

# COMPACT ACTIONS ON C\*-ALGEBRAS

by CHARLES A. AKEMANN† and STEVE WRIGHT

(Received 23 April, 1979)

**1. Introduction.** In Section 33 of [2], Bonsall and Duncan define an element  $t$  of a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to *act compactly* on  $\mathcal{A}$  if the map  $a \rightarrow tat$  is a compact operator on  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this paper, the arguments and technique of [1] are used to study this question for C\*-algebras (see also [10]). We determine the elements  $b$  of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  for which the maps  $a \rightarrow ba$ ,  $a \rightarrow ab$ ,  $a \rightarrow ab + ba$ ,  $a \rightarrow bab$  are compact (respectively weakly compact), determine the C\*-algebras which are compact in the sense of Definition 9, of [2, p. 177] and give a characterization of the \*-automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  which are weakly compact perturbations of the identity.

We introduce the notation which will be used in the sequel. If  $H$  is a Hilbert space,  $B(H)$  and  $K(H)$  denote respectively the W\*-algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$  and the C\*-algebra of all compact operators on  $H$ . A C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to *act atomically on a Hilbert space  $H$*  if there exists an orthogonal family  $\{P_\alpha\}$  of projections in  $B(H)$ , each commuting with  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that  $\bigoplus_\alpha P_\alpha$  is the identity operator on  $H$ ,  $\mathcal{A}P_\alpha$  acts irreducibly on  $P_\alpha(H)$ , and  $\mathcal{A}P_\alpha$  is not unitarily equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}P_\beta$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

If  $\{\mathcal{A}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a family of C\*-algebras, the C\*-direct sum  $\bigoplus_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\lambda$  of the  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ 's is the C\*-algebra of all functions  $f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , with

$$\|f\| = \sup\{\|f(\lambda)\| : \lambda \in \Lambda\} < \infty,$$

equipped with pointwise operations. The *restricted C\*-direct sum*  $\hat{\bigoplus}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\lambda$  is the C\*-subalgebra of  $\bigoplus_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\lambda$  consisting of all functions  $f$  with  $\{\lambda : \|f(\lambda)\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  finite for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A projection  $p$  of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *finite-dimensional* if  $p\mathcal{A}p$  is finite-dimensional. A C\*-algebra is said to be of *elementary type* if it is isomorphic to  $K(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ .

By an *ideal* of a C\*-algebra, we will always mean a uniformly closed, two-sided ideal.

**2. The results.** We begin with several propositions that determine the operators which act compactly (respectively weakly compactly) on  $B(H)$ . Throughout,  $H$  always denotes a (complex) Hilbert space.

**2.1. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\Phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  be a bounded linear map which is continuous in the ultraweak operator topology, and maps  $K(H)$  into  $K(H)$ . Then  $\varphi = (\varphi|_{K(H)})^{**}$ , and  $\varphi$  is weakly compact if and only if  $\varphi(B(H)) \subseteq K(H)$ .*

† This author was partially supported by NSF grant MCS78-01870

*Proof.* Note first that  $(\varphi|_{K(H)})^{**}: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  is ultraweakly continuous and agrees with the ultraweakly continuous map  $\varphi$  on the ultraweakly dense set  $K(H) \subseteq B(H)$ , whence  $\varphi = (\varphi|_{K(H)})^{**}$ .

Now assume  $\varphi$  is weakly compact. Then  $(\varphi|_{K(H)})^{**} = \varphi$  is weakly compact, whence  $\varphi|_{K(H)}$  is weakly compact (Theorem 8, [4, p. 485] whence  $\varphi(B(H)) \subseteq$  norm-closure of  $\varphi(K(H)) \subseteq K(H)$  (Theorem 2, [4, p. 482]).

