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#### Abstract

We give a new proof that bounded non-commutative functions on polynomial polyhedra can be represented by a realization formula, a generalization of the transfer function realization formula for bounded analytic functions on the unit disk.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{M}_{n}$ denote the $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries, and let $\mathbb{M}^{d}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$ be the set of all $d$-tuples of matrices of the same size. A non-commutative function (nc-function) on a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{d}$ is a function $\phi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{1}$ that satisfies

- $\phi$ is graded, which means that if $x \in E \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, then $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$;
- $\phi$ is intertwining preserving, which means that if $x, y \in E$ and $S$ is a linear operator satisfying $S x=y S$, then $S \phi(x)=\phi(y) S$.

The points $x$ and $y$ are $d$-tuples, so we write $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ and $y=\left(y^{1}, \ldots, y^{d}\right)$. By $S x=y S$, we mean that $S x^{r}=y^{r} S$ for each $1 \leq r \leq d$. See [9] for a general reference to nc-functions.

The principal result of [2] was a realization formula for nc-functions that are bounded on polynomial polyhedra; the object of this note is to give a simpler proof of this formula, (see Theorem 1.2).

Let $\delta$ be an $I \times J$ matrix whose entries are non-commutative polynomials in $d$ variables. If $x \in \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, then $\delta(x)$ can be naturally thought of as an element of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{C}^{J} \otimes\right.$ $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{I} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ ), where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the bounded linear operators, and all norms we use are operator norms on the appropriate spaces. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\delta}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{M}^{d}:\|\delta(x)\|<1\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any set of the form (1.1) is called a polynomial polyhedron. Let $H^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ denote the nc-functions on $B_{\delta}$ that are bounded, and let $H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ denote the closed unit ball, those nc-functions that are bounded by 1 for every $x \in B_{\delta}$.

[^0]Definition 1.1 A free realization for $\phi$ consists of an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and an isometry

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{C} \\
& \mathbb{C} \\
& \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{J} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{I} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

such that for all $x \in B_{\delta}$, we have

The 1 s need to be interpreted appropriately. If $x \in \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, then (1.2) means

We adopt the convention of [11] and write tensors vertically to enhance legibility. The bottom-most entry corresponds to the space on which $x$ originally acts; the top corresponds to the intrinsic part of the model on $\mathcal{M}$.

The following theorem was proved in [2]; another proof appears in [6].
Theorem 1.2 The function $\phi$ is in $H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ if and only if it has a free realization.
It is a straightforward calculation that any function of the form (1.2) is in $H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$. We wish to prove the converse. We shall use two other results: Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 .

If $E \subset \mathbb{M}^{d}$, we let $E_{n}$ denote $E \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$. If $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are Hilbert spaces, a $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$-valued nc function on a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{d}$ is a function $\phi$ such that

- $\phi$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$ graded, which means if $x \in E_{n}$, then $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$;
- $\phi$ is intertwining preserving, which means if $x, y \in E$ and $S$ is a linear operator satisfying $S x=y S$, then

$$
\stackrel{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{E}}}{\stackrel{\otimes}{8}} \phi(x)=\phi(y) \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{X}}}{\otimes}}{S} .
$$

Definition 1.3 An nc-model for $\phi \in H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ consists of an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and a $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{J}\right)$-valued nc-function $u$ on $B_{\delta}$ such that, for all pairs $x, y \in B_{\delta}$ that are on the same level, i.e., both in $B_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$ for some $n$,

$$
1-\phi(y)^{*} \phi(x)=u(y)^{*}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\stackrel{1}{\otimes}  \tag{1.3}\\
1-\delta(y)^{*} \delta(x)
\end{array}\right] u(x)
$$

Again, the 1 s have to be interpreted appropriately. If $x, y \in B_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, then (1.3) means

$$
\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}-\phi(y)^{*} \phi(x)=u(y)^{*}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\underset{\substack{x}}{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{M}}} \\
\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \mathbb{C}^{n}}-\delta(y)^{*} \delta(x)
\end{array}\right] u(x) .
$$

Theorem 1.4 A graded function on $B_{\delta}$ has an nc-model if and only if it has a free realization.

