

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF NON-LINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACES

BUI AN TON

In this paper the theory of Browder [2] and of Lions [3] on periodic solutions of non-linear evolution equations in Banach spaces is put in a more general framework so as to include the Navier-Stokes equations and their variants.

An abstract existence theorem is proved in § 1. Applications are given in § 2. The existence of periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations without any restriction on the dimension of the space domain is established. Application of the abstract theorem to the following problem is given:

$$\begin{cases} D_t u_\epsilon - \Delta u_\epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^n u_{j\epsilon} D_j u_\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} u_\epsilon) u_\epsilon + \operatorname{grad} p_\epsilon = f & \text{on } G \times [0, T]; \\ \operatorname{div}(u_\epsilon) = -p_\epsilon \cdot \epsilon; & u_\epsilon(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G \times [0, T]; \\ u_\epsilon(x, 0) = u_\epsilon(x, T) & \text{on } G. \end{cases}$$

1. Let H be a Hilbert space and $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ the inner product in H . Let V and W be two reflexive separable Banach spaces with $W \subset V \subset H$. W is dense in V and V is dense in H . The natural injection mappings of W into V and of V into H are compact.

Let V^* be the dual of V and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ the pairing between V and V^* . The pairing between W and its dual W^* is denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) .

Consider the Banach space $F = L^p(0, T; V)$ of equivalence classes of functions $u(t)$ from $[0, T]$ to V with the norm:

$$\|u\|_F = \left\{ \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_V^p dt \right\}^{1/p}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty.$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the pairing between F and its dual F^* . Let $Y = L^r(0, T; W)$ with $2 \leq p < r < \infty$ and let $((\cdot, \cdot))$ be the pairing between Y and its dual Y^* .

Thus $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^T (u, v)_H dt$ if $u \in L^p(0, T; H)$ and v is in F . Similarly for $((\cdot, \cdot))$.

Set $X = F \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)$. We shall say that $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in X if $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in F and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in the weak-star topology of $L^\infty(0, T; H)$.

In this paper we consider non-linear operators A mapping X and Y into Y^* and satisfying the following assumption.

Assumption (I). (i) A is continuous from line segments in X to the weak* topology of Y^* .

Received June 11, 1970.

(ii) Let $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in X , u_n in Y , $u_n(0) = u_n(T)$; $u_n' \rightarrow u'$ weakly in Y^* , $Au_n \rightarrow g$ weakly in Y^* with $g + u'$ in F^* and

$$\limsup \operatorname{Re}((u_n' + Au_n, u_n)) \leq \operatorname{Re}(g + u', u).$$

Then $Au = g$.

(iii) If $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in Y and $u_n' \rightarrow u'$ weakly in Y^* , then $Au_n \rightarrow Au$ weakly in Y^* and $((Au_n, u_n)) \rightarrow ((Au, u))$.

We have shown in [7] that all the semi-monotone operators considered by Browder [2] and by Lions [3] as well as all the weakly continuous operators from F into F^* satisfy Assumption (I).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a non-linear operator mapping X and Y into Y^* and satisfying Assumption (I). Suppose further that:*

- (i) *A maps bounded sets of X and of Y into bounded sets of Y^* ;*
- (ii) *$\operatorname{Re}((Au, u)) \geq c(\|u\|_F)\|u\|_F$ for all u in Y , $c(r)$ is a positive continuous function with $c(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$;*
- (iii) *$\operatorname{Re}(Au, u) \geq 0$ for all u in Y and for almost all t in $[0, T]$;*
- (iv) *There exists a positive continuous function $\varphi(r)$ such that:*

$$\operatorname{Re}((Au, u)) \leq \varphi(\|u\|_F) \quad \text{for all } u \text{ in } Y.$$

Then for each f in F^ , there exists u in X with u' in Y^* such that:*

$$u' + Au = f, \quad u(0) = u(T).$$

Theorem 1 will be derived from the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let J be the duality mapping from Y into Y^* associated with the gauge function $\psi(s) = s^{r-1}$. Suppose that all the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then for each ϵ , $0 < \epsilon < 1$, and for each f in F^* , there exists u_ϵ in Y with u_ϵ' in Y^* such that:*

$$u_\epsilon' + \epsilon Ju_\epsilon + Au_\epsilon = f, \quad u_\epsilon(0) = u_\epsilon(T).$$

