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Abstract. Let $G$ be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$. The degree Kirchhoff index of $G$ is defined as $S^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} d(u) d(v) R(u, v)$, where $d(u)$ is the degree of vertex $u$, and $R(u, v)$ denotes the resistance distance between vertices $u$ and $v$. In this paper, we characterize the graphs having maximum and minimum degree Kirchhoff index among all $n$-vertex bicyclic graphs with exactly two cycles.

## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a simple undirected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. In this paper, all graphs considered are assumed to be connected. The distance $d(v, u)$ between the vertices $v$ and $u$ of the graph $G$ is defined as the length of a shortest path between $v$ and $u$. The Wiener index is defined as the sum of distances between all unordered pairs of vertices

$$
W(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} d(u, v) .
$$

It is one of the most used topological indices, well correlated with many physical and chemical properties of a variety of classes of chemical compounds.

A multiplicative weighted version of the Wiener index is the Schultz index of the second kind $S(G)$ defined as

$$
S(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} d(u) d(v) d(u, v) .
$$

It was introduced by Gutman in [6], where it is also pointed out that the relation

$$
S(G)=4 W(G)-(2 n-1)(n-1)
$$

holds for trees.
The Kirchhoff index (or resistance index) is defined, in analogy to the Wiener index [1], by

$$
K f(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} R(u, v)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} R(v) .
$$

where $R(u, v)$ denotes the resistance distance (see [5]) between vertices $u$ and $v$ and $R(v)$ stands for the sum of resistance distances between the vertex $v$ and all other

[^0]vertices of $G$ :
$$
R(v)=R(v \mid G)=\sum_{u \in V(G)} R(u, v) .
$$

Recently, a new index called the degree Kirchhoff index was introduced in [2] and further studied in [3, 4, 7]. It is defined as

$$
S^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} d(u) d(v) R(u, v)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) S^{\prime}(v),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}(v)=S^{\prime}(v \mid G)=\sum_{u \in V(G)} d(u) R(u, v) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definitions of $S(G), S^{\prime}(G)$, and $R(u, v) \leq d(u, v)$, we have $S^{\prime}(G) \leq S(G)$.
For a tree, $R(u, v)=d(u, v)$, we have $K f(G)=W(G)$ and $S^{\prime}(G)=S(G)$. In [3], the authors determined the first two maximum and the first two minimum degree Kirchhoff indices of unicyclic graphs. Reference [4] found the fully loaded unicyclic graphs with maximum and minimum degree Kirchhoff index and the extremal cacti with minimum degree Kirchhoff index. Specifically, they gave the minimum degree Kirchhoff index of bicyclic graphs with two edge disjoint cycles. In this paper, we further characterize the graphs having maximum and minimum degree Kirchhoff index among all $n$-vertex bicyclic graphs with exactly two cycles.

## 2 Preliminary

Lemma 2.1 ([5]) Let $x$ be a cut vertex of a graph $G$, and let $a$ and $b$ be vertices occurring in different components that arise upon deletion of $x$. Then $R(a, b)=R(a, x)+$ $R(x, b)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([3]) Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be connected graphs with disjoint vertex sets and with $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ edges, respectively. Let $u_{1} \in V\left(G_{1}\right), u_{2} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Construct the graph $G$ by identifying the vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and denote the so-obtained vertex by $u$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}(G)=S^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)+S^{\prime}\left(G_{2}\right)+2 m_{1} S^{\prime}\left(u_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)+2 m_{2} S^{\prime}\left(u_{1} \mid G_{1}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $H_{n, 3}$ the graph obtained by adding $n-3$ pendant vertices to a vertex of $C_{3}$ and by $U_{3}^{n}$ the graph obtained by adding a path with $n-3$ vertices to a vertex of $C_{3}$.