Theorem 1.4 was proved in [2], but a simpler proof was given by Balasubramanian [5]. Let us note for future reference that the functions $u$ in (1.3) are locally bounded, and therefore holomorphic [2, Theorem. 4.6].

The finite topology on $\mathbb{M}^{d}$ (also called the disjoint union topology) is the topology in which a set $\Omega$ is open if and only if for every $n, \Omega_{n}$ is open in the Euclidean topology on $\mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, and $\Omega$ is finitely open, we shall let $\operatorname{Hol}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\Omega)$ denote the $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H})$ graded nc-functions on $\Omega$ that are holomorphic on each $\Omega_{n}$. (A function $u$ is holomorphic in this context if for each $n$, each $x \in \Omega_{n}$, and each $h \in \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} 1 / t(u(x+t h)-u(x))$ exists.) A sequence of functions $u^{k}$ on $\Omega$ is finitely locally uniformly bounded if for each point $\lambda \in \Omega$, there is a finitely open neighborhood of $\lambda$ inside $\Omega$ on which the sequence is uniformly bounded.

The following wandering Montel theorem was proved in [1]. If $u$ is in $\operatorname{Hol}_{\mathscr{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\Omega)$


Theorem 1.5 Let $\Omega$ be finitely open, $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space, and $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ a finitely locally uniformly bounded sequence in $\operatorname{Hol}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{U^{k}\right\}$ of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\left\{U^{k} * u^{k}\right\}$ has a subsequence that converges finitely locally uniformly to a function in $\operatorname{Hol}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$.

Let $\phi \in H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$. We shall prove Theorem 1.2 in the following steps.
I For every $z \in B_{\delta}$, show that $\phi(z)$ is in $\operatorname{Alg}(z)$, the unital algebra generated by the elements of $z$.
II Prove that for every finite set $F \subseteq B_{\delta}$, there is an nc-model for a function $\psi$ that agrees with $\phi$ on $F$.
III Show that these nc-models have a cluster point that gives an nc-model for $\phi$.
IV Use Theorem 1.4 to get a free realization for $\phi$.
Remarks 1.6 Step I is noted in [2] as a corollary of Theorem 1.2; proving it independently allows us to streamline the proof of Theorem 1.2.

To prove Step II, we use one direction of [3, Theorem 1.3] that gives necessary and sufficient conditions to solve a finite interpolation problem on $B_{\delta}$. The proof of necessity of this theorem used Theorem 1.2, but for Step II we only need the sufficiency of the condition, and the proof of this in [3] did not use Theorem 1.2.

All three known proofs of Theorem 1.2 start by proving a realization on finite sets, and then somehow taking a limit. In [2], this was done by considering partial ncfunctions; in [6], it was done by using non-commutative kernels to get a compact set in which limit points must exist. In the current paper, we use the wandering Montel theorem.

## 2 Step I

Let $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. For $x$ in $\mathbb{M}_{n}$ or $\mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, let $x^{(k)}$ denote the direct sum of $k$ copies of $x$. If $x \in \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$ and $s$ is invertible in $\mathbb{M}_{n}$, then $s^{-1} x s$ denotes the $d$-tuple $\left(s^{-1} x^{1} s, \ldots, s^{-1} x^{d} s\right)$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $z \in \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, with $\|z\|<1$. Assume $w \notin \operatorname{Alg}(z)$. Then there is an invertible $s \in \mathbb{M}_{n^{2}}$ such that $\left\|s^{-1} z^{(n)} s\right\|<1$ and $\left\|s^{-1} w^{(n)} s\right\|>1$.