Moreover, $\|u_\epsilon\|_F + \epsilon\|u_\epsilon\|_{Y^r} + \|u_\epsilon'\|_{Y^} + \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H)} \leq M$. M is a constant independent of ϵ .*

Proof of Theorem 1 using Theorem 2. Since Y is a reflexive Banach space, by taking an equivalent norm if necessary, we may assume that Y^* is strictly convex. It is well known that the duality mapping J from Y into Y^* associated with the gauge function $\psi(s)$ exists. Since Y^* is strictly convex, J is uniquely defined.

From the weak compactness of the unit ball in a reflexive Banach space, we have by taking a subsequence if necessary:

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon &\rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } F, u_\epsilon \rightarrow u \text{ in the weak}^* \text{ topology of } L^\infty(0, T; H), \\ u_\epsilon' &\rightarrow u' \text{ weakly in } Y^* \text{ and } \epsilon^{1/r} u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ weakly in } Y \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the natural injection mapping of V into W^* is compact, it follows then that $u_\epsilon(t) - u(t) \rightarrow 0$ in W^* for all t in $[0, T]$. But $u_\epsilon(0) = u_\epsilon(T)$, thus $u(0) = u(T)$.

By hypothesis, A maps bounded sets of X into bounded sets of Y^* , hence $Au_\epsilon \rightarrow g$ weakly in Y^* as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand, $\epsilon \|Ju_\epsilon\|_{Y^*} = \epsilon \|u_\epsilon\|_{Y^{r-1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\limsup \operatorname{Re}(\langle u'_\epsilon + Au_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle) \leq \operatorname{Re}\langle f, u \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle g + u', u \rangle$. It follows from Assumption (I) that $Au = g$.

Proof of Theorem 2. It is well known that the duality mapping J is monotone from Y into Y^* and is continuous from the strong topology of Y to the weak topology of Y^* .

For u, v in Y , let $L(u, v) = Au + \epsilon Jv$. Then L maps bounded sets of $Y \times Y$ into bounded sets of Y^* . Moreover, $L(u, \cdot)$ is monotone and is continuous from line segments in Y to the weak topology of Y^* . It is also clear that $L(u, u)$ is coercive.

If $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in Y and $u'_n \rightarrow u'$ weakly in Y^* , then it follows from Assumption (I) that $Au_n \rightarrow Au$ weakly in Y^* and $((Au_n, u_n)) \rightarrow ((Au, u))$. Therefore $((L(u_n, \varphi), u_n)) \rightarrow ((L(u, \varphi), u))$ and $((L(u_n, \varphi), v)) \rightarrow ((L(u, \varphi), v))$ for any φ, v in Y .

It follows from [3] that there exist u_ϵ in Y , u'_ϵ in Y^* such that

$$u'_\epsilon + \epsilon Ju_\epsilon + Au_\epsilon = f, \quad u_\epsilon(0) = u_\epsilon(T).$$

We easily obtain $\|u_\epsilon\|_F + \epsilon \|u_\epsilon\|_{Y^r} \leq M$. M is independent of ϵ .

It remains to show that u_ϵ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; H)$. It is the crucial part of the theorem and indeed of the paper.

First, we show that $\|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H$ is uniformly bounded. Let $\theta \in C^1(0, T)$ and $\theta(T) = 0$, $\theta(0) = 1$. Set $v_\epsilon = \theta u_\epsilon$. Then $v'_\epsilon + \epsilon \theta Ju_\epsilon + \theta Au_\epsilon = \theta f + \theta' u_\epsilon$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|v_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 \\ &\leq \operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \{-\theta \langle f, u_\epsilon \rangle - \theta' \theta \|u_\epsilon\|_H^2 + \epsilon \theta^2 \langle Ju_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle + \theta^2 \langle Au_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since by hypothesis $\operatorname{Re}(\langle Au_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle) \leq \varphi(\|u_\epsilon\|_F)$ for u_ϵ in Y , we obtain $\operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \theta^2 \langle Au_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle dt \leq K \varphi(\|u_\epsilon\|_F) \leq C$.

