with the dodecahedral graph mentioned before; however it is not known whether or not $\mathscr{H}_{41}$ contains a heptagraph of valency 3 as a subgraph. The significance of this can be seen from Theorem 2. Also, Aut $\left(\mathscr{H}_{11}{ }^{\prime}\right) \simeq$ $\operatorname{PGL}(2,11)$, Aut $\left(\mathscr{H}_{31}\right) \simeq \operatorname{PSL}(2,31)$ and $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{41}\right): \operatorname{PSL}(2,41)\right| \leqq 2$. In these three examples the sets $\mathscr{E}$ of simple circuits of minimal length are the fixed points of elements of order three in the actions of the respective groups on the respective cosets.

Remark. Let $q=p^{n}$ be a prime power, $3 \nmid q$ and $q^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 80)$. Let $G_{q}=\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ and consider $G_{q}$ acting on the set $\Omega$ of right cosets of a subgroup isomorphic with $A_{5}($ such exists since $q \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 5))$. Let $\mathscr{H}_{q}$ be the graph defined with respect to the suborbit of length 5 (which exists since $q \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 8))$. Let $l$ be the length of a (simple) circuit of fixed points in $\Omega$ of an element of order three in $G_{q}$. Then the following can be shown (see [17]):
(a) If $q \equiv(-1)^{\epsilon}(\bmod 3)$, then $l \mid\left(q-(-1)^{\epsilon}\right) / 6, \epsilon=0$ or 1 .
(b) Define sequences $\left\{a_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{m}\right\} \quad(m \geqq 1)$ by $a_{m+2}=a_{m+1}-4 a_{m}$, $a_{1}=1, a_{2}=3$, and $b_{m+2}=b_{m+1}-4 b_{m}, b_{1}=1, b_{2}=1$. Then if $l$ is odd, $p \left\lvert\, a_{\frac{1}{2}(l+1)}\right.$ or $p \left\lvert\, a_{\frac{1}{2}(3 l+1)}\right.$, while if $l$ is even, $p \mid b_{l / 2}$ or $p \mid b_{3 l / 2}$.

Then if it can be shown that the girth of $\mathscr{H}_{q}$ is $l$, connected components of $\mathscr{H}_{q}$ will be $l$-gon-graphs of valency 5 . This has not as yet been done except for $p=11,31$ and 41 ( $l=4,5$ and 7 , respectively).

A 5 -gon-graph, which is not a pentagraph, of valency 6 can be obtained from the action of the group $G=\operatorname{PSL}(2,19)$ on the right cosets of an $A_{5}$ subgroup, and defining $\mathscr{H}$ with respect to a suborbit of length 6 . Here the set $\mathscr{E}$ is the set of pentagons fixed pointwise by involutions of $G$. Another 5 -gon-graph (of valency 11) can be obtained from the action of the group $J_{1}$, Janko's first simple group, on the cosets of a subgroup isomorphic with $\operatorname{PSL}(2,11)$, and
defining the graph $\mathscr{H}$ with respect to the suborbit of length 11 . The set $\mathscr{E}$ is the set of pentagons fixed pointwise by subgroups isomorphic with $\Sigma_{3}$. This example is discussed in more detail in [15] where $J_{1}$ is characterized in terms of this action.
4. Proof of theorem 1. For the remainder of this paper, we shall be assuming that ( $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{E})$ is an $m$-gon-graph of valency $k \geqq 3$ on a set $\Omega$, with $m$ odd, $m \geqq 5$, and that $G \leqq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{H})$ is a group of automorphisms of $\mathscr{H}$ transitive on $\Omega$. We also suppose that for any 2 -claw $(x: y, z), x, y, z \in \Omega$, every involution in $G_{x y z}$ fixes (pointwise) the $m$-gon in $\mathscr{E}$ on ( $x: y, z$ ), but no other $m$-gon on ( $x: y, z$ ). Note that this latter hypothesis is automatically satisfied if $\mathscr{H}$ is a strict $m$-gon-graph (even if $m$ is even), and in the case that $G_{x y z}$ has no involutions we interpret this hypothesis to mean that $G_{x y z}$ fixes the $m$-gon in $\mathscr{E}$ on $(x: y, z)$, and no other $m$-gon on ( $x: y, z$ ).

Lemma 4.1. If $\Pi \in \mathscr{E}$ is the $m$-gon containing $(x: y, z)$ then $G_{x y z}$ fixes $\Pi$ pointwise (and no other m-gon on $(x: y, z)$ ).

Proof. Let $H=\left\langle t: t\right.$ an involution in $\left.G_{x y z}\right\rangle . H$ char $G_{x y z}$, so $G_{x y z}$ acts on the fixed points of $H$. But $H$ fixes II and no other $m$-gon on ( $x: y, z$ ), so $G_{x y z}$ also fixes $\Pi$.