Lemma 2.3 ([3]) Let $G$ be an unicyclic graph with $n$ vertices. Then $S^{\prime}\left(U_{3}^{n}\right) \geq S^{\prime}(G) \geq$ $S^{\prime}\left(H_{n, 3}\right)$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $G_{0}$ be a connected graph with $m_{0}$ edges and $u, w \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$ such that $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{0}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v \mid G_{0}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(w \mid G_{0}\right)$ for all $v \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$. If $F, G, H$ are graphs obtained from $G_{0}$ by attaching a pendant vertex $v_{1}$ at $u, v, w$, respectively. Then $S^{\prime}(u \mid F) \leq$ $S^{\prime}(x \mid G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v_{1} \mid H\right)$ for all $x \in V(G)$.

By equation (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}\left(v_{1} \mid G\right) & =\sum_{t \in V(G)} d_{G}(t) R\left(t, v_{1}\right)=\sum_{t \in V(G)} d_{G}(t)(R(t, v)+1) \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)-\{v\}} d_{G_{0}}(t)(R(t, v)+1)+d_{G_{0}}(v)+1 \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(t) R(t, v)+\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(t)+1 \\
& =S^{\prime}\left(v \mid G_{0}\right)+2 m_{0}+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $x \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}(x \mid G) & =\sum_{t \in V(G)} d_{G}(t) R(t, x) \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)-\{v\}} d_{G_{0}}(t) R(t, x)+\left(d_{G_{0}}(v)+1\right) R(v, x)+R\left(v_{1}, x\right) \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(t) R(t, x)+2 R(v, x)+1=S^{\prime}\left(x \mid G_{0}\right)+2 R(v, x)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $0 \leq R(v, x) \leq m_{0}$ for $x \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$, we and have

$$
S^{\prime}\left(x \mid G_{0}\right)+1 \leq S^{\prime}(x \mid G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(x \mid G_{0}\right)+2 m_{0}+1 .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}(u \mid F) & =\sum_{t \in V(F)} d_{F}(t) R(t, u) \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)-\{u\}} d_{G_{0}}(t) R(t, u)+\left(d_{G_{0}}(u)+1\right) R(u, u)+R\left(v_{1}, u\right) \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(t) R(t, u)+R\left(v_{1}, u\right)=S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{0}\right)+1, \\
S^{\prime}\left(v_{1} \mid H\right) & =\sum_{t \in V(H)} d_{H}(t) R\left(t, v_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)-\{w\}} d_{G_{0}}(t)(R(t, w)+1)+\left(d_{G_{0}}(w)+1\right) R\left(w, v_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(t) R(t, w)+\sum_{t \in V\left(G_{0}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(t)+1=S^{\prime}\left(w \mid G_{0}\right)+2 m_{0}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{0}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v \mid G_{0}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(w \mid G_{0}\right)$ for all $v \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
S^{\prime}(u \mid F) \leq S^{\prime}(x \mid G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v_{1} \mid H\right) \quad \forall x \in V(G) .
$$

Theorem 2.5 Let $G_{0}$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices and let $m_{0}$ edges and $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}=\mathcal{G}_{n, k}\left(G_{0}\right)$ be the set of all connected graphs of order $n+k$ that have $G_{0}$ as an induced subgraph such that the components of $G-E\left(G_{0}\right)$ are trees $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}$, and each $T_{i}$ intersects $G_{0}$ at a single point. Choose vertices $u$ and $w$ such that $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{0}\right) \leq$ $S^{\prime}\left(v \mid G_{0}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(w \mid G_{0}\right)$ for all $v \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$, and construct a graph $G_{k}=G_{0}+w v_{1}+P_{k}$ from $G_{0}$ by attaching a path $P_{k}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{k}$ at $w$, and a graph $G_{k}^{\prime}=G_{0}+\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \ldots, u v_{k}\right\}$ from $G_{0}$ by attaching $k$ pendant vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ at $u$. Then
(i) $\quad G_{k}$ has the maximal degree Kirchhoff index and $G_{k}^{\prime}$ has the minimum degree Kirchhoff index among $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}$;
(ii) $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}(x \mid H) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v_{k} \mid G_{k}\right)$ for all $H \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and $x \in V(H)$.

