*J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **103** (2017), 104–115 doi:10.1017/S1446788716000409

# LIMITS OF FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES AND COMPOSITIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

#### THOMAS H. MACGREGOR and MICHAEL P. STERNER<sup>™</sup>

(Received 6 July 2015; accepted 18 May 2016; first published online 28 September 2016)

Communicated by F. Larusson

#### Abstract

Suppose that the function f is analytic in the open unit disk  $\Delta$  in the complex plane. For each  $\alpha > 0$  a function  $f^{[\alpha]}$  is defined as the Hadamard product of f with a certain power function. The function  $f^{[\alpha]}$  compares with the fractional derivative of f of order  $\alpha$ . Suppose that  $f^{[\alpha]}$  has a limit at some point  $z_0$  on the boundary of  $\Delta$ . Then  $w_0 = \lim_{z \to z_0} f(z)$  exists. Suppose that  $\Phi$  is analytic in  $f(\Delta)$  and at  $w_0$ . We show that if  $g = \Phi(f)$  then  $g^{[\alpha]}$  has a limit at  $z_0$ .

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 30B99; secondary 30E99. *Keywords and phrases*: analytic functions, boundary limits, compositions, fractional derivatives.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and let  $\alpha > 0$ . Suppose that the function f is analytic in  $\Delta$  and, for |z| < 1,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \, z^n.$$

We define  $f^{[\alpha]}$  by

$$f^{[\alpha]}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1)} a_n z^n$$
(1.1)

for |z| < 1, where  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function. For  $\beta > 0$  and |z| < 1 let

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)^{\beta}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(\beta) \, z^n.$$

Then

$$A_n(\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(n+\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)n!}$$

<sup>© 2016</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 1446-7887/2016 \$16.00

for each nonnegative integer *n*. Thus  $f^{[\alpha]}$  is the Hadamard product of *f* with the function *p* where  $p(z) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)/(1 - z)^{\alpha+1}$  for |z| < 1. In [4] the authors obtained an integral formula for  $f^{[\alpha]}$  in terms of *f* when  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

The function  $f^{[\alpha]}$  compares with the fractional derivative of f of order  $\alpha$ . There are a number of definitions of fractional derivatives. One that applies to the Taylor series of a function analytic in  $\Delta$  was introduced by Hadamard. It is defined by

$$f^{(\alpha)}(z) = z^{-\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} a_n z^n$$
(1.2)

for |z| < 1. When  $\alpha$  is a positive integer,  $f^{(\alpha)}$  equals the usual derivative of f of order  $\alpha$ . In general, a branch cut is needed to define an analytic branch of  $f^{(\alpha)}$ . The sequences

$$\left\{\frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1)}\right\}$$
 and  $\left\{\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)}\right\}$ 

have asymptotic expansions

$$n^{\alpha}\left\{c_0+\frac{c_1}{n}+\frac{c_2}{n^2}+\cdots\right\}$$

as  $n \to \infty$  with  $c_0 \neq 0$ . Hence certain facts about  $f^{[\alpha]}$  are equivalent to facts about  $f^{(\alpha)}$ .

If  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0$  and *n* is a nonnegative integer then

$$\int_0^1 (1-t)^{\alpha-1} t^n dt = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)n!}{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}$$

This formula and (1.1) yield

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\alpha-1} f^{[\alpha]}(tz) \, dt.$$
(1.3)

Also, if  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  and |z| < 1 then

$$f^{[\alpha]}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} (1 - t)^{\beta - \alpha - 1} f^{[\beta]}(tz) \, dt.$$
(1.4)

We are concerned with the limit of  $f^{[\alpha]}$  as  $z \to z_0$  where |z| < 1 and  $|z_0| = 1$ . Equation (1.4) and the Lebesgue convergence theorem imply that if  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[\beta]}(z)$  exists and  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  then  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists. A similar fact holds for fractional derivatives defined by (1.2).

