

## THE $\sigma$ -LINKEDNESS OF THE MEASURE ALGEBRA

ALAN DOW AND JURIS STEPRĀNS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the measure algebra on the space  $2^{2^\omega}$  is  $\sigma$ - $n$ -linked for each  $n \in \omega$ .

**1. Introduction.** The Lebesgue measure,  $\lambda$  on  $2^X$  can be defined by defining the measure of elementary open sets and then using these to approximate other sets. In particular, if  $f: X \rightarrow 2$  is a finite partial function then  $[f]$  will denote the closed and open set in the product space  $2^X$  consisting of all  $\phi: X \rightarrow 2$  such that  $f \subseteq \phi$ . If  $|f| = n$  then  $\lambda([f]) = 2^{-n}$ . Lemma 1.1 is known as the *Lebesgue Density Lemma* and a proof can be found in [4].

LEMMA 1.1. *If  $A \subseteq 2^X$  is a set of positive measure and  $\rho < 1$  then there is a finite partial function  $f: X \rightarrow 2$  such that  $\lambda(A \cap [f]) > \rho\lambda([f])$ .*

A Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  is called  $\sigma$ - $k$ -linked if  $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{B}_n$  such that for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_n$  the meet  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^k b_i$  is not empty. This paper is concerned with this property for algebras of measurable subsets of  $2^\kappa$  modulo the ideal of sets  $X$  such that  $\lambda(X) = 0$ —such algebras will be denoted by  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ . It is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.1 that  $\mathbb{M}(\omega)$ —or, equivalently, the measure algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ —is  $\sigma$ - $k$ -linked for each  $k \in \omega$ . To prove this, simply choose for each set  $X$  of positive measure a basic open set  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\lambda(\mathcal{U} \cap X) > \frac{k}{k+1}\lambda(\mathcal{U})$  and use the countable base to obtain a decomposition into  $\sigma$ - $k$ -linked families. Observe however, that this proof does not generalise to  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$  for  $\kappa \geq \omega_1$  because the space  $2^\kappa$  does not have a countable base in this case. The use of a countable base is crucial in this proof and the fact that  $2^\omega$  has a countable dense subset is not sufficient. Moreover, it is not possible to prove that  $\mathbb{M}(\omega)$  is  $\sigma$ -centred—in other words,  $\mathbb{M}(\omega)$  is not the union of countably many families which have the property that all finite subsets have non-empty intersection. It seems, therefore, that the classical result of Lebesgue is the best possible. It is the purpose of this paper to provide a proof of this result which does not rely on the fact that  $2^{\aleph_0}$  has a countable base. Indeed, the argument shows that  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$  is  $\sigma$ - $n$ -linked for every  $n$  if  $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . This answers a question of Fremlin who has, independently, proved the result for  $\kappa = \omega_1$  [3].

The interest in this question stems from the fact that cellularity properties, such as  $\sigma$ -linkedness, are widely studied by set theorists and topologists. The measure algebra  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$  was the last of the classical algebras for which it was not known exactly which

---

Both authors are partially supported by NSERC.

Received by the editors April 1, 1992.

AMS subject classification: 28A60, 04A20.

Key words and phrases: measure algebra,  $\sigma$ -linked.

© Canadian Mathematical Society, 1993.

cellularity properties it satisfied. The fact that  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$  is  $\sigma$ - $n$ -linked for every  $n$  if  $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$  settles all such questions.

2.  $\mathbb{M}(2^{\aleph_0})$  is  $\sigma$ - $k$ -linked. The following lemma follows directly from the definition of the product measure.

