

Canadian Guidelines for the Development of Antidementia Therapies: a Conceptual Summary

Erich Mohr, Howard Feldman and Serge Gauthier

ABSTRACT: The magnitude of the problems faced by Canadian society as a result of an aging population has been identified. Perhaps the most important concern related to this greying of Canada is the increasing incidence of dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Therapeutic options for these disorders have been limited to date. Advances in biotechnology and molecular biology will offer novel approaches to treatment. These and the expansion of more traditional therapeutic avenues require guidelines with the aim of optimizing their development.

RÉSUMÉ: Lignes directrices Canadiennes pour le développement de thérapies antidémence: un sommaire des concepts. L'amplitude des problèmes auxquels fait face la société Canadienne suite au vieillissement de la population a été identifiée. La préoccupation qui est probablement la plus importante à ce sujet est l'incidence croissante de la démence et de la maladie d'Alzheimer. A date, les options thérapeutiques pour traiter ces maladies sont limitées. Les progrès de la biotechnologie et de la biologie moléculaire offrent de nouvelles approches pour leur traitement. Des lignes directrices sont nécessaires dans le but d'optimiser le développement de ces nouvelles approches ainsi que l'expansion des avenues thérapeutiques plus traditionnelles.

Can. J. Neurol. Sci. 1995; 22: 62-71

Dementing disorders are now recognized as being one of the most important challenges facing medicine in the 21st century. The Canadian Study of Health and Aging (CSHA)¹ reported that prevalence figures for all types of dementia are 80/1,000 over age 65 and 345/1,000 over age 85 in this country. Current estimates project that by the year 2001 the number of cases of dementia will rise to well over 350,000 in total. Of affected individuals, 65% have a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease.¹ The direct and indirect cost of care for each patient with Alzheimer's disease has been estimated to vary between US \$26,000 to as much as \$47,000 per year (1990 U.S. dollars).² This estimate would translate into a cost of 5 to 9 billion Canadian dollars per year at present. By the turn of the millennium, these figures (in 1990 dollars) may range from 7 to 13 billion Canadian dollars annually. To meet this challenge, both government and the private sector will need to make major investments in terms of broadly based multidisciplinary research.

The limited success of current treatments for dementia and related problems adds urgency to the search for effective therapeutic approaches. Major advances both in molecular biology and biotechnology hold considerable promise in this regard. The rapid growth of research however requires guidelines to allow for an optimized approach to the development of antidementia treatments. The existence of an integrated Canadian network of investigators (Consortium of Canadian Centres for Clinical Cognitive Research, C5R), will allow Canada to play a significant role in addressing this serious problem. The following conceptual

guidelines were drafted to provide points of reference to clinical researchers, government and industry in Canada to help meet this important challenge. Medical research is of course rapidly evolving and the following guidelines are likely to require revisions as new knowledge arises.

DIAGNOSIS

Dementia

This syndrome consists of cognitive and functional decline and is frequently associated with behavioral sequelae. For the effective evaluation of treatment strategies, a consistent diagnostic framework must be adhered to. While a number of diagnostic criteria have been proposed world-wide,³⁻⁵ in Canada the DSM-III-R is considered at present to provide the most useful framework for

From the Institute of Mental Health Research, University of Ottawa/Royal Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa (E.M.); University of British Columbia, Division of Neurology, Vancouver (H.F.); The McGill Centre for Studies in Aging, McGill University, Montreal (S.G.); Consortium of Canadian Centres for Clinical Cognitive Research, C5R Secretariat at McGill Centre for Studies in Aging, McGill University, Montreal (E.M., H.F., S.G.).

Portions of this paper are based on the proceedings of a symposium held at the Institute of Mental Health Research in Ottawa on 5 May 1993 and on a presentation given by the authors at a plenary session at the C5R annual meeting in Montreal on 28 October 1993.

RECEIVED MARCH 18, 1994. ACCEPTED IN FINAL FORM JULY 22, 1994.
Reprint requests to: Dr. Erich Mohr, Neuropsychology Lab, Room D733, Ottawa Civic Hospital, 1053 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1Y 4E9

the definition of dementia in general. This diagnostic standard continues to be revised and will be updated in DSM-IV. Recommendations for the investigative workup of dementia have been outlined in the monograph of the Canadian Consensus Conference of Dementia (Tables 1,2,3).⁶

Alzheimer's disease

The NINCDS-ADRDA criteria⁷ continue to provide the clinical diagnostic standard. These criteria have been validated both clinically and pathologically.^{8,9} The error rate in diagnosis has been lowered with their rigorous use to 10-15%.^{8,9} The emergence of biological markers such as apo-lipoprotein E4¹⁰⁻¹³ will further improve etiologic definition and classification of the Alzheimer syndrome. Other potentially important diagnostic indices in development include β -amyloid-4 fragment markers¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and the myo-inositol peak on magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS).¹⁷ As a dynamic problem, there will continually be new

claims for specific diagnostic tests for Alzheimer's disease that will require careful study and further validation.^{10,18-20}

Non-Alzheimer dementias

These are generally estimated to account for more than one third of all dementia cases,^{1,21,22} but are less well characterised with respect to diagnosis, natural history and potential for therapeutic intervention. To further operationalize diagnostic criteria

Table 3. Suggested laboratory tests.

Test	G	T	E	Consensus (High, Med, Low)		
				++	+	+/-
Thyroid indices	X			++		
Metabolic disorders						
- electrolytes	X			++		
- calcium	X			++		
- glucose	X			++		
- BUN/Cr		X				+
- Ser amm		X				
CBC	X			++		
CT scan (cranial)		X		++		
Drug levels		X		++		
B ₁₂ (in the face of a normal CBC)			X			+
Folic acid		X				+
Water soluble vitamin levels		X		++		
HIV		X		++		
Syphilis serology		X		++		
Heavy metals		X		++		
Chest X ray		X		++		
Mammogram		X				+
Serum cortisol		X		++		
Serum lipids			X	++		
EEG			X			++
Urinalysis			X			++
ECG			X			+
Arterial blood gas			X			+
Lumbar puncture			X		++	
PT/PTT			X			+
Carotid doppler studies			X		++	
ESR			X		++	

Notes:

- G General (for all patients)
- T Targeted (for selected patients, depending on the history and physical exam)
- E Exclude (test not indicated for most patients)
- BUN/Cr Blood urea nitrogen/serum creatinine
- Ser amm Serum ammonia
- CBC Complete blood count
- PT/PTT Prothrombin/partial thromboplastin time
- ESR Erythrocyte sedimentation rate

Reprinted from "Organizing Committee, Canadian consensus conference on the assessment of dementia..."⁶ with the Permission of the Canadian Medical Association.

Table 1. Conditions suggesting referral for neuroimaging.

History	Physical
1) age less than 60 years	1) localizing signs (e.g., hemiparesis, Babinski response)
2) use of anticoagulants and/or a history of bleeding disorder	2) gait ataxia
3) recent head trauma	
4) previous history of carcinoma (from sites that metastasize to brain - for example, lung, breast)	
5) unexplained neurological symptoms (e.g., new onset headaches, seizures)	
6) rapid unexplained decline (e.g., over 1 to 2 months) in cognition and/or functional status	
7) "short" duration of dementia (<2 years)	
8) history of urinary incontinence and gait disorder early in the course of dementia as suggestive for NPH (in the latter stages of Alzheimer's disease, urinary incontinence and gait ataxia commonly occur)	

Reprinted from "Organizing Committee, Canadian consensus conference on the assessment of dementia..."⁶ with the Permission of the Canadian Medical Association.