Proof Let $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Alg}(z)$. Since $w \notin \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is finite dimensional and therefore closed, the Hahn-Banach theorem says that there is a matrix $K \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(a K)=0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{tr}(w K) \neq 0$. Let $u \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be the direct sum of the columns of $K$, and $v=e_{1} \oplus e_{2} \oplus \cdots e_{n}$. Then for any $b \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}(b K)=\left\langle b^{(n)} u, v\right\rangle
$$

Let $\mathcal{A} \otimes$ id denote $\left\{a^{(n)}: a \in \mathcal{A}\right\}$. We have $\left\langle a^{(n)} u, v\right\rangle=0$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\left\langle w^{(n)} u, v\right\rangle \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{N}=(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{id}) u$. This is an $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{id}$-invariant subspace, but it is not $w^{(n)}$ invariant (since $v \perp \mathcal{N}$, but $v$ is not perpendicular to $\left.w^{(n)} u\right)$. So decomposing $\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ as $\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$, every matrix in $\mathcal{A} \otimes$ id has 0 in the (2,1) entry, and $w^{(n)}$ does not.

Let $s=\alpha I_{\mathcal{N}}+\beta I_{\mathcal{N}^{+}}$, with $\alpha \gg \beta>0$. Then

$$
s^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right] s=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & \frac{\beta}{\alpha} B \\
\frac{\alpha}{\beta} C & D
\end{array}\right] .
$$

If the ratio $\alpha / \beta$ is large enough, then for each of the $d$ matrices $z^{r}$, the corresponding $s^{-1}\left(z^{r} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) s$ will have strict contractions in the $(1,1)$ and $(2,2)$ slots, and each $(1,2)$ entry will be small enough so that the whole thing is a contraction.

For $w$, however, as the $(2,1)$ entry is non-zero, the norm of $s^{-1} w^{(n)} s$ can be made arbitrarily large.

Lemma 2.2 Let $z \in B_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, and $w \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ not be in $\mathcal{A}:=\operatorname{Alg}(z)$. Then there is an invertible $s \in \mathbb{M}_{n^{2}}$ such that $s^{-1} z^{(n)} s \in B_{\delta}$ and $\left\|s^{-1} w^{(n)} s\right\|>1$.

Proof As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can find an invariant subspace $\mathcal{N}$ for $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ that is not $w$-invariant. Decompose $\delta\left(z^{(n)}\right)$ as a map from $\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{J}\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{N}^{\perp} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{J}\right)$ into $\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{I}\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{N}^{\perp} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{I}\right)$. With $s$ as in Lemma 2.1, and $\alpha \gg \beta>0$, and $P$ the projection from $\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$, we get

$$
\delta\left(s^{-1} z^{(n)} s\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\stackrel{P}{\otimes} \delta\left(z^{(n)}\right) & \stackrel{P}{\otimes}  \tag{2.1}\\
\text { id } \\
\text { id }
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \underset{\text { id }}{\stackrel{P}{\otimes}} \delta\left(z^{(n)}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The matrix is upper triangular because every entry of $\delta$ is a polynomial, and $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-invariant. For $\alpha / \beta$ large enough, every matrix of the form (2.1) with $z \in B_{\delta}$ is a contraction, so $s^{-1} z^{(n)} s \in B_{\delta}$. But $s^{-1} w^{(n)} s$ will contain a non-zero entry multiplied by $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, so we achieve the claim.

Theorem 2.3 If $\phi$ is in $H^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$, then for all $z \in B_{\delta}$, we have $\phi(z) \in \operatorname{Alg}(z)$.
Proof We can assume that $z \in B_{\delta}$ and that $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ on $B_{\delta}$. Let $w=\phi(z)$. If $w \notin$ $\operatorname{Alg}(z)$, then by Lemma 2.2, there is an $s$ such that $s^{-1} z^{(n)} s \in B_{\delta}$ and $\left\|\phi\left(s^{-1} z^{(n)} s\right)\right\|=$ $\left\|s^{-1} w^{(n)} s\right\|>1$, a contradiction.

Note that Theorem 2.3 does not hold for all nc-functions. In [4] it was shown that there is a class of nc functions, called fat functions, for which the implicit function theorem holds, but Theorem 2.3 fails.