Conversely, assume that  $\varphi(B(H)) \subseteq K(H)$ . Let  $K(H)_1$  and  $B(H)_1$  denote the closed unit balls of  $K(H)$  and  $B(H)$ , respectively. It follows by ultraweak compactness of  $B(H)_1$  and ultraweak continuity of  $\varphi$  that the weak closure of  $\varphi(K(H)_1)$  is  $\varphi(B(H)_1)$ , and this set is  $\sigma(K(H)^{**}, K(H)^*)$ -compact. Thus, since  $\varphi(B(H)_1) \subseteq K(H)$ , and the  $\sigma(K(H)^{**}, K(H)^*)$ -topology when restricted to  $K(H)$  is the weak topology on  $K(H)$ , we conclude that the weak closure of  $\varphi(K(H)_1)$  is weakly compact. Q.E.D.

**2.2 PROPOSITION.** *Let  $b$  be a nonzero element of  $B(H)$  such that any one of the maps*

$$a \rightarrow ab, \quad a \rightarrow ba, \quad a \rightarrow ab + ba, \quad (a \in B(H))$$

*is compact (respectively weakly compact). Then  $\dim H < \infty$  (respectively  $b \in K(H)$ ).*

*Proof.* The “compact” statement is immediate from [11], the “weakly compact” statement is immediate from Proposition 2.1.

**2.3. PROPOSITION.** *If  $b, c \in B(H)$  are both nonzero, then the map  $a \rightarrow bac$  is weakly compact if and only if either  $b$  or  $c$  is in  $K(H)$ , and it is compact if and only if both  $b$  and  $c$  are in  $K(H)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $b, c \notin K(H)$ . Then by Corollary 5.10 of [3], the ranges of  $b$  and  $c$  contain closed, infinite-dimensional subspaces. Hence there exists an  $a \in B(H)$  which maps a closed, infinite-dimensional subspace of the range of  $c$  onto a subspace  $M$  of  $H$  for which  $b(M)$  contains a closed, infinite-dimensional subspace. Thus the range of  $bac$  contains a closed, infinite-dimensional subspace, and so by [3], Corollary 5.10,  $bac \notin K(H)$ . Thus by Proposition 2.1  $a \rightarrow bac$  is not weakly compact.

Suppose  $b \in K(H)$ . Then  $bac \in K(H)$  for  $a \in B(H)$ , so that by Proposition 2.1  $a \rightarrow bac$  is weakly compact.

The statement about compact  $a \rightarrow bac$  is a special case of Theorem 3, p. 174 and Corollary 5, p. 175 of [2]. The proof is complete.

**2.4. LEMMA.** *Let  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of Banach spaces with compact (respectively weakly compact) maps  $\varphi_n: X_n \rightarrow X_n$  of uniformly bounded norm. Then  $\bigoplus_n \varphi_n: \bigoplus_n X_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_n X_n$  is compact (respectively weakly compact) if and only if  $\lim_n \|\varphi_n\| = 0$ . ( $\bigoplus_n X_n$  denotes the  $l_\infty$ -direct sum of  $\{X_n\}$ .)*

*Proof.* We need only verify the weakly compact case, the compact case being an immediate corollary. Suppose with no loss of generality that  $\sup_n \|\varphi_n\| = 1$ . Assume the lemma is false. Since the compression of a weakly compact map to a subspace by a

continuous projection onto that subspace is weakly compact, we may thus find an  $x = (x_n) \in \bigoplus_n X_n$  of norm 1 and a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\varphi_n(x_n)\| > \delta$  for all  $n$ . Let  $M = \widehat{\bigoplus}_n X_n$ .

Since  $\varphi(x) \notin M$ , there is an  $f \in X^*$  such that  $f(\varphi(x)) = 1$  and  $f$  vanishes on  $M$ .

