Table. Development of Diarrhea and Receipt of Subsequent Symptomatic *C. difficile* Testing, Stratified by *C. difficile* Admission Testing Result Being Positive (Carriers) or Negative (Non-carriers)

| | Carriers (*n*=25) | Non-carriers (*n*=50) | Relative Risk (95% CI[*]) | *P* value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Developed clinically significant diarrhea[**]** | | | | |
| During hospital days 1-3, n (%) | 2 (8) | 3 (6) | 1.33 (0.24, 7.47) | 0.99 |
| During hospital days 4-14, n (%) | 5 (20) | 10 (20) | 1.00 (0.38, 2.61) | 0.99 |
| **Underwent symptomatic *C. difficile* testing during hospitalization** | | | | |
| Any time after admission, n (%) | 0 (0) | 10 (20) | 0[***] | 0.03 |

[*]CI = confidence interval [**]Defined as documentation of ≥3 loose or liquid stools within 24 hours [***]Unable to calculate 95% CI

**Presentation Type:**
Oral Presentation - Top Poster Abstract
**Subject Category:** CAUTI
**Challenges in Implementing CAUTI Surveillance in Resource-Constrained Settings: Lessons from a Kenyan Referral Hospital**
Flavia Nakayima Miiro[1], Kate Ellingson[2], Linus Ndegwa[3] and Irene Muramba[4]
[1]University of Arizona; [2]University of Arizona, College of Public Health; [3]Centres for Disease Control- CDC and [4]Coast General Teaching and Referral Hospital

**Background:** Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) are a challenge for hospitalized patients accounting for approximately 40% of all healthcare-associated infections. CAUTI surveillance remains underdeveloped in many Sub-Saharan countries, even though identifying infections is critical to prevention and management. Standardized CAUTI surveillance among 45 LMICs conducted in intensive care units (ICUs) has demonstrated high CAUTI incidence compared to high-income countries. However, few studies have examined CAUTI in non-ICU settings in LMIC, where catheter use is common. We aimed to identify challenges in CAUTI surveillance related to documentation and antibiotic use patterns among adult inpatients in non-ICU wards in a Kenyan public hospital. **Methods:** Using a cross-sectional design, we retrospectively abstracted data on non-ICU adult inpatients from clinical and laboratory records. We identified patients with suspected UTI through urine culture requests from 1/1/2023-12/31/2023, whom we linked to clinical records. We abstracted data on diagnosis on admission, socio-demographics, urinary catheter indication and duration, UTI symptoms, urine culture results, and antibiotic use. This descriptive analysis summarizes characteristics of patients with suspected UTI to identify factors hindering CAUTI surveillance in non-ICU settings. **Results:** 293 non-ICU adult inpatients admitted to Mombasa Regional Referral Hospital had at least one urine culture request in 2023. Of these 193 (65.9%) had indwelling urinary catheters (IUC) inserted. Among those with IUC, 49.7% were female, with an average age of 51.5 years, with majority (64.8%) admitted to the medical wards; 5.2% had no recorded indication for catheterization and 82.9% had no UTI symptoms documented in the 2 days before the urine culture request. There were 124 negative cultures, 4 were determined to be contaminated, 6 did not have results on file, and 59 were positive; pathogens identified in the positive cultures included Escherichia Coli (51.8%), Klebsiella Pneumoniae (28.6%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (10.7%), and others (8.9%) including Klebsiella Oxytoca, Acinetobacter baumanii, and Protein Mirabilis. 38.3% were prescribed intravenous antibiotics in the 7 days before the urine culture was obtained. 66.3% had no documentation of IUC removal, and 10.9% had incomplete documentation on file with missing pages. **Conclusion:** Myriad challenges to accurate CAUTI surveillance were identified among non-ICU patients at a Kenyan regional referral hospital. Lack of documentation of clinical symptoms makes application of standard case definitions challenging, and non-documentation of catheter removal dates hinders calculation of incidence using a catheter-day denominator. Further, the administration of antibiotics prior to urine culture hinders identification of potential source pathogens. Documentation and antibiotic administration practices are major hurdles for CAUTI surveillance.