## 3 Step II

Let $F=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$. Define $\lambda=x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_{N}$, and define $w=\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi\left(x_{N}\right)$. As nc functions preserve direct sums (a consequence of being intertwining preserving) we need to find a function $\psi$ in $H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ that has an nc model, and satisfies $\psi(\lambda)=w$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{d}$ denote the nc polynomials in $d$ variables, and define

$$
I_{\lambda}=\left\{q \in \mathcal{P}_{d}: q(\lambda)=0\right\} .
$$

Let $V_{\lambda}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{M}^{d}: q(x)=0\right.$ whenever $\left.q \in I_{\lambda}\right\}$. We will need the following theorem from [3].

Theorem 3.1 Let $\lambda \in B_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$ and $w \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$. There exists a function $\psi$ in the closed unit ball of $H^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ such that $\psi(\lambda)=w$ if
(i) $w \in \operatorname{Alg}(\lambda)$, so there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}_{d}$ such that $p(\lambda)=w$.
(ii) $\quad \sup \left\{\|p(x)\|: x \in V_{\lambda} \cap B_{\delta}\right\} \leq 1$.

Moreover, if the conditions are satisfied, $\psi$ can be chosen to have a free realization.
Since $\phi(\lambda)=w$, by Theorem 2.3, there is a free polynomial $p$ such that $p(\lambda)=w$; so condition (i) is satisfied. To see condition (ii), note that for all $x \in V_{\lambda} \cap B_{\delta}$, we have $p(x)=\phi(x)$. Indeed, by Theorem 2.3, there is a polynomial $q$ so that $q(\lambda \oplus x)=$ $\phi(\lambda \oplus x)$. Therefore $q(\lambda)=p(\lambda)$, so, since $x \in V_{\lambda}$, we also have $q(x)=p(x)$, and hence $p(x)=\phi(x)$. But $\phi$ is in the unit ball of $H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$, so $\|\phi(x)\| \leq 1$ for every $x$ in $B_{\delta}$.

So we can apply Theorem 3.1 to conclude that there is a function $\psi$ in $H^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ that has a free realization, and that agrees with $\phi$ on the finite set $F$.

We note that the converse of Theorem 3.1 is also true. Given Theorem 2.3, the converse is almost immediate.

## 4 Steps III and IV

Let $\Lambda=\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense set in $B_{\delta}$. For each $k$, let $F_{k}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. By Step II, there is a function $\psi^{k} \in H_{1}^{\infty}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ that has a free realization and agrees with $\phi$ on $F_{k}$. By Theorem 1.4, there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}^{k}$ and a $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{M}^{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{J}\right)$ valued nc function $u^{k}$ on $B_{\delta}$ so that, for all $n$, for all $x, y \in B_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$, we have

$$
1-\psi^{k}(y)^{*} \psi^{k}(x)=u^{k}(y)^{*}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\stackrel{1}{\otimes}  \tag{4.1}\\
1-\delta(y)^{*} \delta(x)
\end{array}\right] u^{k}(x) .
$$

Embed each $\mathcal{N}^{k}$ in a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Since the left-hand side of (4.1) is bounded, it follows that $u^{k}$ are locally bounded, so we can apply Theorem 1.5 to find a sequence of unitaries $U^{k}$ such that, after passing to a subsequence, $U^{k} * u^{k}$ converges
to a function $v$ in $\operatorname{Hol}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\Omega)$. We have therefore that

$$
1-\phi(y)^{*} \phi(x)=v(y)^{*}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\stackrel{1}{\otimes}  \tag{4.2}\\
1-\delta(y)^{*} \delta(x)
\end{array}\right] v(x)
$$

holds for all pairs $(x, y)$ that are both in $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{M}_{n}^{d}$ for any $n$. So by continuity, we get that (4.2) is an nc model for $\phi$ on all $B_{\delta}$, completing Step III.

Finally, Step IV follows by applying Theorem 1.4.

## 5 Closing Remarks

One can modify the argument to get a realization formula for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$-valued bounded nc functions on $B_{\delta}$, or to prove Leech theorems (also called Toeplitz-corona theorems [8,10]. For finite-dimensional $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$, this was done in [2]; for infinitedimensional $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$, the formula was proved in [6] using results from [7].
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