Lemma 4.2. $G_{x}$ is faithful on $\Delta(x)$.
Proof. Suppose $g \in G_{x}$ fixes $\Delta(x)$ pointwise. Assume $g$ fixes $\Delta_{i}(x)$ for all $i<n$. We show $g$ fixes $\Delta_{n}(x)$, whence by induction $g$ fixes $\mathscr{H}$ (since $\mathscr{H}$ is connected), which implies that $g=1$.

Take $y \in \Delta_{n}(x), u \in \Delta_{n-1}(x), v \in \Delta_{n-2}(x)$ with $v \backsim u \sim y$. Let $\Pi=$ $(y, u, v, w, \ldots)$ be the element of $\mathscr{E}$ on $(u: v, y)$. Then II is also the $m$-gon in $\mathscr{E}$ containing ( $v: u, w$ ), so by Lemma $4.1, \Pi$ is fixed by $G_{u v w}$. But by the inductive hypothesis $g \in G_{u v w}$ because $w \in \Delta_{i}(x)$ for some $i \leqq n-1$. So $g$ fixes $\Pi$, whence $g$ fixes $y$. Since $y$ was arbitrary in $\Delta_{n}(x)$, this completes the proof.

Lemma 4.3. Let $X$ be a 2-transitive Frobenius group, V the Frobenius kernel, $\sigma$ an involutory automorphism of $X$ such that $M^{\sigma}=M$ for some complement $M \leqq X$.
(i) If $\sigma$ is inner, then $\sigma$ centralizes $M$.
(ii) If $\sigma$ is outer, then there is a nearfield $(N,+, \circ)$ with $V \simeq(N,+)$, $M \simeq(N-\{0\}, \circ)$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(N)$.

Proof. (For properties of Frobenius groups used here see [8], Theorems 2.7.6 and 10.3.1).
(i) Suppose $\sigma$ is inner. Then there is $x \in X$ so that conjugation by $x$ induces $\sigma$ on $X$. Since $X=M V, x=m v$, say, with $m \in M$ and $v \in V$. Since $M^{\sigma}=M$, we have for all $n \in M, n^{x} \in M$, so $n^{x}=n^{m v}=n^{m}\left(v^{n m}\right)^{-1} v \in M$. Thus $v^{n m}=v$ for all $n \in M$. Thus $n^{m} \in C_{M}(v)$ for some $n \neq 1$ and so $v=1$.

Now $\sigma^{2}=1$, so $x^{2} \in Z(X)=1$ and thus $m^{2}=1$. Hence $x=m$ is the unique involution in $M$, so $x \in Z(M)$ and $\sigma$ centralizes $M$.
(ii) Suppose $\sigma$ is outer. We claim that $\sigma$ fixes an element $u \neq 1$ of $V$. If $|V|$ is even, since $V^{\sigma}=V$ and $\sigma$ fixes $1 \in V, \sigma$ must fix some $u \neq 1$ in $V$.

So suppose $|V|$ is odd and $\sigma$ fixes no $u \neq 1$ in $V . V$ is abelian (see, for example, [10], Section 20.7). Then $\sigma$ fixes $v v^{\sigma}$ for all $v \in V$, so $v v^{\sigma}=1$, and hence $v^{\sigma}=v^{-1}$, for all $v \in V$. Thus for any $m \in M, v^{\sigma m}=\left(v^{m}\right)^{-1}$. Also $v^{m} \in V$, so $\left(v^{m}\right)^{\sigma}=\left(v^{m}\right)^{-1}$.

Thus $\left(v^{m}\right)^{\sigma}=v^{\sigma m^{\sigma}}=v^{\sigma m}$. Hence $m^{\sigma} m^{-1} \in C_{M}\left(v^{\sigma}\right)$, since $m^{\sigma} \in M$, so that for $v \neq 1, C_{M}\left(v^{\sigma}\right)=1$ gives $m^{\sigma}=m$. This is true for all $m \in M$, so $\sigma$ fixes $M$ elementwise. Now clearly if $t$ is the unique involution in $M$ (which exists since $|M|=|V|-1$ is even) then $m^{t}=m$ for all $m \in M$ and $v^{t}=v^{-1}$ for all $v \in V$, so that conjugation by $t$ induces $\sigma$ on $X$. This contradicts $\sigma$ being outer.

