Using isothiocyanate excretion as a biological marker of *Brassica* vegetable consumption in epidemiological studies: evaluating the sources of variability
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**Abstract**

**Objective:** *Brassica* vegetable consumption (e.g. broccoli) leads to excretion of isothiocyanates (ITC) in urine. We evaluated the consistency of ITC as a biomarker for dietary *Brassica* vegetable consumption across the types of vegetables and methods of preparation used in Western societies, and across consumption levels.

**Design:** A single-armed behavioural intervention with duplicate baseline assessment and post-intervention assessment. Urinary ITC excretion and estrogen metabolites were measured from 24-hour urine samples. Dietary intake was measured by a 24-hour recall.

**Setting:** The behavioural intervention facilitated daily *Brassica* intake among participants by providing peer support, food preparation instruction, guided practice in a teaching kitchen, and other information.

**Subjects:** Thirty-four healthy free-living postmenopausal women who recently had a negative screening mammogram at the University of Massachusetts Medical Center.

**Results:** Urinary ITC excretion and total *Brassica* intake followed the same pattern over the intervention. The ITC biomarker significantly predicted *Brassica* intake when *Brassica* consumption averaged about 100 g day⁻¹, but not when *Brassica* consumption averaged about 200 g day⁻¹. Urinary ITC levels were somewhat higher when more raw vegetables were consumed as compared to lightly cooked vegetables, while the types of *Brassica* consumed appeared to have only a small, non-significant effect on urinary ITC levels.

**Conclusion:** Urinary ITC excretion would be a good exposure biomarker among populations regularly consuming a vegetable serving/day, but may be less accurate among populations with greater intake levels or a wide range of cooking practices.

A diet rich in vegetables of the family *Cruciferae*, which in the USA consists primarily of *Brassica* vegetables (e.g. broccoli, green cabbage, Brussels sprouts), may reduce the risk of many common cancers 1–8. *Brassica* vegetables are a well-known source of glucosinolates, N-hydroxysulfates with a variable aglycone group containing either an alkyl, alkenyl, thioalkyl, thioalkenyl, aryl, aroalkyl or indolyl moiety 9,10. Glucosinolates are hydrolysed to their isothiocyanate congeners, or to nitriles, thiocyanates or other compounds by myrosinase, an enzyme in plant cells and in the human gut microflora 11. These reaction products interact with various mammalian cellular and metabolic systems that are associated with cancer risk 12–14, including Phase 2 detoxification enzymes (e.g. glutathione-S-transferase (GST)) that protect animals and their cells against oxidative stress, carcinogenesis and mutagenesis 15–19.

While there is an abundance of evidence illustrating a biological response to *Brassica* phytochemicals that is consistent with reduced cancer risk, there is only sparse and inconsistent prospective cohort or case–control epidemiologic evidence that *Brassica* consumption reduces cancer incidence or mortality. One explanation for this lack of epidemiological evidence might be that *Brassica* consumption is not adequately measured. Large case–control or cohort studies usually measure dietary
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intake with a food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ). These dietary instruments query only a limited number of foods, request average portion sizes, and rely on long-term memory to recall past dietary practices. Additionally, there is a growing body of evidence to suggest that data from such self-reported dietary assessment techniques are influenced by participants' characteristics, including their psychological profiles. The large potential public health benefit of even a small percentage reduction in cancer incidence suggests the need for a better method to estimate glucosinolate intake in free-living study populations.

Recently, an assay was developed to measure isothiocyanates and their metabolites in human urine, and therefore urinary ITC excretion might provide a less biased way of assessing Brassica intake in large-scale studies. In several highly controlled metabolic studies, urinary isothiocyanate excretion levels (ITC) were consistent with the amount of Brassica administered to the study participant. Seow and colleagues found that categories of total Brassica intake as measured by FFQ significantly followed the trend across categories of urinary ITC levels. This Asian study population consumed moderate daily amounts of Brassica (40 g day$^{-1}$), primarily as Chinese cabbage, and cooking practices were not evaluated.