Thus $\frac{1}{2} \|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 \leq C$. The different constants are all independent of ϵ .

Using a remark as in [7], we show that

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_H^2 \leq C(\|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 + 1) \quad \text{for } t \text{ in } [0, T].$$

Indeed,

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_H^2 = \|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t \langle u'_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle dt.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_H^2 &= \|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t (f - Au_\epsilon - \epsilon Ju_\epsilon, u_\epsilon) dt \\ &\leq \|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 + 2 \|f\|_{F^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_F - \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t \epsilon (Ju_\epsilon, u_\epsilon) dt, \end{aligned}$$

since by hypothesis $\operatorname{Re}(Au_\epsilon, u_\epsilon) \geq 0$ for almost all t in $[0, T]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_H^2 &\leq \|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 + 2 \|f\|_{F^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_F + 2\epsilon \|Ju_\epsilon\|_{Y^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_Y \\ &\leq C(\|u_\epsilon(0)\|_H^2 + \|u_\epsilon\|_F + \epsilon \|u_\epsilon\|_{Y^*}) \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

M is independent of t and of ϵ .

The theorem is proved.

2. We now give some applications of Theorem 1 to the study of periodic solutions of strongly non-linear parabolic equations.

Let G be a bounded open subset of R^n with a smooth boundary ∂G . The points of G will be denoted by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Set $D_j = i^{-1} \partial/\partial x_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. For each n -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of non-negative integers, we write:

$$D^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j^{\alpha_j} \quad \text{with } |\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j.$$

The points of E^1 will be denoted by t and differentiation in t by D_t . Let k be a positive integer. By functions we mean k -vector-valued functions $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ where each u_j is a real-valued function on G or on $G \times [0, T]$.

$W^{k,p}(G)$ is the Banach space

$$W^{k,p}(G) = \{u: u \text{ in } L^p(G), D^\alpha u \text{ in } L^p(G), |\alpha| \leq k\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{k,p} = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p(G)}^p \right\}^{1/p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

(I) *Periodic solutions of strongly non-linear parabolic equations.* The existence of periodic solutions of the strongly non-linear parabolic equations considered by Lions [3] may be established by applying Theorem 1 and the remark following Assumption (I).

(II) *Periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations.* Let

$$S = \{\varphi: \varphi \text{ in } C_c^\infty(G); \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\}.$$

H, V, W are the completion of S in the $L^2(G)$ -norm, the $(\|\cdot\|_{1,2})$ -norm, and the $(\|\cdot\|_{m,4})$ -norm, respectively, where $m = 1 + [n/4]$.

Then $W \subset V \subset H$. W is dense in V and V is dense in H . The natural injection mappings of W into V and of V into H are compact since G is bounded.

Take Y to be the Banach space $Y = L^4(0, T; W)$ and $F = L^2(0, T; V)$. Consider the problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_j u - \Delta u + \sum_{j=1}^n u_j D_j u + \operatorname{grad} p = f & \text{on } G \times [0, T]; \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{on } G \times [0, T] \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial G \times [0, T]; \\ u(x, 0) = u(x, T) & \text{on } G. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 3. *For each f in $L^2(0, T; V^*)$, there exists u in $L^2(0, T; V)$ and in $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ with u' in $L^2(0, T; W^*)$ such that*

$$-((u, \varphi')) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T (u_j D_j u, \varphi)_H dt + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T (D_j u, D_j \varphi)_H dt = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$$

for all φ in Y with φ' in Y^* and $\varphi(0) = \varphi(T)$.

Proof. From the Sobolev embedding theorem we have:

$$W \subset C(\operatorname{cl} G).$$

The natural injection mapping of W into $C(\operatorname{cl} G)$ is continuous.