For each positive integer *m* we have

$$f^{[m]}(z) = \frac{d^m}{dz^m} [z^m f(z)] = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(m!)^2}{(k!)(m-k)!} z^k f^{(k)}(z).$$
(1.5)

If *n* is a positive integer then by applying (1.5) successively for m = n, n - 1, n - 2, ..., 3, 2, 1, we see that  $z^n f^{(n)}(z)$  is a linear combination of the functions  $f^{[n]}, f^{[n-1]}, ..., f^{[1]}$ , and *f*. Therefore  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[n]}(z)$  exists if and only if  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{(n)}(z)$  exists.

In this paper, we prove a theorem about the existence of  $\lim_{z\to z_0} g^{[\alpha]}(z)$  for the composition  $g = \Phi(f)$  when  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists and  $\Phi$  is analytic. This generalizes a classical result about limits of *n*th derivatives of compositions.

In [2] Hardy and Littlewood obtain a number of results about fractional derivatives and fractional integrals of analytic functions. A survey of the history and development of the general theory of fractional calculus is contained in [5, 6].

## 2. The main results

**THEOREM.** Let f be analytic in the open unit disk  $\Delta$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , and suppose that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists for some  $z_0 \in \partial \Delta$ . Let  $w_0 = \lim_{z\to z_0} f(z)$ . Let  $g(z) = \Phi(f(z))$  for  $z \in \Delta$ , where  $\Phi$  is analytic in  $f(\Delta)$  and at  $w_0$ . Then  $\lim_{z\to z_0} g^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists.

The proof of this theorem relies on three lemmas. We first state an important corollary, which follows directly from the theorem and the following fact. If *F* is defined in  $\Delta$  and  $\lim_{z\to w} F(z)$  exists for all *w* on the boundary of  $\Delta$  then *F* extends continuously to the boundary.

**COROLLARY.** Suppose that f is analytic in  $\Delta$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\Phi$  is analytic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{f(\Delta)}$ . Let  $g(z) = \Phi(f(z))$  for |z| < 1. If  $f^{[\alpha]}$  extends continuously to  $\overline{\Delta}$ , then so does  $g^{[\alpha]}$ .

### 3. Three lemmas

**LEMMA** 3.1. Let  $\varphi$  be analytic and univalent in  $\Delta$  and suppose that  $\varphi(\Delta) \subseteq \Delta$ . Suppose that  $\varphi(\Delta)$  is a Jordan domain whose boundary contains a closed arc  $\Lambda$  on  $\partial\Delta$ . There is a closed arc  $\Psi$  on  $\partial\Delta$  mapping onto  $\Lambda$ . If  $\zeta_0$  is in the interior of  $\Psi$  then  $(1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|)/(1 - |\zeta|)$  is bounded in  $N \cap \Delta$  where N is some neighborhood of  $\zeta_0$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\varphi(\Delta)$  is a Jordan domain,  $\varphi$  extends continuously to  $\overline{\Delta}$  and  $\varphi$  is univalent in  $\overline{\Delta}$ . There is a closed arc  $\Psi$  on  $\partial \Delta$  which is mapped bijectively onto  $\Lambda$ , and  $\varphi$  extends analytically in a neighborhood of each point  $\zeta$  in the interior of  $\Psi$ , with  $\varphi' \neq 0$  at every such point. By [3, Theorem 1.1] we have

$$\liminf_{\zeta \to \zeta_0} (1 - |\zeta|^2) \frac{|\varphi'(\zeta)|}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\zeta \to \zeta_0} \frac{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2}{1 - |\zeta|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{|\varphi'(\zeta)|}$$

exists, and so  $\lim_{\zeta \to \zeta_0} ((1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2)/(1 - |\zeta|^2))$  exists. Hence there is a neighborhood N of  $\zeta_0$  such that  $(1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|)/(1 - |\zeta|)$  is bounded in  $N \cap \Delta$ .