There are several sources of variability that could lead to substantial error in estimating Brassica intake by means of urinary ITC analysis. A further evaluation of these errors could benefit research studies intending to use ITC as a biomarker for dietary intake. The glucosinolate content of Brassica vegetables varies across species and cultivars, and depends on numerous environmental variables such as soil conditions under which the vegetables are grown. Not all glucosinolates are equally likely to be converted to detectable isothiocyanates. Therefore the quality of ITC as a biomarker for Brassica intake may depend upon the types of vegetables consumed. In addition, post-harvest handling, plant age, vegetable preparation and individual metabolic activity further affect glucosinolate concentration, effective dose and biological activity.

An intensive 4-week dietary intervention was designed and implemented in order to evaluate the physiological response to increased Brassica vegetable consumption and to develop new functional-food assessment approaches in healthy free-living people. Since the intervention was of high intensity and relatively short duration, it provided an ideal opportunity to compare estimated Brassica intake from two independent sources: a well-regarded dietary assessment standard and the ITC biomarker of Brassica exposure. Over the three phases of the intervention, the same group of 34 participants consumed low, moderate and high amounts of Brassica, enabling us to evaluate the consistency between ITC excretion and self-reported Brassica consumption across different levels of consumption, and to evaluate the ability of ITC to track changes in dietary intake within individuals. Variability in ITC excretion with vegetable type and vegetable preparation are considered. We have previously reported that greater Brassica intake leads to a shift in the estrogen composition in these study participants, such that the amount of 2-hydroxyestrone increased relative to the amount of 16α-hydroxyestrone. We compared urinary ITC levels, as a marker for dietary Brassica intake, to urinary estrogen metabolite levels known to be affected by dietary Brassica intake.

Materials and methods

Study participants

Several aspects of this study have been described previously. Study participants were healthy, free-living, postmenopausal women who had received screening mammographic services within the past year. Participants were over 45 years of age and without a menstrual cycle during the previous 12 months. Tobacco-users or women who regularly consumed more than two alcoholic drinks per day were excluded. Additionally, subjects using any prescription or non-prescription hormones, diabetes medication, antibiotics, herbal remedies, or who were under a physician-recommended diet, were excluded. The average age of the 34 women participating in the study was 61.8 years (SD=8.1, range: 49–77). Twenty-five subjects were married and 17 had achieved at least a college degree. Fourteen women were employed, primarily in service-oriented positions such as nursing or in managerial/office jobs.

Study participants attended a series of four classes designed to facilitate the addition of Brassica vegetables to the daily diet. Class discussions were led by a registered dietitian, but relied on peer support and peer modelling to motivate adherence. Content focused on problem-solving skills and overcoming barriers associated with the dietary change. A strong emphasis was placed on vegetable preparation, and participants were encouraged to eat either raw or lightly steamed vegetables. Participants practised vegetable preparation skills through guided meal preparation in a teaching kitchen.

Study design and data collection

The study design and sample collection schedule are illustrated in Fig. 1. Study participants provided two 24-hour urine samples prior to the intervention period, which are referred to as ‘Baseline-1’ and ‘Baseline-2’. Additional 24-hour urine samples were collected during the last week of the intervention phase (referred to as ‘Intervention’) and two weeks after the conclusion of the intervention (‘Postintervention’). Both written and oral
instructions concerning 24-hour urine collection were administered to all participants. Subjects also were advised to avoid prepared mustard and horseradish during the two days prior to the urine collection, as these condiments are made from Cruciferous vegetables and have significant quantities of allyl isothiocyanate. Each opaque urine collection bottle contained 2.0 g ascorbic acid as a preservative. Urine samples were delivered to project staff within 1 day of collection, and most were delivered on the same day of collection. Upon arrival, total volumes of urine were recorded, and aliquots were stored at −80°C. Urine aliquots were shipped on dry ice to Baltimore for ITC analysis.