Proof We will prove the theorem by induction on $k$.
If $k=1$, let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n, 1}$, then $G$ can be obtained by attaching a pendant vertex $v_{1}$ at a vertex $v$ of $G_{0}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
S^{\prime}(G)=S^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right)+2 S^{\prime}\left(v \mid G_{0}\right)+2 m_{0}+1
$$

So $S^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right)+2 S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{0}\right)+2 m_{0}+1 \leq S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right)+2 S^{\prime}\left(w \mid G_{0}\right)+2 m_{0}+$ 1, i.e., $S^{\prime}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$. And $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}(x \mid H) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)$ for all $H \in \mathcal{G}_{n, 1}$ and $x \in V(H)$ from Lemma 2.4. Results (i) and (ii) hold for $k=1$.

Suppose results (i) and (ii) hold for $k=t$. If $H \in \mathcal{G}_{n, t+1}$, then $H$ can be obtained from a graph $H^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, t}$ by attaching a pendant vertex $v_{t+1}$ at a vertex $v \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
S^{\prime}(H)=S^{\prime}\left(H^{\prime}\right)+2 S^{\prime}\left(v \mid H^{\prime}\right)+2 m_{t}+1
$$

where $m_{t}=\left|E\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|=m_{0}+t$. And $S^{\prime}\left(G_{t}^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(G_{t}\right)$ and $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{t}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $S^{\prime}\left(v \mid H^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v_{t} \mid G_{t}\right)$ from the induction hypothesis.

So, $S^{\prime}\left(G_{t}^{\prime}\right)+2 S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{t}^{\prime}\right)+2 m_{t}+1 \leq S^{\prime}(H) \leq S^{\prime}\left(G_{t}\right)+2 S^{\prime}\left(v_{t} \mid G_{t}\right)+2 m_{t}+1$, i.e., $S^{\prime}\left(G_{t+1}^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}(H) \leq S^{\prime}\left(G_{t+1}\right)$.

On the other hand, as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
S^{\prime}(x \mid H)=S^{\prime}\left(x \mid H^{\prime}\right)+2 R(v, x)+1 \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq R(v, x) \leq m_{t}
$$

for $x \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}\left(v_{t+1} \mid H\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(v \mid H^{\prime}\right)+2 m_{t}+1 \\
S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{t+1}^{\prime}\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{t}^{\prime}\right)+1 \\
S^{\prime}\left(v_{t+1} \mid G_{t+1}\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(v_{t} \mid G_{t}\right)+2 m_{t}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

By the induction hypothesis, $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{t}^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v \mid H^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v_{t} \mid G_{t}\right)$, and so we have $S^{\prime}\left(u \mid G_{t+1}^{\prime}\right) \leq S^{\prime}(x \mid H) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v_{t+1} \mid G_{t+1}\right)$ for all $x \in V(H)$.

Therefore, results (i) and (ii) hold for $k=t+1$.

## 3 The Main Results

Let $G$ be a bicyclic graph of order $n$, with exactly two cycles $C_{k_{1}}, C_{k_{2}}$, with skeleton graph either $B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ or $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ (see Figure 1).

Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ the set of bicyclic graphs of order $n$ with the skeleton graph $B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$, and by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ the set of bicyclic graphs of order $n$ with the skeleton graph $B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ for all $k_{1} \geq 3$ and $k_{2} \geq 3$.

For $x \in V\left(B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right), B_{1}^{x}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)$ is the graph obtained by identifying $x$ of $B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ with the center $v$ of the star $S_{t+1}$, and denote the so obtained vertex by $x$; i.e., $B_{1}^{x}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)$ is the graph obtained $B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ by attaching $t$ pendant vertices at $x$, where $t=n-k_{1}-k_{2}+1 ; B_{1}^{x}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)$ is the graph obtained by identifying $x$ of $B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ with an end vertex $v$ of the path $P_{t+1}$, and denote the so obtained vertex by $x ; B_{1}^{x}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)$ is the graph obtained from $B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ by attaching a path $P_{t}$ at $x$.

$B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$

$B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$

Figure 1: The skeleton graphs of bicyclic graphs ( $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$ ).