Lemma 3.1 relates to the Julia–Carathéodory theorem (see [1, pages 23–32] and [7, pages 57–71]). Part of that theorem asserts that the nontangential derivative of  $\varphi$  exists at  $\zeta_0$  if and only if the nontangential limit of  $(1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|)/(1 - |\zeta|)$  exists as  $\zeta \to \zeta_0$ , for suitable functions  $\varphi$ .

**LEMMA** 3.2. Let  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ ,  $|z_0| = 1$ , and let N be a neighborhood of  $z_0$ . Suppose that f is analytic in  $\Delta$  and there is a constant A such that

$$|f^{[\alpha]}(z)| \le \frac{A}{(1-|z|)^{\beta}}$$
(3.1)

for  $z \in N \cap \Delta$ . Then there exist a neighborhood M of  $z_0$  and a constant B such that

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{B}{(1-|z|)^{\beta-\alpha}} \tag{3.2}$$

for  $z \in M \cap \Delta$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $-1 < \gamma < \beta - 1$ . If  $0 \le r < 1$  we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{\gamma} \frac{1}{(1-rt)^{\beta}} dt = \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}(\beta) t^{n} r^{n} dt$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}(\beta) \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{\gamma} t^{n} dt r^{n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}(\beta) \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+2+\gamma)} r^{n}$$
$$= \Gamma(\gamma+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n}(\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma+2)A_{n}(\gamma+2)} r^{n}.$$

There is a constant *C* such that  $A_n(\beta)/A_n(\gamma + 2) \le CA_n(\beta - \gamma - 1)$  for every nonnegative integer *n*. Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-t)^{\gamma}}{(1-rt)^{\beta}} dt \le \frac{C}{\gamma+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n (\beta-\gamma-1) r^n = \frac{C}{(\gamma+1)(1-r)^{\beta-\gamma-1}}.$$
 (3.3)

The continuity of  $f^{[\alpha]}$  and (3.1) imply that such an inequality also holds for  $z \in S = \{re^{i\theta} : 0 \le r < 1, |\theta - \theta_0| < \eta\}$ , where  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $\eta$  is sufficiently small. Suppose that  $z \in S$ . Then  $tz \in S$  for  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Hence (1.3) implies that

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\alpha-1} \frac{A}{(1-tr)^{\beta}} dt,$$

and (3.3) implies that (3.2) holds for  $z \in S$ . Hence there is a neighborhood M of  $z_0$  such that (3.2) holds for  $z \in M \cap \Delta$ .

**LEMMA** 3.3. Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha$  is not an integer. Let p denote the greatest integer in  $\alpha$  and let q = p + 1. Suppose that f is analytic in  $\Delta$  and let  $|z_0| = 1$ . Then  $\lim_{z\to z_0} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} f^{(q)}(tz) dt$  exists if and only if  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists.

**PROOF.** Equation (1.4) implies that

$$f^{[\alpha]}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} f^{[q]}(tz) \, dt.$$
(3.4)

Suppose that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists. Then  $\lim_{z\to z_0} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} f^{[q]}(tz) dt$  exists. There are constants  $c_0, c_1, \ldots c_{q-1}$  such that

$$z^{q} f^{(q)}(z) = f^{[q]}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{q} c_{q-k} f^{[q-k]}(z)$$
(3.5)

for |z| < 1. Let  $F_k(z) = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} f^{[q-k]}(tz) dt$  for |z| < 1 and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, q$ . To show that