During each week in which urine was collected, three 24-hour diet recalls (24HR) were administered to each participant. Participants were telephoned on three randomly assigned days (two weekdays and one weekend day) and asked to describe their diet on the preceding day. A structured interview protocol was strictly followed. Highly trained registered dietitians conducted all interviews, and participants were provided a two-dimensional chart of typical foods to assist with portion size estimation. Nutrient calculations were performed using the Nutrition Data System software, developed by the Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN (Food Database: 13A; Nutrient Database: 28)33. There were no missing nutrient values in this analysis. Data from the 24HR within each week were averaged for each participant. Of the 408 calls assigned to the 34 participants, 401 calls were completed (98.3%). From each 24HR log, the amount (grams) of Brassica vegetable reported were combined within each day by vegetable type and by cooked or raw status, and then averaged across all 24HR during the week. Brassica vegetables reported as cooked were adjusted to reflect raw grams consumed.

Questionnaires were administered throughout the study, first to collect demographic and breast cancer-related data, then to monitor for changes in medication use, physical activity, occupational status, or alcohol use. The psychological constructs ‘Social Approval’ and ‘Social Desirability’, along with demographic and other data, were measured by questionnaire during the baseline study phase34,35.

Isothiocyanate laboratory analysis

Samples (1.5 ml) from each urine collection were thawed and centrifuged (200g for 5 min at 4°C) to remove particulate matter. The cyclocondensation reaction22 with urine was carried out in 4 ml, screw-topped glass vials in a final volume of 2.0 ml that contained 200 or 500 µl of urine and enough water to total 500 µl. 0.5 ml of 500 mM sodium borate buffer (pH 9.25), and 1.0 ml of 40 mM 1,2-benzenedithiol in methanol. The vials were flushed with N2 gas, sealed with Teflon-lined septa, and the contents were mixed with a Vortex mixer and incubated for 2 h at 65°C. Samples were then cooled to room temperature, briefly centrifuged (350g for 5 min), and loaded into a Waters WISP Autosampler®. Aliquots (200 µl) of each reaction mixture were injected on to a reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) column (Partisil 10 µm ODS-2, 4.5x250 mm; Whatman, Clifton, NJ) and eluted isocratically with 80% methanol/20% water (v/v) at a flow rate of 2 ml min−1. The cyclocondensation product peak, 1,3-benzodithiole-2-thione, was eluted at c. 5.0 min, and its area was integrated at 365 nm using a Waters Photodiode Array detector (Waters Millenium Software®, Version 2.15.01).

Three sets of controls were included with each analytical run: (1) purified cyclocondensation product (200 µl of 2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 µM solutions) was injected to assess the validity of the standard curve; (2) a reaction mixture containing only 1,2-benzenedithiol was included to ensure that no peak is given by 1,2-benzenedithiol alone; and (3) three concentrations (2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 µM) of the N-acetylcysteine derivative of allyl isothiocyanate were analysed with and without 1,2-benzenedithiol to ensure that the cyclocondensation reaction went to completion. Standard curves, assay reproducibility, linearity of response and storage characteristics of urine samples were all as detailed in Shapiro et al.11. Urinary ITC concentration (µmol ml−1) was multiplied by the volume of urine collected during the 24-hour period (ml), to give µmol daily ITC excretion.

Urinary estrogen metabolites

Urinary 2-hydroxyestrone (2HE) and 16α-hydroxyestrone (16HE) were measured using a solid-phase enzyme immunoassay kit from Immuna Care Corporation (Bethlehem, PA)32,36. All assays were performed on samples in triplicate, in random order, within one batch, and by a single technician who was masked as to the sequence of the sample collection. The intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) for 2HE and 16HE were each 4.0% and inter-assay CVs were 10.0% and 9.9%, respectively. Standard urine samples were obtained from women of a similar age and estrogen level as the study participants.

