AN EMBEDDING FOR π_2 OF A SUBCOMPLEX OF A FINITE CONTRACTIBLE TWO-COMPLEX

by WILLIAM A. BOGLEY

(Received 19 June, 1990)

Introduction and statement of results. A longstanding open question in low dimensional topology was raised by J. H. C. Whitehead in 1941 [9]: "Is any subcomplex of an aspherical, two-dimensional complex itself aspherical?" The asphericity of classical knot complements [7] provides evidence that the answer to Whitehead's question might be "yes". Indeed, each classical knot complement has the homotopy type of a two-complex which can be embedded in a finite contractible two-complex. This property is shared by a large class of four-manifolds; these are the ribbon disc complements, whose asphericity has been conjectured, and even claimed, but never proven. (See [4] for a discussion.) It is reasonable and convenient to formulate the following.

RESTRICTED WHITEHEAD CONJECTURE (RWC). Subcomplexes of finite contractible two-complexes are aspherical.

The RWC has a purely algebraic reformulation, which we now describe.

By a normal factorization of a group G, we mean an expression $G = R_1 \dots R_k$ where k is a positive integer and R_1, \dots, R_k are normal subgroups of G. A normal factorization $F = R_1 \dots R_k$ of a finitely generated free group F is here said to be *efficient* if there exist pairwise disjoint finite subsets $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k$ of F such that $|\mathbf{r}_1| + \dots + |\mathbf{r}_k| = \operatorname{rank} F$ and, for $j = 1, \dots, k, R_j$ is normally generated in F by \mathbf{r}_j .

If A and B are subgroups of a group G, then [A, B] denotes the subgroup of G generated by all commutators $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. If A and B are normal in G, then so is [A, B], and [A, B] is contained in $A \cap B$.

ALGEBRAIC RWC (ARWC). If R and S are distinct factors from an efficient normal factorization of a finitely generated free group, then $R \cap S \subseteq [R, S]$.

Using [3, Theorem 1], it is a simple matter to show that the conclusion of the ARWC holds in the case where the normal factorization involves just two factors. (See Lemma 4 below.) In the general case, our main result is the following.

THEOREM 1. If R and S are distinct factors from an efficient normal factorization of a finitely generated free group F, then $R \cap S \subseteq [R, S]F_n$ for all $n \ge 1$.

Here, for a group G, G_n denotes the *n*th term of the lower central series of G, defined inductively by $G_1 = G$ and $G_{n+1} = [G, G_n]$.

To see that the RWC is implied by the ARWC, suppose that X is a subcomplex of a finite contractible two-complex Y. It suffices to prove that $\pi_2 X = 0$. One easily reduces to the case where Y has a single two-cell and Y is obtained from X by attaching two-cells. The case where X has a single zero-cell can be handled using the Lyndon Identity Theorem [5]; this was done in greater generality by Cockroft in [2]. Suppose that X is a union of subcomplexes X_r and X_s which intersect in the one-skeleton Y^1 , and where each of X_r and X_s has at least one two-cell. By induction, each of X_r and X_s is aspherical. Now, $Y = X \cup X_t$, where X_t consists of the one-skeleton Y^1 together with the two-cells of Y - X. Let $F = \pi_1 Y^1$, a finitely generated free group; let R, S, T denote the kernel of the homomorphism on fundamental groups induced by the inclusions of Y^1 in X_r , X_s , X_t

Glasgow Math. J. 33 (1991) 365-371.

respectively. Then F = RST is an efficient normal factorization of F, since Y is contractible. As normal generators for R, S, T, take the based homotopy classes of the attaching maps for the two-cells of X_r , X_s , X_t respectively. A result of Gutierrez and Ratcliffe [3, Theorem 1] then provides that $\pi_2 X \cong (R \cap S)/[R, S]$, and so the ARWC implies that $\pi_2 X = 0$. Even without the ARWC, the promised embedding for π_2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY. If R and S are distinct factors from an efficient normal factorization of a finitely generated free group F and Q = F/[R, S], then $(R \cap S)/[R, S]$ embeds naturally in $Q_{\omega} = \bigcap \{Q_n : n \ge 1\}$.

Conversely, the above remarks show how to use a counterexample to the ARWC to construct a counterexample to the RWC.

In Theorem 2, we determine the structure of Gr Q, where Q is the group in the Corollary to Theorem 1. In particular, the groups Q_n/Q_{n+1} are finitely generated free abelian for all positive integers n.

