Describing women as a separate topic of study has its problems. Women are not a “special issue,” but form half of the population. Furthermore, we face the obvious problem of scope: Mesopotamia covers a huge area, over a period of three thousand years, and there was considerable variation in the roles of women within this geographical and chronological framework. Additionally, textual evidence from Mesopotamia is very uneven. Some areas and time periods are very well documented but there are also huge gaps. However, we can begin to fill in some of these gaps with information from the archaeological record and iconographic evidence.

Despite these challenges, we felt it was necessary to give the reader some basic background information regarding some of the most salient features in Mesopotamian women’s lives. Thus, the following identifies some features of women’s lives which were – in most places and times – common denominators for them. In this chapter, we first outline women’s position in society, followed by a discussion of women in and out of matrimony. Finally, elite women’s lives in some specific periods and places are described.¹

2.1 Social Classes and Legal Position

On the whole, Mesopotamian society was divided into roughly three social classes: free, semi-free, and slaves. On one end of the continuum, the “free women” or “elite women” (Akkadian term awilātu) were often mistresses of large households with servants and considerable economic responsibilities. On the other end were slaves. Slaves were owned by palaces, temples, and wealthy households. Throughout Mesopotamian history a varying percentage of the population was slaves. Some slaves were former free citizens who were forced into slavery by debts; some were war captives. A woman could be sold into slavery by her family, usually father or brothers, or even her husband. Another form of servitude was the pledging system in which a family member or a slave could be given to someone as a servant for a fixed term as a surety against a loan. If the borrower defaulted on the loan, the pledged person would then become the property of the individual providing the loan. In between elite women and female slaves (amātu) there was a class of semi-free or “subordinate” people in Mesopotamia, traditionally called muškēnum in the law codes. Scholars have had some difficulties placing this class in society outside the framework of the law codes. Apparently they were tied to their masters or the owners of the land that they cultivated but they were definitely not slaves.¹

Very little is known about the lives of slave women or the women of the muškēnum-class, since written evidence mostly deals with the affairs of the wealthy. One needed resources to learn how to read and write or even to hire a scribe. Therefore, their voices are rarely preserved within the texts from Mesopotamia. Poor and slave women mainly appear within texts only as objects of trade.

Throughout Mesopotamian history women had the right to conduct legal transactions. They engaged in trade, lending and borrowing, and acquired property. It is very difficult to assess how freely they could do this. On the whole, women were probably under the jurisdiction of the male head of the family except for widows and some other special cases. However, this did not necessarily mean that they needed explicit male consent for every act. This is

particularly true for wealthy women, who had the means and status to act on their own.³

2.2 Marriage

Marriage was the common denominator for most individuals. In general, all men and women were expected to marry and have children. A woman lived with her family under the authority of her father until she married. Marriages were usually arranged by the fathers of the bride and the groom. Often the bride was considerably younger than the groom. Both fathers gave contributions for the new household: a dowry was supplied by the father of the bride and the family of the groom provided bride wealth. The marriage was completed in stages, possibly with a long engagement period. The marriage was finalized when the bride and groom moved in together. The aim of any marriage was to produce male heirs who would then take care of their parents when they aged. Divorce was not common but did happen in some cases. A husband could send his wife away and pay her compensation but it was a far more serious matter for a woman to leave her husband. Worst-case scenarios could include the wife being condemned to death through drowning or thrown off a tower, or she could be sold into slavery. A man could take a second wife in a few special circumstances (e.g., if the first one did not provide him with children) but women were never allowed to take more than one husband.⁴

All through Mesopotamian history people acted as members of a family, a clan, or an institutional household (ḥītum, lit. “house”). Women could work, for example, in the royal household, a governor’s estate, or a temple. Often we know very little about the lives of women outside these institutions. Some of them might have joined these households while simultaneously keeping up their own families, while others might have dedicated


their lives to serving the institution, seeing their service as an alternative to marriage and children.\(^5\)

Temples and palaces employed women as musicians, scribes, administrative personnel, and cultic professionals. In some cases these women lived in the palace or temple, but in many cases it would have been quite possible for them to have a house, a husband, and children elsewhere. The compensation they received for their work varied considerably. Many received food, clothing, and other items according to their rank as members of the institutional household. For example, the female administrators (ṣakintu) in the Neo-Assyrian palaces were wealthy and influential. Considering their extensive responsibilities in the palace, they may have lived there as well.\(^6\)

At the other end to the professionals were the less fortunate women. In the early Sumerian institutions, and probably later as well, women formed a large work-force for physically demanding labor. They worked as weavers, ground flour, towed boats, and cut reeds. Apparently they received for their work half of the rations given to male workers. Women are also known to have worked as midwives, nurses, and tavern keepers.\(^7\)

There were also groups of women who lived outside matrimony. This did not mean that they were independent, at least not in the modern, individualistic sense of the word. Most of them still belonged to a family or an institutional household. The extent of this belonging had great influence on their position.

