# The egg yolk reaction of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from burns

BY E. J. L. LOWBURY AND B. J. COLLINS

Medical Research Council Industrial Injuries and Burns Research Unit, Birmingham Accident Hospital

(Received 9 January 1964)

A large majority of strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* were found by Gillespie & Alder (1952) to produce opacity when grown in egg yolk broth, apparently through the action of a lipase on certain triglycerides. Of the strains isolated from outpatients, 82 % were 'egg yolk positive' (EY<sup>+</sup>), but only 45 % of those isolated from in-patients gave a positive reaction; there was a strong association between penicillin-resistance and a negative egg yolk (EY<sup>-</sup>) reaction. Parker (1958) confirmed the association of negative egg yolk reaction with penicillin resistance, and found that such strains were particularly common in superficial lesions, including wounds; strains which caused deep lesions usually showed a positive egg yolk reaction.

We have tested strains of *Staph. aureus* isolated from burns in three periods (1958, 1960 and 1962) and from several other sources for egg yolk reaction; the association of this reaction with some other features, including phage type, antibiotic sensitivity and mercuric chloride resistance (Moore, 1960) has been examined. The relevance of these data to the epidemiology of staphylococcal infection is discussed.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Tests for egg yolk reaction

A liquid egg yolk medium was prepared in the manner described by Gillespie & Alder (1952).

To facilitate the examination of large numbers of strains, a solid egg yolk medium was developed by one of the authors (B.J.C.); similar media have been described by Colbeck (1956), Carter (1960), Innes (1960) and Baird-Parker (1962). The formula which was found to give optimal results in tests which will be described separately (Collins, to be published) was yeastrel agar containing 5% egg yolk and 5% horse serum. Yeastrel agar contained the following ingredients: Yeastrel, 3 g.; peptone (Oxoid), 5 g.; sodium chloride, 5 g.; sodium dihydrogen phosphate, 3 g.; agar (Oxoid No. 3), 8 g.; and distilled water, 1000 ml. The mixture was steamed, filtered, adjusted to pH 7.4–7.6 and autoclaved at 121° C. for 15 min. To 18 ml. amounts of this medium cooled to approximately 50° C. were added 1 ml. of horse serum and 1 ml. of egg yolk (obtained aseptically from fresh farm eggs). The medium was poured into sterile plastic Petri dishes, 10 ml. per plate.

Plates of egg yolk agar were spot-inoculated with Staph. aureus, 16 strains per

# E. J. L. LOWBURY AND B. J. COLLINS

plate, from overnight infusion broth cultures; a standard platinum loop was used. After overnight incubation at  $37^{\circ}$  C., growth of EY<sup>+</sup> strains was surrounded by an opalescent zone of surface precipitation, about 0.5 mm. wide, surrounded by a sharply demarcated halo of clearing in the turbid medium, extending from 1 to 5 mm. from the edge of the disk of growth; the opalescent precipitate was absent when zones of clearing were narrow. EY<sup>-</sup> strains showed neither the opalescent precipitate nor the halo of clearing. About 98% of a series of 825 strains gave the same results on solid egg yolk medium examined after overnight incubation and in liquid egg yolk medium examined, in the manner described by Gillespie & Alder (1952), after 3 days' incubation; a small proportion of strains gave a weak egg yolk reaction. After this comparison of the two media further tests were made only on the solid medium, which had the advantage of speed and convenience.

#### Strains of Staph. aureus tested

Tests for egg yolk reaction were made on strains of coagulase-producing staphylococci isolated from burns of in-patients during periods in 1958 (662 strains), in 1960 (457 strains) and in 1962 (329 strains); one strain was selected from each patient at each dressing. We also examined 97 strains from the noses of patients in the burns ward in 1959–60, 202 strains from the noses of patients attending the Casualty Department in 1958, and 134 strains from miscellaneous infections other than burns in 1958.