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are finite partial functions from  $X$  to  $2$  such that*

$$\text{dom}(a_j) \cap \text{dom}(b_i) = \emptyset$$

*for  $j \in k$  and  $i \in m$  and  $A = \bigcup_{i < k} [a_i]$  and  $B = \bigcup_{i < m} [b_i]$  then  $\lambda(A \cap B) = \lambda(A)\lambda(B)$ .*

DEFINITION 2.1. Given a partition  $Z_0 \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 = X$  of  $X$  and integers  $m$  and  $k$  define  $L(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, m, k)$  to be the set of all measurable subsets  $A \subseteq 2^X$  such that there are finite partial functions  $f: X \rightarrow 2$  and  $t_i: X \rightarrow 2$ , for  $i \in M$ , such that

- (1)  $\lambda([f]) = 2^{-m}$
- (2)  $\lambda(A \cap [f]) > (\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+2})2^{-m}$
- (3)  $t_i \supset f$  for each  $i \in M$
- (4)  $f^{-1}\{i\} \subseteq Z_i$  for  $i \in 2$
- (5)  $\text{dom}(t_i \setminus f) \subseteq Z_2$  for  $i \in M$
- (6)  $\lambda(\bigcup_{i \in M} [t_i] \Delta (A \cap [f])) < 2^{-km}(\frac{1}{2(k+2)})$

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $\{A_i : i \in k\} \subseteq L(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, m, k)$  then  $\lambda(\bigcap_{i \in k} A_i) > 0$ .*

PROOF. For each  $j \in k$  let  $f_j$  and  $t_i^j: X \rightarrow 2$ , for  $i \in M_j$ , witness that  $A_j \in L(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, m, k)$ —in other words

- $\lambda([f_j]) = 2^{-m}$
- $\lambda(A_j \cap [f_j]) > (\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+2})2^{-m}$
- $\lambda((\bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j] \Delta (A_j \cap [f_j]))) < 2^{-km}(\frac{1}{2(k+2)})$

Let  $g: X \rightarrow 2$  be any finite partial function such that

- $g^{-1}\{i\} \subseteq Z_i$  for  $i \in 2$
- $\lambda([g]) = 2^{-km}$
- $g \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in k} f_i$

and observe that the last condition can be satisfied since Condition 4 of Definition 2.1 implies that  $\bigcup_{i \in k} f_i$  is a function. It suffices to show that  $\lambda(A_j \cap [g]) > \frac{k}{k+1} \lambda([g])$  for each  $j \in k$ .

To this end note that  $\text{dom}(t_i^j) \cap \text{dom}(g \setminus f_j) = \emptyset$  for each  $j \in k$  and  $i \in M_j$ . Hence it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda\left([g \setminus f_j] \cap \left(\bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j]\right)\right) \\ &= \lambda([g \setminus f_j])\lambda\left(\bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j]\right) = 2^{-(k-1)m}\lambda\left(\bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j]\right) \\ &\geq 2^{-(k-1)m}\lambda\left(A_j \cap \left(\bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j]\right)\right) \geq 2^{-(k-1)m}\left(\lambda(A_j \cap [f_j]) - \lambda\left((A_j \cap [f_j]) \Delta \bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j]\right)\right) \\ &\geq 2^{-(k-1)m}\left(\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+2}\right)2^{-m} - 2^{-km}\left(\frac{1}{2(k+2)}\right) \geq 2^{-km}\left(\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{2(k+2)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Notice also that, because  $t_i^j \supseteq f_j$  it follows that  $[g \setminus f_j] \cap \bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j] = [g] \cap (\bigcup_{i \in M_j} [t_i^j])$ . Hence

$$\lambda([g] \cap A_j) \geq \lambda\left([g] \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \in M_i} [t_i^j]\right) \cap A_j\right) \geq \lambda\left([g] \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \in M_i} [t_i^j]\right)\right) - \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j \in M_i} [t_i^j] \Delta (A_j \cap [g])\right)$$

and so, since  $f_j \subseteq g$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda([g] \cap A_j) &\geq \lambda\left([g] \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \in M_i} [t_i^j]\right)\right) - \lambda\left(\left(\bigcup_{j \in M_i} [t_i^j]\right) \Delta (A_j \cap [f_j])\right) \\ &\geq 2^{-km} \left(\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{2(k+2)}\right) - \frac{2^{-km}}{2(k+2)} = \frac{k}{k+1} \lambda([g]) \end{aligned}$$