## So there is a $u \in V$ with $u^{\sigma}=u \neq 1$.

Now define multiplication $\circ$ on $V$ as follows: $1 \circ v=v \circ 1=1$ for all $v \in V$. If $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V-\{1\}$, then there are unique elements $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M$ with $v_{1}=u^{m_{1}}$ and $v_{2}=u^{m_{2}}$. Define $v_{1} \circ v_{2}=u^{m_{1} m_{2}}$. Clearly this makes $V$ into a nearfield $N$ with operations + and $\circ$, where + is the multiplication of $V$ in $X$, 1 is the + identity (so denote it by $O_{N}$ ), and $u$ is the o identity (so denote it by $1_{N}$ ). Since $\left(u^{m}\right)^{\sigma}=u^{\sigma m^{\sigma}}=u^{m^{\sigma}}$, it is now an easy matter to show that $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $N$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $Y$ be a rank 3 Frobenius group contained in a 2-transitive Frobenius group $X$ with kernel $V$. Let $\sigma$ be an involutory automorphism of $Y$ such that $\hat{M}^{\sigma}=\hat{M}$ for some complement $\hat{M} \leqq Y$. Let $M$ be a complement in $X$ with $\hat{M}<M$.
(i) If $\sigma$ is induced by an inner automorphism of $X$, then $\sigma$ centralizes $\hat{M}$.
(ii) If $\sigma$ is not induced by an inner automorphism of $X$, then we again get the conclusion of Lemma 4.3(ii).

Proof. (i) The proof of Lemma 4.3(i) goes through with minor changes.
(ii) Necessarily, $|V|$ is odd. The proof of Lemma 4.3(ii) again goes through with some minor changes to show that there is an element $u \in V$ with $u^{\sigma}=u \neq 1$, so we get the nearfield $N$ as before. It remains to show that $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(N)$.

Let $S=\left\{u^{m}: m \in \hat{M}\right\}$, so that $|S|=\frac{1}{2}(|V|-1)$. Suppose $1 \neq v \in V-S$. Now $1 \notin S \cup S^{-1} v$ and also $v \notin S \cup S^{-1} v$, where $S^{-1}=\left\{s^{-1}: s \in S\right\}$. Thus $\left|S \cup S^{-1} v\right| \leqq|V|-2$ and hence $S \cap S^{-1} v \neq \emptyset$. Thus there are $s, t \in S$ such that $t=s^{-1} v$, i.e., $v=s t$.

Hence for all $v \in V$, either $v=1, v \in S$, or $v=s t$ for $s, t \in S$. Now since $\hat{M}^{\sigma}=\hat{M},\left(u^{m}\right)^{\sigma}=u^{m^{\sigma}}$ implies that $S$ is fixed by $\sigma$, i.e. $S^{\sigma}=S$. Further, for $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \hat{M}$,

$$
\left(u^{m_{1}} \circ u^{m_{2}}\right)^{\sigma}=\left(u^{m_{1} m_{2}}\right)^{\sigma}=u^{m_{1} \sigma_{2} m_{2}^{\sigma}}=u^{m_{1} \sigma} \circ u^{m_{2} \sigma}=\left(u^{m_{1}}\right)^{\sigma} \circ\left(u^{m_{2}}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

implies that $(s \circ t)^{\sigma}=s^{\sigma} \circ t^{\sigma}$ for all $s, t \in S$.

It is now an easy calculation to see that $(v \circ w)^{\sigma}=v^{\sigma} \circ w^{\sigma}$ for all $v, w \in V$ and thus $\sigma \in$ Aut ( $N$ ).

Lemma 4.5. Let $H$ be the multiplicative group of the field $N=G F\left(p^{n}\right)$ of order $p^{n}, p$ a prime and $n \geqq 1$, and let $A \leqq$ Aut $(N)$ be a subgroup of the automorphism group of $N$. Then $H$ is characteristic in $G=A H$.

Proof. We show that $H$ is the unique cyclic subgroup of $G$ of order $p^{n}-1$. So suppose $K \neq H$ is cyclic of order $p^{n}-1$, and let $K=\langle k\rangle$, with $k=\sigma h$ for some $\sigma \in A, h \in H$. Suppose $|\sigma|=a$, with $1<a \mid n$. (The result is clear for $n=1$.) $k^{2}=\sigma h \sigma h=\sigma^{2} h^{\sigma} h$. Similarly,

$$
k^{a}=\sigma^{a} h^{\sigma^{a-1}} h^{\sigma^{a-2}} \ldots h^{\sigma} h=h^{\sigma a-1} \ldots h^{\sigma} h \in H .
$$

Thus $\left(k^{a}\right)^{\sigma}=k^{a}$ since $H$ is abelian, so $k^{a} \in C_{H}(\sigma)$. But $\left|C_{H}(\sigma)\right|=p^{n / a}-1$, so $k^{a\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)}=1$, and so $a\left(p^{n / a}-1\right) \equiv 0\left(p^{n}-1\right)$. Now

$$
p^{n}-1=\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)\left[\left(p^{n / a}\right)^{a-1}+\left(p^{n / a}\right)^{a-2}+\ldots+p^{n / a}+1\right]
$$

$$
>a\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)
$$

This contradiction proves the lemma.
Lemma 4.6. Let $n$ be an even integer and $p$ an odd prime. Let $N$ be the regular nearfield of order $p^{n}$ with center isomorphic to the field of $p^{n / 2}$ elements. Let $H$ be the multiplicative group of $N$ and $A \leqq$ Aut $(N)$ with $|A|$ odd. Then $H$ is characteristic in $G=A H$.