Let $u$ be the unique common vertex of $C_{k_{1}}$ and $C_{k_{2}}$, and $w$ is a vertex of $C_{k_{1}}$ such that $d(u, w) \geq d(v, u)$ for all $v \in V\left(C_{k_{1}}\right)$. By computing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{3}+k_{2}^{3}+2 k_{2} k_{1}^{2}+2 k_{1} k_{2}^{2}-3 k_{1}-3 k_{2}\right) ; \\
S^{\prime}\left(u \mid B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-2\right), \\
S^{\prime}\left(w \mid B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) & = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-2\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{1} k_{2}, & \text { if } k_{1} \text { is even, } \\
\frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-2\right)+\frac{1}{2 k_{1}} k_{2}\left(k_{1}^{2}-1\right), & \text { if } k_{1} \text { is odd, }, \\
S^{\prime}\left(S_{t}\right) & =2 t^{2}-5 t+3, \quad S^{\prime}\left(v \mid S_{t}\right)=t-1, \\
S^{\prime}\left(P_{t}\right) & =\frac{1}{3}(t-1)\left(2 t^{2}-4 t+3\right), \quad S^{\prime}\left(v \mid P_{t}\right)=(t-1)^{2} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$, then

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right) .
$$

Proof For all $x \in V\left(B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$, it is computed out that

$$
S^{\prime}\left(u \mid B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(x \mid B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(w \mid B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

By taking $G_{0}=B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ in Theorem 2.5, we have $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}(G) \leq$ $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right)$.

The result shows that $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right)$ has the maximal degree Kirchhoff index, and $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right)$ has the minimum degree Kirchhoff index among $\mathcal{B}_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 3.2 If $k_{1}>3$, then $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}-1, k_{2}, S\right)\right)<S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right)$.
Proof By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right)= \\
& \quad S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)+S^{\prime}\left(S_{t}\right)+2(t-1) S^{\prime}\left(u \mid B_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)+2\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) S^{\prime}\left(v \mid S_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right)-S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}-1, k_{2}, S\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{2}+4 k_{1} k_{2}-9 k_{1}-8 k_{2}+11\right)+\frac{2}{3} t\left(2 k_{1}-4\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{3}\left[\left(k_{1}^{2}-5 k_{1}+11\right]+\frac{2}{3} t\left(2 k_{1}-4\right)\right. \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(k_{1}-\frac{5}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{19}{4}\right]+\frac{2}{3} t\left(2 k_{1}-4\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}-1, k_{2}, S\right)\right)<S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right)$.
Theorem 3.3 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, then $S^{\prime}(G) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}(3,3, S)\right)$.
Proof For $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, there are $k_{1} \geq k_{2} \geq 3$ such that $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
S^{\prime}(G) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right)
$$

Using Lemma 3.2 repeatedly, we have $S^{\prime}(G) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}(3,3, S)\right)$.

Theorem 3.4 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, then $S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}(3,3, P)\right)$.
Proof For $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, there are $k_{1} \geq k_{2} \geq 3$ such that $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right) .
$$

Let $U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}$ be the induced subgraph of $B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)$ by the cycle $C_{k_{1}}$ and the path $P_{t}$, i.e., $U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}$ is an unicyclic graph of order $n_{1}$ obtained from $C_{k-1}$ by attaching a path $P_{t}$ at $w$, where $n_{1}=k_{1}+t$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right)=S^{\prime}\left(U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right)+S^{\prime}\left(C_{k_{2}}\right)+2 k_{2} S^{\prime}\left(u \mid U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right)+2 n_{1} S^{\prime}\left(u \mid C_{k_{2}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(3, k_{2}, P\right)\right)-S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right) \\
& \quad=S^{\prime}\left(U_{3}^{n_{1}}\right)-S^{\prime}\left(U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right)+2 k_{2}\left(S^{\prime}\left(w \mid U_{3}^{n_{1}}\right)-S^{\prime}\left(w \mid U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(3, k_{2}, P\right)\right) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $w^{\prime}$ be a vertex of $C_{k_{2}}$ such that $d\left(u, w^{\prime}\right) \geq d(x, u)$ for all $x \in V\left(C_{k_{2}}\right)$. Then

$$
S^{\prime}\left(x \mid B_{1}\left(3, k_{2}\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime} \mid B_{1}\left(3, k_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for all $x \in V\left(B_{1}\left(3, k_{2}\right)\right)$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(3, k_{2}, P\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w^{\prime}}\left(3, k_{2}, P\right)\right)
$$

By equation (3.1), we have

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w^{\prime}}\left(k_{2}, 3, P\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w^{\prime}}(3,3, P)\right)
$$

So $S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}(3,3, P)\right)$.