Let

$$a(u, v) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^T \{ (D_j u_k, D_j v_k)_H + (u_j D_j u_k, v_k)_H \} dt,$$

where u is in $X = L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)$ and v in Y . $a(u, v)$ is well-defined and, moreover, continuous, linear in v on Y . Hence $a(u, v) = ((Au, v))$.

To prove the theorem, we shall apply Theorem 1.

We check that A satisfies all the hypotheses of Assumption (I). Suppose that $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in X and $u_n' \rightarrow u'$ weakly in Y^* . Since the natural injection mapping of V into H is compact, it follows from a result of Aubin [1] that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(0, T; H)$.

An easy argument, using the Lebesgue convergence theorem yields: $Au_n \rightarrow Au$ weakly in Y^* .

It remains to verify part (iii) of Assumption (I). Suppose that $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in Y and $u_n' \rightarrow u'$ weakly in Y^* . Since the natural injection mapping of W into V is compact, it follows from [1] again that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^4(0, T; V)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n Du_n - u Du\|_{L^2(0, T; H)} \\ \leq C \|u\|_{Y^*} \|u_n - u\|_{L^4(0, T; H)} + \|Du_n - Du\|_{L^4(0, T; H)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $Au_n \rightarrow Au$ in Y^* .

To apply Theorem 1, it suffices to check part (iv) of the hypotheses of Theorem 1.

For u in Y ,

$$a(u, u) = ((Au, u)) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^T (D_j u_k, D_j u_k)_H dt \leq C \|u\|_F^2.$$

Applying Theorem 1, we obtain u in X with u' in Y^* such that

$$u' + Au = f, \quad u(0) = u(T).$$

Since $\|Au\|_{L^2(0,T;W^*)} \leq M\{\|u\|_F + \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|u\|_F\}$, we have $u' = f - Au$ in $L^2(0, T; W^*)$.

The theorem is proved.

The existence of periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations without any restriction on the dimension of the space domain was shown by Prouse [5] when f is periodic in time and by Lions [4] for f in $L^2(0, T; V^*)$. Lions solved the initial-value problem in a finite-dimensional space, then used a fixed-point theorem (in order to obtain a uniform estimate in $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ of the approximate solutions) to show the existence of periodic solutions in finite-dimensional subspaces. Finally by going to the limit, the approximate solutions are shown to converge weakly in $L^2(0, T; V)$ to a periodic solution of the Navier-Stokes equations.

(III) *Periodic solutions of an equation considered by Temam* [6]. Consider the problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_j u_\epsilon - \Delta u_\epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^n u_{j\epsilon} D_j u_\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon + \operatorname{grad} p_\epsilon = f & \text{on } G \times [0, T]; \\ \operatorname{div}(u_\epsilon) = -p_\epsilon \cdot \epsilon, & u_\epsilon(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial G \times [0, T]; \\ u_\epsilon(x, 0) = u_\epsilon(x, T) & \text{on } G. \end{cases}$$

The initial-value problem for the above equation was studied by Temam in [6] when $n = 2, 3$.

Let H be the Hilbert space $L^2(G)$ and V, W the completion of $C_c^\infty(G)$ with respect to the $(\|\cdot\|_{1,2})$ -norm and the $(\|\cdot\|_{m,4})$ -norm, respectively, with $m = 1 + [n/4]$.

Take $F = L^2(0, T; V)$ and $Y = L^4(0, T; W)$ with $X = F \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_\epsilon(u, v; w) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T \int_G D_j u \cdot D_j w \, dx dt + \int_0^T \int_G \epsilon^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u) \operatorname{div}(w) \, dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_0^T \int_G u_j (D_j v_k \cdot w_k - v_k \cdot D_j w_k) \, dx dt, \quad u, v \text{ in } X \text{ and } w \text{ in } Y. \end{aligned}$$

$a_\epsilon(u, v; w)$ is well-defined and $a_\epsilon(u, u; v) = ((A_\epsilon u, v))$ for u in X and v in Y .