Proof. First suppose $p^{n} \neq 9$. Suppose that $N$ is constructed from the field $G F\left(p^{n}\right)$ of order $p^{n}$. Then it can be deduced from [13] that Aut $(N) \simeq$ Aut $\left(G F\left(p^{n}\right)\right.$ ). Let $U$ be the set of squares in $G F\left(p^{n}\right)-\{0\}$, so that $U \leqq H$ and $|H: U|=2$. We claim that it suffices to prove that $U^{\alpha} \leqq H$ for any $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, for if $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ and $U^{\alpha} \leqq H$, suppose $H^{\alpha} \neq H$. Then $H, H^{\alpha} \triangleleft G$ and $U^{\alpha}=H \cap H^{\alpha}$. Further

$$
\left|H^{\alpha} H: H\right|=\left|H^{\alpha}: H \cap H^{\alpha}\right|=2,
$$

which contradicts the fact that $|G: H|=|A|$ is odd.
Now $U$ is a cyclic subgroup of order $\left(p^{n}-1\right) / 2$, so that we will be done if we show that every cyclic subgroup of $G$ of order $|U|$ lies in $H$. So suppose $V$ is a cyclic subgroup of $G$ of order $\left(p^{n}-1\right) / 2$ and $V \nsubseteq H$. Then $V=\langle k\rangle$, say, where $k=\sigma h, \sigma \in A$ and $h \in H$. Suppose $|\sigma|=a$, odd with $a \geqq 3 . k^{a}=$ $h^{\sigma^{a-1}} h^{\sigma a-2} \ldots h^{\sigma} h$. Now $h \in U$ if and only if $h^{\sigma^{i}} \in U$ for any $i$. Thus

$$
h^{\sigma^{i}} h^{\sigma^{i-1}} \in U \text { for all } i
$$

Thus

$$
k^{2 a}=h^{\sigma^{a-1}} h^{\sigma^{a-2}} \ldots h^{\sigma} h h^{h^{a-1}} \ldots h^{\sigma} h \in U .
$$

Further,

$$
\left(k^{2 a}\right)^{\sigma^{2}}=h^{\sigma} h \ldots h^{\sigma^{3}} h^{\sigma^{2}} h^{\sigma} \ldots h^{\sigma^{3}} h^{\sigma^{2}}=k^{2 a}
$$

since $U$ is abelian, so $k^{2 a} \in C_{U}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$. Thus $k^{2 a} \in C_{U}(\sigma)$, since $\langle\sigma\rangle=\left\langle\sigma^{2}\right\rangle$ as $a$ is odd. But

$$
\left|C_{H}(\sigma)\right|=p^{n / a}-1 \text { and }\left|C_{U}(\sigma)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{n / a}-1\right),
$$

since

$$
\left(p^{n}-1\right) /\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)=\left[\left(p^{n / a}\right)^{a-1}+\ldots+p^{n / a}+1\right] \equiv 1(\bmod 2)
$$

so that $C_{H}(\sigma) \nsubseteq U$.
Hence $k^{a\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)}=1$ and so $a\left(p^{n / a}-1\right) \equiv 0\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{n}-1\right)\right)$. But

$$
p^{n}-1=\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)\left[\left(p^{n / a}\right)^{a-1}+\ldots+p^{n / a}+1\right]
$$

so that since $p \geqq 3$ and $n / a \geqq 2$ we have

$$
p^{n}-1 \geqq\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)[9(a-1)+1]=\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)(9 a-8)
$$

$$
>2 a\left(p^{n / a}-1\right)
$$

since $a>2$, a contradiction. Thus $a=1$ and $\sigma=1$. But then $V \leqq H$ and we are done in this case.

Now suppose $p^{n}=9$. Then the regular nearfield of order 9 has an automorphism of order 3 ([7],5.2.2), but in this case $|H|=8$ and so $H$ is the characteristic Sylow 2-subgroup of $G=A H$.

Hence the lemma is proved.
Remark. It can be shown that if $N$ is the regular nearfield of order $q^{2}, q$ a prime power, with center the field of $q$ elements, then except for the case $q=3$, the cyclic subgroup $U$ of order $\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 2$ of the multiplicative group $N^{*}$ of $N$ is in fact the unique cyclic subgroup of $N^{*}$ of order $\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 2$. This is not true for $q=3$.

Corollary 4.1. With the hypotheses of Lemma 4.5, $H$ is the unique subgroup of $G$ isomorphic with $H$.

Proof. This is what was proven in the proof of Lemma 4.5.
Corollary 4.2. With the hypotheses of Lemma 4.6, $H$ is the unique subgroup of $G$ isomorphic with $H$.