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis of dietary Brassica intake and urinary ITC excretion is presented at all four measurement
times within the intervention (i.e. Baseline-1, Baseline-2, Intervention and Postintervention). The analysis focused on the association between *Brassica* intake and ITC excretion during the Intervention and Postintervention study phases because few participants consumed *Brassica* at Baseline, and there was insufficient variability in the dietary and urinary data to perform the detailed analysis within this study phase. The isothiocyanate data were natural log (ln) transformed to better meet assumptions of the statistical analysis. *Brassica* vegetable intake data during the Intervention and Postintervention study phases approximated a normal distribution. Pearson correlation coefficients and linear regression coefficients were used to assess the cross-sectional associations. Regression coefficients reflect the amount of urinary ITC excretion (ln(μmol day⁻¹)) due to each unit change (e.g. g day⁻¹) in the dietary parameter. Vegetable-specific associations with urinary ITC excretion were identified using partial correlation coefficients, or by simultaneously including each vegetable type in a linear regression model that predicts ITC excretion. Similarly, the amounts of *Brassica* intake consumed as cooked or raw were simultaneously included in a linear regression model.

We evaluated the ability of urinary ITC levels to track individual changes in *Brassica* intake or to induce a change in estrogen metabolism. Measurements across the two baseline time points were not significantly different, and each subject’s two baseline values were averaged together for calculation of the change scores, in order to provide the most stable baseline estimate. Change scores for *Brassica*, ITC levels or the relative amounts of estrogen metabolites (2HE/16HE ratio) were computed

### Table 1 Isothiocyanate (ITC) excretion or self-reported *Brassica* intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study phase</th>
<th>ITC (μmol/24 h)*</th>
<th></th>
<th>Brassica (g/24 h)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline-1</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline-2</td>
<td>0 (12)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>16.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postintervention</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>12.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Min: minimum value; Q1: 25th percentile; Q3: 75th percentile; Max: maximum value.

( ): Number of participants with no detectable ITC or reporting 0 g *Brassica* intake.

* ITC excretion measured in 24-hour urine samples collected during each phase of the study.

† *Brassica* consumption measured with three 24-hour recalls (24HR) during the same week that a 24-hour urine sample was collected.

Fig. 2 The distribution of *Brassica* vegetable consumption and urinary isothiocyanate (ITC) excretion across phases of the study (Base-1, Baseline-1; Base-2, Baseline-2; Inter, Intervention; Postint, Postintervention). Horizontal lines at 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 95th percentiles
Isothiocyanate excretion and Brassica consumption

by subtraction of the Baseline value from either the Intervention or the Postintervention value. Paired *t*-tests were used to compare changes in diet or urinary measures between any two time points. Correlation coefficients and regression coefficients were adjusted for baseline (log-transformed) ITC values, in order to reduce the influence of an extreme baseline value on the change score (i.e. regression to the mean).

**Results**

Reported Brassica vegetable consumption and urinary ITC excretion are summarised in Table 1 and Fig. 2. At Baseline, participants’ Brassica intake was similar across the two baseline measures (P=0.37), and averaged about 9 g of vegetable per day. Brassica consumption increased during the intervention to 193 g day⁻¹ (P < 0.001, Average Baseline value vs. Intervention), and all participants reported greater Brassica consumption during the intervention. At Postintervention, average Brassica consumption decreased by 84 g day⁻¹ (P < 0.001 for Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention). Broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts were most commonly consumed (Intervention vs. Postintervention).

Urinary ITC levels were lowest at the two Baseline time points, and these Baseline ITC levels were not significantly different (P = 0.24). ITC was non-detectable in 17 urine samples at Baseline, whereas all urine samples obtained during the Intervention phase of the study contained detectable ITC. Group-average ITC excretion levels followed the trend of Brassica intake, with significant increases from Baseline to Intervention (P < 0.01), and a decrease from the Intervention to Postintervention phase of the study (P < 0.01).

The association between individual-level ITC excretion and reported Brassica intake was evaluated within each measurement period of the study (Table 2, Fig. 3). The scatter plots of Fig. 3 illustrate the unstable association between Brassica intake and ITC excretion during the two Baseline collection periods, when Brassica consumption was very low and sporadic. Any linear association was due to a few highly influential values, and therefore we restrict further analyses to the Intervention and Postintervention study phases. During the Intervention period, where intake was highest, there was only a weak and non-significant association between urinary ITC level and total Brassica intake or vegetable specific intake. During the Postintervention, where intake was moderate, there was a significant association between ITC excretion and Brassica intake (r = 0.58, P < 0.01), at which time each g day⁻¹ of Brassica led to an increase of 0.24 log units (log(μmol day⁻¹)) in urinary ITC levels. Adjustment for macronutrient intake (protein g day⁻¹, fat g day⁻¹, energy kcal day⁻¹, carbohydrate g day⁻¹) did not affect the association between Brassica intake and ITC excretion.