Table 2. Conditions that may confound the diagnosis of dementia.

- 1) sensory disorders (e.g., blindness and/or deafness)
- 2) psychotic symptoms (pre-existing as opposed to late in the course of dementia)
- 3) aphasia/dysarthria
- 4) language incompatibility between patient and physician
- 5) mental retardation
- 6) combination of any of the above, especially in a patient of a low educational level

Reprinted from "Organizing Committee, Canadian consensus conference on the assessment of dementia..."⁶ with the Permission of the Canadian Medical Association.

and assess natural history of these important disorders, a uniform Canadian data base is currently planned by the C5R.

For vascular dementias, traditional classification schema have included the Hachinski Ischemic Score and its modified versions.^{23,24} Further updated diagnostic proposals have recently been put forward worldwide and are being tested.²⁵⁻²⁷

Other adult onset degenerative disorders such as Lewy body disease,^{28,29} Parkinsonian dementia^{30,31} and the spectrum of frontal dementias³²⁻³⁶ serve as examples of the disorders that must have operationalized criteria, to permit any meaningful clinical trials.

Research diagnostic criteria must be defined for all major causes of degenerative dementia, as a prelude to reliable epidemiological and therapeutic studies.

THERAPEUTIC DESIGN

The prevalence and availability of validated diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease make it currently the main target of experimental therapeutic intercession in the context of dementia. Much of the discussion that follows is therefore centred on this disease, but many of the general concepts outlined will also apply to the non-Alzheimer-type dementias.

Disease Stages

Comprehensive studies of the natural history of Alzheimer's disease allow for effective staging of the illness (e.g.,³⁷⁻⁴⁰). Instruments such as the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR),^{38,39} the Global Deterioration Scale (GDS)⁴⁰ and the Functional Rating Scale (FRS)⁴¹ have been validated and include multidimensional assessment of behaviour, cognition and function. These instruments are appropriately finding increased use in clinical trials. Experimental treatment strategies to date have not addressed the full spectrum of disease stages, generally restricting inclusion to CDR scores 0.5-2, and GDS 4-5. Virtually all clinical trials have narrowly centred on these mild to moderate disease stages, with a major focus on cognitive efficacy. This approach will need correction. In either the prodrome of the disease or in the presymptomatic stages (CDR 0-0.5 and GDS 1-3), the emerging biological markers will in all likelihood allow for the development of early therapeutic intercession, where the goal will be the delay of symptomatic manifestations. In the latter stages of this disease (CDR 3 or more, GDS 6 or more) behavioral problems are paramount. These have been largely ignored in the context of experimental therapy in general and drug development in particular. This must be addressed as caregiver stress and institutionalization generally result from unmanageable behaviour rather than cognitive impairment.

Therapeutic goals should be defined more broadly to include all disease stages and thereby provide treatment possibilities across the entire spectrum of this illness. The development and validation of multidimensional disease assessment scales for Alzheimer's disease allows for more comprehensive disease staging and their emphasis in assessing new therapies is advocated.

Study Design

The heterogeneity of Alzheimer's disease with respect to clinical features and natural history poses a considerable challenge

to the conduct of clinical trials. In particular, the observation of subgroups in this illness (e.g.,⁴²⁻⁴⁴) including early versus late onset disease,^{45,46} slow versus fast progression,^{43,47} early aphasia⁴⁸ and extrapyramidal features^{42,49-51} complicates the issue of subject selection and the interpretation of results. Studies to date have included a highly restricted patient population with "probable" Alzheimer's disease, generally without comorbidity. In our experience, currently, as many as 10-20 "probable" Alzheimer disease patients may be screened to enrol a single patient in a trial. At the conclusion of studies using such samples, there is uncertainty as to who will benefit from the therapy in the Alzheimer patient population at large. Further, the precise definition of acceptable efficacy to toxicity ratios in these generally elderly, often fragile patients will only be answerable with more representative patient populations being studied.

Another design concern is the need for placebo controls. Although such designs are recognised to be particularly helpful in the context of cognitive and behavioral disorders, the requirement of long term exposure to placebo to evaluate strategies such as disease stabilization raises serious ethical concerns. The use of historical control data accumulating from longitudinal Alzheimer research studies^{52,53} might offer a possible alternative to placebo controlled trials.

Representative diversity of both patients and research sites is desirable to allow for a balanced sample reflecting regional, ethnic and socio-economic status diversity. The above issues may be addressed through the use of multicentre trials, particularly if they cross national boundaries and continents. Multicentre trials also allow for the necessary larger sample sizes to deal with the heterogeneity of this illness and the relatively modest treatment effects.

The distribution of dementia diagnoses in other countries may be considerably different from Canadian figures (e.g.,^{54,55}) and results of clinical trials with the same agent may yield results specific to an individual country (e.g.,^{56,57}).

Large sample size multicentre trials are most effective in addressing the heterogeneity of Alzheimer's disease and provide the best avenue for harmonization of trial populations, outcome measures and assessment of therapeutic efficacy.

Phases of Drug Development

Phase I

Traditionally, Phase I studies have been conducted with healthy volunteers, young and old, for the purpose of elucidating kinetics, pharmacodynamics, toxicity and tolerance to pharmacologic agents.

As new therapeutic avenues outside of the traditional pharmacologic realm (including tissue transplantation, the use of growth factors and genetic engineering) rapidly evolve, alternative approaches to Phase I will need to be considered.

Phase II

The traditional role of phase II studies has been the evaluation of the safety and efficacy of drugs in small, well defined, restricted patient groups. However the need to accelerate drug development, and concerns related to cost and similar issues are often leading to a broader approach of combining phases II and III. Caution is needed with the uncritical adoption of this strategy.

Studies become highly restricted and results cannot be applied across the spectrum of Alzheimer's disease.

The traditional clear separation of Phase II and III would therefore continue to be desirable.

Phase III

This phase allows for data acquisition to support the safety and efficacy necessary for drug labelling. Individuals across the entire spectrum of the disease should be studied, with comorbid medical problems and with their concomitant medication requirements. The stated goal would be to test the treatment in question on a sample of the population for whom it is ultimately intended. This refocus of phase III development would also address some of the ethical concern of subject exclusion for reasons other than safety.

Phase III studies in Alzheimer's disease should include a broad sample of patients with comorbid medical problems and with concomitant medications commonly required in the elderly.

Phase IV

The traditional post-marketing phase of pharmaceutical development has been to provide safety surveillance. In Alzheimer's disease, this phase, if emphasized by regulatory authorities, could allow for an opportunity to assess long term clinical pharmacoeconomic benefits, as well as the duration of treatment effects. Clinical trials have not generally allowed for these assessments to be made in the earlier phases of development. Recognizing the chronicity of the disease, its long course, and the potential costs that will be incurred by indefinite treatment duration, this phase will likely take on new importance as public pressure for drug release mounts.

Phase IV studies in Alzheimer's disease should complement pre-marketing studies by the collection of long-term clinical and pharmaco-economic data.