Aside from the result of [3] which was used above, the main general tool employed in the proof of Theorem 1 is the graded integral Lie algebra Gr G that is constructed from the lower central series of a group G. Of special utility is the theorem of Magnus [6] which states that if F is a free group, then Gr F is a free Lie algebra. Further, the homogeneous components of Gr F are finitely generated free abelian, with ranks given by an explicit formula due to Witt [10].

The author is grateful to M. N. Dyer for thought-provoking suggestions.

On free Lie algebras. Very little is new here. The primary reference for the material in this section is [1, Chapter II]. All algebras are to be taken over the integers.

A magma is a pair (M, .), where M is a set and . is a binary operation on M. If \mathbf{x} is a set, then $M(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the free magma on \mathbf{x} . Thus $M(\mathbf{x})$ is the disjoint union of sets \mathbf{x}_n $(n \ge 1)$, where $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}$, and \mathbf{x}_n is defined inductively as the disjoint union of the sets $\mathbf{x}_m \times \mathbf{x}_{n-m}$ (m = 1, ..., n-1). The operation in $M(\mathbf{x})$ is given by $x \cdot y = (x, y)$ for $x \in \mathbf{x}_m$ and $y \in \mathbf{x}_{n-m}$. If (N, .) is a magma, then any function of $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}$ into N extends uniquely to a magma homomorphism $(M(\mathbf{x}), .) \rightarrow (N, .)$.

The free integral Lie algebra on the set **x** is denoted by $L(\mathbf{x})$. There is a canonical embedding of **x** into $L(\mathbf{x})$. This yields a magma homomorphism $\xi:(M(\mathbf{x}),.) \rightarrow$ $(L(\mathbf{x}), [,])$, where [,] denotes the Lie bracket in $L(\mathbf{x})$. We identify **x** with $\xi(\mathbf{x})$. Any function of **x** into a Lie algebra L extends uniquely to a Lie algebra homomorphism $L(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow L$. In particular, $L(\mathbf{x})$ is generated as a Lie algebra by **x**. The Lie algebra $L(\mathbf{x})$ is graded by the positive integers: $L(\mathbf{x}) = \bigoplus \{L^n(\mathbf{x}): n \ge 1\}$, where $L^n(\mathbf{x})$ is the subgroup of $L(\mathbf{x})$ spanned by the images of the elements of \mathbf{x}_n . In particular, $[L^m(\mathbf{x}), L^n(\mathbf{x})] \subseteq$ $L^{m+n}(\mathbf{x})$, and **x** is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for the free abelian group $L^1(\mathbf{x})$. If **x** is finite, then $L^n(\mathbf{x})$ is free abelian, with finite rank given in terms of *n* and the order of **x**. (The explicit formula is given in [10]; see also [1, II.3.3, Theorem 2].)

If $y \in x$, then M(x - y) is naturally viewed as a subset of M(x). The elements of M(x) - M(x - y) are here said to *involve* y. Similarly, a homogeneous element of L(x) is said to *involve* y if it is of the form $\xi(\mu)$, where $\mu \in M(x) - M(x - y)$.

LEMMA 1. The ideal I generated by y in $L(\mathbf{x})$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of those elements of $L(\mathbf{x})$ that involve y. If $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m \in M(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), e_1, \ldots, e_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $e_1\xi(\mu_1) + \ldots + e_m\xi(\mu_m) \in I$, then $e_1\xi(\mu_1) + \ldots + e_m\xi(\mu_m) = 0$.

Proof. Let Y denote the set of elements of $L(\mathbf{x})$ that involve y. We show by induction on n that if $\eta \in \mathbf{Y} \cap L^n(\mathbf{x})$, then $\eta \in I$. Clearly, $\mathbf{Y} \cap L^1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$. For n > 1, if $\eta \in \mathbf{Y} \cap L^n(\mathbf{x})$, then there exists $\mu \in \mathbf{x}_n - M(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ such that $\eta = \xi(\mu)$. There exist unique $m \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, $v_m \in \mathbf{x}_m$, and $v_{n-m} \in \mathbf{x}_{n-m}$ such that $\mu = v_m \cdot v_{n-m}$. Since $\mu \in M(\mathbf{x}) - M(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$, either v_m or v_{n-m} is in $M(\mathbf{x}) - M(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. By induction, either $\xi(v_m)$ or $\xi(v_{n-m})$ is in *I*. Since *I* is an ideal of $L(\mathbf{x})$, $\eta = [\xi(v_m), \xi(v_{n-m})] \in I$. This completes the induction, and proves that *I* contains the \mathbb{Z} -span of **Y**.

