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#### Abstract

Regular $C$-fractions $f(\alpha) \equiv 1+a_{1} \alpha / 1+a_{2} \alpha / 1+\ldots$ with $a_{n}=a n^{2}+b n+c+V_{n},\left|V_{n}\right|$ sufficiently small are examined. In the case $V_{n}=0$, exact expressions are obtained which reveal a two sheeted Riemann structure for $f(\alpha)$. If $V_{n} \neq 0$ analytic properties are obtained by means of perturbation theory applied to the associated difference equation. A conjecture that $f(\alpha)$ is the ratio of two entire functions of $1 / \sqrt{\alpha}$ for an even larger class of $C$-fractions is proved for the case $a_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(n+r_{i}\right)^{p_{i}}$, $r_{i} \neq-n, \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}=2$.


1. Introduction. The connection between continued fractions

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}+\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}+\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}+\cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and three term recursion relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}-b_{n} X_{n-1}-a_{n} X_{n-2}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

lies at the heart of continued fraction theory [5], [7].
The solution of (2) in terms of a minimal (or subdominant) solution $X_{n}^{(s)}$ and a dominant solution $X_{n}^{(d)}$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}^{(s)} / X_{n}^{(d)}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of (1) with:
Pincherle's theorem [3]: Let $a_{n} \neq 0, n \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}}=-X_{0}^{(s)} / X_{-1}^{(s)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although the existence of a minimal solution may be obtained from the asymptotics of (2), instability limits its usefulness in determining $X_{n}^{(s)}$ because of the build up of
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errors. Algorithms for the practical determination of $X_{n}^{(s)}$ and its more detailed properties usually rely on the interplay between (1) and (2) (see Gautschi [2] and Henrici in Appendix B of [3]). Thus, new exact minimal solutions and approximation methods are welcome additions to the theory.

If $b_{n} \neq 0, n \geq 0$ then the identity (equivalence transformation)
allows attention to be focused on continued fractions having $b_{n}=1$. With the introduction of a parameter $\alpha$ in the partial numerators one obtains the regular corresponding fraction ( $C$-fraction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n} \alpha}{1}, \quad a_{n} \neq 0, n \geq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a further connection with analytic function theory [1], [3], [7]. Convergence will now occur for $\alpha$ in certain complex domains and one can discuss the analytic properties of such $C$-fractions. Some typical classical results are:
(1) If $a_{n}>0, n \geq 1$, then (5) converges to a meromorphic function of $\alpha$ if and only if $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ (Stieltjes, loc. cit. [7], p. 210). ${ }^{1}$
(2) If $a_{n} \rightarrow a>0$ then (5) converges to a meromorphic function of $\alpha$ in the cut plane $\alpha \notin(-\infty,-1 / 4 a]$ (Van Vleck, loc. cit. [7], p. 210). ${ }^{2}$
(3) If $a_{n}>0, n \geq 1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-1 / 2}=\infty$, then (5) converges to a holomorphic function of $\alpha$ in the cut plane $|\arg \alpha|<\pi$ (Stieltjes, see [7], Thm. 28.1). ${ }^{3}$

In case (2) or (3) with $a_{n} \nrightarrow 0$ one can inquire about a nontrivial analytic continuation of the corresponding $C$-fraction. A recent result for case (2) due to Thron and Waadeland [6] is:
(4) If the convergence of $a_{n}$ to $a>0$ is geometric or faster $\left(\left|a_{n}-a\right| \leq d K^{n}\right.$, $K<1$ ), then (5) has a square root branch cut on $\alpha \leq-1 / 4 a$.

Analytic continuation for case (3) where $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ does not appear to have been examined except for the special case $a_{n}=b n+c$ where in [4] it was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+c) \alpha}{1}=\sqrt{\alpha} D_{-c}(1 / \sqrt{\alpha}) / D_{-c-1}(1 / \sqrt{\alpha}),|\arg \alpha|<\pi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $D_{\lambda}(z)$ the parabolic cylinder function). One then has convergence in the cut $\alpha$-plane, $|\arg \alpha|<\pi$ to the branch of a meromorphic function of $\beta=1 / \sqrt{\alpha}$.

We believe this to be a general feature of a wide class of $C$-fractions and venture the following conjecture.

[^0]CONJECTURE: If $a_{n}=a \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(n+r_{i}\right)^{p_{i}}$ with $r_{i} \neq-n, n \geq 1, a>0$ and $0<p \leq$ 2 where $p=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}$, then (5) converges in the cut $\alpha$-plane $|\arg \alpha|<\pi$ to the branch of a meromorphic function of $\beta=1 / \sqrt{\alpha}$.