Measurement

The phenomenology of dementia demands that reliable measurement indices be established for cognitive, behavioral, functional and biologic parameters. These measurements should be ecologically valid and clinically meaningful. At present, measurements are often inadequately defined and there is variable agreement with respect to their relative merit.

Cognitive Domains

Currently the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale (ADAS)^{58,59} is the most widely used outcome measure in clinical trials in North America. It combines both cognitive and non-cognitive assessment. Its use has been dictated principally by the relative familiarity of both investigators and regulatory bodies with this instrument, however, its ability to capture the important domains of Alzheimer's disease and its ecologic validity remain uncertain.

One important criterion in selecting a scale for use as a cognitive outcome measure in clinical trials is its ability to distinguish affected individuals (in the early phases of illness) from normal controls. This is necessary, in order to measure potential improvement in response to a given treatment. While ultimately a pool of neuropsychological tests might best fulfil this requirement, careful examination of this issue for any proposed battery appears important. Comparison of scales against the ADAS,

such as recently undertaken,⁶⁰ with the ADAS-Cognitive and the Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Dementia (RBAD)^{61,62} and the Cognitive Drug Research Computerized Battery (CDR)⁶³ might become a requirement prior to the adoption of new scales in clinical trials. In that particular comparison, the ADAS-Cognitive scale distinguished Alzheimer's patients from normals on 3 of 7 subscales, and on total score, correctly classifying 67% of AD patients and 86% of normal controls. The RBAD appeared to reliably differentiate Alzheimer's from normals, correctly classifying 80% and 79% respectively, with 3 of 6 subtests and total score distinguishing the two groups. The CDR system correctly classified 77% of AD patients and 87% of normal controls, based on significant differences on nine of twelve subtest measures and two summary scores.⁶² This would suggest that scales other than the ADAS-cognitive might offer alternatives and that the search for better approaches should remain active.

Current instruments in use in clinical trials, including ADAS-cognitive, also do not adequately address several important cognitive domains known to be affected in Alzheimer's disease. These include specific memory systems, executive functions, agnosias and attention.

In the realm of cognitive function, the choice of outcome measures is further complicated by potential floor and ceiling effects in this population. While most currently employed instruments are useful with mild to moderate dementia, more severely impaired subjects cannot be adequately assessed with these measures.

Several new assessment techniques for the more advanced patient have been proposed (e.g.,⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶). The "Test for Severe Impairment" (TSI) requires only a brief evaluation time and assesses well-learned motor performance, language comprehension, language production, immediate and delayed memory, general knowledge and conceptualization. A test with the same target population, the Severe Impairment Battery (SIB),^{66,67} requires slightly more administration time than the TSI, is composed of simple, one-step commands presented in conjunction with gestural cues and allows for scoring of non-verbal and partially correct responses. A conceptually novel approach applies Piagetian measures of cognition to this population.⁶⁵ This may provide a valuable alternative in the assessment of severely impaired individuals.⁶⁵

With the advent of longer duration treatment studies, combinations of cognitive test measures are likely to be needed and their combined use will require validation.

Cognitive measurement instruments should have the ability to detect improvement in the mild as well as the severe stages of the disease. Further, they should be ecologically valid and sample cognitive domains relevant to the clinical expression of the illness. Current standards will need to be revised accordingly.

Behavioral Domains

The need to assess behaviour as a central part of the Alzheimer syndrome has been increasingly recognized (e.g.,^{58,68-70}). More than half of patients with Alzheimer's disease are estimated to develop depression.⁷¹⁻⁷⁴ The most commonly used depression scales such as the Hamilton Depression Scale,⁷⁵ the Beck Depression Inventory⁷⁶ and the Cornell Depression Scale⁷⁷ require a certain degree of cognitive intactness and insight. In

the dementia context, the transition points in their utility are not clearly established and may require additional caregiver input, a requirement stipulated by the Cornell Depression Scale.⁷⁷

Psychotic symptoms occur in over 50% of patients, most often in moderately to severely impaired individuals.⁷⁸ In this regard, the selection of behavioral measurement instruments should include the domains of disturbed thought, sleep wake cycle as well as pacing and wandering. The Behave-AD⁶⁹ is well validated and normed and captures the important domains of concern in this context.

Dementia specific depression scales which allow for caregiver input are required. Reliable transition points of patient self report will need to be clarified. Inventories for disturbed behaviour need to be specific for Alzheimer's disease and dementia use. The Behave-AD fulfils this requirement and its use is recommended in the assessment of major behavioral pathology in Alzheimer's disease.

Functional Assessment (Activities of Daily Living)

Functional disabilities in activities of daily living (ADL) are often the first clinical indicators of dementia and are recognized as an important part of the disease process. The observed loss of functional autonomy in Alzheimer's disease progresses from instrumental ADL to basic ADL: financing, homemaking, travelling, dressing, personal hygiene, toileting, eating and continence. The process is closely linked to the deterioration of executive functions, such as initiation, planning and organization of action, and effective completion of the activity. The loss of functional autonomy does not parallel the loss of cognitive functions.⁷⁹ Coupled with intermediate-stage behavioral changes in Alzheimer's disease, the caregiver burden from loss of functional autonomy may be a determinant factor for early institutionalization. ADL scales used in most clinical trials have been borrowed from scales used in non-demented populations, usually with physical disabilities quite unlike patients in early or intermediate-stages of Alzheimer's disease.^{80,81} The Disability Assessment in Dementia (DAD), a newly developed French/English scale, has been generated for proxy-respondents, caring for patients with Alzheimer's disease living at home, based on the hierarchy of losses in ADL and on its process.⁸²

It is recommended that scales developed specifically for patients with Alzheimer's disease, such as the DAD, be used in each therapeutic trial.

Global Clinical Impressions

The clinical effectiveness of new treatments should be apparent to experienced clinicians assessing patients.⁸³ A clinical global assessment can allow for these observations to be made, however the lack of structure and guidelines for the administration of current scales used for this purpose, limits their utility. Multidimensional scales such as the CDR and the FRS employing the sum of the boxes rather than a forced stage could serve this purpose. In the United States, the Clinical Interview Based Impression of Change (CIBIC), (unpublished letter to investigator from Paul Leber, 1991) has been advocated for use, but this is not without controversy. While attempting to give more structure to the concept of Clinical Global Impression (CGI), this technique has yet to be rigorously validated.

The importance of clinical global impressions is recognised in establishing that a treatment strategy is clinically relevant.

Current scales however lack structure, clear administration guidelines and validation. The option of using multidimensional scales where scores can be summed is recommended.