The commonly consumed vegetables (i.e. broccoli, cabbage and Brussels sprouts) appeared to contribute proportionally equivalent amounts of ITC to the content of urine at Postintervention, but there was greater disparity during the Intervention. Brussels sprouts intake was highest during the Intervention, and certain glucosinolates common in Brussels sprouts might be less likely to contribute to ITC in urine. When Brussels sprouts consumption was removed from the total amount of Brassica consumed, the associations improved slightly during the Intervention (r = 0.21, P = 0.23; b = 0.06, 95% CI (0.04, 0.17)), but regression coefficients at Postintervention decreased slightly (r = 0.46, P = 0.006; b = 0.23, 95% CI (0.07, 0.39)). Raw vegetable intake tended to be more strongly associated with ITC levels as compared with cooked vegetable intake.

Dietary interventions and metabolic (in-patient) studies often analyse changes in a biochemical measure as an individual-level marker of exposure change. As described
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**Table 2** Association between isothiocyanate excretion and total Brassica intake, and by vegetable type or vegetable preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brassica</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th></th>
<th>Postintervention</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model † 1† Total</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.06, 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2‡ 1† Broccoli</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−0.12, 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.23, 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brussels sprouts</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.18, 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>−0.02, 0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3‡ 2† Cooked</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.09, 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−0.06, 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Isothiocyanate (ITC) measured from 24-hour urine samples collected during each phase of the study. Brassica consumption measured by three 24-hour recalls during each week in which a urine sample was collected for isothiocyanate measurement.

† Model 1: log(ITC)=Total Brassica (20 g day⁻¹).
‡ Model 2: log(ITC)=Broccoli (20 g day⁻¹)+Cabbage (20 g day⁻¹)+Brussels sprouts (20 g day⁻¹)+Other (20 g day⁻¹).
§ Model 3: log(ITC)=Cooked Brassica (20 g day⁻¹)+Raw Brassica (20 g day⁻¹).

Partial correlation coefficients adjusted for other variables in model.
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in the Methods section, changes in Brassica or urinary ITC levels were calculated by subtracting the average Baseline values from values at either the Intervention or Postintervention study phase. Moderate change in Brassica intake (Postintervention–Baseline) was associated with a significant change in urinary ITC levels, where each 20 g day\(^{-1}\) increase in Brassica intake led to a 0.24 log-unit increase in urinary ITC excretion (Table 3). In contrast, larger change in Brassica intake (Intervention–Baseline) was associated with almost no change in ITC levels.

In order to explore the consistency between urinary ITC levels and a physiological response to Brassica vegetable intake, the urinary estrogen metabolite ratio 2-hydroxyestrone: 16α-hydroxyestrone (2HE/16HE) was regressed on urinary ITC excretion levels. Greater urinary ITC levels were not significantly associated with a higher urinary 2HE/16HE ratio within either the Intervention or Postintervention study phase (Fig. 3). Brassica intake and isothiocyanate excretion within the same participants consuming different levels of Brassica.

Table 3 Association between change in grams of Brassica vegetable intake per day, and change in isothiocyanate (ITC) excretion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brassica</th>
<th>Intervention–Baseline</th>
<th>Postintervention–Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>( P )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (20 g day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ln (Isothiocyanate (ITC)) measured from 24-hour urine samples collected during each phase of the study. Brassica consumption measured by three 24-hour recalls during each week in which a urine sample was collected for isothiocyanate measurement. Change scores calculated by subtracting the average of the two Baseline measurements for dietary intake or log(ITC) levels from values at Intervention or Postintervention. Model: \( \Delta \log(\text{ITC}) = \Delta \text{Brassica} + \log(\text{ITC}_{\text{Baseline}}) \).
Postintervention study phase (Table 4). Adjustment for the previously described dietary factors led to a stronger association during the Intervention ($b = 0.28, 95\% \text{ CI} (0.14, 0.69)$), but had no impact on the Postintervention association ($b = -0.04, 95\% \text{ CI} (-0.34, 0.26)$).