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} f^{(q)}(tz) \, dt \tag{3.6}$$

exists, it is sufficient to show that for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$  the existence of  $\lim_{z\to z_0} F_k(z)$  implies the existence of  $\lim_{z\to z_0} F_{k+1}(z)$ . From Equation (1.4) with  $\alpha = q - k - 1$  and  $\beta = q - k$  we obtain  $f^{[q-k-1]}(z) = \int_0^1 s^{q-k-1} f^{[q-k]}(sz) ds$ . This implies  $F_{k+1}(z) = \int_0^1 s^{q-k-1} F_k(sz) \, ds$ , which yields our conclusion. Conversely, suppose that (3.6) holds. There are constants  $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{q-1}$  such that

$$f^{[q]}(z) = z^q f^{(q)}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^q d_{q-k} z^{q-k} f^{(q-k)}(z)$$

for |z| < 1. Let  $G_k(z) = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{\beta} f^{(q-k)}(tz) dt$  for |z| < 1 and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, q$ , where  $\beta = q - \alpha - 1$ . To show that  $\lim_{z \to z_0} f^{[q]}(z)$  exists, it is sufficient to show that for each k = 0, 1, ..., q - 1 the existence of  $\lim_{z \to z_0} G_k(z)$  implies the existence of  $\lim_{z\to z_0} G_{k+1}(z).$ 

Let  $H_k(z) = f^{(q-k)}(z)$ . Integrating along the line segment from 0 to z yields  $f^{(q-k-1)}(z) = f^{(q-k-1)}(0) + z \int_0^1 H_k(sz) ds$ . Hence there is a constant b such that

$$G_{k+1}(z) = b + z \int_0^1 I_k(sz) \, ds \tag{3.7}$$

where  $I_k(z) = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha+1} (1-t)^\beta H_k(tz) dt$ . We claim that

$$I_k(z) = G_k(z) + c \int_0^1 u^{\alpha + \beta + 1} G_k(uz) \, du$$
(3.8)

where |z| < 1 and c is a constant. Let

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n, \quad I_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n z^n,$$

108

and  $H_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n z^n$  for |z| < 1. Using the formula

$$\int_0^1 t^{z-1} (1-t)^{w-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z+w)}$$

for z and w in the right half-plane we find that

$$A_n = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + \beta + 2)}C_n \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 2)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + \beta + 3)}C_n$$

for every nonnegative integer n. This implies that

$$B_n = \frac{n+\alpha+1}{n+\alpha+\beta+2}A_n.$$

Setting  $c = (\alpha + \beta + 2)/(\alpha + 1)$ , we see that

$$\frac{n+\alpha+1}{n+\alpha+\beta+2} = 1 + c\frac{1}{n+\alpha+\beta+2}$$

and obtain

$$I_{k}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n} + c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n + \alpha + \beta + 2} A_{n} z^{n},$$

which yields (3.8).

Suppose that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} G_k(z)$  exists. Then (3.8) implies that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} I_k(z)$  exists. Hence (3.7) implies that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} G_{k+1}(z)$  exists.

# 4. Proof of the main theorem

*Case I.* Suppose that  $\alpha = n$  is a positive integer. Faà di Bruno's formula for the *n*th derivative of a composition is

$$g^{(n)} = n! \sum_{m=1}^{n} \Phi^{(m)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j_k!} \left[ \frac{f^{(k)}}{k!} \right]^{j_k} \right\}$$
(4.1)

where the sum inside the braces is over all combinations of nonnegative integers  $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k j_k = n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n j_k = m.$$

Suppose that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{[n]}(z)$  exists. Then  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f^{(k)}(z)$  exists for k = 1, 2, ..., n. Hence the analyticity of  $\Phi$  and (4.1) imply that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} g^{(n)}(z)$  exists. Therefore  $\lim_{z\to z_0} g^{[n]}(z)$  exists.

Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\lim_{z \to z_0} f^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists. Let  $w_0$ ,  $\Phi$ , and g be defined as in the theorem. For  $z \in \Delta$  let

$$h(z) = f^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor}(z).$$

There is a neighborhood N of  $z_0$  such that h is bounded in  $N \cap \Delta$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a conformal mapping of  $\Delta$  onto  $N \cap \Delta$  and let  $\Psi$  denote the closed arc on  $\partial \Delta$  such that  $\varphi(\Psi) = \partial N \cap \partial \Delta$ . For  $|\zeta| < 1$  let

$$k(\zeta) = h(\varphi(\zeta)). \tag{4.2}$$

Then k is analytic and bounded in  $\Delta$ . By the Schwarz–Pick lemma there is a constant C such that

$$|k'(\zeta)| \le \frac{C}{1 - |\zeta|} \tag{4.3}$$

for  $|\zeta| < 1$ . Henceforth we use *C* to denote a generic constant, and it is not the same constant each time. From (4.2) we obtain

$$k'(\zeta) = h'(\varphi(\zeta))\varphi'(\zeta). \tag{4.4}$$

Let  $|\zeta_0| = 1$  such that  $\varphi(\zeta_0) = z_0$ . Since  $\varphi$  extends analytically to a neighborhood of  $\zeta_0$  and is univalent there, it follows that there exist a neighborhood *M* of  $\zeta_0$  and a positive constant  $\sigma$  such that

$$|\varphi'(\zeta)| \ge \sigma \tag{4.5}$$

for  $\zeta \in M \cap \Delta$ . Hence (4.3)–(4.5) imply that

$$|h'(z)| \le \frac{C}{1 - |\zeta|}$$
 (4.6)

where  $z = \varphi(\zeta)$  and  $\zeta \in M \cap \Delta$ . Lemma 3.1 and (4.6) imply that

$$|h'(z)| \le \frac{C}{1 - |z|} \tag{4.7}$$

for  $z \in P \cap \Delta$  where *P* is some neighborhood of  $z_0$ . Let  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ . Then (4.7) implies that such an inequality holds for some constant where  $z \in S$  and  $S = \{z = re^{i\theta} : 0 \le r < 1, |\theta - \theta_0| < \delta\}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

For  $z \in \Delta$  let  $F(z) = (f')^{[\alpha]}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  and  $G(z) = (f^{[\alpha]})'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ . Then  $b_n = ((n+1)/(n+1+\alpha))c_n$  for each nonnegative integer *n*. Since  $(n+1)/(n+1+\alpha) = 1 - \alpha/(n+1+\alpha)$  this implies that

$$F(z) = G(z) - \alpha \int_0^1 t^{\alpha} G(tz) \, dt.$$
(4.8)

From (4.7) and (4.8) we conclude that

$$|(f')^{[\alpha]}(z)| \le \frac{C}{1 - |z|} \tag{4.9}$$

where  $z \in S$ . Such an inequality also holds for  $z \in P \cap \Delta$  where P is some neighborhood of  $z_0$ .

*Case II.* Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then (4.9) and Lemma 3.2 imply that

$$|f'(z)| \le \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha}} \tag{4.10}$$

for  $z \in Q \cap \Delta$  where Q is some neighborhood of  $z_0$ . Hence such an inequality also holds for  $z \in T$  where  $T = \{z = re^{i\theta} : 0 \le r < 1, |\theta - \theta_0| < \epsilon\}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Suppose that  $z \in T$  and  $0 \le t < 1$ . Then  $tz \in T$  and  $f(z) - f(tz) = \int_L f'(w) dw$  where *L* is the line segment from tz to *z*. Since *L* is given by w = (1 - s)tz + sz for  $0 \le s \le 1$ , we obtain, using (4.10),

$$\begin{split} |f(z) - f(tz)| &\leq (1 - t) \int_0^1 |f'[(1 - s)tz + sz]| \, ds \\ &\leq C(1 - t) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{[1 - \{(1 - s)t + s\}]^{1 - \alpha}} \, ds \\ &= C(1 - t)^\alpha \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1 - s)^{1 - \alpha}} \, ds. \end{split}$$

Since  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the last integral exists, and so

$$|f(z) - f(tz)| \le C(1-t)^{\alpha}$$
(4.11)

for  $z \in T$  and  $0 \le t \le 1$ .