Imaging of Biological Activity

Use of neuroimaging parameters as outcome measures in the development of new therapies is continually advancing. While the technologies for physiologic measurement are available, their routine use has been restricted by technical complexity, cost and lack of sufficient experience to establish correlations to functional outcome. Recent technological advances and increasingly standardized scientific data collection and interpretation will allow for their use in the mainstream of antedementia drug development. The following major approaches are currently most often used, either singly or in combination:

Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

Radionuclide emission tomographic studies in Alzheimer's disease have demonstrated that there are characteristic regional patterns of impaired cerebral blood flow (CBF) and metabolism⁸⁴⁻⁸⁸ and a linear relationship between them has been established.⁸⁹ Metabolic changes have additionally been correlated to regional histopathologic abnormalities.^{90,91} Identification of disease subgroups (e.g.,⁴⁷) may be facilitated by this technology but verification is still needed and results have been mixed.^{84,92,93}

This technology could also be of potential value in establishing the diagnosis and in assessing efficacy of treatments in individuals with higher premorbid function or early disease.⁹⁴ Studies of cerebral pharmacodynamics have shown the effects of neuroactive drugs on regional glucose metabolism as an index of regional synaptic activity.⁹⁵⁻⁹⁸ Effects of successful pharmacologic treatment on metabolic pattern associated with other neurological diagnoses have been carried out as well.^{99,100}

Activation paradigms¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ might provide a more powerful means of quantifying specific effects of drugs on cognitive function. These paradigms include utilizing H₂¹⁵O PET to image brain function during the execution of memory tasks and then assessing the effects of neuroactive drug treatments on these same endpoints.¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶

New PET methodologies also allow for specific labelling of neurotransmitters and/or their receptors¹⁰⁷ with the potential that responders to specific compounds might be identified. This might thus also provide a promising avenue for the evaluation of novel therapies.¹⁰⁸ The cost, availability of radioactive materials, and relative invasiveness of this technology however will continue to limit a more widespread application to clinical trials.

Single Photon Emission Tomography (SPECT)

Single photon emission computed tomography, a nuclear imaging technique that also measures cerebral blood flow and receptor distribution, reveals the characteristic abnormalities of Alzheimer's disease established with PET.¹⁰⁹ The sensitivity and specificity of these SPECT patterns in Alzheimer's disease have yet to be well established however.

Unlike PET methodology, which allows for quantitative measurement of metabolic parameters, the analysis of SPECT is restricted at present to semiquantitative measurement of regions of interest. While SPECT studies can potentially be quantified, most of the work in the quantification of external imaging techniques has been carried out in PET. Promising development

of receptor binding radionuclides with QNB binding have potential application to neurotransmitter systems affected in Alzheimer's disease.¹¹⁰ Such receptor labelling may again allow for better understanding of drug action in Alzheimer's disease and the identification of responders to compounds modifying specific neurotransmitters.

The widespread availability, better tolerance by patients and considerably lower cost of SPECT compared to PET, offers the promise for a key role in the development of new treatment strategies. Realization of this potential will depend upon the development of appropriate quantification techniques.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Both PET and SPECT technologies are limited by their relative invasiveness in terms of radioactive exposure and their use in repeat monitoring is therefore limited. While structural MRI has not been useful as an outcome measure in drug development, the evolution of expanded MRI modalities, including functional and spectroscopic imaging, may offer greater potential in this context. On H1 MR Spectroscopy there are reported characteristic abnormal metabolic profiles of Alzheimer's patients¹⁷ which are to be tested in longitudinal research for their potential utility. Functional MRI also holds considerable promise as a tool in drug development, since it allows potentially for the assessment of the same endpoints as H₂¹⁵O PET, without the attendant cost or radiation exposure. This technique could accordingly permit comprehensive dose response studies, the comparison of acute versus chronic drug effects over time, as well as evaluation of the effects of therapy over the natural history of an illness. Specific therapeutic intervention might then also be assessed non-invasively in terms of drug effects on synaptic activity in specific regions of the brain. Like PET, the combination of activation and drug treatment paradigms could potentially be used.^{104-106,111} The realization of the potential of this technology in the context of clinical trials will depend on its technical maturation.

Quantitative Electroencephalography (QEEG)

On both regular and spectral EEG assessment, Alzheimer patients exhibit a higher percentage of slow wave delta and theta power, a lower percentage of fast wave activity and a general reduction in the mean frequency of the dominant brain rhythm.¹¹²⁻¹¹⁹

Quantitative (computer-assisted) topographic EEG (QEEG) measurements are reported to be sensitive indices in both mild and in early onset Alzheimer's disease,^{120,121} as well as in the different stages of disease progression.^{122,123} Recently, this technology has increasingly been employed in the early development of antidementia compounds (e.g.,¹²⁴). It appears to be sufficiently sensitive to allow for the determination of cerebral bioavailability of psychotropic substances and for their profiling in terms of dose-response and time response curves.¹²⁵ QEEG may be useful as a neurophysiologic outcome measurement when a specific intervention demonstrates a shift towards a more normalised electrographic activity pattern.¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸ The non-invasiveness of QEEG, together with its ability to be applied painlessly and without subject risk due to attendant radioactivity make this an attractive option for use in clinical trials. Further, the relatively lower associated costs compared to other technologies, make this a particularly useful tool for investigating brain functions continuously and repeatedly during early Phase I drug

development as well as in larger Phase II clinical studies.

Combined Multimodal Imaging

Although major advances have been made in many neuroimaging modalities during the past 20 years, each has relative limitations in terms of spatial/temporal resolution, the specific nature of the processes measured, and cost and feasibility factors. For a more accurate diagnosis and a complete assessment of CNS impact of treatments a more thorough knowledge of activity throughout the neuraxis is needed, requiring information from several imaging modalities. With the the relatively good image resolution of MRI comes the opportunity to superimpose functional images from EEG, PET and evoked potentials onto 3-dimensional anatomical maps.¹²⁹ A number of laboratories throughout the world have successfully superimposed various imaging modalities (e.g., MRI and EEG) with a consequent advancement of anatomical and functional resolution which may lead to a deeper understanding of the cognitive/neural network abnormalities and their changes throughout the course of dementia.¹³⁰

THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES

While there is currently no approved benchmark treatment available in Canada for Alzheimer's disease, an ever expanding number of clinical trials are being conducted in this country. The pharmacologic approaches used over the last decade have been broad and their results have been variable. Studies have often reported positive results on small numbers of patients and the reproduction of positive studies has been limited. There have frequently been serious methodological problems in these studies. As the pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease is better understood, pharmacologic strategies will find a more rational footing and prior approaches will likely become obsolete. At this juncture, in addition to the traditional pharmacologic avenues, advances in molecular genetics, and biotechnology offer hope for alternative therapeutic development.

Pharmacologic

These can be broadly classified into symptomatic, and stabilization approaches.

Symptomatic Therapies

In proposing a medication as a putative symptomatic treatment for Alzheimer's disease, it is essential that several issues be addressed. The first is the identification of which symptomatic domains of cognition, behaviour, or function the treatment is intended to improve. Second, it is mandatory that symptomatic treatment effects be clinically apparent and meaningful. Third, an assessment of the timeline of efficacy of a new treatment should be undertaken in its phased development. This should include establishing endpoints of symptomatic treatments and the operational criteria that might be used for such a determination. Fourth, pharmacoeconomic impact should be measured during drug development prior to presentation to regulatory authorities. An effective symptomatic treatment should include either reduction of care costs, and/or an improved quality of life for patient and/or caregiver.

Stabilization Therapies

In proposing a medication as a putative stabilization treatment for Alzheimer's disease, several issues need to be addressed. First there is a need to demonstrate that the agent in

question significantly slows disease progression. Currently, global staging instruments such as the CDR, FRS and GDS are the most accessible instruments to demonstrate disease slowing. In using these instruments effectively, there should be a minimum interval of 6 months between assessments and a total evaluation period of > 12 months, as the measurement of global change is less reliable for shorter periods.⁵²

Secondly, the pharmacoeconomic impact of such a treatment should again be measured in its phased development prior to its presentation to regulatory authorities. A comparative reduction of care costs and service utilization should be demonstrated.