 Unexpectedly, increased ITC excretion from Baseline to Postintervention was significantly associated with lower urinary 2HE/16HE levels ($r = -0.49, P < 0.01$). No outliers or highly influential data points were evident. Statistical adjustment for changes in macronutrient intake during these time intervals did not change the interpreted results (Intervention$-\text{Baseline}$: $b = -0.24, 95\% \text{ CI} (-0.53, 0.03)$; Postintervention$-\text{Baseline}$: $b = -0.41, 95\% \text{ CI} (-0.62, -0.19)$).

**Discussion**

Nutritional epidemiology often evaluates the association between the macro- or micronutrient components of the diet and disease risk. There is growing evidence that the non-nutrient components of the diet could impact cancer risk. Examples include genistein derived from soy-foods, enterolactone from grain-foods, and isothiocyanates from *Brassica* vegetables. However, it is difficult to measure exposure to these food components accurately. Typical FFQs do not query an exhaustive listing of these vegetables or factors that may modify the phytochemical content. Urinary markers of dietary intake are less susceptible to reporting bias and might provide a chemical-specific exposure level. We created a model dietary intervention developed in part to design and evaluate dietary assessment strategies for functional food intake. In this intervention, the same participants consumed very high or moderate levels of *Brassica* vegetables, providing the unique opportunity to evaluate the performance of the biomarker across a range of intakes within the same individuals. The 24HR is a dietary assessment method that measures the current diet without resorting to standardised comparison portions in order to estimate the quantity of food consumed. This method provides the least biased approach to estimating dietary intake within an intervention.\footnote{Cross-sectional model: $2/16=\text{log}(\text{ITC})$.} \footnote{Change model: $\Delta 2/16=\text{log}(\text{ITC})+\text{ITC}_{\text{Baseline}}$.}

We found that ITC excretion was a better predictor of *Brassica* intake when the group consumed a moderate level of *Brassica*. Previously, Seow and colleagues found a greater discrepancy between estimated *Brassica* intake and ITC excretion among those participants who consumed more *Brassica*, and by *GSTT1* gene expression.\footnote{The metabolism of ITC may vary across individuals according to the expression and activity of GST and other metabolic enzymes, such that the urinary biomarker no longer represents dietary intake beyond a certain level of intake. In this study of US women, that threshold appears to be between 100 g day$^{-1}$ and 200 g day$^{-1}$, on average. There was a dose–response pattern during the Postintervention study phase, when intake was moderate. The linear trend was lost with higher average intake. Additionally, there was a marginally significant association between changes in ITC excretion levels and changes in *Brassica* intake among free-living participants at Postintervention.}

The amount of *Brassica* consumed at Postintervention is a better representation of the amounts consumed in many Asian regions. For example, Seow and colleagues found that Cruciferous intake averaged about 40 g day$^{-1}$ in Singapore.\footnote{Variance measures were not provided, but there was likely a wide distribution reaching into the ranges observed during the Postintervention phases of this study. According to food-disappearance data, the Japanese consume far more cabbage (19 g day$^{-1}$), Chinese cabbage (22 g day$^{-1}$) and other *Brassica* vegetables which are rarely consumed in Western cultures.\footnote{This study indicates that populations which routinely consume *Brassica* do not appear to do so to such an extent that the urinary ITC marker would be unreliable. Consumption of *Brassica* vegetables in the United States has been estimated at 11 g day$^{-1}$ in an analysis of food production data, or about 2 servings per week in a large dietary survey. \footnote{These intake levels are similar to our Baseline measures, indicating that urinary ITC levels may be an unreliable estimate of *Brassica* intake in North American study populations.}}}