If this is indeed true then one has a class of $C$-fractions associated with a surprisingly simple global analytic structure consisting of only two Riemann sheets. We hope to make the conjecture more plausible by continuing here the investigation in [4], where it was explicitly shown to be true for the case $p=1, N=1$.

In Section 2 we prove the conjecture for the case $N=2, p_{1}=p_{2}=1$ by obtaining exact expressions for (5) and the solutions to its associated difference equation in terms of hypergeometric functions.

In Section 3 we introduce a perturbation method for obtaining minimal solutions and their analytic properties using a Volterra equation and apply it to the case $a_{n}=a n^{2}+$ $b n+c+V_{n}$ with $a \neq 0$ and $\left|V_{n}\right| \leq$ const. $n^{1-\epsilon}, \epsilon>0$.

In Section 4 we indicate how the conjecture can be resolved in terms of the properties of an associated difference equation and sketch a proof of the conjecture for the case $p=2$. The method of proof yields a simple solution to the analytic continuation of (5) in terms of limit averaging formulae for $X_{0}^{(s)}$ and $X_{-1}^{(s)}$.
2. Exact results. From the general theory of Stieltjes type continued fractions (Theorem 28.1 of [7]) one may conclude that if $a, b, c$ are real then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a, b, c, \alpha) \equiv 1+{\underset{K}{n=1}}_{\infty} \frac{\left(a n^{2}+b n+c\right) \alpha}{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges in the cut $\alpha$-plane.
Some special cases are evaluated in Wall [7] where it is shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u / \sqrt{\alpha}} d u}{\cosh ^{b+1} u}=1 / f(1, b, 0, \alpha), b>-1,|\arg \alpha|<\pi \\
& \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}}\left[\psi\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\alpha}}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\alpha}}\right)\right]=1 / f(1,0,0, \alpha),|\arg \alpha|<\pi \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u} d u}{\sqrt{u}(1+2 \alpha u)}=1 / f(0,1,0, \alpha),|\arg \alpha|<\pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is actually possible to evaluate the general case for complex coefficients by solving the associated difference equation in terms of hypergeometric functions. For the case $a \neq 0$ (we take $a=1$ without loss of generality) one has:

Theorem 1: If $n^{2}+b n+c \neq 0, n \geq 1$ and $0<|\alpha|<\infty$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}-X_{n-1}-\alpha\left(n^{2}+b n+c\right) X_{n-2}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

has linearly independent analytic solutions $X_{n}( \pm \beta), \beta=1 / \sqrt{\alpha}$ where
(9) $\begin{aligned} & X_{n}(\beta)=(2 \beta)^{-n} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+2+\frac{b}{2}+\mu\right) \Gamma\left(n+2+\frac{b}{2}-\mu\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{5}{2}+\frac{b}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)} \\ & \quad \times F_{21}\left(n+2+\frac{b}{2}+\mu, n+2+\frac{b}{2}-\mu ; n+\frac{5}{2}+\frac{b}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right), n \geq-1\end{aligned}$
and $\mu=\left(b^{2}-4 c\right)^{1 / 2} / 2$.
Proof: Let $u_{n}(x)=\underset{21}{F}\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} ; \gamma_{n} ; x\right)$ with

$$
\alpha_{n}=n+\frac{b}{2}+\mu, \quad \beta_{n}=n+\frac{b}{2}-\mu, \quad \gamma_{n}=n+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{b}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2} .
$$

Then

$$
X_{n-2}(\beta)=(2 \beta)^{-n+2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\gamma_{n}\right)} u_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

Using the facts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}=n^{2}+b n+c, \\
& u_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}{\gamma_{n}} u_{n+1}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{n}-\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\frac{\beta}{2}
$$

one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}(\beta)-X_{n-1}(\beta)-\beta^{-2}\left(n^{2}+b n+c\right) X_{n-2}(\beta)=4(2 \beta)^{-n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\gamma_{n}\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left[x(1-x) u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(\gamma_{n}-\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+1\right) x\right) u_{n}^{\prime}(x)-\alpha_{n} \beta_{n} u_{n}(x)\right]_{x=1 / 2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $u_{n}(x)$ satisfies the hypergeometric equation in the square brackets.
Comment: Note that $\beta^{n} X_{n}(\beta)$ is an entire function of $\beta$ since $(\Gamma(C))^{-1} \underset{21}{F}\left(A, B ; C ; \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is an entire function of $C$.