It is recommended that an indication for symptomatic treatment of Alzheimer's disease is supported by a demonstration of efficacy within at least one of the individual domains affected (cognition, behaviour, function). There would however be no obligation to show a significant effect on global staging scores. On the other hand, for an indication of disease stabilization, a global staging of efficacy would be mandatory without the obligation to demonstrate specific efficacy in an individual domain.

A distinction between long-term symptomatic effects and stabilization remains to be clarified.

Molecular Biology and Biotechnology

Emerging biotechnology is rapidly widening the scope of potential treatment strategies. The successful *in vitro* production of recombinant nerve growth factors and improved methods for their transportation across the blood-brain barrier¹³¹ may allow for clinical trials in Alzheimer's disease in the near future. Nerve growth factors are being applied to other neurodegenerative disorders¹³² and could have applications in Alzheimer's disease. Fetal tissue transplantation is another strategy currently being considered. These new approaches may be symptomatic and/or stabilizing and will need to be evaluated accordingly.

As knowledge of the molecular genetics of Alzheimer's disease continues to evolve, genetic interventions on identified mutations and other aspects of the convergence cascade¹³³ of Alzheimer's disease may become possible. The mutations on chromosomes 14 and 21 in early onset familial Alzheimer's disease¹³⁴⁻¹³⁷ as well as the Apolipoprotein E allelic markers on chromosome 19¹⁰⁻¹³ in late onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease have allowed an expanded characterisation of the pathophysiologic events. The β -A4 amyloid protein fragment may have a central pathogenetic role in Alzheimer's disease¹⁴ and might be a biologic marker. Evolving therapeutic strategies may allow for the reduction of β -amyloid production through protease inhibitors. APO-E interventions are yet to be developed.

The precise potential for therapeutic intervention on this basis remains to be determined, but if realized, current guidelines will need amendment to include presymptomatic therapies.

RISK/BENEFIT FACTORS

As an incurable disease, there is ever increasing pressure to develop therapies rapidly and to allow their general use as early as possible. As the discussions of the risks and benefits to patients in this process are intensifying, a number of dilemmas are apparent. The progressive nature of this illness requires intercession as quickly as possible, while non-judicious use of

inadequately tested treatments with doubtful efficacy and significant toxicity can be injurious and is clearly undesirable.

The age effect of this disease dictates that elderly patients, often frail and with comorbid disease, will be the individuals for whom new treatments are developed. In terms of pharmacologic therapies, the question of the acceptable ratio of efficacy against toxicity is being debated. There are currently no accepted indices for this measurement and their development is urgently required as the number of new drug applications to the Health Protection Branch is increasing. The effective and difficult to measure "quality of life" is also an important variable in the evaluation of the efficacy/toxicity ratio of a given compound that needs attention.

A further concern with respect to emerging biotechnological therapies for Alzheimer's disease is the absence of reliable animal models for investigation. The "new biology" of nerve growth factors, genetically engineered tissues, and other tissue modifying techniques pose both ill-defined risks as well as considerable opportunity. While showing therapeutic promise they may also have significant risks with respect to oncogenesis, aberrant synaptogenesis, paradoxical increases in β -amyloid and tau protein production. Further, these interventions could create aberrant positive feedback loops. The question of whether human experimentation will therefore be justifiable or necessary will require complex ethical considerations. This is mitigated by the fact that other neurodegenerative illnesses, mainly Parkinson's disease, for which there are more satisfactory animal models, have been safely approached with some of these techniques. If the proposal that neurodegenerative illnesses share pathogenetic mechanisms, at least in their early stages, is indeed correct, the rationale for the use of tissue modifying techniques in indications without satisfactory animal models may be stronger.

The risk/benefit ratio also has to be evaluated from a pharmacoeconomic perspective. In the face of the ever shrinking economic base of the Canadian health care system, there is a need to consider in addition to relative clinical efficacy the direct and indirect cost of care. Provincial formularies in Canada will be facing difficult decisions as to which particular therapeutic agents to cover, with important cost implications to an aging population with limited means. The cost of monitoring for potential toxicity may well pose an additional barrier to universal access. There is clearly a need in the development of anti-dementia therapies to focus not only on statistically significant results of primary efficacy, but on the full range of clinical effects, valid risk/benefit assessment, quality of life and pharmacoeconomics.

In summary, there is now recognition of the magnitude of the problem of dementia and its impact on Canadian society. This is reflected in the collaborative efforts of the research community, industry and government. The further development of standardized diagnostic criteria, the creation of uniform data bases and the advent of reliable biological markers for dementing disorders allow for more meaningful clinical research and therapeutic trials. The striking advances in this field made possible by the "new biology" make it increasingly likely that effective therapeutic intercessions, with marked improvement in quality of life, a low risk/benefit ratio and meaningful pharmacoeconomic benefit will indeed be discovered.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the thoughtful feedback on this manuscript by Dr. Catherine Peterson, Health Protection Branch, Dr. Allen Braun, National Institutes of Health and Dr. Verner Knott, Institute of Mental Health Research. The Consortium of Canadian Centres for Clinical Cognitive Research (CSR) provided conceptual and financial support for this project.