Different *Brassica* vegetables have different glucosinolate concentrations, and the spectrum of glucosinolates differs from vegetable to vegetable. Although Brussels sprouts are a rich source of glucosinolates, the predominant glucosinolates include progoitrin, a β-hydroxyalkenyl glucosinolate that is hydrolysed by the enzyme myrosinase to produce nitriles, epithionitriles and oxazolidine-2-thiones, and not isothiocyanates. Progoitrin metabolites do not react to produce ITC in the human body, and these progoitrin metabolites do not react in the cyclocondensation reaction.\footnote{Brussel sprouts consumption was very high during the Intervention phase. However, these results might suggest only the slightest variation in ITC excretion due to variation in the patterns of consumed *Brassica*.}

As a generalisation, the United States’ population typically consumes *Brassica* vegetables after cooking. Glucosinolates are water-soluble and leach into the 
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**Table 4** Association between urinary isothiocyanate excretion level and the 2HE/16HE estrogen metabolite ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−0.21, 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postintervention</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.51, 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change†</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−0.61, 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention$-\text{Baseline}$</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>$&lt;0.01$</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>−1.21, −0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postintervention$-\text{Baseline}$</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cross-sectional model: $2/16=\text{log}(\text{ITC})$.  
† Change model: $\Delta 2/16=\text{log}(\text{ITC})+\text{ITC}_{\text{Baseline}}$. 

---

...
cooking water with vegetable boiling, decreasing the glucosinolate concentration within the vegetable. When thoroughly cooked *Brassica* vegetables are administered to subjects, plant myrosinase is inactivated, and essentially all of the glucosinolates/isothiocyanates are presented to the subject’s digestive tract in glucosinolate form, and additional metabolic/enzymatic steps are required to release the ITC component from the glucosinolate. Alternatively, it could be possible that very light cooking releases myrosinase without enzyme inactivation, leading to increased glucosinolate metabolism. Requiring that participants consume only raw *Brassica* would so highly control the study that results would not be applicable to free-living women, and such a restriction would likely decrease compliance to the intervention. Study participants were instructed during the intervention classes to prepare *Brassica* vegetables using techniques that prevent glucosinolates from leaching or degrading in the vegetable, with the goal of maintaining the glucosinolate content of the vegetables at the highest possible level. We found that both raw and cooked *Brassica* consumption contributed to urinary ITC levels, with raw consumption appearing to contribute a little more ITC to urine, suggesting that ITC excretion might be sensitive to the simplest of food preparation methods.

To further explore the use of ITC, we compared urinary ITC excretion levels to the 2HE/16HE estrogen metabolite ratio. The indole glucosinolates derived from *Brassica* vegetables are converted in the body to aryl hydrocarbon receptor agonists, and the activated receptor is able to induce the specific enzyme responsible for hydroxylation of estrone on the second carbon (CYP1A1, CYP1A2), producing 2-hydroxyestrone rather than the highly estrogenic and genotoxic 16a-hydroxyestrone. The ratio of these metabolites, 2HE/16HE, is currently under evaluation as an endocrine biomarker for breast cancer risk. We have found that *Brassica* consumption increases the 2HE/16HE ratio in this study population, consistent with a reduced risk of breast cancer. In contrast, urinary ITC levels, derived from *Brassica* vegetables, were not associated with the 2HE/16HE ratio. The common non-isothiocyanate metabolites (e.g. nitriles, thioarbalamates, epiphenylthiones, oxazolidine-2-thiones and various indole derivatives such as indole-3-carbinol and indole-3-acetonitrile) are not detected by this assay. Therefore, the urinary ITC index may be less informative as a biomarker for *Brassica* when the hypothesised disease mechanism involves indole glucosinolates.

To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to adjust for other dietary constituents. Nutrient intake is able to affect drug metabolism and phytoestrogen excretion, either through induction or inhibition of Phase 1 or Phase 2 enzymes responsible for metabolism, or through increasing or decreasing the likelihood of faecal excretion over urinary excretion of the agent. Log-transformed urinary ITC excretion levels were not associated with dietary macronutrient intake, and adjustment for these nutrients did not affect the associations between ITC levels and either *Brassica* intake or urinary 2HE/16HE values. We did not explore the effects of fibre intake on ITC excretion because increased *Brassica* consumption leads directly to both greater fibre intake and ITC excretion, limiting our ability to identify this independent association in this study.