Proof. This is clear, since if $H_{1} \simeq H$, then either $H_{1}=H$ or from what was proven in Lemma 4.6, $\left|H H_{1}: H\right|=2$, a contradiction.

Corollary 4.3. Let $N, H$ and $A$ be as in the hypotheses of Lemma 4.5 or Lemma 4.6. Let $V$ be the additive group of $N$. Suppose $A^{\prime} H^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $A H V$ such that $A^{\prime} \simeq A, H^{\prime} \simeq H$ and $A^{\prime} H^{\prime} \simeq A H$. Then $H^{\prime}$ is conjugate in $A H V$ to $H$.

Proof. Write $A=B \times C$ where $\pi(|B|)=\pi($ g.c.d. $(|A|,|H|))$, and $A^{\prime}=$ $B^{\prime} \times C^{\prime}$ where $\left|B^{\prime}\right|=|B|$. Now $A H V$ is solvable and both $B H$ and $B^{\prime} H^{\prime}$ are Hall $\pi(|B H|)$ subgroups of $A H V$. All such are conjugate ([8], Theorem 6.4.1),
and so $\left(B^{\prime} H^{\prime}\right)^{g}=B H$, for some $g \in A H V$. But then $\left(H^{\prime}\right)^{g} \leqq B H$, so that by Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2, applied with $B$ in place of $A,\left(H^{\prime}\right)^{g}=H$.

Remark. We mention without proof that the direct analogues of Lemma 4.5 and Corollaries 4.1 and 4.3 , with $H$ the squares of the multiplicative group of a field with $p^{n}$ elements, hold true.

Let $x \in \Omega$ and $u, v \in \Delta(x)$. Let $\Pi \in \mathscr{E}$ be the unique $m$-gon in $\mathscr{E}$ on $(x: u, v)$. Let $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ be the two points in $\Pi$ at a distance $(m-1) / 2$ from $x$. We now have three cases to consider.

Case 1. $P G L\left(2, p^{n}\right) \leqq G_{x}{ }^{\Delta(x)}=G_{x}$, by Lemma 4.2, and $G_{x}$ is 3 -transitive on $\Delta(x) . G_{x u v}$ fixes II and hence $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$, so $G_{x u v} \leqq G_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$. Now $G_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$ has a characteristic subgroup $V$ of order $p^{n}$, and since no non-identity element of $V$ fixes more than the one point $v^{\prime}$ of $\Delta\left(u^{\prime}\right), G_{x u v} \cap V=\{1\}$ and $G_{x u v}$ is a complement to $V$ in $G_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$. Also $G_{x u v}=A H$ where $A \simeq G_{x u v w}$ for some $w \in \Delta(x)$, and by Corollary 4.3, $H V$ is a Frobenius group of order $p^{n}\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ with $H$ isomorphic to the multiplicative group of a field of order $p^{n}$, and $A$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of this field. Hence by Lemma 4.5, $H$ is characteristic in $G_{x u v}$. There is an involution $\sigma \in G_{x}$ which interchanges $u$ and $v$, hence normalizes $G_{x u}$, and since $\Pi$ is the unique $m$-gon on ( $x: u, v$ ) fixed by $G_{x u v}, \sigma$ acts on $\Pi$ and hence also interchanges $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$.

So $\sigma$ normalizes $H$ and $V$, and thus $H V$. Furthermore, unless $p^{n}=2$ or 3 , we can choose $\sigma$ so that it inverts (but does not centralize) $H$ (for example, we can choose $\sigma \in \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{n}\right)$ ). So if $p^{n} \neq 2$ or 3 , then by Lemma 4.3(i) $\sigma$ is not an inner automorphism of $H V$, whence by Lemma 4.3(ii) $\sigma$ is an involutory field automorphism on a field $N$ of $p^{n}$ elements. Thus $p^{n}=r^{2}$ and therefore $\tau^{\sigma}=\tau^{\tau}=\tau^{-1}$ for all $\tau \in N-\{0\}$. Therefore $\tau^{\tau+1}=1$ for all $\tau \in N-\{0\}$, so $\left(r^{2}-1\right) \mid r+1$, from which we get $r=2$. Thus $p^{n}=4$. Hence in this case we get either $p=2, n=1$, or $p=3, n=1$, or $p=2, n=2$.