#### Other tests on staphylococci

The isolation and examination of these organisms was carried out as described elsewhere (Lowbury, 1960). Staphylococci were tested for phage type by the method described by Blair & Williams (1961) and for sensitivity to antibiotics by a ditch plate method with 10 units of penicillin, 50  $\mu$ g. of tetracycline and 10  $\mu$ g. of erythromycin per ml. nutrient agar in the ditches. Mercuric chloride sensitivity was tested in the manner described by Moore (1960).

#### RESULTS

Table 1 shows the frequency of isolation of egg yolk positive and egg yolk negative strains of *Staph. aureus* from burns in 1958, 1960 and 1962, and from other sources, mostly in 1958; a small number of strains gave weak or doubtful positive reactions, shown separately in this table. In 1958 and again in 1960 a large majority of the strains from burns were  $EY^-$ , in contrast with the predominantly  $EY^+$  strains from miscellaneous infections and from the noses of the general public (represented by patients attending the Casualty Department); the staphylococci from the noses of patients in the burns wards during 1959 and 1960 showed an intermediate proportion of  $EY^-$  strains, reflecting a replacement of the normal predominantly  $EY^+$  staphylococci by strains from burns in a proportion of the patients.

From these data it seemed likely that burns offer some selective advantage to  $EY^-$  staphylococci. The strains isolated from burns in 1962, however, showed a

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022172400039954 Published online by Cambridge University Press

230

different pattern with a preponderance of  $EY^+$  strains (though  $EY^-$  strains were still more common in burns than they were found to be in other environments during 1958).

# Phage type and egg yolk reaction

A representative selection of the strains of staphylococci (one strain per patient at each sampling) was phage-typed; the results are presented in Table 2. This shows a preponderance of group III strains in burns during 1958 and 1962, but a slightly greater proportion of group I strains in 1960; the typable staphylococci from other sources were predominantly group I. Staphylococci of group I were predominantly EY<sup>+</sup>, except those isolated from burns in 1960, 78 % of which were EY<sup>-</sup>. Staphylococci of group III were rarely found in burns and were all EY<sup>+</sup>. Staphylococci of group III were usually EY<sup>-</sup> when isolated from burns, but less often EY<sup>-</sup> in 1962 than in 1958 and in 1960; the strains of group III from other sources were only slightly more often EY<sup>-</sup> than strains of group I.

Table 1.	The egg yolk reaction of Staphylococcus aureus
	from burns and from other sources

	Numbers of strains	Nur	nbers of stra	ins	% strains
Sources of Staph. aureus	tested	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Y}^+$	$2 EY^+$	EY-	EY-
Burns in ward, 1958	662	130	2	530	80
Burns in ward, 1960	457	77	11	369	81
Burns in ward, 1962	329	198	11	120	36
Nose and throat of patients in burns ward, 1959–60	97	39	1	57	59
Nose of patients attending Casualty Department, 1958	202	157	1	44	21
Miscellaneous infections in hospital other than burns, 1958	134	106	0	28	21

Table 3 shows the egg yolk reaction of the commoner phage types and patterns (those represented five times or more) of *Staph. aureus* included in Table 1. A striking feature is the presence in burns of 176 strains of type 52; 139 of these were  $EY^-$ , all of them isolated in 1960 during which year 141 strains of type 52 staphylococci were isolated. This preponderance of one strain explains the high proportion of  $EY^-$  staphylococci in phage group I during the year 1960 (Table 2); the strains of type 52 in Table 3 which were  $EY^+$  were all isolated in 1958 or in 1962. Most of the strains of group I during 1962 were of phage type 80 (or related patterns), and these were  $EY^+$ . Strains of group II were hardly ever found in burns. In group III there was a preponderance of phage patterns which were predominantly  $EY^-$ , but also some patterns (e.g. type 53) which were usually  $EY^+$ .