By [1, II.2.9, Proposition 10], $L(\mathbf{x})$ decomposes as the internal direct sum of I and $L(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. The second statement of the lemma follows, since $L(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of $\xi(M(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))$. That I equals the \mathbb{Z} -span of \mathbf{Y} follows from the fact that $L(\mathbf{x})$ is spanned by $\xi(M(\mathbf{x}))$.

The direct product $K \times L$ of Lie algebras K and L has as underlying abelian group the direct product of K and L, with Lie bracket given by [(x, y), (x', y')] = ([x, x'], [y, y']) for $x, x' \in K$ and $y, y' \in L$.

Let **a** and **b** be disjoint sets. Let *I* and *J* be the ideals of $L(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b})$ generated by **a** and **b** respectively. The function $\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b} \rightarrow L(\mathbf{a})$ which restricts to the identity on **a** and which carries each element of **b** to zero induces a split Lie algebra epimorphism of $L(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b})$ onto $L(\mathbf{a})$, with kernel *J*. (It is split using [1, II.2.9, Proposition 10] as above.) There is an analogous split Lie algebra epimorphism of $L(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b})$ onto $L(\mathbf{b})$, with kernel *I*. Taken together, these induce a Lie algebra epimorphism of $L(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b})$ onto $L(\mathbf{a}) \times L(\mathbf{b})$, with kernel $I \cap J$.

On group commutators. Let A and B be normal subgroups of a group G. Let **a**, **b** and **c** be disjoint sets, and let $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow A$, $\mathbf{b} \rightarrow B$ and $\mathbf{c} \rightarrow G$ be functions. The function $\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b} \cup \mathbf{c} \rightarrow G$ induces a magma homomorphism $\gamma: (M(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b} \cup \mathbf{c}), .) \rightarrow (G, [,])$.

LEMMA 2. Let $\mu \in M(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b} \cup \mathbf{c})$.

(i) If μ involves **a**, then $\gamma(\mu) \in A$.

(ii) If μ involves both **a** and **b**, then $\gamma(\mu) \in [A, B]$.

Proof. In both cases, one assumes that $\mu \in (\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b} \cup \mathbf{c})_n$ and proceeds by induction on *n*. Details are left to the reader.

The graded integral Lie algebra associated to G is Gr $G = \bigoplus \{Gr^n G : n \ge 1\}$, where $Gr^n G = G_n/G_{n+1}$, and with Lie bracket determined by $[uG_{n+1}, vG_{m+1}] = [u, v]G_{n+m+1}$, for all $u \in G_n$ and $v \in G_m$. The first homogeneous component $Gr^1 G = G/G_2$ generates Gr G as a Lie algebra. A group homomorphism $f: G \to H$ induces a homomorphism Gr $f: Gr G \to Gr H$ of graded Lie algebras; the process Gr is a functor from groups to graded integral Lie algebras. It is easy to prove that the functor Gr preserves direct products: there is an isomorphism $Gr(G \times H) \to Gr G \times Gr H$ which carries $(g, h)(G \times H_2) \in Gr^1(G \times H)$ to $(gG_2, hH_2) \in Gr^1 G \times Gr^1 H$, for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

Recall [6] (see also [1, II.5.4, Theorem 3]) that if $F = \text{free}(\mathbf{x})$ is the free group with basis \mathbf{x} , then the function $\mathbf{x} \to \text{Gr } F$ which carries $x \in \mathbf{x}$ to $xF_2 \in \text{Gr}^1 F$ induces an isomorphism $L(\mathbf{x}) \to \text{Gr } F$ of graded integral Lie algebras.

The case of just two factors. Throughout this section, we assume that F = AB is an efficient normal factorization of a finitely generated free group F. Select pairwise disjoint finite normal generating sets **a** and **b** for A and B in F such that $|\mathbf{a}| + |\mathbf{b}| = \operatorname{rank} F$.

LEMMA 3. The homomorphism $h: \text{free}(\mathbf{a}) \to F/B$ given by h(a) = aB induces an isomorphism Gr $h: \text{Gr}(\text{free}(\mathbf{a})) \to \text{Gr } F/B$ of graded Lie algebras.