as was required. ■

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The measure algebra on  $2^c$ ,  $\mathbb{M}(2^c)$ , is  $\sigma$ - $k$ -linked for each  $k \in \omega$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{(Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n) : n \in \omega\}$  be a family of partitions of  $c$  such that for any three disjoint finite sets  $x_0, x_1$  and  $x_2$  there is some  $n \in \omega$  such that  $x_i \subseteq Z_i^n$  for each  $i \in 3$ —if  $c$  is identified with the irrationals then  $\{(Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n) : n \in \omega\}$  can be thought of as the family of finite unions of intervals with rational end points. It suffices to show that if  $A \subseteq 2^c$  is a set of positive measure then there is some  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $A \in L(Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n, m, k)$ .

To see this use Lemma 1.1 to find a finite partial function  $f: c \rightarrow 2$  such that  $|f| = m$  and  $\lambda(A \cap [f]) > (\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+2})2^{-m}$ . Then approximate  $A \cap [f]$  by an open set  $\mathcal{W}$  so that  $A \cap [f] \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  and  $\lambda(\mathcal{W} \setminus (A \cap [f])) < \frac{2^{-km}}{4(k+2)}$ . Now choose finite partial functions  $t_i: c \rightarrow 2$  for  $i \in M$  such that  $[t_i] \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  for each  $i \in M$  and such that  $\lambda(\mathcal{W} \setminus \bigcup_{i \in M} [t_i]) < \frac{2^{-km}}{4(k+2)}$  it follows that  $\lambda(A \Delta (\bigcup_{i \in M} [t_i])) < \frac{2^{-km}}{2(k+2)}$ . It may, without loss of generality, be assumed that  $f \subseteq t_i$  for each  $i \in M$ . Now choose  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\{\xi \in c : f(\xi) = i\} \subseteq Z_i^n$  and  $\bigcup_{i \in M} \text{dom}(t_i) \subseteq Z_2^n$ . It now follows that  $A \in L(Z_0^n, Z_1^n, Z_2^n, m, k)$  as required. ■

**3. Remarks.** It is worth noting that Theorem 2.1 is the best possible in the sense that  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$  is easily seen to be not  $\sigma$ -2-linked if  $\kappa > 2^{\aleph_0}$ . The reason for this is that  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$  contains the algebra of clopen subsets of  $2^\kappa$  and it is known (p. 122 [2]) that  $2^\kappa$  is not separable if  $\kappa > 2^{\aleph_0}$ . The algebra of clopen subsets of  $2^\kappa$  is easily seen to be  $\sigma$ -2-linked if and only if  $2^\kappa$  is separable. This leads to the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *The measure algebra of a probability space is  $\sigma$ - $n$ -linked for some  $n$  if and only if it is  $\sigma$ - $n$ -linked for every  $n$ .*

**PROOF.** This follows directly from Theorem 2.1 and Maharam’s Theorem [5] which states that the measure algebra of a homogeneous probability space is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$  for some  $\kappa$  and every algebra is obtained from disjoint unions of such spaces. ■

This corollary is not true for arbitrary Boolean algebras. Examples can be found in [1].

## REFERENCES

1. M. G. Bell, *Compact ccc non-separable spaces*, *Topology Proc.* **5**(1980), 11–25.
2. R. Engelking, *General topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.
3. D. H. Fremlin, *Measure algebras*, *Handbook of Boolean Algebras*, Amsterdam, (eds. J. Donald Monk and Robert Bonnet), **3**, North-Holland, 877–980.
4. John C. Oxtoby, *Measure and category*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **2**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
5. J. Roitman, *Basic  $S$  and  $L$* , *Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology*, Amsterdam, (eds. K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan), North-Holland, 295–326.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*York University*  
*4700 Keele Street*  
*North York, Ontario*  
*M3J 1P3*

*Department of Mathematics*  
*York University*  
*4700 Keele Street*  
*North York, Ontario*  
*M3J 1P3*