## Antibiotic sensitivity and egg yolk reaction

Table 4 shows the egg yolk reaction and sensitivity or resistance to penicillin, tetracycline and erythromycin of 240 strains of *Staph. aureus* isolated from burns of in-patients in 1958.  $EY^-$  reactions were more commonly found in strains

	Total	strains	200	100	138	457	329
	Not typable	% EY-	33	13	54	73	8
	Not ty	Number % EY-	84	22	13	79	19
	Other types	ы % ЕҮ- N	27	0	40	61	13
s in		umbe	œ	сı	ъ	13	23
oh. aureui	III dno	% EY-	27	22	97	<b>60</b>	<b>6</b> 6
Strains of <i>Staph. aureus</i> in	Phage group II]	Number % EY- N	12	14	66	170	165
Strai	roup II	% EY-	9	0	0	0	0
	Phage group II	Number % EY-	36	16	0	3	ŝ
		Number % EY- N	19	17	0	78	1
	Phage group I	Number	60	43	21	192	119
		Sources of Staph. aureus	Noses of patients attending Casualty Department	Miscellaneous infections other than burns	Burns in ward, 1958	Burns in ward, 1960	Burns in ward, 1962

Table 2. Egg yolk reaction and phage group

resistant to each of the antibiotics; the proportion of antibiotic-sensitive strains was greater among  $EY^+$  than among  $EY^-$  staphylococci, and the majority of  $EY^+$  strains were sensitive to tetracycline and to erythromycin.

In Table 3 one phage type of group I (type 52) was predominantly  $EY^-$ , while other related patterns in the group (52/80, 52/52 A/80 and 80) were usually  $EY^+$ .

	Phage	Strains from	• -	Strain	s from sources
Phage pattern	group	EY+	EY-	EY+	EY-
29	I	0	0	14	1
29/52	Ι	0	0	5	1
52	I	35 (2)*	139	24	3
$52/52\mathrm{A}$	I	3	0	4	0
52/80	Ι	19	1	0	0
$52/52\mathrm{A}/80$	Ι	31	1	19	<b>2</b>
52 A	Ι	<b>2</b>	6	6	5
$52 \mathrm{A}/79$	I	1	0	20	0
$52 \mathrm{A}/80$	I	4(1)	0	4	0
79	I	3	0	19	1
80	Ι	<b>48</b> (2)	0	11	0
$3{ m B}/55/71$	II	1	0	4	0
55	II	1	0	8	0
55/71	II	1	0	14	2
71	II	0	0	<b>5</b>	0
$6/7/47/53/54/75/75\mathrm{B}/77$	III	<b>27</b>	6	10	4
53	III	24 (1)	1	<b>2</b>	4
$53/75/75\mathrm{B}$	III	1	4	0	0
53/75/75B/77	III	0	18	0	0
53/75/77	III	0	5	0	0
53/75B/77	III	2(1)	42	0	0
75/75B	III	1	12	0	2
75/75B/77	III	0(1)	90	1	1
75 B	III	0 (1)	18	3	3
$75 \mathrm{B}/77$	III	4 (3)	98	<b>2</b>	0
77	III	3 (1)	60	0	3

Table 3. Egg yolk reaction of staphylococci from burns andother sources showing the common phage patterns

\* Doubtful positive reactions in brackets.

Similarly, in group III the staphylococci of types 53 and 6/7/47/53/54/75/75B/77 were usually EY<sup>+</sup>, while other types (e.g. 75/75B/77, 75B/77, 77) were predominantly EY<sup>-</sup>. Table 5 shows the antibiotic sensitivity patterns of the staphylococci with these phage patterns; both in group I and in group III (especially in the latter) the EY<sup>-</sup> strains were more commonly resistant to penicillin, tetracycline and erythromycin than the EY<sup>+</sup> strains. Since antibiotic resistant strains were more common in burns than in the other environments sampled (Table 6), it seems likely that the frequent selection of EY<sup>-</sup> strains of group III in burns treated in hospital is due to the selective advantage of antibiotic resistant bacteria in this environment; EY<sup>+</sup> strains of type 80, which often show multiple resistance, seem to have a similar advantage.