Widows formed the largest group of women living outside matrimony. They were by no means a monolithic group. In the law codes widows and orphans were often portrayed as being especially vulnerable and in need of the king’s special attention. Yet not all widows were economically vulnerable. For instance, a widow with under-age children could take control of her deceased husband’s business and property and manage it until her son came of age. If there were no children and her father was still alive, the widow could also return to the house of her father. The widow did not usually inherit her husband’s property, but she would keep her own possessions if her husband died. Such property could include her dowry as well as other gifts from her family. The main inheritance share regularly went to the eldest son. Other sons received smaller amounts. Unmarried daughters received a dowry from the estate, which functioned as a woman’s inheritance share. The sons were expected to care for their mother in her old age. Thus a widow with enough property of her own could act fairly autonomously, whereas a poor widow without sons or male relatives to care for her

---

5 Most evidence for this comes from temples; see, e.g., on nadinûm women (2.3 “Elite women”).
would have been in a difficult position. Remarriage was often an option and the procedure for remarriage did not necessarily involve all the stages of the first marriage. 

Additionally, prostitutes lived outside matrimony and apparently also without institutional affiliations. The general consensus among Assyriologists is that the Akkadian word for a prostitute was ḫarīnatu, although Julia Assante has suggested that ḫarīnatu did not actually refer to “a prostitute” but rather to “a single woman.” According to her, the main qualification for ḫarīnatu is that she was neither “a wife of a man” nor “a daughter of a man,” i.e. she did not belong to a household with a male head of the family. Whether or not the ḫarīnatu women were prostitutes, it seems that they too could be either rich and autonomous or, on the other hand, little more than slaves. Some of them probably owned the income they made and could have been rather independent in that sense. 

The eminent scholar Amélie Kuhrt has convincingly argued that women who had escaped regular masculine authority were considered dangerous for social order and even possible sources of evil witchcraft. Witchcraft was taken extremely seriously by Mesopotamians. People were believed to be vulnerable to all kinds of attacks by magical means. Misfortune which was caused by sorcery needed to be dispelled by a specialist who used “white magic” to counter the evil intent of the sorcerer. For the most part, sorcery was seen as a weapon of women, although male sorcerers are occasionally mentioned. 

2.3 Elite Women

Elite women are far better represented in the textual record than poor women. The most prominent elite institutions of Mesopotamia were the palace and the temple. Women could have considerable authority in both institutions. They served as heads of temples and on rare occasions as ruling queens. The lives of

11 Debate on this is ongoing: see Kathleen McCaffrey, “The Female Kings of Ur,” in Gender through Time in the Ancient Near East (ed. Diane Bolger; Gender and Archaeology 17; Lanham: AltaMira Press, 2008), 173–215.
such women are occasionally very well known. The following five groups of women are especially well documented: the royal women of the Ur III kingdom (ca. 2112–2004), Old Assyrian merchant women (ca. 1900–1830), Old Babylonian nadītum-women (ca. 1880–1550), palace women of the Old Babylonian city-state of Mari (ca. 1810–1760), and the elite women of the Neo-Assyrian Empire (ca. 900–612). A short introduction is given here on these “clusters.” More specific details can be found in the introductions to the texts.

The Sumerian third dynasty of Ur (Ur III) in southern Mesopotamia is known from more than 100,000 texts, most of which relate to the economy and administration of the realm. These documents show that women were participating in sanctioned power within all levels of society and formed an essential part of administrative structures of the kingdom. The queen and other high-ranking royal women had important duties in the palace and the cult. The household of the queen was a large and influential institution. The wives of many governors were also active figures. Especially well documented is women’s involvement in textile production.12 In this anthology the “Lullaby for a Son of Šulgi” (5.3.3) possibly originates from the Ur III period.

Most documents from the Old Assyrian period come from the trading colony in Kanesh in Anatolia, where the Assyrians had a permanent trading outpost, a kārum. Archaeologists have excavated a large number of cuneiform tablets recording lively correspondence between the men in kārum Kanesh and the women of the family who had stayed in the capital of the kingdom, Assur, over 1,100 km to the south. The trade mostly consisted of shipments of tin and textiles via donkey caravans to Kanesh, and silver and gold flowing back to Assur. The women sending textiles to Kanesh were actively engaged in the family’s trading enterprises.13 Some letters sent by these women are included in 6.2.