Thus either

$$
\begin{aligned}
k=3 \text { and } G_{x} & =P G L(2,2) \simeq \Sigma_{3}, \text { or } \\
k=4 \text { and } G_{x} & =P G L(2,3) \simeq \Sigma_{4}, \text { or } \\
k=5 \text { and } G_{x} & =P G L(2,4) \simeq A_{5}, \\
\text { or } G_{x} & =P \Gamma L(2,4) \simeq \Sigma_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. $G_{x}$ is 3 -transitive on $\Delta(x)$, but $G_{x} \geq P G L\left(2, p^{n}\right)$, so that $n$ is even and $p$ is odd. First suppose that $p^{n} \neq 9$. As in Case 1, we have that $G_{x u v} \leqq$ $G_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$, and again $G_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}$ has a characteristic subgroup $V$ of order $p^{n}$ which is complemented by $G_{x u v}$. Now $G_{x u v}=A H$ where $A \simeq G_{x u v v}$, and by Corollary 4.3, $H V$ is a Frobenius group of order $p^{n}\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ with $H$ isomorphic to the multiplicative group of a regular nearfield of order $p^{n}$ (whose center is isomorphic to the field of $p^{n / 2}$ elements), and $A$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of this nearfield. (Note that $|A|$ is odd, or else $G_{x}$ would contain $P G L\left(2, p^{n}\right)$.) Hence by Lemma $4.6, H$ is characteristic in $G_{x u v}$.

Again, there is an involution $\sigma \in G_{x}$ which interchanges $u$ and $v$, and also $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$. So $\sigma$ normalizes $H$ and $V$, and thus $H V$. Furthermore $\sigma$ does not centralize $H$. Thus by Lemma 4.3(ii), $\sigma$ is an involutory nearfield automorphism on a nearfield $N$ of $p^{n}$ elements, with center $Z(N)$ isomorphic to the field $F$ of $p^{n / 2}$ elements. However we can again choose $\sigma$ so that it inverts the center of $H$, and thus inverts the center of $N-\{0\}$ which is $F-\{0\}$, and also $\sigma$ centralizes $F$ (as $F$ is the fixed field of $\sigma$ ). Thus $\tau^{\sigma}=\tau=\tau^{-1}$ for all $\tau \in F-$ $\{0\}$, and so $\tau^{2}=1$ for all $\tau \in F-\{0\}$. Hence $p^{n / 2}=3$, and so $p^{n}=9$, a contradiction.

Now suppose $p^{n}=9$. Then we may regard $G_{x}$ as the following subgroup of $P \Gamma L(2,9)$ acting on 10 points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{x}=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), \alpha\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right): a d-b c \text { a square in } G F(9), a^{\prime} d^{\prime}-b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right. \\
&\text { a non-square in } G F(9), \text { and } 1 \neq \alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G F(9))\rangle \leqq P \Gamma L(2,9) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G F(9)=\left\{a+i b: a, b \in G F(3), i^{2}=-1\right\}$. The squares in $G F(9)$ are $\{ \pm 1, \pm i\}=S$, say, and $i^{\alpha}=i^{3}=-i$. Define a binary operation on $\operatorname{GF}(9)$ by

$$
w \circ u= \begin{cases}w u & \text { if } u \in S, \\ w^{3} u & \text { if } u \notin S .\end{cases}
$$

Then $N=(G F(9),+, \circ)$ is the regular nearfield of 9 elements, and Aut $(N)$ $\simeq \Sigma_{3}([7], 5.2 .2)$.

Without loss of generality,

$$
G_{x u v}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right), \alpha\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & a^{\prime}
\end{array}\right): a \in S, a^{\prime} \notin S\right\}
$$

Let $\tau$ be the following map on $N$ :

$$
\tau:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \mapsto 0 \\
\pm 1 \mapsto \pm 1 \\
\pm i \mapsto \pm(i-1) \mapsto \pm(i+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(N),|\tau|=3$, and $\operatorname{Aut}(N)=\langle\alpha, \tau\rangle$. For the element $\sigma \in G_{x}$ which interchanges $u$ and $v$, and also $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$, choose $\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{rr}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then

$$
\left[\alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & i+1
\end{array}\right)\right]^{\sigma}=\alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i+1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \equiv \alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & i-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

So $\sigma$ does not centralize $G_{x u v}$.
Further, $(i+1)^{\alpha}=i^{3}+1=-i+1 ;(i+1)^{\alpha \tau}=-i-1$; and

$$
(i+1)^{\alpha \tau^{2}}=-i
$$

Thus no involution of Aut $(N)$ agrees with $\sigma$ on $\alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & i+1\end{array}\right)$, contradicting Lemma 4.3. Thus Case 2 does not occur.

Case 3. $G_{x}=P S L\left(2, p^{n}\right)\langle\alpha\rangle,\langle\alpha\rangle \leqq \operatorname{Aut} G F\left(p^{n}\right)$, and $p$ odd. We proceed exactly as in Case 1, observing that $H$ is isomorphic to the squares in the multiplicative group of a field of $p^{n}$ elements and $A \simeq\langle\alpha\rangle$, using the remark after Corollary 4.3, and using Lemma 4.4 in place of Lemma 4.3.

