# ON NON-HURWITZ GROUPS AND NON-CONGRUENCE SUBGROUPS OF THE MODULAR GROUP 

by JEFFREY COHEN

(Received 19 April, 1979; revised 10 December, 1979)
In this note homomorphisms of $(2,3, n)=\left\langle x, y: x^{2}=y^{3}=(x y)^{n}=1\right\rangle$ into $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(q)$ are considered. Of particular interest is $(2,3,7)$ whose finite factors are referred to as Hurwitz groups. It is known (see [3]) that for certain $q, \operatorname{PSL}_{2}(q)$ is a Hurwitz group, so that one might suppose that $\mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ is a natural place to search for new Hurwitz groups. This intuition turns out to be ill-founded, for as we shall see all Hurwitz subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ have already been discovered in [3].

If $n$ is allowed to assume the value $\infty$, a well-known result asserts that $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, the modular group, is obtained. Letting $G_{n}$ denote the principal congruence subgroup at level $n$, it is almost obvious that the only simple non-abelian composition factors of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) / G_{n}$ are $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(p)$ for $p$ a prime divisor of $n$. Thus, any maximal normal subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with simple non-abelian factor not isomorphic to some $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(p)$ must be a non-congruence group. That not all non-congruence groups arise in this way was established in [5]. We shall find non-congruence subgroups of the modular group by showing that $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(q)$ and $\mathrm{PSU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$ are with several exceptions factors of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. The $\mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ result is due to Garbe but it emerges naturally in this paper.

1. Hurwitz Subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(q)$. We recall the standard imbedding $\operatorname{PGL}_{2}\left(\bar{F}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi}$ $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}\left(\bar{F}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$,

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right\} \rightarrow\left\{(a d-b c)^{-3}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{2} & 2 a b & b^{2} \\
a c & a d+b c & b d \\
c^{2} & 2 c d & d^{2}
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

where $\{A\}$ denotes the coset of $A$.
Theorem 1. Let $\bar{F}_{\mathrm{p}}$ denote the algebraic closure of $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ and suppose $G$ is a Hurwitz subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}\left(\bar{F}_{p}\right)$. Then either
(i) $G \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(7)$
or
(ii) $G \simeq \begin{cases}\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(p) & \text { if } p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 7), \\ \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(p^{3}\right) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

Proof. Suppose $A, B \in \operatorname{PSL}_{3}\left(\bar{F}_{p}\right)$ and that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
A^{7}=B^{3}=(A B)^{2}=I & \text { if } & p \neq 7 \\
A^{3}=B^{7}=(A B)^{2}=I & \text { if } & p=7 .
\end{array}\right.
$$
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By varying the choice of coset representatives one may assume without loss of generality that the matrices representing the elements of orders 2 and 7 have the same orders. We shall show that the same is true of the matrix representing the element of order 3 . In characteristic 3 this is an immediate consequence of the fact that there exist no non-trivial cube roots of unity. If the characteristic $p \neq 3$, then any matrix representative $M$ of $\{A\}$ or $\{B\}$ of order 9 is similar to a diagonal matrix $D$ of unit determinant with ninth roots of unity on the diagonal. It is immediate that $D$ has only two distinct field elements on the diagonal, one of which is the fourth power of the other. Thus, $M$ has a two-dimensional eigenspace associated with some ninth root of unity. The matrix representative $N$ of order 2 also has such a subspace since $N$ is similar to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{1}\\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] \text { if } p \neq 2, \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { if } p=2
$$

(This is established by Jordan form considerations.) It is now evident that $M$ and $N$ have a common eigenvector $v$ so that $(M N)^{18} v=v$ which entails that 18 divides 7. Thus, $M$ cannot exist. Suppose $|\omega|=n, \omega \in \operatorname{GF}(q)$ where

$$
n=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
7 & \text { if } & p \neq 7  \tag{2}\\
3 & \text { if } & p=7
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then after a similarity transformation one can assume that

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega^{-1} & 0 & 0  \tag{3}\\
0 & \omega^{-i} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega^{-j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where (I) $i=2, j=4$ and $p \neq 7$, or (II) $i=-1, j=0$. From (1) it follows that $A B+I$ is of rank one so that

$$
A B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
t x-1 & u x & v x  \tag{4}\\
t y & u y-1 & v y \\
t z & u z & v z-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We therefore have