There is a number  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\Phi$  is analytic in a neighborhood of  $\{w : |w - w_0| \le \rho\}$ . If  $|w - w_0| < \rho$  then

$$\Phi(w) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Phi(\zeta)e^{i\theta}}{\zeta - w} d\theta$$
(4.12)

where  $\zeta = w_0 + \rho e^{i\theta}$ . Let  $0 < \eta < \rho$ . Then there exist a neighborhood *N* of  $z_0$  and a number  $\tau$  such that  $0 < \tau < 1$  and

$$|f(tz) - w_0| \le \eta \tag{4.13}$$

for  $z \in N \cap \Delta$  and  $\tau \le t \le 1$ . If  $z \in N \cap \Delta$  then (4.12) gives

$$g(z) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Phi(\zeta)e^{i\theta}}{\zeta - f(z)} d\theta$$
(4.14)

and hence

$$g'(z) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Phi(\zeta)e^{i\theta}}{(\zeta - f(z))^2} f'(z) \, d\theta.$$
(4.15)

Let  $H(z) = \int_{\tau}^{1} t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{-\alpha} g'(tz) dt$  for  $z \in \Delta$ . Then (4.15) yields

$$H(z) = \int_{\tau}^{1} t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{-\alpha} \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\Phi(\zeta) e^{i\theta}}{(\zeta - f(tz))^{2}} f'(tz) \, d\theta \, dt.$$

By writing

$$\frac{1}{(\zeta - f(tz))^2} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(\zeta - f(tz))^2} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - f(z))^2} \right\} + \frac{1}{(\zeta - f(z))^2}$$

we have H(z) = I(z) + J(z) where  $I(z) = (\rho/2\pi) \int_{\tau}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} I(\theta, t, z) d\theta dt$ ,

$$I(\theta, t, z) = \frac{\Phi(\zeta)e^{i\theta}t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha}[f(tz) - f(z)][2\zeta - f(z) - f(tz)]f'(tz)}{[\zeta - f(tz)]^2[\zeta - f(z)]^2},$$

and

112

$$J(z) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Phi(\zeta)e^{i\theta}}{(\zeta - f(z))^2} d\theta \int_\tau^1 t^\alpha (1 - t)^{-\alpha} f'(tz) dt \quad \text{for } z \in \Delta.$$

From (4.10), (4.11), and (4.13) we conclude that

$$|I(\theta, t, z)| \le \frac{C}{(1-t)^{1-\alpha}}$$
 (4.16)

for  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ ,  $\tau \le t < 1$ , and  $z \in N \cap \Delta$ . Since  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the integral  $\int_0^1 (1/(1-t)^{1-\alpha}) dt$  exists. By considering I(z) as a double integral we find that the conditions hold for applying the Lebesgue convergence theorem. We conclude that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} I(z)$  exists. Also,  $\lim_{z\to z_0} J(z)$  exists because J(z) is the product of two integrals, each of which has a limit. The second integral has a limit as a consequence of Lemma 3.3. We have shown that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} H(z)$  exists. Lemma 3.3 implies that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} g^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists. This completes the proof of the Theorem when  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

*Case III.* Suppose that  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\alpha$  is not an integer. Let *p* denote the greatest integer in  $\alpha$  and let q = p + 1. Since *k* is analytic and bounded in  $\Delta$ , we have, for each positive integer *j*,

$$|k^{(j)}(\zeta)| \le \frac{C}{(1-|\zeta|)^j} \tag{4.17}$$

for  $|\zeta| < 1$ . Since  $k(\zeta) = h(\varphi(\zeta))$  for  $|\zeta| < 1$  and  $\zeta$  near  $\zeta_0$ , Faà di Bruno's formula gives

$$k^{(j)}(\zeta) = K_j(\zeta) + h^{(j)}(\varphi(\zeta))[\varphi'(\zeta)]^j$$
(4.18)