Proof. Select a basis **x** for *F*, and let *X* be the two-complex modeled on the presentation (**x** | **b**) for *F/B*. Thus, $\pi_1 X \cong F/B$, and $\chi(X) = 1 - |\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{b}| = 1 - |\mathbf{a}|$. Furthermore, *X* is a subcomplex of the two-complex *Y* modeled on the presentation (**x** | **a**, **b**) for the trivial group *F/AB*. Since *Y* is simply connected and $\chi(Y) = 1 - |\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{a}| + |\mathbf{b}| = 1$, *Y* is contractible. This implies that $H_2 X = 0$, and hence that $H_2 F/B = 0$. (See [**8**].) Further, since $1 - |\mathbf{a}| = \chi(X) = 1 - \operatorname{rank} H_1 X + \operatorname{rank} H_2 X$, $\operatorname{rank} H_1 F/B = \operatorname{rank} H_1 X = |\mathbf{a}|$. Since *F* = *AB*, *F/B* is normally generated by {*aB*: *a* ∈ **a**}. As such, the homomorphism *h* induces an epimorphism $H_1h: H_1$ free(**a**) → H_1F/B of the abelianized groups. The fact that H_1 free(**a**) and H_1F/B have the same finite rank then implies that H_1h is an isomorphism. By [**8**, Lemma 3.1], Gr h:Gr(free(**a**)) → Gr F/B is an isomorphism. (Interesting but irrelevant is the further consequence [**8**, Theorem 7.4] that *h* itself is injective.)

LEMMA 4. $A \cap B = [A, B]$.

Proof. We retain the notation of the proof of Lemma 3. Decompose Y as a union of X and a complementary two-complex modeled on the presentation $(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{a})$ for F/A; then [3, Theorem 1] provides an epimorphism $\pi_2 Y \rightarrow (A \cap B)/[A, B]$. The result follows from the fact that Y is contractible.

LEMMA 5. There is an isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\Psi: L(\mathbf{a}) \times L(\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(F/[A, B])$$

such that $\Psi((a, 0)) = aF_2 \in F/F_2 = F/[A, B]F_2 = Gr^1(F/[A, B])$ for all $a \in \mathbf{a}$, and $\Psi((0, b)) = bF_2 \in F/F_2 = F/[A, B]F_2 = Gr^1(F/[A, B])$ for all $b \in \mathbf{b}$.

Proof. The map Ψ defines a Lie algebra homomorphism of the direct product since the images of $L(\mathbf{a})$ and $L(\mathbf{b})$ under Ψ commute in Gr(F/[A, B]).

Using the fact that F = AB and $A \cap B = [A, B]$, one checks that the homomorphism $f:F/[A, B] \rightarrow F/A \times F/B$ given by f(w[A, B]) = (wA, wB) is an isomorphism. There is thus an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras

$$\varphi$$
: Gr($F/[A, B]$) \rightarrow Gr $F/A \times$ Gr F/B

such that $\varphi(wF_2) = (wAF_2, wBF_2)$ for all $wF_2 \in Gr^1(F/[A, B])$. The result follows from Lemma 3 and Magnus' isomorphism $L(\mathbf{a}) \cong Gr(\text{free}(\mathbf{a}))$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that R and S are distinct factors from an efficient normal factorization of a finitely generated free group F. Upon multiplication of the complementary factors, there is an efficient normal factorization F = RST of F. There are pairwise disjoint finite subsets r, s, and t such that $|\mathbf{r}| + |\mathbf{s}| + |\mathbf{t}| = \operatorname{rank} F$, where R, S, T are normally generated in F by r, s, t respectively. Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}$.

LEMMA 6. The function $\varphi: \mathbf{u} \to \operatorname{Gr} F$ given by $\varphi(u) = uF_2$ extends to an isomorphism $\Phi: L(\mathbf{u}) \to \operatorname{Gr} F$ of graded Lie algebras.

Proof. Since F is normally generated by \mathbf{u} , $\operatorname{Gr}^1 F = F/F_2$ is generated as an abelian group by $\varphi(\mathbf{u})$. It follows that Φ is surjective, since $\operatorname{Gr}^1 F$ generates $\operatorname{Gr} F$ as a Lie algebra. Since $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) \subset \operatorname{Gr}^1 F$, Φ is a homomorphism of graded Lie algebras: $\Phi(L^n(\mathbf{u})) = \operatorname{Gr}^n F$ for all $n \ge 1$. Since $|\mathbf{u}| = \operatorname{rank} F$, $L^n(\mathbf{u})$ and $\operatorname{Gr}^n F$ are free abelian groups of the same finite rank for all $n \ge 1$. This implies that Φ is injective.