Then if $p^{n} \neq 3$ or $5, \sigma$ inverts but does not centralize $H$ and so

$$
\left(\tau^{2}\right)^{\sigma}=\left(\tau^{2}\right)^{p^{n / 2}}=\tau^{-2}
$$

for all $\tau \in N-\{0\}, N$ a field of $p^{n}$ elements.
This gives $\left(p^{n}-1\right) \mid 2 p^{n / 2}+2$, whence $p^{n}=9$. Thus either

$$
\begin{aligned}
k=4 \quad \text { and } G_{x} & =\operatorname{PSL}(2,3) \simeq A_{4}, \text { or } \\
k=6 \text { and } G_{x} & =\operatorname{PSL}(2,5), \text { or } \\
k=10 \text { and } G_{x} & =\operatorname{PSL}(2,9), \\
\text { or } G_{x} & =\operatorname{PSL}(2,9)\langle\alpha\rangle, 1 \neq \alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G F(9)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Proof of theorem 2. Suppose Theorem 2 is false and from the set of pairs $(\mathscr{H}, G)$ of polygonal graphs $\mathscr{H}$ and groups $G \leqq$ Aut ( $\mathscr{H}$ ) satisfying the hypotheses, choose a counterexample with $|\Omega|$ a minimum, and $|G|$ a minimum.

By Lemma 4.2, $k \neq 3$ and if $k=5$ then $G_{x} \simeq \Sigma_{5}$. But then by Lemma 5.1, which follows below, if $u, v, w \in \Delta(x), G_{x u v w}$ has order 2 and on $\Omega\left(G_{x u v w}\right)$ there will be a subgraph of valency 3 which is a strict $m$-gon-graph, contradicting the hypotheses of the theorem. Thus $k>5$.

So choose $x \in \Omega$ and $u, v, w \in \Delta(x)$. Let $K=G_{x u v w}$. If $K=1$, then $G_{x}$ is sharply 3 -transitive on $\Delta(x)$, so by [9], Theorem 1 applies and we get a contradiction. So we may assume that $K \neq 1$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $L$ be $K$ or a 2-subgroup of $K$. Then connected components of the induced subgraph of $\mathscr{H}$ whose points are $\Omega(L)$ are regular, and if such a connected component has valency $\geqq 2$ it is a strict m-gon-graph.

Proof. Take a connected component $\Gamma$ of $\Omega(L)$. From the points of $\Gamma$ pick one, $y$ say, whose valency $n$ in $\Gamma$ is maximal, and let $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}=\Omega_{y}(L)$. We claim that the valency of each $y_{i}$ is $n$ (in $\Gamma$ ).

Suppose on the contrary that $y_{1}$, say, has valency $l<n$ in $\Gamma$, and let $\Omega_{y_{1}}(L)=\left\{y, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{i}\right\}$. Since there are no triangles in $\mathscr{H},\left(y: y_{1}, y_{i}\right)$ is a 2 -claw for $2 \leqq i \leqq n$, so let $\Pi_{i}$ be the unique element of $\mathscr{E}$ on $\left(y: y_{1}, y_{i}\right)$. Then $L$ fixes each $\Pi_{i}$ pointwise, so each $\Pi_{i}$ is in fact in $\Gamma$. Thus the points not equal to $y$ in $\Pi_{i}(2 \leqq i \leqq n)$ which are adjacent to $y_{1}$ must lie in $\Omega_{y_{1}}(L)$. Since $l<n$, some $z_{j}(2 \leqq j \leqq l)$ occurs in at least two of the $\Pi_{i}$, both of which would then contain the 2 -claw ( $y_{1}: y, z_{j}$ ), which contradicts the hypotheses on the set $\mathscr{E}$. This proves the lemma.

Let $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(K)$ (possibly $S=1$ ), and let $N=N_{G}(S)$. Let $\Gamma$ be that connected component of the induced subgraph on $\Omega(S)$ containing $x, u, v$ and $w$, so that by Lemma $5.1, \Gamma$ is a strict $m$-gon-graph of valency $l \geqq 4$. Since $k$ is odd, so is $l$.

By Lemma 2.6, $N_{x}=N_{G_{x}}(S)$ is 3-transitive on $\Omega_{x}(S)$.
Now let $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}$ be the elements of $\mathscr{E}$ on $(x: u, v)$ and $(x: u, w)$ respectively. Let $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ be the points in $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ other than $x$ which are adjacent to $u$. Since $G$ is transitive on ordered 3-claws, there is a $g \in G$ with $(x: u, v, w)^{o}=$ ( $u: x, v_{1}, w_{1}$ ) and $u^{g}=x, v^{g}=v_{1}, w^{g}=w_{1}$. Then

$$
K^{g}=\left(G_{x u v w}\right)^{g}=G_{u x v_{101}}=K
$$

by Lemma 4.1, so $g \in N(K)$. Thus by Sylow's theorem, there is $h \in K$ with $S^{g h}=S$, and thus $g h \in N_{G}(S)$. Hence $\Omega(S)^{\rho h}=\Omega(S)$ and it is clear that $(x: u, v, w)^{g h}=\left(u: x, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)$ so we may assume without loss of generality that $g \in N$ and hence $\Gamma=\Gamma^{0}$. Hence $N$ is transitive on $\Gamma$ as $m$ is odd.