Define a sequence  $\{y_k = (y_n^{(k)})\} \subseteq \bigoplus_n X_n$  by

$$y_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0, & (n < k), \\ x_n, & (n \geq k). \end{cases}$$

Let  $S$  denote the  $l_1$ -direct sum of  $\{X_n^*\}$ . With  $S$  acting on  $X = \bigoplus_n X_n$  in the natural way, we have  $S \subseteq X^*$ , and since  $\{\|\varphi_n\|\}$  is uniformly bounded,  $\varphi(y_k) \rightarrow 0$  in the  $\sigma(X, S)$ -topology. Since the  $\sigma(X, S)$ -topology is Hausdorff and weaker than the weak topology on  $X$ , we conclude by weak compactness of  $\varphi$  that  $\varphi(y_k) \rightarrow 0$  weakly, after perhaps passing to a subsequence and reindexing. But  $\varphi(y_k) - \varphi(x) \in M$ , for all  $k$ , and so by the choice of  $f$ ,  $f(\varphi(y_k)) = f(\varphi(x)) = 1$  for all  $k$ , a contradiction. QED

The next result determines the elements of a C\*-algebra which act compactly (respectively weak compactly).

2.5. THEOREM. *Let  $b$  be a nonzero element of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Any one of the maps*

$$a \rightarrow ab, \quad a \rightarrow ba, \quad a \rightarrow ab + ba, \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}) \tag{1}$$

*is compact if and only if there exists an orthogonal sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of minimal, finite-dimensional, central projections of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $b \in \widehat{\bigoplus}_n \mathcal{A}p_n$ .*

*Any one of the maps (1) is weakly compact if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{I_n\}$  of orthogonal ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that each  $I_n$  is of elementary type and  $b \in \widehat{\bigoplus}_n I_n$ .*

*Proof.* We may pass to the reduced atomic representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  ([6, p. 35] and may hence assume with no loss of generality that  $\mathcal{A}$  acts atomically on a Hilbert space  $H = \bigoplus_\alpha H_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^-$  denote the closure of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the weak operator topology. We have  $\mathcal{A}^- = \bigoplus_\alpha B(H_\alpha)$  by Corollary 4 of [5]. Let  $q_\alpha =$  the projection of  $H$  onto  $H_\alpha$ .

Assume, for instance, that  $a \rightarrow ab + ba$  is weakly compact. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [1], we deduce that  $a \rightarrow ab + ba$  is weakly compact on  $\mathcal{A}^-$  and  $\{xb + bx : x \in \mathcal{A}^-\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . If  $b = \bigoplus_\alpha b_\alpha \in \bigoplus_\alpha B(H_\alpha)$ , it follows by the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [1] and the fact that the norm of  $a \rightarrow ab + ba$  is  $2\|b\|$  that all but a countable number of the  $b_\alpha$ 's, say  $b_{\alpha_n} = b_n$ , are zero, and  $\lim_n \|b_n\| = 0$  by Lemma 2.4. By Proposition 2.2,  $b_n \in K(H_{\alpha_n}) = K_n$ , and so  $\mathcal{A} \cap K_n$  is a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{A}q_{\alpha_n} \supseteq K_n$ , hence a nonzero ideal of  $K_n$ . Thus  $K_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . We conclude that  $b = \bigoplus_n b_n \in \widehat{\bigoplus}_n K_n$ , and the desired result follows.

If  $b = \bigoplus_n b_n \in \widehat{\bigoplus_n I_n}$  with  $I_n$  an ideal of elementary type, by Corollary 4, [4, p. 483], it suffices to show that  $a \rightarrow ab_n + b_n a$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , is weakly compact for each  $n$ . Suppressing the  $n$ 's, we may assume with no loss of generality that  $b \in I$  is nonnegative. By Proposition 2.3,  $a \rightarrow ab^{1/2}$  and  $a \rightarrow b^{1/2}a$  are both weakly compact on  $I$ , and so  $a \rightarrow ab + ba = (ab^{1/2})b^{1/2} + b^{1/2}(b^{1/2}a)$  is weakly compact on  $\mathcal{A}$  since  $b^{1/2}a$  and  $ab^{1/2}$  are in  $I$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Similar arguments prove the other statements, and so the proof is complete.