REFERENCES

- Canadian study on health and aging: study methods and prevalence of dementia. *Can Med Assoc J* 1994; (in press).
- Max W. The economic impact of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1993; 43 (Suppl. 4): S6-S10.
- The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines, World Health Organization, 1992.
- Cummings JL, Benson DF. *Dementia: A Clinical Approach*, 2nd edition. Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann 1992: 1-2.
- American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: Revised, 3rd edition. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association, 1987.
- Organizing Committee Canadian consensus conference on the Assessment of Dementia. Assessing dementia: the Canadian consensus. *Can Med Assoc J* 1991; 144: 851-853.
- McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, et al. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA working group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 1984; 34: 939-944.
- Tierney MC, Fisher RH, Lewis AJ, Zoritto ML, Snow WG, et al. The NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group criteria for the clinical diagnosis of probable Alzheimer's disease: a clinicopathologic study of 57 cases. *Neurology* 1988; 38: 359-364.
- Joachim CL, Morris JH, Selkoe DJ. Clinically diagnosed Alzheimer's disease: autopsy results in 150 cases. *Ann Neurol* 1988; 24: 50-56.
- Poirier J, Davignon J, Bouthillier D, Kogan S, Bertrand P, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 1993; 342: 697-699.
- Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, et al. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. *Science* 1993; 261: 921-923.
- Strittmatter WJ, Saunders AM, Schmechel D, Pericak-Vance M, Enghild J, et al. Apolipoprotein E: high-affinity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1993; 90: 1977-1981.
- Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel D, George-Hyslop PH, Pericak-Vance MA, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E allele epsilon 4 with late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1993; 43: 1467-1472.
- Selkoe DJ. Amyloid protein and Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Am* 1991; Nov: 54-61.
- Selkoe DJ. In the beginning. *Nature* 1991; 354: 432-433.
- Cai X-D, Golde TE, Younkin SG. Release of excess amyloid B protein from a mutant amyloid B protein precursor. *Science* 1993; 259: 514-516.
- Miller BL, Moats RA, Shonk T, Ernst T, Wooley S, et al. Alzheimer's disease: depiction of increased cerebral myo-inositol with proton MR spectroscopy. *Radiology* 1993; 187: 433-437.
- Ogomori K, Kitamoto T, Tateishi J, Sato Y, Suetsugu M, et al. Beta-protein amyloid is widely distributed in the central nervous system of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Pathol* 1989; 134: 243-251.
- Petit D, Montplaisir J, Lorrain D, Gauthier S. Spectral analysis of the rapid eye movement sleep electroencephalogram in right and left temporal regions: a biological marker of Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1992; 32: 172-176.
- Leuchter AF, Cook IA, Newton TF, Dunkin J, Walter DO, et al. Regional differences in brain electrical activity in dementia: use of spectral power and spectral ratio measures. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1993; 87: 385-393.
- Katzman R. Alzheimer's disease. *N Eng J Med* 1986; 314: 964-973.
- Katzman R. Differential diagnosis of dementing illnesses. *Neurol Clin* 1986; 4: 329-340.
- Hachinski VC, Lassen NA, Marshal J. Multi-infarct dementia: a cause of mental deterioration in the elderly. *Lancet* 1974; 2: 207-210.
- Rosen WG, Terry RD, Fuld PA, Katzman R, Peck A. Pathological verification of ischemic score in differentiation of dementia. *Ann Neurol* 1980; 7: 486-488.
- Roman GC, Tatemichi TK, Erkinjuntti T, Cummings JL, Masdeu JC, et al. Vascular dementia: diagnostic criteria for research studies. Report of the NINDS-AIREN International Workshop. *Neurology* 1993; 43: 250-260.
- Chui HC, Victoroff JI, Margolin D, Jagust W, Shankle R, et al. Criteria for the diagnosis of ischemic vascular dementia proposed by the State of California Alzheimer's Disease Diagnostic and Treatment Centers. *Neurology* 1992; 42: 473-480.
- Erkinjuntti T, Haltia M, Palo J, Sulkava R, Paetau A. Accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of vascular dementia: a prospective clinical and post-mortem neuropathological study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1988; 51: 1037-1044.
- Burkhardt CR, Filley CM, Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, De la Monte S, Norenberg MD, et al. Diffuse Lewy body disease and progressive dementia. *Neurology* 1988; 38:1520-1528.
- Edwards RJ, McKenzie JE, Roberts GW, Royston MC. Alzheimer's disease and Lewy body dementia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1993; 163: 692.
- Litvan I, Mohr E, Williams J, Gomez C, Chase TN. Differential memory and executive functions in demented patients with Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1991; 54: 25-29.
- Mohr E, Mendis T, Grimes JD. Late cognitive changes in Parkinson's disease with an emphasis on dementia. *In: Weiner WJ, Lang AE, eds. Behavioral Neurology of Movement Disorders*. New York, Raven Press, 1994.
- Gustafson L. Clinical picture of frontal lobe degeneration of non-Alzheimer type. *Dementia* 1993; 4: 143-148.
- Clinton J, Mann DMA, Roberts GW. Frontal lobe dementia is not a variant of prion disease. *Neurosci Lett* 1993; 164: 1-4.
- Purdon SE, Mohr E, Ilivitsky V, Jones BD. Huntington's disease: pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *J Psychiatr Neurosci* 1994; (in press).
- Maj M. Organic mental disorders in HIV-1 infection. *AIDS* 1990; 4: 831-840.
- Reinvang I, Froland SS, Skripeland V. Prevalence of neuropsychological deficit in HIV infection. Incipient signs of AIDS dementia complex in patients with AIDS. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1991; 83: 289-293.
- Reisberg B, Ferris SH, De Leon MJ, Crook T. The Global Deterioration Scale for assessment of primary degenerative dementia. *Am J Psychiatry* 1982; 139: 1136-1139.
- Berg L. Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR). *Psychopharmacol Bull* 1988; 24: 637-639.
- Hughes CP, Berg L, Danziger WL, Coben LA, Martin RL. A new clinical scale for the staging of dementia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1982; 140: 566-572.
- Reisberg B, Ferris SH, De Leon MJ, Crook T. Global Deterioration Scale (GDS). *Psychopharmacol Bull* 1988; 24: 661-663.
- Crockett D, Tuokko H, Koch W. The assessment of everyday functioning using the present functioning questionnaire and the Functional Rating Scale in elderly subjects. *Clin Gerontol* 1989; 8: 3-23.
- Mayeux R, Stern Y, Spanton S. Heterogeneity in dementia of the Alzheimer type: evidence of subgroups. *Neurology* 1985; 35: 453-461.
- Mann UM, Mohr E, Chase TN. Rapidly progressive Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 1989; 2: 799.
- Mohr E, Mann UM, Chase TN. Subgroups in Alzheimer's disease: fact or fiction. *Psychiatr J Univ Ott* 1990; 15: 203-206.
- Weinstein HC, Hijdra A, Van Royen EA, Derix MMA, Walstra G, et al. SPECT in early- and late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991; 640: 72-73.