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega(t x-1) & \omega u x & \omega v x  \tag{5}\\
\omega^{i} t y & \omega^{i}(u y-1) & \omega^{i} v y \\
\omega^{j} t z & \omega^{i} u z & \omega^{i}(v z-1)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The characteristic polynomial of $B$ is $(x-1)^{3}$ or $x^{3}-1$ according to whether $p$ is equal to 7 or not, so that computing it directly from $B$ yields:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\omega t x+\omega^{i} u y+\omega^{j} v z=\omega+\omega^{i}+\omega^{j}(+3)  \tag{6}\\
\left(\omega^{i+1}+\omega^{i+1}\right) t x+\left(\omega^{i+1}+\omega^{i+j}\right) u y+\left(\omega^{i+1}+\omega^{i+j}\right) v z=\omega^{i+1}+\omega^{i+1}+\omega^{i+j}(+3), \\
t x+u y+v z=2
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In Case (I) let $\alpha=\omega+\omega^{2}+\omega^{4}$, so that the determinant $\Delta$ of the coefficient matrix is $2 \alpha+1$ which is non-zero since $\alpha^{2}+\alpha+2=0$. In Case (II)

$$
\Delta=2\left(\omega-\omega^{-1}\right)+\left(\omega^{-2}-\omega^{2}\right)
$$

If $\Delta=0$, then $\omega+\omega^{-1}=2$, which is incompatible with $|\omega|=7$. If $p \neq 7$, by Cramer's rule we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta t x & =\omega^{2}\left(\omega^{i+j-2}+1\right)\left(\omega^{i}-\omega^{j}\right) \\
\Delta u y & =\omega^{2 i+1}\left(\omega^{j-1}-1\right)\left(\omega^{j+1-2 i}+1\right) \\
\Delta v z & =\omega^{2 j+1}\left(1-\omega^{i-1}\right)\left(\omega^{i+1-2 j}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $t x$ and $u y$ never vanish and $v z=0$ is possible only in Case (3B) with $p=2$. In this situation if $v=z=0$, then $\langle A, B\rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\bar{F}_{2}\right)$, so that by [3], $\langle A, B\rangle \simeq \operatorname{PSL}_{2}(8)$. (If $p=7$ one checks that txuyvz $\neq 0$ similarly.) If $p=2$, by applying the automorphism which maps each matrix into the transpose of its inverse (if necessary) one can assume that $z \neq 0$. Hence the one-dimensional vector space $\left(\begin{array}{l}x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right)$ determines $B$ uniquely. Let $B^{\prime}$ denote the result of priming all unknowns in $B$. To show that $\langle A, B\rangle$ is $\mathrm{GL}_{3}\left(\bar{F}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ conjugate to $\left\langle A, B^{\prime}\right\rangle$, it suffices to produce $C$ that centralizes $A$ and is such that the range of $C A B C^{-1}$ is spanned by $\left(\begin{array}{l}x^{\prime} \\ y^{\prime} \\ z^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$. This is effected by taking

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{\prime} x^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y^{\prime} y^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & z^{\prime} z^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have shown that $A$ determines the isomorphism type of $\langle A, B\rangle$ independent of $t, u, v, x, y, z$. Now by [3], the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\omega & 0 \\
0 & \omega^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

can be taken as part of a $(2,3,7)$ triple, so that an application of $\phi$ yields that $\langle A, B\rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\bar{F}_{q}\right)$. In [3] it is shown that any such Hurwitz group is given by (ii) in Case II. To see that $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(7)$ is generated in Case I, use the following presentation found in [2]:

$$
\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(7)=\left\langle x, y: x^{2}=y^{3}=(x y)^{7}=[x, y]^{4}=1\right\rangle .
$$

It is worth noting that in characteristic $0,\langle A, B\rangle$ is isomorphic to $(2,3,7)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(7)$.
Corollary 1. $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(q)$ is a Hurwitz group if and only if $q=2$.
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2. $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(q)$ and $\operatorname{PSU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$ as Modular Group Factors. In this section $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ is the field of $p^{r}=q$ elements and $\operatorname{GF}(q)=\operatorname{GF}(p)(\alpha, \beta)$ with $p$ a prime number. Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & \beta & \alpha \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right],
$$

so that $A^{3}=B^{2}=I$ and the projective order $n$ of $A B$ is determined by its characteristic polynomial given by

$$
f(x)=x^{3}-\alpha x^{2}+\beta x-1
$$

If $r$ is even we denote $x^{\sqrt{a}}$ by $\bar{x}$ and also denote the homomorphism induced on $\mathrm{GL}_{3}(q)$ by "bar". Finally, round brackets shall denote vectors and square brackets projective points.