## Egg yolk reaction and mercuric chloride sensitivity

Egg yolk reaction and mercuric chloride sensitivity were tested on 329 strains of *Staph. aureus* from burns of in-patients in 1962.

Table 7 shows the results of these tests. The majority of the strains (255) were resistant to mercuric chloride; the proportion of mercuric chloride resistant ('epidemic') strains was higher among the  $EY^-$  staphylococci (111/120) than among those which gave an  $EY^+$  reaction (144/209). Out of 26 strains of type 53, only one was  $EY^-$ , and this was the only strain of type 53 which was mercuric chloride resistant.

	S	Staph.	rains of <i>aureus</i> rns (1958)
Antibiotic	Sensitivity test results	EY-	EY+
Penicillin	Resistant Sensitive	170 1	60 9
Tetracycline	Resistant Sensitive	$\frac{166}{5}$	21 48
Erythromycin	Resistant Sensitive	$\frac{163}{8}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 45 \end{array}$

Table 4. Egg yolk reaction and antibiotic resistance

# Egg yolk reaction and pigmentation

A large majority of the EY<sup>+</sup> strains of staphylococci produced a rich goldenyellow pigment, while EY<sup>-</sup> strains were almost always poorly pigmented.

To determine whether the egg yolk reaction and pigmentation were interdependent properties, we grew ten pigmented  $EY^+$  strains in nutrient broth containing 0.5% (w/v) lithium chloride, a method by which white variants of pigmented *Staph. aureus* have been obtained (Barber, 1955). After repeated daily subcultures, white variants were obtained from a strain of phage type 80 (PS 80). Ten white colonies were picked and found to retain their sensitivity to phage 80 and to give the positive egg yolk reaction shown by the parent strain.

#### DISCUSSION

Like Gillespie & Alder (1952) and Parker (1958) we found that egg yolk negative strains of *Staph. aureus* were more commonly resistant to antibiotics than egg yolk positive strains, and were more commonly members of phage group III than of phage groups I and II. Staphylococci which colonize burns in hospital have been found predominantly resistant to penicillin, tetracycline and erythromycin, and the selection of these strains would involve the coincidental selection of group III strains and those giving a negative egg yolk reaction. In phage group III the strains which were predominantly egg yolk negative were also the ones showing the highest incidence of multiple resistance and the ones which appeared most frequently in burns. Group I strains which were  $EY^+$  (e.g. phage type 80) showed