where  $K_j(\zeta)$  is the sum of the first j - 1 terms in that formula. Because  $\varphi$  is analytic in a neighborhood of  $\zeta_0$  and  $|\varphi'(\zeta)| \ge \sigma > 0$  there, (4.18) and (4.17) provide an inductive step for concluding that for each integer n > 0,

$$|h^{(n)}(\varphi(\zeta))| \le \frac{C}{(1-|\zeta|)^n}$$
(4.19)

for  $|\zeta| < 1$  and  $\zeta$  near  $\zeta_0$ . In particular,

$$|h^{(q)}(\varphi(\zeta))| \le \frac{C}{(1-|\zeta|)^q}$$

for  $|\zeta| < 1$  and  $\zeta$  near  $\zeta_0$ . With  $z = \varphi(\zeta)$ , Lemma 3.1 yields

$$|h^{(q)}(z)| \le \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^q} \tag{4.20}$$

for |z| < 1 and z near  $z_0$ .

For  $z \in \Delta$  let  $F(z) = (f^{(q)})^{[\alpha]}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  and  $G(z) = (f^{[\alpha]})^{(q)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ . Then

$$b_n = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+q)}{(n+q+\alpha)(n+q-1+\alpha)\cdots(n+1+\alpha)}c_n$$
(4.21)

[9]

[10]

for each nonnegative integer *n*. There are constants  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_q$  such that

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+q)}{(n+q+\alpha)(n+q-1+\alpha)\cdots(n+1+\alpha)} = 1 + \frac{d_1}{n+q+\alpha} + \frac{d_2}{n+q-1+\alpha} + \frac{d_2}{n+q-1+\alpha}$$

Hence

$$F(z) = G(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d_j \int_0^1 t^{q-j+\alpha} G(tz) \, dt.$$

Therefore (4.20) implies that

$$|(f^{(q)})^{[\alpha]}(z)| \le \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^q}$$

for  $z \in \Delta$  and z near  $z_0$ . Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$|f^{(q)}(z)| \le \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{q-\alpha}} \tag{4.22}$$

for  $z \in \Delta$  and z near  $z_0$ . Since  $q - \alpha < 1$ , this implies that  $f^{(j)}(z)$  is bounded for each j = 1, 2, ..., q - 1 and  $z \in N \cap \Delta$ , where N is a neighborhood of  $z_0$ . In particular, the boundedness of f' yields

$$|f(z) - f(tz)| \le C(1 - t) \tag{4.23}$$

for  $z \in T$  and  $0 \le t \le 1$  as shown previously.

Let  $\zeta = w_0 + \rho e^{i\theta}$ . There is a neighborhood *M* of  $z_0$  such that (4.13) holds and  $f^{(j)}(z)$  is bounded in  $M \cap \Delta$  for j = 1, 2, ..., q - 1. We have

$$\frac{d}{dz}(\zeta - f(z))^{-1} = \frac{f'(z)}{(\zeta - f(z))^2},$$
$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}(\zeta - f(z))^{-1} = \frac{f''(z)}{(\zeta - f(z))^2} + \frac{2(f'(z))^2}{(\zeta - f(z))^3},$$

and in general  $(d^n/dz^n)(\zeta - f(z))^{-1}$  is given by Faà di Bruno's formula for each positive integer *n*. For n = q this gives

$$\frac{d^q}{dz^q}(\zeta - f(z))^{-1} = \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{(\zeta - f(z))^2} + R_q(z,\zeta)$$
(4.24)

where  $R_q(z, \zeta)$  denotes the sum of the remaining q - 1 terms in that formula. Because  $f^{(j)}(z)$  is bounded for  $z \in M \cap \Delta$  and j = 1, 2, ..., q - 1 and  $|f(z) - w_0| \le \eta$ , we conclude that

 $|R_q(z,\zeta)| \leq C$ 

for  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$  and  $z \in M \cap \Delta$ . By replacing *M* by a smaller neighborhood of  $z_0$  we also have

$$|R_q(tz,\zeta)| \le C \tag{4.25}$$

for  $\tau \le t \le 1$ . From (4.14) and (4.24) we obtain

$$g^{(q)}(z) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(\zeta) e^{i\theta} \left\{ \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{(\zeta - f(z))^2} + R_q(z,\zeta) \right\} d\theta$$
(4.26)

[11]

for  $z \in M \cap \Delta$ .