For the skeleton graph $G=B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ (see Figure 1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \in V\left(C_{k_{1}}-v_{1}\right), S^{\prime}(x \mid G)= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-2\right)+(t-1)^{2}+2 R\left(x, u_{1}\right)\left(k_{2}+t-1\right)+2 k_{2}(t-1), \\
& x \in V\left(C_{k_{2}}-v_{t}\right), S^{\prime}(x \mid G)= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-2\right)+(t-1)^{2}+2 R\left(x, u_{t}\right)\left(k_{1}+t-1\right)+2 k_{1}(t-1), \\
& v_{l} \in V\left(P_{t}\right), S^{\prime}\left(v_{l} \mid G\right)= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{3}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-2\right)+(l-1)^{2}+(t-l-1)^{2}+2 k_{1}(l-1)+2 k_{2}(t-l-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From above, we can get the following results by direct computation.
(i) If $w$ is a vertex of $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ such that $S^{\prime}\left(w \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) \geq S^{\prime}\left(x \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$ for all $x \in V\left(B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$, then either $w$ is a vertex of $C_{k_{1}}$ such that $d\left(w, v_{1}\right) \geq d\left(x, v_{1}\right)$ for all $x \in V\left(C_{k_{1}}\right)$, or $w$ is a vertex of $C_{k_{2}}$ such that $d\left(w, v_{t}\right) \geq d\left(x, v_{t}\right)$ for all $x \in V\left(C_{k_{2}}\right)$.
(ii) If $v$ is a vertex of $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ such that $S^{\prime}\left(v \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(x \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$ for all $x \in V\left(B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$, then $v=v_{l} \in P_{t}$, where $l=\left\lfloor\frac{t+1}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ the set of bicyclic graphs of order $n$ whose skeleton graph is $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$, and by $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ the set of bicyclic graphs of order $n$ whose skeleton graph is $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ for all $k_{1} \geq 3$ and $k_{2} \geq 3$.

For $x \in V\left(B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right), B_{2}^{x}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)$ is the bicyclic graph obtained from $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ by attaching $k$ pendant vertices at $x ; B_{2}^{x}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)$ is the bicyclic graph obtained from $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ by attaching a path $P_{k}$ at $x$, where $k=n-k_{1}-k_{2}-t+2$.

Using Theorem 2.5, we can get the following result.
Lemma 3.5 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$, then

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}^{v_{l}}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right),
$$

where $w$ is a vertex of $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ such that $S^{\prime}\left(w \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) \geq S^{\prime}\left(x \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$ and $v$ is a vertex of $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ such that $S^{\prime}\left(v \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(x \mid B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$ for all $x \in$ $V\left(B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$.

Theorem 3.6 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$, then $S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}(3,3)\right)$.
Proof For $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$, there are $k_{1} \geq k_{2} \geq 3$ such that $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right)$.

Now, using equation (2.1) and Theorem 2.5, we have

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}^{w}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, P\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}$ be the induced subgraph of $B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ by its cycle $C_{k_{1}}$ and path $P_{t}$, where $n=k_{1}+k_{2}+t-2$ and $n_{1}=k_{1}+t-1$. Then $U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}$ is an unicyclic graph of order $n_{1}$, and $u=v_{t}$ is the vertex of degree 1 in $U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right)+S^{\prime}\left(C_{k_{2}}\right)+2 k_{2} S^{\prime}\left(u_{t} \mid U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right)+2 n_{1} S^{\prime}\left(u \mid C_{k_{2}}\right) \\
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}\left(3, k_{2}\right)\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(U_{3}^{n_{1}}\right)+S^{\prime}\left(C_{k_{2}}\right)+2 k_{2} S^{\prime}\left(u_{t} \mid U_{3}^{n_{1}}\right)+2 n_{1} S^{\prime}\left(u \mid C_{k_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S^{\prime}\left(U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(U_{3}^{n_{1}}\right)$ from Lemma 2.3 and $S^{\prime}\left(u_{t} \mid U_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(u_{t} \mid U_{3}^{n_{1}}\right)$, we have