234

PeniciliinTetracyclineAll thesePhage typesEgg yolkStrainsAll thesePhage typesEgg yolkStrainsAll thesePhage typesEgg yolkStrainsPredominantly EY + 7168058S255774863/55/54/15/75B/71StrainsAll theseS2/52/80:Predominantly EY + 71689416941026S2/55B/71S1/55B/71 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Stap</th> <th>h. aureu</th> <th>Staph. aureus resistant to</th> <th>to</th> <th></th> <th></th>						Stap	h. aureu	Staph. aureus resistant to	to		
Straums         Straums         71       68       96       58       82       55       77       48         71       68       96       58       82       55       77       48         178       176       99       168       94       162       91       157         39       30       77       16       41       19       49       10         245       240       98       185       76       232       95       175         245       240       98       185       76       232       95       175         stance of staphylococci from different environments       Strains of Staph. aureus resistant to       5       6       187       78         stance $0f$ staphylococci from $0ifferent environments       0ifferent environments       95       175         stance 0f staphylococci from 0ifferent environments       0ifferent environments       0ifferent environments       0ifferent environments         00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 $		= 1	ź	Penici	llin	Tetracy	/cline	Erythro	myein	All th antibid	ese
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ypes	Egg yolk reaction	btrains examined	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/52A/80;	Predominantly EY+		68	96	58	82	55	77	48	68
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Predominantly EY-		176	66	168	94	162	16	157	88
245 240 98 185 76 232 95 175 stance of staphylococci from different environments Strains of Staph. aureus resistant to anicillin Tetracycline Erythromycin r % Number % Number % 96 187 78 187 78 96 2 2 1 0 0 43 30 30 2 2 2	15/75B/77, elated	Predominantly EY+		30	77	16	41	19	49	10	26
otic resistance of staphylococci from different environmen Strains of Staph. aureus resistant to Fenicillin Tetracycline Erythromy Number % Number % Number 230 96 187 78 187 18 9 2 1 0 43 43 30 30 2	71; 33; 0 ; 53/75/77; ;5/75B/77;	Predominantly EY-		240	86	185	76	232	95	175	71
PenicillinPenicillinTetracyclineErythromyNumber $\%$ Number23096187781892143433030	Tabl	e 6. Antibiotic resi	stance of sto Stree	aphylococc ins of Star	i from	different . us resistant	environ t to	ments			
PenicillinTetracyclineErythromyNumber $\%$ Number $\%$ 19618778189211892113433030			TAC				2				
Number $\%$ Number $\%$ Number $230$ $96$ $187$ $78$ $187$ $18$ $9$ $2$ $1$ $0$ $43$ $43$ $30$ $30$ $2$	0	C,	enicillin	Tet	racyclii ^	Je	Erythr	omycin			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Staph			Numbe		ſ	umber	%			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Burns, 195		96 Ŭ	187			187	78			
	Casualty p Infections		9 43	30 30		$^{1}_{30}$	⊃ 61	0 01			

# Egg yolk reaction of Staphylococcus aureus

235

236

multiple resistance to antibiotics as often as  $EY^-$  strains and appeared to colonize burns as successfully as any of the  $EY^-$  strains of group III; in 1962 these strains were slightly more common than the  $EY^-$  strains of group III and became the predominant staphylococci of the Burns Unit. An example of a change in which one phage type (probably a single strain) became predominant was the emergence of type 52  $EY^-$  strains in 1960. These staphylococci were indistinguishable by phage typing from the smaller number of type 52 strains isolated from burns in 1958, but egg yolk testing showed the latter to be all  $EY^+$ . In differentiating between strains of the same phage type or between strains which are untypable, the egg yolk reaction appears to have some value as a test in epidemiological studies of staphylococcal infection.

Numbers of strains of Staph. aureus Mercurv Doubtful Mercury Egg yolk reaction resistant sensitive reaction Total Positive 134 54 10 198 Doubtful positive 10 1 0 11 Negative 111  $\mathbf{5}$ 4 120 Total 25560 14 329

Table 7. Egg yolk reaction and mercuric chloride resistance

The relevance of the egg yolk reaction to virulence and epidemicity is uncertain. Gillespie & Alder (1952) found that EY<sup>+</sup> strains were more commonly associated with invasive infection, and EY<sup>-</sup> strains were more commonly associated with superficial lesions such as impetigo and open wounds. Jessen, Faber, Rosendal & Eriksen (1959) found that EY<sup>-</sup> strains were the commonest of the antibioticresistant staphylococci causing bacteraemia. These authors agree in classifying EY<sup>-</sup> strains as pathogens, while apparently differing in their assessment of the invasiveness of such strains; a different view is implicit in the use by some authors of the egg yolk reaction as a diagnostic criterion for pathogenic staphylococci (e.g. Baird-Parker, 1962). Staphylococci-colonizing burns usually cause no obvious pathological effects, but on occasions they may invade the blood stream causing septicaemia or pyaemia; both EY<sup>-</sup> and EY<sup>+</sup> strains have been isolated from blood cultures in such cases. The majority of strains isolated from burns were mercuryresistant, and therefore 'presumptive epidemic strains'; of the mercury-sensitive strains a larger proportion gave EY<sup>+</sup> than EY<sup>-</sup> reaction.