Much of the evidence from the Old Babylonian period regarding elite women relates to the nadītum-women. The priestesses were dedicated to a


male god: to Šamaš in the city of Sippar, to Marduk in Babylon, and to Ninurta in Nippur. In Sippar they lived within the gagûm-building complex, which is often translated as a “cloister.” “Cloister” is not the best translation as these women were definitely not cut off from the world or only concentrating on religious matters, although the initiation to the temple resembled marriage. Mainly the term “cloister” has been used because these women did not bear children. Instead, they could give their husbands secondary wives to bear descendants. Most of our information comes from Sippar, which means that it does not necessarily reflect the lives of the nadîtûm-women in other cities.

At least in Sippar, the nadîtûm were wealthy women, often daughters of elite families. They were engaged actively in financial transactions, agricultural production as well as cultic duties. Some of them could even read and write. It seems that they were entitled to clothing, food, and oil from their families. There is evidence that after her death a nadîtûm-woman’s property was inherited by her male relatives, but in some cases she could also will it to an outsider, for example to a slave or a younger nadîtûm whom she had adopted to take care of her in her old age.14

The Old Babylonian qadîštûm and kulmaštûm-women, who also appear in the current volume, belonged to the same general class of women as the nadîtûm, although there is less textual evidence regarding them. The titles were connected to cult and these women could own property, like nadîtûm-women. However, unlike the nadîtûm, they could marry and have children. Apparently the qadîštûm had something to do with babies, in delivering them and/or wet-nursing them. There is some circumstantial evidence to suggest that a qadîštûm might have been literate (see chapter 4). Furthermore, the qadîštûm and kulmaštûm appear in the Old Babylonian letters of the nadîtûm (chapter 6).15

Another remarkable Old Babylonian source regarding women are the texts from the city of Mari, which was located in western Mesopotamia on the bank of the Euphrates. The texts found there mostly originate from a very short time period, from the reigns of two kings (ca. 1790–1745). Thousands of clay tablets have been excavated from the royal palace of Mari and they illuminate all aspects of administration and palace business during those years. It is clear that women were an integral part of state administration in Mari. When the king was away it seems that his queen even

acted as a vicegerent. Secondary wives and other high-ranking women – such as the governor’s wife – played significant roles as well. They organized cultic matters, sent oracles to the king, and took care of the smooth running of economic activities, for example textile production.\(^\text{16}\) We have included some letters sent by the women of the royal palace at Mari (chapter 6) as well as some very interesting oracles delivered by female prophets who were located near there (chapter 8).

Neo-Assyrian women are also very well attested. The Neo-Assyrian Empire was huge, covering Mesopotamia from the Persian Gulf to the Mediterranean, and even parts of Egypt at times. Thousands of Neo-Assyrian cuneiform tablets have been found – mostly from royal palaces but also some temple and private archives are known. Regarding the royal women, we have numerous administrative deeds and documents, as well as letters, dedications and inscriptions. Neo-Assyrian queens clearly wielded considerable influence. As in previous times, their households were an integral part of the administration of the state. Some left such a lasting impression within cultural memories that their names were transmitted to the Greeks.\(^\text{17}\)

In addition to queens and the female relatives of the king, also the “court women” (sekretu) lived in the palaces. There were many women working there as well, especially in the administration of the queen’s household. There is some evidence that the queen’s household might have been involved in large-scale textile production. Moreover, female musicians were employed in large numbers in Neo-Assyrian palaces.\(^\text{18}\) The Neo-Assyrian temples are not as well known as temples in some previous periods, but prophets played a prominent role in Neo-Assyrian society and several extant oracles were delivered by female prophets.\(^\text{19}\) In this

---


\(^\text{17}\) Some of their memories are clearly exaggerated or mythologized but this does not detract from fact that these women were tremendously influential in their lifetime and that their reputations were embedded within the traditions of Mesopotamia and beyond (Gerald P. Verbrugghe and John M. Wickersham, *Berossos and Manetho* [Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2001], 21–22).


anthology we have included letters by palace women (6.7), some inscriptions by Neo-Assyrian queens (chapter 7), the Zakûtu treaty (9.2.1), and prophetic oracles (chapter 8).

On the whole, the Mesopotamian evidence suggests that elite women acted in all the roles that men did, although considerably fewer women than men appear in writing from Mesopotamia. Women corresponded with men, with the king, with each other, bought, sold, loaned, borrowed, guaranteed debts and acted as witnesses, owned property, were involved in trading ventures, used seals, etc.