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ABSTRACT. People usually study the chronologies of archaeological sites and geological sequences using many different kinds of evidence, taking into account calibrated radiocarbon dates, other dating methods and stratigraphic information. Many individual case studies demonstrate the value of using statistical methods to combine these different types of information. I have developed a computer program, OxCAL, running under Windows 3.1 (for IBM PCs), that will perform both 
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The chronological model consists for combining dates (before or after calibration) and other radiometric dates) can be used. In addition there information because objects in a stratigraphic sequence need not be in chronological order when there is residual or intrusive material present.

In addition to $^{14}$C dates, other dating evidence (e.g., coins, historical evidence, thermoluminescence and other radiometric dates) can be used. $^{14}$C dates are automatically calibrated and all subsequent stages of the analysis are carried out on the calendar scale. Also built into the program are methods for combining dates (before or after calibration) and the associated $\chi^2$ statistical tests.

The chronological model consists of relations among individual items (Harris 1989) which are, however, more easily expressed in terms of sequences and phases. In this context, a phase means a group of elements for which there are no fixed relations; a sequence is a group of elements in a given order. Within each of these, the individual elements can themselves be sequences or phases.

Figure 1 shows how the program displays such information. Figure 1A shows the simple calibrated $^{14}$C dates along with their relations. Figure 1B shows the results of the analysis on which the chronological relations are imposed. The definition of a model is normally performed through the program’s graphical user interface but it is actually stored as a command file as shown in Figure 2; command files can be edited as text or generated by other programs.

Besides the nesting of phases and sequences, the program allows for more complex relations, e.g., \textit{termini ante quem}, \textit{termini post quem}, cross-linking between sequences and minimum gaps between sequence elements. Together, these should allow the program to cope with almost any situation.

Sometimes a more specific model is required, such as wiggle matching measurements on tree rings. This type of information can be analyzed with OxCal using age separations that are known either exactly or approximately. These methods are also applicable to certain deposits, such as varved lake sediments.

The treatment of archaeological phases also requires more specific models. The most widely applicable model operates on the assumption that the dated samples were uniformly sampled from the phase in question; this alters the way in which the elements of the phase are treated and enables time boundaries for the phase to be estimated. This method should be used with caution as, frequently, the samples derive from one construction or destruction level and are not evenly distributed.

\section*{Information from the Model}

The methods described above can do much more than simply re-evaluate the chronology of the dated events. Several different types of question can be answered during the analysis.

From a series of dated events, one can extract valuable information, such as the probability distribution for the dates of the first and last events and a determination of their chronological span. One can also generate a probability distribution for the time difference between any two events. The likely order of dated events can be found where the individual probability distributions overlap (Buck, Litton and Scott 1994). OxCal will generate a list of possible sequences along with their probabilities.
Fig 1. Results of OxCal analysis showing, A, the simple calibrated results and, B, the effect of imposing the chronological model.

If samples are uniformly distributed, time boundaries and intervals between boundaries of archaeological phases can be estimated.

In using this type of analysis, one risks imposing a model inconsistent with the dating evidence. Thus, checks must be made both on individual items and on the model as a whole to ensure a reasonable level of consistency between the dating evidence and the other information. For each dated item,
an agreement index $A$ is calculated, which has a value of ca. 100% but which is sometimes higher and might fall as low as 60%. An agreement index $A_{\text{overall}}$ is also calculated for the model as a whole, which again is typically ca. 100% and should not fall below 60%. The thresholds for these indices are chosen so that they are close to the 5% confidence level of the $\chi^2$ test for simple combinations.

The application of these agreement indices allows the user to test for intrusive dates or unreliable chronological models. If an individual item does not agree well with a model that otherwise seems reasonable, one can query its position. The program will then calculate the probability that the sample’s position is, indeed, correct.

**Formulation of dating strategy**

Probably the most important stage in any dating program is the formulation of a coherent dating strategy. OxCal has features designed to help the archaeologist with this. In particular, the program can show how many $^{14}$C dates are needed to answer particular questions, whether $^{14}$C dating is capable of answering a question at all given the calibration curve, and which samples are best selected to gain most information. This can be achieved by using a function that randomly generates simulated $^{14}$C dates. If, for example, one has an object believed to date from 2000 BC and the $^{14}$C laboratory reports dates for this period with a precision of 50 yr, the program will generate dates such as

$$3697 \pm 50 \quad 3707 \pm 50 \quad 3634 \pm 50 \quad 3672 \pm 50.$$
Using these with $^{14}$C calibration, one can see the number of dates needed to obtain suitably short ranges in calendar years or, with models of the type described above, which samples should be dated to obtain a sufficiently accurate estimate for the duration of a phase.

**Program Details**

Full details of the program along with the mathematical methods used are given in the manual, which is available, along with the program, from the author. The calibration is performed in much the same way as in other programs (e.g., Stuiver and Reimer 1993; van der Plicht 1993). In OxCal, the uncertainties associated with the calibration curve are taken into account and a cubic interpolation method is used between the data points. Combinations prior to calibration are performed using the usual weighted average and $\chi^2$ test (Shennan 1988: 65). After calibration, combinations of different types of dates are made by multiplying the probability distributions; a very similar method is also employed for wiggle matching by offsetting the probability distributions on the calendar scale.

To incorporate the other information, OxCal uses a technique called *Gibbs Sampling*, which involves a large number of iterations. Buck *et al.* (1991), Buck, Litton and Smith (1992) and Buck, Litton and Scott (1994) describe this in some detail. I have made extensions to improve model consistency and convergence testing, which are particularly important for a program intended for general release. The test for model consistency is based on an agreement index $A$, which, for a prior distribution $p(t)$ (the probability distribution before the chronological model has been taken into account), and a posterior distribution $p'(t)$ (the distribution given the model), is defined as

$$A = \frac{\int p(t) p'(t) \, dt}{\int p(t) p(t) \, dt}.$$  \hfill (1)

A suitable acceptance threshold for this is *ca.* 60% for a similar level of discrimination as the $\chi^2$ test at 5%. An overall agreement index is also defined for the model as a whole, given by

$$A_{\text{overall}} = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i \right]^{1/(\sqrt{n})}.$$  \hfill (2)

where $A_i$ is the agreement index for the $i$'th of $n$ events. This has a similar threshold of 60%. Convergence tests are also needed to see if the Gibbs Sampling procedure is giving truly representative results. Such tests are performed by this program using an overlap integral $C$ between the accumulated probability distribution $P(t)$ and that for the last set of iterations $p(t)$

$$C = \frac{\left( \int p(t) P(t) \, dt \right)^2}{\int P^2(t) \, dt \int p^2(t) \, dt}.$$  \hfill (3)

Experience suggests that, if this integral is ever <95%, the algorithm is probably unstable and the results of the analysis should not be used. Another extension to the application of Gibbs Sampling to this type of problem is the inclusion of a method for wiggle matching in cases where the age gaps among items are known only approximately.
CONCLUSION

The computer program OxCal allows the user to perform many different kinds of analysis on 
$^{14}$C dates, from simple calibration to analysis of entire archaeological sites including information from stratigraphy and other dating methods. Complex chronological models can be built and imposed on the dating evidence to provide a coherent picture. The graphical output from the program shows both the details of the model imposed and the results of the analysis in such a way that others should be able to reproduce the results easily. The program should be useful for archaeologists and 
$^{14}$C labs both in the planning of dating programs and in the analysis of the dating evidence from archaeological sites.

OxCal runs under Windows 3.1 and is available over the Internet on the World Wide Web page: http://www.ox.ac.uk/depts/rlaha/, or from the author.
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