In [2] (Definition 9, p. 177), Bonsall and Duncan call a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  compact if for each  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ , the map  $a \rightarrow bab$  is compact. They show that the Banach algebra of compact operators on a Banach space is compact (Theorem 3(i), [2, p. 177]). Proposition 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.5 show that an element  $b$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  induces a compact map  $a \rightarrow bab$  if and only if  $a \rightarrow bab$  is weakly compact, which happens if and only if  $b$  is of the form given in the second part of Theorem 2.5. Hence we immediately deduce the following corollary, which determines the  $C^*$ -algebras compact in the above sense and which improves on some results of [10] (see also [7]).

2.6. COROLLARY. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is compact in the sense of Bonsall and Duncan.
- (2) The map  $a \rightarrow bab$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is weakly compact for each  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the restricted direct sum of a family of  $C^*$ -algebras of elementary type.

Moreover, at least one of the maps  $a \rightarrow ab$ ,  $a \rightarrow ba$ ,  $a \rightarrow ab + ba$ , ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is compact for each  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the restricted direct sum of a family of finite-dimensional full matrix algebras.

The next results characterize the  $*$ -automorphisms of a  $C^*$ -algebra which are weakly compact perturbations of the identity, but before we state and prove them, the following proposition is needed.

2.7. PROPOSITION. *If  $u (\neq 1)$  is a unitary operator in  $B(H)$ , then  $a \rightarrow uau^* - a$  is compact (respectively weakly compact) if and only if  $\dim H < \infty$  (respectively  $(u + \lambda 1) \in K(H)$  for some complex number  $\lambda$ ).*

*Proof.* The map  $a \rightarrow uau^* - a$  is compact (respectively weakly compact) if and only if the map  $a \rightarrow ua - au$  is the same, since  $b \rightarrow bu$  is an isometry of  $B(H)$  onto itself. The map  $a \rightarrow ua - au$  is compact (respectively weakly compact) if and only if  $\dim H < \infty$  (respectively  $(u + \lambda 1) \in K(H)$  for some complex number  $\lambda$ ) by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 of [1].

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  will denote the group of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi = \bigoplus_\gamma \pi_\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$  the reduced atomic representation of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_\pi = \pi(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^-$  = the closure of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi$  in the weak operator topology in  $B(H_\pi)$ . We have  $H_\pi = \bigoplus_\gamma H_\gamma$  where  $H_\gamma$  is the representation space of  $\pi_\gamma$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^- = \bigoplus_\gamma B(H_\gamma)$ . Let  $p_\gamma$  = the projection of  $H_\pi$  onto  $H_\gamma$ ,  $K_\gamma = K(H_\gamma)$ . If  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\alpha_\pi$  denotes the  $*$ -automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi$  induced by  $\alpha$ .

The following two theorems together determine the structure of \*-automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  which are weakly compact perturbations of the identity automorphism (denoted  $id$  in the sequel) on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

2.8. THEOREM. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a C\*-algebra,  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ . The following are equivalent.*

(1)  $\alpha - id$  is weakly compact.

(2) *There is a finite-dimensional central projection  $p$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , an automorphism  $\alpha_1$  of  $p\mathcal{A}$ , and an automorphism  $\alpha_2$  of  $(1-p)\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\alpha_2 - id$  is weakly compact,  $\alpha_2$  fixes each central element of  $(1-p)\mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  denote the enveloping von Neumann algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\sigma = \bigoplus \{\pi_f : f \text{ a state on } \mathcal{A}\}$  denotes the universal representation of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then by Theorem 1.17.2 of [9],  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  can be naturally identified with the closure  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})^-$  of  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  in the weak operator topology.

Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\alpha^{**}$  is a \*-automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , it maps minimal projections onto minimal projections, and, identifying  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^-$  in a natural way with the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  generated by the minimal projections ([9, p. 53]), it therefore follows that  $\alpha^{**}(\mathcal{A}_\pi^-) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi^-$ . Now assume  $\alpha - id$  is weakly compact.