Proposition 1. Suppose $(x-\sigma) \nmid f(x)$ where $\sigma^{6}=1$. Then $\langle A, B\rangle$ fixes no projective point. Dually $\langle A, B\rangle$ fixes no projective line.

Proof. Negate. The fixed points of $B$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
-2 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
0 \\
-2
\end{array}\right], \quad z=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { if } p \neq 2, \\
x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad z=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { if } p=2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly $A z \neq z$, so that there exist $t, u \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$ with

$$
A(t x+u y)=t x+u y
$$

Since the eigenvalues of $A$ are (not necessarily primitive) cube roots of unity this entails that if $p \neq 2$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
-2 t \\
-2 u \\
t \beta+u \alpha
\end{array}\right)=(\sqrt[3]{1})^{i}\left(\begin{array}{c}
t \beta+u \\
-2 t \\
-2 u
\end{array}\right) \quad(i \in\{0,1,2\}) .
$$

Hence if $p \neq 2, \sqrt[3]{1} \beta+(\sqrt[3]{1})^{2} \alpha=-2$. The same result is obtained when $p=2$. Thus

$$
f(x)=(x+\sqrt[3]{1})\left(x^{2}-(\alpha+\sqrt[3]{1}) x-(\sqrt[3]{1})^{2}\right)
$$

contrary to hypothesis. The dual proposition is proven similarly by using the fixed lines of $B$ :

$$
\hat{x}=[2, \beta, \alpha], \hat{y}=[0,1,0], \hat{z}=[0,0,1] .
$$

If $\hat{x} A=\hat{x}$, then $\beta=\sqrt[3]{1} \alpha$, so that

$$
f(x)=(x-\sqrt[3]{1})\left(x^{2}+(\sqrt[3]{1}-\alpha) x+(\sqrt[3]{1})^{2}\right)
$$

contrary to assumption. Since $[0, t, u] A \neq[u, 0, t]$ we are done.
Proposition 2. Let $r$ be even. Then $\langle A, B\rangle$ fixes a non-zero unitary form if and only if $\bar{\alpha}=\beta$. In this case, the form is non-degenerate if and only if

$$
\alpha^{3}+\bar{\alpha}^{3}-6 \alpha \bar{\alpha}+8 \neq 0 .
$$

In particular, this occurs if $\alpha^{a+1}=1$ and $|\alpha| X 6$.
Proof. Let $H$ denote a unitary form, so that

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
\bar{b} & d & e \\
\bar{c} & \bar{e} & f
\end{array}\right]
$$

From $\bar{A}^{\prime} H A=H$ it follows that $a=d=f$ and $b=\bar{c}=e$. Now

$$
0=\bar{B}^{\prime} H B-H=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \beta a-2 b & \alpha a-2 \bar{b} \\
* & * & \alpha \bar{\beta} a-\bar{\beta} \bar{b}-\alpha \bar{b} \\
* & * & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that $\bar{\alpha}=\beta$. One easily checks that if $\bar{\alpha}=\beta$, then the form $H$ is fixed:

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & \bar{\alpha} & \alpha \\
\alpha & 2 & \bar{\alpha} \\
\bar{\alpha} & \alpha & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Taking the determinant of $H$ establishes the remainder of this proposition.
Proposition 3. Suppose that $\langle A, B\rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(q)$. If $p=2$, further assume that $\langle A, B\rangle$ fixes no projective point or line. Then $(x-\sqrt[3]{1}) \mid f(x)$.

Proof. By [1] and [4], any subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(q)$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(q)$ either fixes a projective point or line or fixes a conic. (The fixing of projective objects occurs only when $p=2$.) Thus one can map $\langle A, B\rangle$ by an automorphism (induced by conjugating by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_{3}(q)$ ) into the image of $\phi$. Without loss of generality, $\phi^{-1}(A B)$ is upper triangular, i.e.

$$
\phi^{-1}(A B)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\omega & * \\
0 & \omega^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Applying $\phi$ gives the result.
Theorem 2. Suppose $\operatorname{PSL}_{3}\left(p^{s}\right)$ has no element of order $n$ for $s<r$. Further suppose $8<n \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 6)$ and $(x-\sigma) \nmid f(x)$ where $\sigma^{6}=1$. Then $\langle A, B\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_{3}(\sqrt{q})$.

Proof. Let $G=\langle A, B\rangle$. We shall refer to Mitchell's list in [3] of the subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ for $q$ odd. The even characteristic case is handled analogously using [1]. Since $n$ is not divisible by 2 or $3, G$ has trivial abelianization. Thus $G$ is not of Types $3,4,7,9$ or 10. By Proposition 1, $G$ is not of Types 1 or 2. Proposition 3 and the fact that $G$ has no abelianization yield that $G$ is not of type 5 . Since $n>8$, groups of types 11-14 are excluded. Finally since $\mathrm{PSL}_{3}\left(p^{s}\right)$ has no element of order $n, G$ is not isomorphic to this type 6 group.