LEMMA 7. $R \cap S = [R, ST] \cap [RT, S]$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, $R \cap S \subseteq (R \cap ST) \cap (RT \cap S) = [R, ST] \cap [RT, S]$.

Let $q: F \to F/[R, S] = Q$ be the natural projection. The natural epimorphism $Q \to F/[R, ST]$ induces an epimorphism of graded Lie algebras $\operatorname{Gr} Q \to \operatorname{Gr} F/[R, ST]$. The structure of $\operatorname{Gr} F/[R, ST]$ is given by Lemma 5. Taken together, there is a composite epimorphism of Lie algebras

$$\rho: L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}) \cong \operatorname{Gr} F \to \operatorname{Gr} Q \to \operatorname{Gr} F/[R, ST] \cong L(\mathbf{r}) \times L(\mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t})$$

which carries each $r \in \mathbf{r}$ to (r, 0) and each $x \in \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}$ to (0, x). Using the natural epimorphism $Q \rightarrow F/[RT, S]$, there is an analogous composite epimorphism of Lie algebras

$$\sigma: L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}) \cong \operatorname{Gr} F \to \operatorname{Gr} Q \to \operatorname{Gr} F / [RT, S] \cong L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{t}) \times L(\mathbf{s}).$$

Let I_r and I_s denote the ideals of $L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t})$ generated by \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} respectively. By the discussion of direct products of Lie algebras following the proof of Lemma 1, it follows that ker $\rho \cap \ker \sigma \subseteq I_r \cap I_s$.

Theorem 1 is now proved as follows. Let $w \in R \cap S$. By induction on n, we show that $w \in [R, S]F_n$ for all $n \ge 1$. The case n = 1 is trivial, and the case n = 2 follows from Lemma 7. Suppose that $n \ge 3$. By induction we may write w = uv, where $u \in [R, S]$ and $v \in F_{n-1}$. Since $[R, S] \subseteq R \cap S$, $v \in R \cap S$. Consider $vF_n \in \operatorname{Gr}^{n-1} F$. There exists a unique $\eta \in L^{n-1}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $vF_n = \Phi(\eta)$, where Φ is the isomorphism of Lemma 6. Since ρ factors through Gr F/[R, ST], Lemma 7 implies that $\eta \in \ker \rho$. Similarly, $\eta \in \ker \sigma$. Thus it follows that $\eta \in I_r \cap I_s$.

Consider the magma homomorphisms $\xi: (M(\mathbf{u}), .) \to (L(\mathbf{u}), [,])$ and $\gamma: (M(\mathbf{u}), .) \to (F, [,])$ induced by the inclusions of \mathbf{u} into $L(\mathbf{u})$ and F respectively. By Lemma 1, there exist $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k \in \mathbf{u}_{n-1}$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that each μ_j involves \mathbf{r} and such that $\eta = e_1\xi(\mu_1) + \ldots + e_k(\mu_k)$. Also by Lemma 1, the sum of those $e_j\xi(\mu_j)$ for which μ_j involves \mathbf{s} lies in $I_{\mathbf{s}}$. Since $\eta \in I_{\mathbf{s}}$, the sum of those $e_j\xi(\mu_j)$ for which μ_j does not involve \mathbf{s} lies in $I_{\mathbf{s}}$, and hence is zero, again by Lemma 1. We may thus assume that each μ_j involves both \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} . Note that $\gamma(\mu_j)F_n = \Phi(\xi(\mu_j)) \in \operatorname{Gr}^{n-1} F$ for each j. By Lemma 2, each $\gamma(\mu_j)$ lies in [R, S]. Since $vF_n = e_1\Phi(\xi(\mu_1)) + \ldots + e_k\Phi(\xi(\mu_k)) = \gamma(\mu_1)^{e_1} \ldots \gamma(\mu_k)^{e_k}F_n$, we conclude that $v \in [R, S]F_n$. Thus $w = uv \in [R, S]F_n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The structure of Gr Q. As in the preceding discussions, R and S are distinct factors from an efficient normal factorization of a finitely generated free group F, and Q = F/[R, S]. It has been noted that the composite epimorphism

$$L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}) \cong \operatorname{Gr} F \to \operatorname{Gr} Q$$

has kernel contained in $I_r \cap I_s$. The reverse inclusion follows from Lemma 1 and 2: Gr $Q \cong L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t})/I_r \cap I_s$. THEOREM 2. There is a pull-back diagram



in the category of integral Lie algegras.

