

## ON INJECTIVE NEAR-RING MODULES

BY  
V. SETH AND K. TEWARI

1. **Introduction.** Let  $N$  be a left near ring and let  $M$  be a right  $N$ -module. We recall [1] that  $M$  is called injective iff every diagram  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  can be embedded



into a commutative diagram  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are right  $N$ -modules



with  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  exact.

The purpose of this note is to show that if  $N$  is a d.g. near-ring with identity, then  $M$  is injective iff for every right ideal  $u$  of  $N$  and every  $N$ -homomorphism  $f: u \rightarrow N$ , there exists an element  $m$  in  $M$  such that  $f(a) = ma$  for all  $a$  in  $u$ .

For the definition of near-rings, near-ring modules etc., see [2].

2. **Main theorem.** We first prove the following

**LEMMA.** *Let  $N$  be a left d.g. near ring with identity, generated by a distributive semi group  $S$ . Let  $M$  be a right  $N$ -module and  $A$  any subset of  $M$ . Then the submodule of  $M$  generated by  $A$  is*

$$\bar{A} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n -m_i + a_i s_i + m_i \mid m_i \in M, a_i \in A \text{ and either } s_i \in S \text{ or } -s_i \in S \right\}.$$

**Proof.** Clearly  $\bar{A}$  is a right  $N$ -group. To show that  $\bar{A}$  is normal in  $M$ , take  $m \in M$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n -m_i + a_i s_i + m_i \in \bar{A}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & -m + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n -m_i + a_i s_i + m_i \right\} + m \\ &= -m + \{(-m_1 + a_1 s_1 + m_1) + (-m_2 + a_2 s_2 + m_2) + \dots + (-m_n + a_n s_n + m_n)\} + m \\ &= \{(-m - m_1) + a_1 s_1 + (m_1 + m)\} + \dots + \{(-m - m_n) + a_n s_n + (m_n + m)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{-(m_i + m) + a_i s_i + (m_i + m)\} \text{ is in } \bar{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m \in M$ ,  $\bar{a} = \{\sum_{i=1}^n -m_i + a_i s_i + m_i\} \in \bar{A}$  and  $r \in N$ . Then

$$(m + \bar{a})r - mr = (m + \bar{a})(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n) - m(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n)$$

where  $t_i$  or  $-t_i \in S$ .

To show that  $(m + \bar{a})r - mr$  is in  $\bar{A}$ , we use induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , it is easy to see that the result is true. Suppose it is true for  $n - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \bar{a})r - mr &= (m + \bar{a})(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1} + t_n) - m(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1} + t_n) \\ &= (m + \bar{a})(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1}) + (m + \bar{a})t_n - m(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n) \\ &= (m + \bar{a})(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1}) - m(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + m(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1}) + (m + \bar{a})t_n - m(t_1 + \dots + t_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $t_n \in S$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \bar{a})r - mr &= \{(m + \bar{a})(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1}) - m(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1})\} \\ &\quad + \{m(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1}) + mt_n + \bar{a}t_n - m(t_1 + \dots + t_n)\} \\ &= a' + \{mr + \bar{a}t_n - mr\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a' = \{(m + \bar{a})(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1}) - m(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1})\} \in \bar{A}$$

Thus, since  $\bar{A}$  is normal in  $M$ ,  $(m + \bar{a})r - mr$  is in  $\bar{A}$ . If  $-t_n \in S$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \bar{a})r - mr &= a' + \{m(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1}) + \bar{a}t_n + mt_n - m(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n)\} \\ &= a' + \{m(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1}) + \bar{a}t_n + mt_n - mt_n - mt_{n-1} - \dots - mt_2 - mt_1\} \\ &= a' + \{m(t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1}) + \bar{a}t_n - m(t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{n-1})\} \end{aligned}$$

is in  $\bar{A}$ . Hence  $\bar{A}$  is a submodule of  $M$ . Clearly  $A \subseteq \bar{A}$ . To show that  $\bar{A}$  is the smallest submodule of  $M$  containing  $A$ , we take a submodule  $B$  of  $M$  containing  $A$ . Let  $\bar{a} = \sum_{i=1}^n -m_i + a_i s_i + m_i$  be an arbitrary element of  $\bar{A}$ . Since  $a_i \in A \subseteq B$  and  $B$  is a submodule of  $M$ , we have that  $-m_i + a_i s_i + m_i \in B$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Hence  $\bar{A} \subseteq B$ .