Let  $H(z) = \int_{\tau}^{1} t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} g^{(q)}(tz) dt$  for  $z \in \Delta$ . Then (4.26) yields

$$H(z) = \int_{\tau}^{1} t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Phi(\zeta) e^{i\theta} \left\{ \frac{f^{(q)}(tz)}{(\zeta - f(tz))^2} + R_q(tz,\zeta) \right\} d\theta \, dt.$$

By writing

$$\frac{1}{(\zeta - f(tz))^2} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(\zeta - f(tz))^2} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - f(z))^2} \right\} + \frac{1}{(\zeta - f(z))^2}$$

we obtain H(z) = I(z) + J(z) + K(z) where  $I(z) = (\rho/2\pi) \int_{\tau}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} I(\theta, t, z) d\theta dt$ ,

$$I(\theta, t, z) = \frac{\Phi(\zeta)e^{i\theta}t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{q-\alpha-1}[f(tz) - f(z)][2\zeta - f(z) - f(tz)]f^{(q)}(tz)}{[\zeta - f(tz)]^{2}[\zeta - f(z)]^{2}}$$
$$J(z) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\Phi(\zeta)e^{i\theta}}{(\zeta - f(z))^{2}} d\theta \int_{\tau}^{1} t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{q-\alpha-1}f^{(q)}(tz) dt,$$

and

$$K(z) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{\tau}^{1} t^{\alpha} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Phi(\zeta) e^{i\theta} R_q(tz,\zeta) \, d\theta \, dt \quad \text{for } z \in \Delta.$$

From (4.23), (4.22), and (4.13) we conclude that  $I(\theta, t, z)$  is bounded for  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ ,  $\tau \le t < 1$ , and  $z \in M \cap \Delta$ . Considering I(z) as a double integral, we see that we can apply the Lebesgue convergence theorem to conclude that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} I(z)$  exists. Also, J(z) is the product of two integrals each of which has a limit. The second integral has a limit as a consequence of Lemma 3.3. Hence  $\lim_{z\to z_0} J(z)$  exists. From (4.25) and the existence of  $\int_{\tau}^{1} (1-t)^{q-\alpha-1} dt$  we also conclude that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} K(z)$  exists. We have shown that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} H(z)$  exists. Lemma 3.3 implies that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} g^{[\alpha]}(z)$  exists.

# Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referee for helpful comments about this paper and for suggesting related lines of inquiry.

#### References

- [1] C. Carathéodory, Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, Vol. 2 (Chelsea, New York, 1954).
- [2] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, 'Some properties of fractional integrals II', *Math. Z.* 34 (1932), 403–489.
- [3] D. Kraus, O. Roth and St. Ruscheweyh, 'A boundary version of Ahlfors' lemma, locally complete conformal metrics and conformally invariant reflection principles for analytic maps', *J. Anal. Math.* **101** (2007), 219–256.
- [4] T. H. MacGregor and M. P. Sterner, 'Hadamard products with power functions and multipliers of Hardy spaces', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 282 (2003), 163–176.

114

#### [12] Limits of fractional derivatives and compositions of analytic functions

- [5] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1993).
- [6] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier, *The Fractional Calculus* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- [7] J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory (Springer, New York, 1993).

THOMAS H. MACGREGOR, Bowdoin College, Brunswick, ME 04558, USA e-mail: t@thomasmacgregor.com

MICHAEL P. STERNER, University of Montevallo, Montevallo, AL 35115, USA e-mail: sternerm@montevallo.edu