46. Haupt M, Kurz A, Pollmann S. Severity of symptoms and rate of progression in Alzheimer's disease: a comparison of cases with early and late onset. *Dementia* 1992; 3: 21-24.
47. Mann UM, Mohr E, Gearing M, Chase TN. Heterogeneity in Alzheimer's disease: progression rate segregated by distinct neuropsychological and cerebral metabolic profiles. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992; 55: 956-959.
48. Yesavage JA, Brooks JO, III, Taylor J, Tinklenberg J. Development of aphasia, apraxia, and agnosia and decline in Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry* 1993; 150: 742-747.
49. Feldman H, Weir J, Bell K, Beattie BL. Extrapyramidal signs (EPS) in Alzheimer's disease: do they predict the rate of decline? *Gerontologist* 1993; 33: 176-177. (Abstract)
50. Mayeux R, Stern Y, Sano M. Heterogeneity and prognosis in dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Bull Clin Neurosciences* 1985; 50: 7-10.
51. Stern Y, Mayeux R, Sano M, Hauser WA, Bush T. Predictors of disease course in patients with probable Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1987; 37: 1649-1653.
52. Morris JC, Edland S, Clark C, Galasko D, Koss E, et al. The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part IV. Rates of cognitive change in the longitudinal assessment of probable Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1993; 43: 2457-2465.
53. Morris JC, Heyman A, Mohs RC, Hughes JP, Van Belle G, et al. The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part I. Clinical and neuropsychological assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1989; 39: 1159-1165.
54. Skoog I, Nilsson L, Palmertz B, Andreasson LA, Svanborg A. A population-based study of dementia in 85-year-olds. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 328: 153-158.
55. Osuntokun BO, Ogunniyi AO, Lekwauwa GU, Oyediran AB. Epidemiology of age-related dementias in the Third World and aetiological clues of Alzheimer's disease. *Trop Geograph Med* 1991; 43: 345-351.
56. Waters C. Cognitive enhancing agents: current status in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1988; 15: 249-256.
57. Claus JJ, Ludwig C, Mohr E, Giuffra M, Blin J, et al. Nootropic drugs in Alzheimer's disease: symptomatic treatment with pramiracetam. *Neurology* 1991; 41: 570-574.
58. Mohs RC, Rosen WG, Davis KL. The Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale: an instrument of assessing treatment efficacy. *Psychopharmacol Bull* 1983; 19: 448-450.
59. Rosen WG, Mohs RC, Davis KL. A new rating scale for Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry* 1984; 141: 1356-1364.
60. Mohr E, Walker D, Randolph C, Sampson M, Wesnes K, et al. The utility of clinical trial batteries in the evaluation of dementia of different etiologies. Submitted 1994.
61. Randolph C. Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Dementia (RBAD). (In Press), New York: Psychological Corporation, 1994.
62. Walker D. Distinguishing Huntington's dementia from Alzheimer's dementia in clinical trial batteries. Ottawa: M.A. Thesis, Carleton University, 1992.
63. Wesnes K. A fully automated psychometric test battery for human psychopharmacology. In: Abstracts of the IVth World Congress of Biological Psychiatry. Philadelphia, 1985: 153.
64. Albert M, Cohen C. The test for severe impairment: an instrument for the assessment of patients with severe cognitive dysfunction. *Am Geriatr Soc* 1992; 40: 449-453.
65. Scian SG, Reisberg B. Functional assessment staging (FAST) in Alzheimer's disease: reliability, validity, and ordinality. *Internat Psychogeriatr* 1992; 4 (Suppl 1): 55-69.
66. Saxton J, Swihart AA. Neuropsychological assessment of the severely impaired elderly patient. *Clin Geriatr Med* 1989; 5: 531-543.
67. Panisset M, Roudier M, Saxton J, Boller F. A battery of neuropsychological tests for severe dementia. An evaluation study. [French]. *Presse Medicale - Paris* 1992; 21: 1271-1274.
68. Reisberg B, Borenstein J, Salob SP, Ferris SH, Franssen E, et al. Behavioral symptoms in Alzheimer's disease: phenomenology and treatment. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1987; 48 (Suppl 5): 9-15.
69. Reisberg B, Borenstein J, Franssen E. Behav-AD: A clinical rating scale for the assessment of pharmacologically remediable behavioral symptomatology in Alzheimer's disease. In: Altman HJ, ed. *Alzheimer's disease: Problems, Prospects and Perspectives*. New York, Plenum Press, 1987.
70. O'Leary PA, Haley WE, Paul PB. Behavioral assessment in Alzheimer's disease: use of a 24-hr log. *Psychol Aging* 1993; 8: 139-143.
71. Kral VA. The relationship between senile dementia (Alzheimer type) and depression. *Can J Psychiatry* 1983; 28: 304-306.
72. Ron MA, Toone BK, Garralda ME, Lishman WA. Diagnosis accuracy in presenile dementia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1979; 134: 161-168.
73. Liston EH. Diagnostic delay in presenile dementia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1978; 39: 599-603.
74. Lazarus LW, Newton N, Cohler B, Lesser J, Schweon C. Frequency and presentation of depressive symptoms in patients with primary degenerative dementia. *Am J Psychiatry* 1987; 144: 41-45.
75. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1960; 23: 56-62.
76. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1961; 4: 561-571.
77. Alexopoulos GS, Abrams RC, Young RC, Shamoian CA. Cornell scale for depression in dementia. *Biol Psychiatry* 1988; 23: 271-284.
78. Drevets WC, Rubin EH. Psychotic symptoms and the longitudinal course of senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Biol Psychiatry* 1989; 25: 39-48.
79. Gauthier L, Gauthier S. Assessment of functional changes in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroepidemiology* 1990; 9: 183-188.
80. Linn MW, Linn BS. The rapid disability rating scale. Part 2. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1982; 30: 378-382.
81. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities. *Gerontologist* 1969; 9: 179-186.
82. Gauthier, L., Gauthier, S., Gelinas, I., McIntyre, M., Wood-Dauphinee, S. Assessment of functioning and ADL. Berlin: Sixth Congress of the IPA, 1993.
83. Rockwood K. Use of global assessment measures in dementia drug trials. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1994; 47: 101-103.
84. Cutler NR, Haxby JV, Duara R, Grady CL, Kay AD, et al. Clinical history, brain metabolism, and neuropsychological function in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1985; 18: 298-309.
85. Friedland RP, Budinger TF, Ganz E, Yano Y, Mathis CA, et al. Regional cerebral metabolic alternations in dementia of the Alzheimer type: positron emission tomography with (18F) Fluorodeoxyglucose. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1983; 7: 590-598.
86. McGeer EG, Peppard RP, McGeer PL, Tuokko H, Crockett D, et al. ¹⁸Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography studies in presumed Alzheimer cases, including 13 serial scans. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1990; 17: 1-11.
87. Foster NL, Chase TN, Fedio P, Patronas NJ, Brooks RA, et al. Alzheimer's disease: focal cortical changes shown by positron emission tomography. *Neurology* 1983; 33: 961-965.
88. Heiss WD, Szelies B, Kessler J, Herholz K. Abnormalities of energy metabolism in Alzheimer's disease studies with PET. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991; 640: 65-71.
89. Frackowiak RSJ, Pozzilli C, Legg NJ. Regional cerebral oxygen supply and utilization in dementia. A clinical and physiological study with oxygen-15 and positron tomography. *Brain* 1981; 104: 753-778.
90. Brun A, Englund E. Regional pattern of degeneration in Alzheimer's disease: neuronal loss and histopathological grading. *Histopathology* 1981; 5: 549-564.
91. Friedland RP, Brun A, Budinger TF. Pathological and positron emission tomographic correlations in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 1985; 1(8422): 228.
92. Goto I, Taniwaki T, Hosokawa S, Otsuka M, Ichiya Y, et al. Positron emission tomographic (PET) studies in dementia. *J Neurol Sci* 1993; 114: 1-6.