As an immediate observation we have

**COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is a subset of  $M$  such that  $AN \subseteq A$ , then the submodule of  $M$  generated by  $A$  is  $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n -m_i + a_i + m_i \mid m_i \in M, a_i \in A \right\}$ .*

**THEOREM.** *Let  $N$  be a left d.g. near-ring, with identity, and  $M$  be a right  $N$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i)  $M$  is injective

(ii) every diagram  $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{j} D$  can be embedded into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & D \\ & & \downarrow g \\ & & M \end{array}$$

$0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{j} D$ , where  $C$  is a submodule of the right  $N$ -module  $D$  and  $j$  is the injection map.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & D \\ & & \downarrow g \\ & & M \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow h \\ \searrow j \end{array}$$

(iii) for every right ideal  $u$  of  $N$  and every  $N$ -homomorphism  $f:u \rightarrow M$  there exists an element  $m$  in  $M$  such that  $f(a)=ma$  for all  $a$  in  $u$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Trivial.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $u$  be a right ideal of  $N$  and  $f:u \rightarrow M$  be a  $N$ -homomorphism and consider  $0 \rightarrow u \xrightarrow{j} N$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & N \\ & & \downarrow f \\ & & M \end{array}$$

Since  $u_N$  is a submodule of  $N_N$ , there exists a  $N$ -homomorphism  $h:N_N \rightarrow M$  such that  $h|_u=f$ . Then if  $h(1)=m$  we have that  $f(a)=ma$  for all  $a$  in  $u$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let us consider the diagram  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are right

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B \\ & & \downarrow g \\ & & M \end{array}$$

$N$ -modules and  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  is exact. Let  $f(A)=C$ . Then  $C \subseteq B$  is a right  $N$ -module and  $h:C \rightarrow M$  given by  $h(f(a))=g(a)$  is a  $N$ -homomorphism.

Let  $\bar{C}$  be the submodule of  $B$  generated by  $C$ , then

$$\bar{C} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n -b_i + f(a_i) + b_i/b_i \in B, a_i \in A \right\}.$$

Define

$$h':\bar{C} \rightarrow M \text{ by } h' \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n -b_i + f(a_i) + b_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n h(f(a_i)).$$

We note that  $h'/C=h$ .

To show that  $h'$  is well defined we take

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [-b_i + f(a_i) + b_i] = \sum_{i=1}^m [-b'_i + f(a'_i) + b'_i] \text{ in } \bar{C}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(a_1) &= \sum_{i=1}^m [(b_1 - b'_i) + f(a'_i) + (b'_i - b_1)] + [(b_1 - b_n) + f(-a_n) + (b_n - b_1)] \\
 &\quad + \cdots + [(b_1 - b_2) + f(-a_2) + (b_2 - b_1)] \text{ is in } C \\
 \therefore h(f(a_1)) &= h(\text{R.H.S.}) = h'(\text{R.H.S.}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m h(f(a'_i) + [h(f(-a_n) + \cdots + h(f(-a_2))]) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m h(f(a'_i)) + h\{f(a_n)(-1)\} + \cdots + h\{f(a_2)(-1)\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m h(f(a'_i)) - h(f(a_n)) - \cdots - h(f(a_2)),
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h(f(a_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^m h(f(a'_i)).$$

It can be seen that  $h'$  is a  $N$ -homomorphism such that  $h' \circ f = g$ . Put  $X = \{(A', g') \mid A' \subseteq B \text{ is an } N\text{-submodule of } B \text{ containing } f(A) \text{ and}$

$$g' : A' \rightarrow M \text{ an } N\text{-homomorphism } \ni g' \circ f = g\}$$

$X$  is nonempty since  $(\bar{C}, h')$  is in  $X$ .