So by minimality of $\mathscr{H}$ and $G$, either (a) $l=5$, or (b) $S=1$.
Case (a). $l=5$. Note that by the hypotheses of the theorem, $\left|\Omega_{x}(K)\right| \geqq 4$, so that $\left|\Omega_{x}(K)\right|=4$ or 5 . Let $\Omega_{x}(S)=\{u, v, w, y, z\}$. If $\left|\Omega_{x}(K)\right|=4$, say $\Omega_{x}(K)=\{u, v, w, y\}$, then $\Gamma$ has a subgraph $\Lambda$ which is a strict $m$-gon-graph of valency 4 on $\{x, u, v, w, y\}$ by Lemma 5.1. Now $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}\left(G_{x w y z}\right)$ and

$$
\{w, y, z\} \subset \Omega_{x}\left(G_{x x y z}\right) \subset\{u, v, w, y, z\}
$$

So some conjugate $\Lambda^{k} \neq \Lambda$ of $\Lambda, k \in G_{x}$, is a subgraph of $\Gamma$ of valency 4 , which is a strict $m$-gon-graph of valency 4 on $\{x, u, w, y, z\}$ or $\{x, v, w, y, z\}$. Then by Lemma 2.2, $\Lambda \cap \Lambda^{k}$ contains a strict $m$-gon-graph of valency 3 , a contradiction.

So $\left|\Omega_{x}(K)\right|=5$. Take $T<S$ of maximal order with respect to fixing $>5$ points of $\Delta(x)$. By ( $\left[\mathbf{1 2 ]}\right.$, corollary to, and proof of, Theorem 1 ), $N_{G_{x}}(T)^{\Omega_{x}(T)} \triangleright$ $\operatorname{PSL}(2,16)$, which is 3 -transitive. Clearly $\left|\Omega_{x}(T)\right|$ is odd. So if we show that $N_{G}(T)$ is transitive on that connected component $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of the induced subgraph on $\Omega(T)$ which contains $x$, then the minimality of $\mathscr{H}$ and $G$ would imply that $T=1$.

Now $T<S \leqq G_{u x v_{1 w_{1}}}=K^{g}$ is also of maximal order with respect to fixing $>5$ points of $\Delta(u)$, for if not, there is $T_{1}<S$ with $|T|<\left|T_{1}\right|$, and $T_{1}$ fixes $>5$ points of $\Delta(u)$; then, however, $S>T_{1}{ }^{g-1}$, and $T_{1}{ }^{g^{-1}}$ fixes $>5$ points of $\Delta(x)$, contradicting the maximality of $T$, since $\left|T_{1}{ }^{g^{-1}}\right|>|T|$. So again, by [12], $N_{G_{u}}(T)$ is 3-transitive on $\Omega_{u}(T)$. Thus there is an element of $N_{G}(T)$ taking $x$ to $v_{1}$. In a similar way, we see that since $m$ is odd, $N_{G}(T)$ is transitive on the $m$-gon $\Pi_{1}$, so that by connectivity of $\Gamma^{\prime}, N_{G}(T)$ is transitive on $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

Hence $T=1$ and thus all involutions of $G_{x}$ fix 1 or 5 points of $\Delta(x)$.
By ([3], Theorem 3), either
(i) $|\Delta(x)|=k=17$ and $\left|P \Gamma L(2,16): G_{x}\right|=1$ or 2 , or
(ii) $k=9$ and $G_{x} \simeq A_{9}$, or
(iii) $k=7$ and $G_{x} \simeq \Sigma_{7}$.

Theorem 1 excludes (i). In (ii) and (iii), the stabilizer of 3 points fixes exactly those 3 points, whereas $\left|\Omega_{x}(K)\right|=5$. Thus Case (a) does not occur.

Case (b). $S=1$. Then involutions of $G_{x}$ fix one point of $\Delta(x)$ and so by [1], $P \Gamma L\left(2,2^{j}\right) \geqq G_{x} \triangleright \operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{j}\right)$, for some $j$. But again, by Theorem 1, this possibility leads to a contradiction.

This proves Theorem 2.
The following result on strict $m$-gon-graphs now follows immediately from Theorem 2.

Corollary 5.1. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a strict m-gon-graph, $m$ odd, of valency $k$, odd, and let $G \leqq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{H})$ be transitive on vertices of $\mathscr{H}$ and $G_{x}$ be 3-transitive on $\Delta(x)$. If $\mathscr{H}$ contains no m-gon-graph as a subgraph of valency 3 , then $k=5$ and $G_{x} \simeq A_{5}$.
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