Since  $\alpha^{**}$  maps minimal central projections onto minimal central projections, it follows that  $\alpha^{**}$  permutes the  $p_\gamma$ 's in  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^- = \bigoplus_\gamma B(H_\gamma)$ . Suppose that for  $\gamma \neq \lambda$ ,  $\alpha^{**}(p_\gamma) = p_\lambda$ .

Consider the map  $\varphi : p_\gamma \mathcal{A}_\pi^- \rightarrow p_\gamma \mathcal{A}_\pi^-$  defined by  $\varphi : a \rightarrow p_\gamma(\alpha^{**}(a) - a)$ . Since  $\alpha^{**}(a) \in p_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\pi^-$ ,  $p_\gamma(\alpha^{**}(a)) = 0$ , whence  $\varphi = -id$  on  $p_\gamma \mathcal{A}_\pi^-$ . Since  $\varphi$  is the composition of a bounded map and the weakly compact map  $a \rightarrow \alpha^{**}(a) - a$ , we conclude by Theorem 5, [4, p. 484], that  $\varphi$  is weakly compact, whence  $p_\gamma \mathcal{A}_\pi^-$  is reflexive, hence finite-dimensional (Proposition 2 of [8]). Thus  $\alpha^{**}$  can only permute finite-dimensional  $p_\gamma$ 's, and it follows by the weak compactness of  $\alpha^{**} - id$  and Lemma 2.4 that  $\alpha^{**}$  permutes only a finite number of them.

We want to show next that each  $p_\gamma$  permuted by  $\alpha^{**}$  is in fact in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $p$  be such a projection, and let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then since  $p\alpha^{**}(p) = 0$  and  $p$  is central,

$$2ap + (\alpha^{**}(ap) - ap) = \alpha^{**}(ap) + ap = (\alpha^{**}(ap) - ap)(\alpha^{**}(p) - p). \tag{1}$$

But by Theorem 2, [4, p. 482]  $(\alpha^{**} - id)(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . Thus by (1),  $ap \in \mathcal{A}$ , and so  $p\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}p \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . Now define  $\varphi : a \rightarrow p(\alpha^{**}(a) - a)$  as before. Then

$$-p = \varphi(p) \in \varphi(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq p(\alpha^{**} - id)(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq p\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

Setting  $P$  equal to the sum of all the  $p_\gamma$ 's permuted by  $\alpha^{**}$ , we conclude that  $P$  is a finite-dimensional central projection in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Writing  $\mathcal{A}^{**} = \mathcal{A}^{**}P \oplus \mathcal{A}^{**}(1-P)$ , we have  $\alpha^{**} = \alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}^{**}P} \oplus \alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}^{**}(1-P)}$  (notice that  $\alpha^{**}(P) = P$ ). Since the center of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^-(1-P)$  is purely atomic and  $\alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}_\pi^-(1-P)}$  fixes each atom, it follows that  $\alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}_\pi^-(1-P)}$  fixes each central element of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^-(1-P)$ . Since  $\alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \alpha$ , setting  $\alpha_1 = \alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}P}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}(1-P)}$  gives the desired decomposition of  $\alpha$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). This is clear, and so the proof is complete.

2.9. THEOREM. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a C\*-algebra,  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ . The following are equivalent.*

(1)  $\alpha - id$  is weakly compact and  $\alpha$  fixes each central element of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(2)  $\alpha_\pi$  extends to an inner automorphism  $\tilde{\alpha}_\pi$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^-$  of the following form: there exists a countable set of indices  $\{\gamma_n\}$ , unitaries  $u_n \in B(H_{\gamma_n})$ , and complex numbers  $\{z_n\}$  such that (if  $p_\gamma$  is the identity in  $B(H_\gamma)$ )