Lemma 2: The large $n$ behaviour of $X_{n}(\beta)$ in (9) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(\beta)=(2 \beta)^{-n} 2 \sqrt{\pi} e^{-n}(2 n)^{n+1+b / 2+\beta / 2}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: One uses Stirling's asymptotic formula for the three $\Gamma$ functions which appear in (9) together with the formulae

$$
\underset{21}{F}\left(A, B ; C ; \frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{C-A-B} \underset{21}{F}\left(C-A, C-B ; C ; \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\underset{21}{F}\left(A, B ; C ; \frac{1}{2}\right)=1+O\left(\frac{1}{C}\right) \text { for } \operatorname{Re} C \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus the large $n$ behaviour of the hypergeometric function which appears in (9) is given by $\sqrt{2} 2^{n+1+b / 2+\beta / 2}(1+O(1 / n))$ and (10) is obtained.

Lemma 3: If $|\arg \alpha|<\pi, 0<|\alpha|<\infty$ (i.e. $0<\operatorname{Re} \beta,|\beta|<\infty$ ) then the difference equation (8) has a subdominant solution $X_{n}^{(s)}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}^{(s)}=X_{n}(-\beta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: From (10) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(-\beta) / X_{n}(\beta)=(-1)^{n}(2 n)^{-\beta}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has limit 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\operatorname{Re} \beta>0$.
Theorem 4: If $|\arg \alpha|<\pi, 0<|\alpha|<\infty$ then the regular $C$-fraction (7) with $a=$ $1 i s^{4}$

$$
\begin{align*}
f(1, b, c, \alpha) & =\sqrt{\alpha}\left(1+b+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \times \frac{F_{21}\left(\frac{b}{2}+\mu, \frac{b}{2}-\mu ; \frac{1}{2}+\frac{b}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} ; \frac{1}{2}\right)}{F_{21}^{F}\left(1+\frac{b}{2}+\mu, 1+\frac{b}{2}-\mu ; \frac{3}{2}+\frac{b}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} ; \frac{1}{2}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: From Theorem 1, Lemma 3 and Pincherle's Theorem one has $f(1, b, c, \alpha)=\left(X_{-1}(-\beta)-X_{0}(-\beta)\right) / / X_{-1}(-\beta)$ with $X_{n}(-\beta)$ determined from (9). If $c \neq 0$ this yields $-\alpha c X_{-2}(-\beta) / X_{-1}(-\beta)$ which reduces to the right side of (13). If $c=0$ (i.e. $\mu= \pm b / 2$ ) then $X_{-2}$ does not exist but a limit as $c \rightarrow 0$ again yields (13) with the numerator hypergeometric function now equal to one.

For the case $a=0, b \neq 0$ one has:
Theorem 5: If $n+c \neq 0, n \geq 1$ and $0<|\alpha|<\infty$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}-X_{n-1}-\alpha(n+c) X_{n-2}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

has linearly independent analytic solutions $X_{n}( \pm \beta), \beta=1 / \sqrt{\alpha}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(\beta)=\beta^{-n} \Gamma(2+n+c) D_{-c-n-2}(-\beta), \quad n \geq-1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]and $D_{\lambda}(\beta)$ is the parabolic cylinder function. Furthermore $X_{n}(-\beta) / X_{n}(\beta)=(-1)^{n}$ $\exp (-2 \beta \sqrt{n})(1+O(1 / \sqrt{n}))$ so that if $|\arg \alpha|<\pi($ i.e. $\operatorname{Re} \beta>0)$ then (14) has a subdominant solution $X_{n}^{(s)}=X_{n}(-\beta)$.

Proof: See [4].
Theorem 6:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0,1, c, \alpha)=\sqrt{\alpha} \frac{D_{-c}(1 / \sqrt{\alpha})}{D_{-c-1}(1 / \sqrt{\alpha})}, \quad|\arg \alpha|<\pi \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: One uses Pincherle's Theorem and Theorem 5. See also [4].
Comment: One again has solutions with the property that $\beta^{n} X_{n}(\beta)$ is an entire function of $\beta$. Thus in both Theorems 4 and 6 one has $f(a, b, c, \alpha)$ given by the ratio of two entire functions of $\beta$ and the result:

Corollary 7: If $a>0$ or if $a=0, b>0$ then $f(a, b, c, \alpha)$ is the branch of $a$ meromorphic function of $\beta=1 / \sqrt{\alpha}$ with branch cut along the negative $\alpha$-axis.
3. Perturbation Theory. In analogy with the theory of second order linear differential equations, one may use the associated difference equation to obtain properties of continued fractions which are "small perturbations" of a known continued fraction.