These studies suggest that neither the egg yolk reaction (i.e. the production of a specific lipase) nor the phage group in themselves confer a selective advantage on particular staphylococci in burned tissue. Certain staphylococci, especially those of group III (Barber & Whitehead, 1949), appear to produce more mutations than others, and mutants which are better adapted because of antibiotic resistance or other features can be expected to flourish and eventually displace the less well adapted strains. A surprisingly large proportion of the staphylococci isolated from burns at certain times appeared to be 'subcultures' of the same strain; the preponderance of a single strain accounts for the fact that most staphylococci of group I were  $EY^-$  in 1960 and that  $EY^+$  staphylococci became the commonest burn staphylococci in 1962.

## SUMMARY

Staph. aureus from burns of in-patients were tested for egg yolk reaction during three periods; in 1958 and in 1960 approximately 80% of the strains gave a negative reaction (EY<sup>-</sup>), but in 1962 only 36% of the strains were egg yolk negative.

Staphylococci of phage group III were more commonly  $EY^-$  than those of other groups isolated from burns. Within each of groups I and III, however, there were patterns predominantly  $EY^-$  and others predominantly egg yolk positive ( $EY^+$ ); in group I the majority of strains isolated in 1960 were of phage type 52 and  $EY^-$ , while those isolated in 1962 were predominantly of phage type 80 or related patterns which were always  $EY^+$ .

Most of the staphylococci in burns were resistant to penicillin, tetracycline and erythromycin; within groups I and III, the staphylococci which were  $EY^-$  were also more commonly resistant than  $EY^+$  strains to these three antibiotics.

Most of the staphylococci from burns were mercuric chloride resistant (presumptive epidemic strains); of the mercuric chloride sensitive staphylococci, the proportion of  $EY^+$  strains was greater than that of  $EY^-$  strains.

#### REFERENCES

- BAIRD-PARKER, A. C. (1962). An improved diagnostic and selective medium for isolating coagulase positive staphylococci. J. appl. Bact. 5, 12.
- BARBER, M. (1955). Pigment production by staphylococci. J. gen. Microbiol. 13, 338.
- BARBER, M. & WHITEHEAD, J. E. M. (1949). Bacteriophage types in penicillin-resistant staphylococcal infection. Brit. med. J. ii, 565.
- BLAIR, J. E. & WILLIAMS, R. E. O. (1961). Phage typing of staphylococci. Bull. World Hlth Org. 24, 771.
- CARTER, C. H. (1960). Egg yolk agar for isolation of coagulase positive staphylococci. J. Bact. 79, 753.
- COLBECK, J. C. (1956). Studies in hospital infections. 1. The importance of fomites in the spread of staphylococcal infections, with particular reference to mattress and washing facilities. *Canad. Serv. med. J.* 12, 563.
- GILLESPIE, W. A. & ALDER, V. G. (1952). Production of opacity in egg-yolk media by coagulase-positive staphylococci. J. Path. Bact. 64, 187.
- INNES, A. G. (1960). Tellurite-egg agar, a selective and differential medium for the isolation of coagulase positive staphylococci. J. appl. Bact. 23, 108.
- JESSEN, O., FABER, V., ROSENDAL, K. & ERIKSEN, K. R. (1959). Some properties of Staph. aureus possibly related to pathogenicity. I and II. Acta path. microbiol. scand. 47, 316, 327.

LOWBURY, E. J. L. (1960). Infection of burns. Brit. med. J. i, 994.

MOORE, B. (1960). A new screen test for the rapid detection of epidemic strains of Staph. aureus. Lancet, ii, 453.

PARKER, M. T. (1958). Some cultural characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains from superficial skin infections. J. Hyg., Camb., 56, 238.