93. Haxby JV, Duara R, Grady CL, Cutler NR, Rapoport SI. Relations between neuropsychological and cerebral metabolic asymmetries in early Alzheimer's disease. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1985; 5: 193-200.
94. Heiss WD, Kessler J, Szekely B, Grond M, Fink G, et al. Positron emission tomography in the differential diagnosis of organic dementias. *J Neural Transm* 1991; Suppl 33: 13-19.
95. Leiderman DB, Balish M, Bromfield EB, Theodore WH. Effect of valproate on human cerebral glucose metabolism. *Epilepsia* 1991; 32: 417-422.
96. London ED. Studies of sigma receptors and metabolic responses to sigma ligand in the brain. *NIDA Res Monogr* 1993; 133: 55-68.
97. Theodore WH, Leiderman D, Gaillard W, Khan I, Reeves P, et al. The effect of naloxone on cerebral blood flow and glucose metabolism in patients with complex partial seizures. *Epilepsia Res* 1993; 16: 51-54.
98. Grasby PM, Friston KJ, Bench C, Cowen PJ, Frith CD, et al. Effect of the 5-HT_{1A} partial agonist buspirone on regional cerebral blood flow in man. *Psychopharmacology* 1992; 108: 380-386.
99. Baxter LR, Jr. Neuroimaging studies of obsessive compulsive disorder. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 1992; 15: 871-884.
100. Baxter LR, Jr., Schwartz JM, Bergman KS, Szuba MP, Guze BH, et al. Caudate glucose metabolic rate changes with both drug and behavior therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992; 49: 681-689.
101. Ohyama M, Senda M, Kitamura S, Terashi A. Changes in regional cerebral blood flow during auditory cognitive tasks - a PET activation study with odd-ball paradigm. *Rinsho Shinkeigaku* 1993; 33: 134-140.
102. Grady CL, Haxby JV, Horwitz B, Gillette J, Salerno JA, et al. Activation of cerebral blood flow during a visuoperceptual task in patients with Alzheimer-type dementia. *Neurobiol Aging* 1993; 14: 35-44.
103. Heiss W-D, Pawlik G, Holthoff V, Kessler J, Szekely B. PET correlates of normal and impaired memory functions. *Cerebrovasc Brain Metab Rev* 1992; 4: 1-27.
104. Friston KJ, Grasby PM, Bench CJ, Frith CD, Cowen PJ, et al. Measuring the neuromodulatory effects of drugs in man with positron emission tomography. *Neurosci Lett* 1992; 141: 106-110.
105. Friston KJ, Grasby PM, Frith CJ, Bench CJ, Dolan RJ, et al. The neurotransmitter basis of cognition: psychopharmacological activation studies using positron emission tomography. *Ciba Found Symp* 1991; 163: 76-87.
106. Heiss WD, Kessler J, Slansky I, Mielke R, Szekely B, et al. Activation PET as an instrument to determine therapeutic efficacy in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1993; 659: 327-331.
107. Shinotoh H, Asahina M, Inoue O, Suhara T, Hirayama K, et al. Effects of trihexyphenidyl and L-dopa on brain muscarinic cholinergic receptor binding measured by positron emission tomography. *J Neural Transm [P-D Sect]* 1993; 93: 102-110.
108. Karbe H, Wienhard K, Hamacher K, Huber M, Herholz K, et al. Positron emission tomography with (¹⁸F)methylspiperone demonstrates D₂ dopamine receptor binding differences of clozapine and haloperidol. *J Neural Transm* 1991; 86: 163-173.
109. Jagust WJ, Budinger TF, Reed BR. The diagnosis of dementia with single photon emission computed tomography. *Arch Neurol* 1987; 44: 258-262.
110. Weinberger DR, Jones DW, Sunderland T, Lee KS, Sexton R, et al. In vivo imaging of cerebral muscarinic receptors with I-123 QNB and SPECT: studies in normal subjects and patients with dementia. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 1992; 15 Suppl 1 Pt A: 194A-195A.
111. Binder JR, Rao SM, Hammeke TA, Yetkin YZ, Wong EC, et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) of auditory semantic processing. *Neurology* 1993; 43 (Suppl 2): A189.
112. Coben L, Danziger W, Storandt M. Longitudinal EEG study of mild senile dementia of Alzheimer type: changes at 1 and 2-5 years. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1985; 61: 101-112.
113. Coben L, Chi D, Snyder A, Storandt M. Replication of a study of frequency analysis of the resting awake EEG in mild probable Alzheimer's disease. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1990; 75: 148-154.
114. Prinz P, Vitiello M. Dominant occipital (alpha) rhythm in early stage Alzheimer's disease and depression. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1989; 73: 427-432.
115. Breslav J, Starr A, Sicotte N, Higa J, Buchsbaum M. Topographic EEG changes with normal aging and SDAT. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1989; 72: 282-289.
116. Martin-Loeches M, Gil P, Jimenez F, Exposito F, Miguel F, et al. Topographic maps of brain electrical activity in primary degenerative dementia of the Alzheimer type and multi-infarct dementia. *Biol Psychiatry* 1991; 29: 211-223.
117. Duffy F, Albert M, McNulty G. Brain electrical activity in patients with presenile and senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Ann Neurol* 1984; 16: 439-448.
118. Pennttila M, Partener V, Soinen H, Riekkinen P. Quantitative analysis of occipital EEG in different stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1991; 78: 89-96.
119. Soinen H, Partanen J, Laulumaa V, Helkala E, Laakso M, et al. Longitudinal EEG spectral analysis in early stage Alzheimer's disease. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1989; 72: 290-297.
120. Coben LA, Danziger WL, Berg L. Frequency analysis of the resting awake EEG in mild senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1983; 55: 372-380.
121. Schreiter-Gasser U, Gasser T, Ziegler P. Quantitative EEG analysis in early onset Alzheimer's disease: a controlled study. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1993; 86: 15-22.
122. Ihl R, Maurer K, Dierks T, Frlisch L, Perisic I. Staging in dementia of the Alzheimer type: topography of electrical brain activity reflects the severity of the disease. *Psychiatry Res* 1989; 29: 399-401.
123. Soinen H, Partanen J, Pääkkönen A, Koivisto E, Riekkinen PJ. Changes in absolute power values of EEG spectra in the follow-up of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1991; 83: 133-136.
124. Mohr E, Knott V, Mendis T. Cycloserine treatment in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1993; 9: 96. (Abstract)
125. Herrmann WM, Irrgang U. An absolute must in clinico-pharmacological research: pharmacoelectroencephalography, its possibilities and limitations. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 1983; 16: 134-142.
126. Agnoli A, Martucci N, Manna V, Conti L, Fioravanti M. Effect of cholinergic and anticholinergic drugs on short-term memory in Alzheimer's dementia: a neuropsychological and computerized electroencephalographic study. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 1983; 6: 311-323.
127. Sebban C. Quantified EEG: a possible tool for classification of SDAT and prediction of drug effects on cognition. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 1989; 1: 237-240.
128. Perryman KM, Fitten LJ. Quantitative EEG during a double-blind trial of THA and lecithin in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1991; 4: 127-133.
129. Thatcher R, Toro C, Hatlett M. Multimodal registration of EEG, PET, MRI; analysis of neural network switching. *In: Proceedings of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine; functional MRI of the brain, June 17-19, Arlington VA, 1993; 171-181.*
130. Gevins A. High resolution EEG. *Brain Topography* 1993; 5: 321-325.
131. Friden PM, Walus LR, Watson P, Doctrow SR, Kozarich JW, et al. Blood brain barrier penetration and *in vivo* activity of an NGF conjugate. *Science* 1993; 259: 373-377.
132. Brooks BR, Sanjak M, Mitumoto H, Szirony K, Neville H, et al. Recombinant human ciliary neurotrophic factor (rhCNTF) in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) patients: phase I-II safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetic studies. *Neurology* 1993; 43: A416.
133. Blass JP. Pathophysiology of the Alzheimer's syndrome. *Neurology* 1993; 43 (Suppl): S25-S38.
134. Goate A, Chartier-Harlin MC, Mullan M. Segregation of a missense mutation in the amyloid precursor protein gene with familial Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 1991; 349: 704-706.
135. St. George-Hyslop P, Tanzi R, Polinsky RJ. The genetic defect causing Alzheimer's disease maps on chromosome 21. *Science* 1987; 235: 885-890.
136. Schellenburg GD, Bird TD, Wijsman EM. Genetic linkage evidence for a familial Alzheimer's disease locus on chromosome 14. *Science* 1992; 258: 668-671.
137. St. George-Hyslop P, Haines JL, Farrer LA. Genetic linkage studies suggest that Alzheimer's disease is not a single homogeneous disorder. *Nature* 1990; 347: 194-197.