Consider the second order linear difference operator $L_{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)=X_{n}-X_{n-1}-\alpha a_{n} X_{n-2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $X_{n}^{(1)} X_{n}^{(2)}$ be linearly independent solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the Wronskian is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)=f_{n} g_{n+1}-g_{n} f_{n+1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (18) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(X_{n}^{(1)}, X_{n}^{(2)}\right)=-\alpha a_{n+1} W\left(X_{n-1}^{(1)}, X_{n-1}^{(2)}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G_{n m}$ (a Green's function for $L_{n}$ ) be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n m}=\frac{X_{n}^{(1)} X_{m}^{(2)}-X_{n}^{(2)} X_{m}^{(1)}}{W\left(X_{m}^{(1)}, X_{m}^{(2)}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G_{n m}$ has the obvious properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}\left(G_{n m}\right)=0, \quad G_{n n}=0, \quad G_{n+1 n}=-1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha a_{n} G_{n-2 n-1}=1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from (20).

Lemma 8: The linear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}\left(Y_{n}\right)=f_{n} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}=X_{n}^{(1)}+\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} G_{n m} f_{m+1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the summation converges.
Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{n}\left(Y_{n}\right)=L_{n}\left(X_{n}^{(1)}\right)+\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} L_{n}\left(G_{n m}\right) f_{m+1} \\
& \quad+\left(G_{n n}-G_{n-1 n}-\alpha a_{n} G_{n-2 n}\right) f_{n+1}-\alpha a_{n} G_{n-2 n-1} f_{n}=f_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where (18), (22) and (23) have been used.
In order to solve the linear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}-Y_{n-1}-\alpha\left(a_{n}+V_{n}\right) Y_{n-2}=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary condition $Y_{n}-X_{n}^{(1)} \rightarrow 0$, one may use (25) with $f_{n}=\alpha V_{n} Y_{n-2}$. This yields the Volterra sum equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}=X_{n}^{(1)}+\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha G_{n m} V_{m+1} Y_{m-1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be solved by iteration to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} Y_{n r} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Y_{n 0}=X_{n}^{(1)}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n r}=\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha G_{n m} V_{m+1} Y_{m-1 r-1}, \quad r=1,2, \ldots \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method is justified by showing that the above summations converge if $\left|V_{n}\right|$ is sufficiently small for $n$ large.

Given a subdominant solution to (18) with known analytic properties, this method is capable of not only proving the existance of a subdominant solution to (26) but also determining its analytic properties. This in turn yields information on the analytic continuation of the corresponding $C$-fraction.

For example with $a_{n}=1,\left|V_{n}\right| \leq d K^{n}, K<1$ one reproduces the Thron and Waadland result (4) mentioned in Section 1, namely:

Theorem 9: Let $a_{n}=1,\left|V_{n}\right| \leq d K^{n}, K<1$. Then

$$
{\underset{n=1}{\infty} \frac{\left(1+V_{n}\right) \alpha}{1}, \quad 1+V_{n} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 1.10}^{1}
$$

converges for $\alpha \in\left(-\infty,-\frac{1}{4}\right]$ to a function whose analytic continuation is meromorphic in $z=\sqrt{1+4 \alpha}$ in the domain $|(1-z) /(1+z)|<K^{-1}$.

Proof: Let

$$
X_{n}^{(1)}=\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right)^{n}, \quad X_{n}^{(2)}=\left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^{n} .
$$

Then

$$
\alpha G_{n m} X_{m-1}^{(1)}=-\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right)^{n}\left[1+\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)+\ldots+\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{m-n-1}\right], \quad m \geq n+1 .
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\alpha G_{n m} V_{m+1} X_{m-1}^{(1)}\right| \leq\left|\frac{1-z}{2}\right|^{n}(m-n) d K^{m+1} P^{m-n-1}, \quad m \geq n+1
$$

where

$$
P=\max \left(1,\left|\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right|\right), \quad z \neq-1 .
$$