- (i)  $u_n - z_n p_n \in K_{\gamma_n} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi$  (where  $p_n = p_{\gamma_n}$ ),
- (ii)  $\lim_n \|u_n - z_n p_n\| = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\tilde{\alpha}_\pi(a) = uau^*$ , ( $a \in \mathcal{A}_\pi^-$ ), where  $u = (\bigoplus_{\gamma \neq \gamma_n} p_\gamma) \oplus (\bigoplus_n u_n)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). We assert first that  $\alpha^{**} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  fixes each central element of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . By the spectral theorem and  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^{**})$ -continuity of  $\alpha^{**}$ , it suffices to show that  $\alpha^{**}(z) = z$  for each central projection  $z \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . To see this, note first that by Theorem 2, [4, p. 482], and the weak compactness of  $\alpha^{**} - id$ ,  $\alpha^{**}(z) - z$  is a central element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\alpha^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \alpha$  and  $\alpha$  fixes each central element of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\alpha^{**}(\alpha^{**}(z) - z) = \alpha^{**}(z) - z$ , i.e.,

$$(\alpha^{**})^2(z) + z = 2\alpha^{**}(z). \tag{*}$$

Since  $(\alpha^{**})^2(z)$ ,  $\alpha^{**}(z)$ , and  $z$  are projections in an abelian  $W^*$ -algebra (the center of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ ), they can be viewed as characteristic functions of measurable sets (Proposition 1.18.1 of [9]), whence by (\*),  $\alpha^{**}(z) = z$ .

Since  $\alpha^{**}$  fixes each central element, we can apply the reasoning of the proof of Theorem 2.8 to extend  $\alpha_\pi$  to an automorphism  $\tilde{\alpha}_\pi$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^-$  such that  $\tilde{\alpha}_\pi - id$  is weakly compact and  $\tilde{\alpha}_\pi$  fixes each central element of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi^-$ . It follows that if  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\pi,\gamma} = \tilde{\alpha}_\pi|_{B(H_\gamma)}$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\pi,\gamma} \in \text{Aut}(B(H_\gamma))$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\pi,\gamma} - id|_{B(H_\gamma)}$  is weakly compact, and  $\tilde{\alpha}_\pi = \bigoplus_\gamma \tilde{\alpha}_{\pi,\gamma}$ . By the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [1], all but a countable number of the  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\pi,\gamma} - id|_{B(H_\gamma)}$ 's are nonzero, and if  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is the set of the corresponding indices,  $\lim_n \|\tilde{\alpha}_{\pi,\gamma_n} - id|_{B(H_{\gamma_n})}\| = 0$  by Lemma 2.4. It follows by Proposition 2.7 and the preceding that there exist indices  $\{\gamma_n\}$ , unitaries  $u_n \in B(H_{\gamma_n})$ , and complex numbers  $\{z_n\}$  satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). This follows easily from Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.4, and so the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. C. A. Akemann and S. Wright, Compact and weakly compact derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.
2. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Bd. 80, (Springer-Verlag, 1973).
3. R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, (Academic Press, 1972).
4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part I*, (Interscience, 1958).
5. J. Glimm and R. V. Kadison, Unitary operators in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **10** (1960), 547–556.
6. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, Derivations and automorphisms of operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **4** (1967), 32–63.
7. T. Ogasawara and K. Yoshinaga, Weakly completely continuous Banach  $*$ -algebras, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A*, **18** (1954), 15–36.

- 8.** S. Sakai, Weakly compact operators on operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **14** (1964), 659–664.
- 9.** S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Bd. 60, (Springer-Verlag, 1971).
- 10.** B. J. Tomiuk, Duality and the existence of weakly completely continuous elements in a  $B^*$ -algebra, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **13** (1972), 56–60.
- 11.** K. Vala, On compact sets of compact operators, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A1*, 407 (1967).

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
SANTA BARBARA  
CALIFORNIA 93106

OAKLAND UNIVERSITY  
ROCHESTER  
MICHIGAN 48063