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}\left(3, k_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Similarly,

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}\left(3, k_{2}\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}(3,3)\right) .
$$

So $S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}(3,3)\right)$.
Theorem 3.7 If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$, then $S^{\prime}(G) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}(3,3, S)\right)$.
Proof For $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$, there are $k_{1} \geq k_{2} \geq 3$ such that $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $S^{\prime}(G) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}^{v_{l}}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right)$.

Let $U$ be the unicyclic subgraph from $B_{2}^{v_{l}}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)$ by deleting all vertices in $C_{k_{2}}-\left\{v_{t}\right\}$. Also $H_{n_{1}, k_{1}}$ is the unicyclic graph obtained by attaching $n-k_{1}-k_{2}+1$ pendant vertices to a vertex of $C_{k_{1}}$, where $n_{1}=n-k_{2}+1$.

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}^{v_{l}}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) & =S^{\prime}(U)+S^{\prime}\left(C_{k_{2}}\right)+2 k_{2} S^{\prime}\left(v_{t} \mid U\right)+2 n_{1} S^{\prime}\left(v_{t} \mid C_{k_{2}}\right) \\
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(H_{n_{1}, k_{1}}\right)+S^{\prime}\left(C_{k_{2}}\right)+2 k_{2} S^{\prime}\left(u \mid H_{n_{1}, k_{1}}\right)+2 n_{1} S^{\prime}\left(u \mid C_{k_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S^{\prime}(U) \geq S^{\prime}\left(H_{n_{1}, k_{1}}\right)$ from Lemma 2.3, and $S^{\prime}\left(v_{t} \mid U\right) \geq S^{\prime}\left(u \mid H_{n_{1}, k_{1}}\right)$, where $u=v_{1}$, we have

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}^{v_{l}}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) .
$$

By Theorem 3.3, we have $S^{\prime}(G) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, S\right)\right) \geq S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}(3,3, S)\right)$.
Theorem 3.8 If $G$ is a bicyclic graph of order $n$ with exactly two cycles, then

$$
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}(3,3, S)\right) \leq S^{\prime}(G) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}(3,3)\right)
$$

Proof By Theorems 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, and 3.7, we only need to prove that $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{w}(3,3, P)\right) \leq$ $S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}(3,3)\right)$.

Let $U_{3}^{n-2}$ be the unicyclic graph of order $n-2$ obtained by attaching a path $P_{n-4}$ to a vertex of $C_{3}$. Then

$$
S^{\prime}\left(u \mid U_{3}^{n-2}\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(v \mid U_{3}^{n-2}\right)
$$

where $u$ is a vertex of $C_{3}$ with degree 2 in $U_{3}^{n-2}$, and $v$ is the vertex with degree 1 in $U_{3}^{n-2}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}(3,3, P)\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(C_{3}\right)+S^{\prime}\left(U_{3}^{n-2}\right)+6 S^{\prime}\left(u \mid U_{3}^{n-2}\right)+2(n-2) S^{\prime}\left(u \mid C_{3}\right) \\
S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}^{u}(3,3)\right) & =S^{\prime}\left(C_{3}\right)+S^{\prime}\left(U_{3}^{n-2}\right)+6 S^{\prime}\left(v \mid U_{3}^{n-2}\right)+2(n-2) S^{\prime}\left(v \mid C_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $S^{\prime}\left(B_{1}^{u}(3,3, P)\right) \leq S^{\prime}\left(B_{2}(3,3)\right)$.

Note that the minimum degree Kirchhoff index of bicyclic graphs with exactly two cycles is also obtained in [4] by using other method.
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