Corollary 2 (Garbe). $\mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ is a (2, 3, n)-group where

$$
n=\frac{q^{2}+q+1}{\left(q^{2}+q+1,3\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad q \neq 4
$$

Proof. Choose an element $\omega \in \operatorname{GF}\left(q^{3}\right)$ of order $q^{2}+q+1$ and let

$$
f(x)=(x-\omega)\left(x-\omega^{\mathbf{q}}\right)\left(x-\omega^{\mathbf{q}^{2}}\right) .
$$

$\langle A, B\rangle$ cannot be the unitary group since this group has no element of order $n$.
Theorem 3. Suppose $\sqrt{q} \notin\{2,5,8,17\}$ and that

$$
n= \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{q}+1}{(\sqrt{q}+1,3)} & \text { if } \sqrt{q} \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\ \frac{2(\sqrt{q}+1)}{(\sqrt{q}+1,3)} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $\mathrm{PSU}_{3}(q)$ is a $(2,3, n)$-group.
Proof. Choose $\alpha \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$ with $|\alpha|=\sqrt{q}+1$ and let

$$
f(x)=(x-\alpha)\left(x^{2}+\bar{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Since $A B$ is a nonderogatory matrix, it follows that $|\{A B\}|=n$. By Proposition 2, $\langle\{A\},\{B\}\rangle$ fixes a non-degenerate unitary form. As above we shall use Mitchell's (and Hartley's) lists. Since $n \geq 8$ (with strict inequality in characteristic 5), groups of types 8-12 are excluded as possibilities for $\langle\{A\},\{B\}\rangle$. Types 1 and 2 are excluded by Proposition 1, while type 5 groups are excluded by Proposition 3. Since groups of types 6-8 contain no element of order $n$, we are reduced to showing that $\langle\{A\},\{B\}\rangle$ fixes no triangle. If $\langle\{A\},\{B\}\rangle$ does fix some triangle, then its vertices are fixed points of $(A B)^{2}$. These are

$$
W_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\alpha \\
\alpha^{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad W_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\sqrt{-\bar{\alpha}} \\
-\bar{\alpha}
\end{array}\right], \quad W_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\sqrt{-\bar{\alpha}} \\
-\bar{\alpha}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

If

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\alpha \\
\alpha^{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=A W_{1}=W_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\sqrt{-\bar{\alpha}} \\
-\bar{\alpha}
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $-\alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}=-\sqrt{-\bar{\alpha}}$, so that $\alpha^{2 \sqrt{a}+4}=-\alpha^{\sqrt{a}}$ which yields that

$$
\alpha^{3}=\alpha^{2 \sqrt{9}+2+3}=-\alpha^{\sqrt{9}+1}=-1
$$

which is incompatible with $|\alpha|=n$. Similarly $A$ does not map $W_{1}$ to $W$.
If $\sqrt{q}=5$, let $\alpha=-\sqrt{-2}-1$ so that $|A B|=8$. Then by the preceding argument $\langle\{A\},\{B\}\rangle$ is either $\operatorname{PSU}_{3}(q)$ or is isomorphic to $M_{10}$. A computation yields" that $10\left|\left\{(A B)^{2} A^{-1} B^{-1}\right\}\right|=10$ and this implies that $\operatorname{PSU}_{3}(q)$ is the group generated, since $M_{10}$ has no element of order 10 . Similarly using the following data one checks that $\operatorname{PSU}_{3}(q)$ is a modular group factor:

| $\sqrt{q}$ | $\alpha$ satisfies | $\|\{A B\}\|$ |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 8 | $\alpha^{6}+\alpha+1=0$ | 21 |
| 17 | $\alpha^{2}-5=0$ | 91 |

Now $\mathrm{PSU}_{3}(4)$ has a normal Sylow 3-subgroup, so that if $x, y \in \operatorname{PSU}_{3}(4)$ satisfy $x^{2}=y^{3}=1$, then in the factor group $\bar{x}^{2}=\bar{y}=1$. But the factor has order 8 , so that $\langle x, y\rangle$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{PSU}_{3}(4)$. Summarizing we obtain

Corollary 3. $\operatorname{PSU}_{3}(q)$ is a factor of the modular group if and only if $\sqrt{q} \neq 2$.
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