**Presentation Type:**
Oral Presentation - Top Poster Abstract
**Subject Category:** Diagnostic Stewardship
**Clostridioides difficile Testing Strategies: Insights into Positivity Rates, Systemic Signs, and Treatment Patterns**
Katie Passaretti[1], Shelley Kester[2], Jessica Layell[3], Elizabeth Palavecino[4], Jackson Morton[2], Werner Bischoff[4] and Anupama Neelakanta[3]
[1]Atrium Health Wake Forest; [2]Advocate Health; [3]Atrium Health and [4]Wake Forest University School of Medicine

**Background:** The diagnostic approach for Clostridioides difficile infection (CDI) significantly influences treatment and resource utilization. This study compares clinical characteristics and treatment choices based on three testing algorithms combining antigen and toxin enzyme immunoassay (EIA) tests and nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT). **Methods:** We performed a retrospective study of patients tested for CDI between August 2022 and November 2024 in a large health system where multiple CDI testing algorithms are utilized: (arm 1) antigen and toxin EIA with automatic reflex to NAAT if discrepant results; (arm 2) NAAT with automatic reflex to toxin EIA if NAAT positive; and (arm 3) antigen and toxin EIA with NAAT at provider request with approval by Antimicrobial Stewardship. The last step in the testing algorithms determined whether the result was considered positive. We determined positivity rate by algorithm results and compared clinical variables including fever (temperature > 38.0° C) or abnormal white blood cell (WBC) count (12,000) within 48 hours prior to test order, laxative use within 24 hours prior to test order and treatment rates between those who tested toxin positive by EIA and those who tested toxin positive by NAAT only. Treatment was defined as receiving oral vancomycin or fidaxomicin for more than 5 days OR receiving those medications on the day prior to or day of discharge. **Results:** A total of 16,555 patients were tested. Overall algorithm positivity rate was highest in the EIA with reflex to NAAT (arm 1) at 13.7% compared to 5.7% for arm 2 (NAAT with reflex to toxin EIA) and 5.1% for arm 3 (EIA with NAAT at Provider Request). Toxin EIA positive patients were 1.2 times more likely than NAAT positive patients to display fever or abnormal WBC in the 48 hours prior to test order (p < 0 .001). Toxin EIA positive patients were less likely to receive laxatives compared to NAAT only positive patients. (p=0.11). Among toxin EIA positive cases, 89% received treatment compared to 57% in toxin NAAT only positive cases (p < 0 .001). 46% of patients who tested NAAT positive with a subsequently negative toxin EIA were treated. **Conclusion:** Patients with toxin EIA positive tests were more likely to exhibit systemic signs of infection and were treated at higher rates compared to NAAT-positive cases. While NAAT-based testing identified additional cases, many may reflect colonization. Treatment of toxin NAAT positive/toxin EIA negative patients was common highlighting opportunities for diagnostic stewardship.

Table 1: Comparison of *Clostridioides difficile* Testing Algorithms

| | Arm 1: Antigen/Toxin EIA with Reflex to NAAT (n=8173) | Arm 2: NAAT with Reflex to Toxin EIA (n=5823) | Arm 3: Antigen/Toxin EIA with NAAT at Provider Request (n=2559) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Toxin positive (%) | 646 (8.0%) | 333 (5.7%) | 129 (5.0%) |
| NAAT positive (%) | 475 (5.8%) | 1013 (17.4%) | 5 (0.1%) |
| Algorithm positivity[*] (%) | 1121 (13.7%) | 333 (5.7%) | 134 (5.1%) |

EIA Enzyme immunoassay; NAAT Nucleic acid amplification test

*Algorithm is considered positive if the final test in the algorithm is positive