THEOREM 4. *For each f in F^* and for $\epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists u_ϵ in X with u'_ϵ in $L^2(0, T; W^*)$ such that*

$$u'_\epsilon + A_\epsilon u_\epsilon = f, \quad u_\epsilon(0) = u_\epsilon(T).$$

Moreover $\|u_\epsilon\|_F + \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)} + \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\operatorname{div}(u_\epsilon)\|_{L^2(G \times (0,T))} + \|u'_\epsilon\|_{Y^*} \leq M$. M is a constant independent of ϵ .

Proof. An argument as in the proof of Theorem 3 shows that A_ϵ satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 1. It follows from Theorem 2 that there exists $u_{\epsilon\eta}$ in Y with $u_{\epsilon\eta}'$ in Y^* such that:

$$u_{\epsilon\eta}' + \eta Ju_{\epsilon\eta} + A_\epsilon u_{\epsilon\eta} = f, \quad u_{\epsilon\eta}(0) = u_{\epsilon\eta}(T), \quad 0 < \eta < 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|u_{\epsilon\eta}\|_F + \eta \|u_{\epsilon\eta}\|_{Y^4} + \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}(u_{\epsilon\eta})\|_{L^2(G \times (0, T))} \leq M.$$

M is a constant independent of both ϵ and η .

An argument exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2 yields: $\|u_{\epsilon\eta}(0)\|_H \leq M$ and $\|u_{\epsilon\eta}(t)\|_H \leq C(1 + \|u_{\epsilon\eta}(0)\|_H) \leq M$. M and C are constants independent of ϵ , η , t .

Thus $\|u_{\epsilon\eta}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H)} \leq M$ and hence $\|u_{\epsilon\eta}'\|_{L^2(0, T; W^*)} \leq M$.

Let $\eta \rightarrow 0$; then from the weak compactness of the unit ball in a reflexive Banach space, we obtain $u_{\epsilon\eta} \rightarrow u_\epsilon$ weakly in F . Theorem 1 shows that u_ϵ is a solution of $u_\epsilon' + A_\epsilon u_\epsilon = f$ with $u_\epsilon(0) = u_\epsilon(T)$. All the other assertions of the theorem are trivial to verify.

THEOREM 5. *Let u_ϵ be a solution of $u_\epsilon' + A_\epsilon u_\epsilon = f$, $u_\epsilon(0) = u_\epsilon(T)$ of Theorem 4. Then as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$ weakly in F and u is a solution of $u' + Au = f$, $u(0) = u(T)$ of Theorem 3.*

Proof. From the weak compactness of the unit ball in a reflexive Banach space, we obtain by taking a subsequence if necessary:

$$u_\epsilon \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } F, \quad u_\epsilon \rightarrow u \text{ in the weak}^* \text{ topology of } L^\infty(0, T; H), \\ u_\epsilon' \rightarrow u' \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^*) \text{ and } \operatorname{div}(u_\epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(G \times (0, T)) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the injection mapping of V into H is compact, it follows from [1] that $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(0, T; H)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

From above, we have $\operatorname{div}(u_\epsilon) \rightarrow \operatorname{div}(u)$ weakly in $L^2(G \times (0, T))$ and thus $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$.

On the other hand, as in the proof on Theorem 1, we could show that $u(0) = u(T)$.

It remains to show that $A_\epsilon u_\epsilon \rightarrow Au$ weakly in Y^* . The proof is easy and is therefore omitted.

REFERENCES

1. J. P. Aubin, *Un théorème de compacité*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 256 (1963), 5042–5044.
2. F. E. Browder, *Existence of periodic solutions for nonlinear equations of evolution*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 53 (1965), 1100–1103.
3. J.-L. Lions, *Sur certaines équations paraboliques nonlinéaires*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 93 (1965), 155–175.
4. ———, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites nonlinéaires* (Dunod, Paris, 1969).

5. G. Prouse, *Soluzioni periodiche dell'equazione di Navier-Stokes*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei *35* (1963), 443–447.
6. R. Teman, *Une méthode d'approximation de la solution des équations de Navier-Stokes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France *96* (1968), 115–152.
7. B. A. Ton, *On strongly nonlinear parabolic equations* (to appear in J. Functional Analysis).

*University of British Columbia,
Vancouver, British Columbia*