As usual we can check that  $X$  has a maximal element, say  $(C_0, g_0)$ . We claim that  $C_0 = B$ . If not let  $x \in B$  such that  $x \notin C_0$ . Let  $u = \{a \in N \mid xa \in C_0\}$ . Then  $u$  is a right ideal of  $N$ . Define  $\psi : u \rightarrow M$  by  $\psi(a) = g_0(xa)$  for all  $a$  in  $u$ . One can check that  $\psi$  is an  $N$ -homomorphism. So there exists an  $m$  in  $M$  such that  $\psi(a) = ma = g_0(xa)$  for all  $a$  in  $u$ . Let  $g'_0 : xN + C_0 + xN \rightarrow M$  be given by

$$g'_0(xr + c_0 + xr') = mr + g_0(c_0) + mr', \quad r, r' \in N, \quad c_0 \in C_0$$

We wish to show that  $g'_0$  is well defined. To this end we show that

- (a)  $g'_0$  takes the zero element of  $xN + C_0 + xN$  to the zero element of  $M$ , and
- (b)  $g'_0$  is additive.

So let  $xr + c_0 + xr' = 0$  for some  $r, r'$  in  $N, c_0$  in  $C_0$ .

Then  $c_0 = -xr - xr' = x(-r - r') \in C_0$  and so  $-r - r' \in u$  and hence

$$g_0(c_0) = g_0\{x(-r - r')\} = \psi(-r - r') = m(-r - r') = -mr - mr'$$

and so  $mr + g_0(c_0) + mr' = 0$ ; thus  $g'_0(xr + c_0 + xr') = 0$ . Secondly let  $xr + c_0 + xr'$  and  $xt + c'_0 + xt'$  be any two elements of  $xN + C_0 + xN$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g'_0\{(xr+c_0+xr')+(xt+c'_0+xt')\} \\
 &= g'_0[(xr+xr')+(-xr'+c_0+xr')+(xt+c'_0-xt)+(xt+xt')] \\
 &= g'_0[x(r+r')+b_0+x(t+t')] \text{ where } b_0 = (-xr'+c_0+xr')+(xt+c'_0-xt) \in C_0 \\
 &= m(r+r')+g_0[(-xr'+c_0+xr')+(xt+c'_0-xt)]+m(t+t') \\
 &= m(r+r')+g_0\{(-xr'+c_0+xr')\}+g_0\{(xt+c'_0-xt)\}+m(t+t') \\
 &= g'_0[(xr+xr')-xr'+c_0+xr'] + g'_0[(xt+c'_0-xt)+xt+xt'] \\
 &= g'_0[xr+c_0+xr'] + g'_0[xt+c'_0+xt'],
 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $g'_0$  is well defined and additive.

Now since  $g'_0$  is additive and  $(N, +)$  is generated by a set of distributive elements, it can be checked that  $g'_0$  is  $N$ -linear, which gives us that  $g'_0$  is a  $N$ -homomorphism.

Moreover

$$g'_0/C_0 = g_0 \text{ and } g'_0 \circ f = g.$$

Let  $P$  be the  $N$ -submodule of  $B$  generated by  $xN+C_0+xN$ .

Then

$$P = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n [-b_i+(xr_i+c_{0i}+xr'_i)+b_i] / b_i \in B, r_i, r'_i \in N, c_{0i} \in C_0, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\},$$

and clearly  $C=f(A) \subseteq C_0 \subseteq xN+C_0+xN \subseteq P \subseteq B$ . Consider the mapping  $g''_0 : P \rightarrow M$  given by

$$g''_0 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n -b_i+(xr_i+c_{0i}+xr'_i)+b_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n g'_0(xr_i+c_{0i}+xr'_i).$$

As before it can be seen that  $g''_0$  is well defined and is a  $N$ -homomorphism and  $g''_0 \circ f = g$ .

Hence we have  $(P, g''_0) \in X$  and  $(C_0, g_0) < (P, g''_0)$  which contradicts the choice of  $(C_0, g_0)$ . Hence  $C_0=B$ , which proves the result.

REFERENCES

1. Carlton J. Maxson, *Dickson near-rings*, J. Algebra **14** (1970), 152–169.
2. J. C. Beidleman, *Quasi-regularity in near-rings*, Math. Z. **89** (1965), 224–229.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,  
KANPUR, INDIA