Before we give the proof, note that, as a consequence, Gr Q embeds in the direct product $L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{t}) \times L(\mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t})$, which is torsion-free. For each $n \ge 1$, $Q_n/Q_{n+1} = \operatorname{Gr}^n Q$ is a homomorphic image of the finitely generated $\operatorname{Gr}^n F$.

COROLLARY. For each positive integer n, Q_n/Q_{n+1} is a finitely generated free abelian group.

For the proof of Theorem 2, set $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}$. Using [1, II.2.9, Proposition 10], there is a commutative square

of split surjections of graded Lie algebras. For k = 1, 2, let α_k and β_k be split by j_k and i_k respectively; these splittings are the obvious inclusions of subalgebras, so $j_1i_1 = j_2i_2$ and $\alpha_1j_2 = i_1\beta_2$. Let Π denote the pull-back of β_1 and β_2 ; we have $\alpha_2j_1 = i_2\beta_1$ and

 $\Pi = \{ (x, y) \in L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{t}) \times L(\mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}) : \beta_1(x) = \beta_2(y) \}.$

Since $\beta_1 \alpha_1 = \beta_2 \alpha_2$, a Lie algebra homomorphism $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} : L(\mathbf{u}) \to \Pi$ is induced. Recall that ker $\alpha_1 = I_r$ and ker $\alpha_2 = I_s$. The map α therefore induces a homomorphism of graded Lie algebras $a : L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t})/I_r \cap I_s \to \Pi$ given by

$$a(u+I_{\mathbf{r}}\cap I_{\mathbf{s}})=(\alpha_1(u),\,\alpha_2(u)).$$

On the other hand, we define a function $b: \Pi \to L(\mathbf{r} \cup \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t})/I_{\mathbf{r}} \cap I_{\mathbf{s}}$ by

$$b(x, y) = j_1(x) + j_2(y - i_2\beta_2(y)) + I_{\rm r} \cap I_{\rm s}.$$

One checks that a and b are inverse functions, completing the proof.

Concluding remarks. By Lemma 4 and the Corollary to Theorem 1, the ARWC would be implied by the following:

STRONGER CONJECTURE. If R and S are distinct factors from an efficient normal factorization of a finitely generated free group F, and if the factorization has at least three nontrivial factors, then the group Q = F/[R, S] is residually nilpotent (i.e. $Q_{\omega} = 1$).

The conclusion of the Stronger Conjecture does not hold for efficient normal factorizations involving just two factors.

EXAMPLE. In the free group F with basis $\{x, y\}$, let R be the normal subgroup determined by $[x, y]y^{-1}$, and let S be the normal subgroup determined by $[y, x]x^{-1}$. It is easy to show that F = RS: modulo RS, $1 \equiv xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \equiv xx^{-2}y^{-1}$, whence $x \equiv y^{-1}$, and

370

so, for example, $1 \equiv [x, y]y^{-1} \equiv y^{-1}$. As in the proof of Lemma 5, $F/[R, S] \cong F/S \times F/R$. Now, F/S is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}[1/2] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z} acts on $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ via multiplication by two. In particular, $(F/S)_2 = (F/S)_{\omega} = \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. Thus, neither F/S nor F/[R, S] is residually nilpotent.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras, Chapters 1-3 (Springer-Verlag, 1989).

2. W. H. Cockroft, On two-dimensional aspherical complexes, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 4 (1954), 375-384.

3. M. Gutierrez and J. Ratcliffe, On the second homotopy group, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 32 (1981), 45-55.

4. J. Howie, On the asphericity of ribbon disc complements, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 289 (1985), 281-302.

5. R. C. Lyndon, Cohomology theory of groups with a single defining relation, Ann. of Math. (2) 52 (1950), 650-665.

6. W. Magnus, Über Beziehungen zwischen höheren Kommutatoren, J. Reine Angew. Math. 177 (1937), 105-115.

7. C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots, Ann. of Math. (2) 66 (1957), 1-26.

8. J. Stallings, Homology and central series of groups, J. Algebra 2 (1965), 170-181.

8. J. H. C. Whitehead, On adding relations to homotopy groups, Ann. of Math. (2) 42 (1941), 409-428.

10. E. Witt, Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe, J. Reine Angew. Math. 177 (1937), 152-160.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY CORVALLIS OR 97331 USA