It is possible that these motivated participants misreported their diet; however, there is little evidence to suggest that these study results are due to dietary misreport. It is conceivable that participants over-reported *Brassica* intake in order to achieve the appearance of compliance. The psychological scales ‘social desirability’ and ‘social approval’ have been previously evaluated for their effect on dietary self-report. Social desirability describes a defensive mechanism where one is likely to present oneself in a fashion consistent with social norms and to avoid criticism, while social approval scores are associated with an intrinsic need to seek a positive response to a testing situation. Consistent with the theoretical construct of the scales, the social approval scale was associated with *Brassica* intake during the Intervention phase ($r = 0.33$, $P = 0.05$). Study personnel motivated participants to consume *Brassica* and provided feedback and problem-solving techniques, thus potentially creating a testing situation. During the Postintervention phase there was no contact with study personnel, thus reducing the ‘pressure’ to attain a specific level of *Brassica* intake. However, it is difficult to determine if participants with higher social approval scores met the testing challenge by consuming more *Brassica* or by reporting more *Brassica*. Social approval scores were not significantly correlated with ITC excretion ($r = 0.06$, $P = 0.74$), providing some support to the hypothesis that greater social approval scores were associated with misreport. However, adjustment with social approval scores had no effect on the regression coefficients during either the Intervention or Postintervention study phase. While there is some question as to the relationship between *Brassica* intake and social approval scores, misreport – if any – was small, and adjustment for social approval in the analysis did not alter the fundamental interpretation of the results.

It is unlikely that the differences in results over time are due to a training effect among participants. The duplicated baseline measurements were included to provide time for training. We have observed among women receiving repeated 24HR that all training occurs during the first 24HR, and that the effect is very small relative to the overall variability in their diet. The 24HRs administered during the Intervention phase were the seventh, eighth and ninth calls received by these participants.

Other sources of error in the correspondence between
urinary ITC levels and dietary Brassica consumption might be possible. Urinary ITC levels peak within several hours after consuming Brassica, but require one to three days to be completely excreted. The 24HR assessment protocol contacted participants on three random days within the week of urine collection, and was designed to capture a representative sample of the habitual/regular Brassica intake during the week for that participant. It is possible that Brassica consumption during a day not queried by 24HR might have contributed to urinary ITC levels. This error may be greatest when Brassica consumption is relatively rare and sporadic, such as at Baseline, and less important with consistent and daily (or near daily) Brassica consumption (Intervention and Postintervention). None of the participants used tobacco, but it may be possible that environmental tobacco smoke contributed to urinary ITC levels. Participants were instructed not to eat mustard or horseradish during the urine collection week, but eating foods prepared by other people may lead to condiment consumption without participant knowledge.

Improper urine sample handling or refrigeration by study participants may have led to microbial contamination, thus resulting in degradation of glucosinolate/isothiocyanate in the urine and decreasing the association between ITC and self-reported Brassica intake. However, there was no difference in the time between reported completion of the urine collection and urine storage across the different phases of the study, making it unlikely that urine sample handling alone could explain the variable associations across the study. The vast majority of urine samples were returned to the research institution within hours of the completed urine collection. Further work is planned to identify a simple and effective ITC-compatible preservation protocol for epidemiological research.

In summary, categories of Brassica intake follow the pattern of categories of urinary ITC excretion, and there was a significant correlation between these two measures among participants who consumed an average of about 100 g day

\[^{-1}\]. The cyclocondensation reaction is important because it provides an overall estimate of glucosinolate exposure across Brassica species and food preparation that standard FFQs do not capture. In using ITC as an exposure marker in large epidemiological studies, careful consideration should be given to variability in cooking practices, the amounts consumed, and the theorised biological mechanisms of the disease of interest.
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