If $K P<1$, this implies the absolute convergence of (29) for $r=1$, together with the estimate $\left|Y_{n 1}\right| \leq Q_{n}|1 / 2-z / 2|^{n}, Q_{n}=d K^{n+2}(1-K P)^{2}$ and by induction on $r,\left|Y_{n r}\right|$ $\leq Q_{n}^{r}|1 / 2-z / 2|^{n}$. Thus (28) converges absolutely if $Q_{n}<1$ and one obtains $Y_{n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$ (with $n_{0}$ determined by $Q_{n_{0}}<1$ ), together with the estimate $\left|Y_{n}\right| \leq$ $|(1-z) / 2|^{n} /\left(1-Q_{n}\right)$. This implies that if $n \geq n_{0}, K|(1-z) /(1+z)|<1$, then $Y_{n}$ is to (26) as $((1-z) / 2)^{n}$ is to (18). In particular:
(a) ${\underset{n=n_{0}+2}{\infty}}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\alpha\left(1+V_{n}\right)} \underset{1}{1}=-Y_{n_{0}+1} / Y_{n_{0}}, \quad 0<\left|\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right|<1$,
(b) $Y_{n}, \quad n \geq n_{0}$ is analytic in $z$ if $K\left|\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right|<1$,
where (a) follows form Pincherle's Theorem and (b) follows from Weierstrass' Theorem on the analyticity of a uniformly convergent sequence of analytic functions. This establishes the Theorem since it suffices to consider the tail of the continued fraction.

On applying the method to a perturbation of the continued fraction of Section 2, one obtains the following companion to Theorem 4 and Corollary 7.

Theorem 10: Let $a_{n}=n^{2}+b n+c,\left|V_{n}\right| \leq d n^{1-\epsilon}, \epsilon>0$. Then $\mathrm{K}_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(a_{n}+V_{n}\right) \alpha / 1\right)$, $a_{n}+V_{n} \neq 0, n \geq 1$ converges for $|\arg \alpha|<\pi$ to a function whose analytic continuation is a meromorphic function of $z=\sqrt{\alpha}$ in the domain exterior to the circle $|z|=-\cos$ $(\arg z) / \epsilon$.

Proof: Let $X_{n}^{(1)}=X_{n}(-\beta), X_{n}^{(2)}=X_{n}(\beta)$ where $X_{n}(\beta)$ is given by (9) and $\beta=z^{-1}$. From (10), (12), and (20)

$$
\alpha G_{n m} X_{m-1}(-\beta) \sim-\frac{X_{n}(-\beta)}{2 m^{2}}\left(1-(-1)^{n+m}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-\beta}\right) \text { for } m, n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus $\left|\alpha G_{n m} X_{m-1}(-\beta) V_{m+1}\right| \leq C\left|X_{n}(-\beta)\right| m^{-1-\epsilon} Q_{n m}, \quad n \geq n_{0}$ with $Q_{n m}=$ $\max \left(1,(m / n)^{- \text {Re } \beta}\right)$ and $C$ independent of $n$ and $m$. From (29) one has for Re $\beta>-\epsilon$, $\left|Y_{n 1}\right| \leq C\left|X_{n}(-\beta)\right| \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} m^{-1-\epsilon} Q_{n m} \leq C\left|X_{n}(-\beta)\right| n^{-\epsilon} /(\epsilon+P)$ where $P=$ $\min (0, \operatorname{Re} \beta)$ and by induction $\left|Y_{n r}\right| \leq C^{r}\left|X_{n}(-\beta)\right| n^{-r \epsilon} / \pi_{s=1}^{r}(s \epsilon+P)$. Equation (28) then yields $\left|Y_{n}\right| \leq\left|X_{n}(-\beta)\right| \exp \left(C n^{-\epsilon} /(\epsilon+P)\right.$, $\operatorname{Re} \beta>-\epsilon, n \geq n_{0}$. This implies that:
(a) ${\underset{n}{n=1}}_{\infty} \frac{\left(n^{2}+b n+c+V_{n}\right) \alpha}{1}=-Y_{0} / Y_{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \beta>0$,
(b) $\beta^{n} Y_{n}, n \geq-1$ is analytic in $\beta$ for $\operatorname{Re} \beta>-\epsilon$,
where (a) follows from Pincherle's Theorem and Lemma 3 and (b) for $n \geq n_{0}$ follows from Weierstrass' Theorem and Theorem 1. For $n<n_{0}$ one uses (26) with backward recursion together with the condition $a_{n}+V_{n} \neq 0, n \geq 1$.

Comment: The restriction $\operatorname{Re} \beta>-\epsilon$ is misleading because the estimates involve $\left|V_{m+1}\right|$. Singularities are not necessarily present in the disc $|z| \leq-\cos (\arg z) / \epsilon$ (apart from an essential singularity at $z=0$ ). It is an oscillating behaviour, such as $(-1)^{n}$, in $V_{n}$ which appears to produce singularities.
4. Conjecture and proof for $\boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{2}$. In order to prove the conjecture of Section 1 it is natural to examine the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}-X_{n-1}-\alpha \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(n+r_{i}\right)^{p_{i} X_{n-2}}=0 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one puts

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\left(2^{p} \alpha\right)^{n / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma^{p_{i}}\left(\left(n+r_{i}+2\right) / 2\right) Z_{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (30) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}-\beta b_{n} Z_{n-1}-Z_{n-2}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta=1 / \sqrt{\alpha}$ and $b_{n}=2^{-p / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma^{p_{i}}\left(\left(n+r_{i}+1\right) / 2\right) / \Gamma^{p_{i}}\left(\left(n+r_{i}+2\right) / 2\right)$. From Stirling's formula one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \sim n^{-p / 2}\left(1+\frac{b^{(1)}}{n}+\frac{b^{(2)}}{n^{2}}+\ldots\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one can check that for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
Z_{n}(\beta) \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n^{\beta / 2}, \quad p=2  \tag{34}\\
\exp \left(\beta n^{1-p / 2} /(2-p)\right), \quad 0<p<2
\end{array}\right.
$$

are asymptotic solutions to (32). Since (32) has a coefficient $\beta b_{n}$ with $b_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and the boundary condition (34) is an entire function of $\beta$, one suspects that such a $Z_{n}(\beta)$ may itself be an entire function of $\beta$.

Given a solution with the above boundary condition one has a second linearly independent solution $(-1)^{n} Z_{n}(-\beta)$. It is then clear that for $\operatorname{Re} \beta>0$ one expects

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{n}^{(d)} & =Z_{n}(\beta) \\
Z_{n}^{(s)} & =(-1)^{n} Z_{n}(-\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
Z_{n}^{(s)} / Z_{n}^{(d)} \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(-1)^{n} n^{-\beta}, \quad p=2 \\
(-1)^{n} \exp \left(-2 \beta n^{1-p / 2} /(2-p)\right), \quad 0<p<2
\end{array}\right.
$$

and corresponding dominant and subdominant solutions to (30) via (31).
The proof of the conjecture thus hinges on demonstrating that the solution $Z_{n}(\beta)$ satisfying the boundary condition (34) is an entire function of $\beta$. More generally one can ask the question: For what $b_{n}$ does (32) have a minimal solution which is an entire function of $\beta$ ? The case $b_{n} \sim 1 / n\left(1+b^{(1)} / n+\ldots\right)$ is seen below to be sufficient.

Proof for $p=2$ : From (32) and (33) one obtains a Frobenius expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(\beta) \sim n^{\beta / 2}\left(1+c^{(1)} / n+c^{(2)} / n^{2}+\ldots\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with recursion relations determining the coefficients $c^{(i)}$ in terms of $\beta$ and $b^{(i)}$. From (35) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{m} Z_{n}(\beta) \sim n^{\beta / 2-m}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}(\beta / 2-i)+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the difference operator.
Let $A_{n}$ be the solution to (32) which satisfies the initial condition $A_{-1}=0, A_{0}=2$. One has $A_{n}$ a polynomial of degree $n$ in $\beta$ with $A_{n}$ odd (even) for $n$ odd (even). Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=a(\beta) Z_{n}(\beta)+a(-\beta)(-1)^{n} Z_{n}(-\beta) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (35) and (32) one has the Wronskian $Z_{n}(\beta) Z_{n-1}(-\beta)+Z_{n}(-\beta) Z_{n-1}(\beta)=2$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\beta)=Z_{-1}(-\beta) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (37), (36) with $m=1$ and (35) one obtains

$$
A_{n}+A_{n-1} \sim\left[2 a(\beta) n^{\beta / 2}-a(-\beta) \frac{\beta}{2}(-1)^{n} n^{-\beta / 2-1}\right]\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)
$$

so that

$$
a(\beta)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\beta / 2}\left(A_{n}+A_{n-1}\right) / 2
$$

uniformly for $\operatorname{Re} \beta \geq \operatorname{Re} \beta_{0}>-1,|\beta| \leq M<\infty$. Hence, from the Weierstrass Theorem, one has $a(\beta)=Z_{-1}(-\beta)$ analytic for $\operatorname{Re} \beta>-1,|\beta|<\infty$. By taking the limit of an average of more and more terms one obtains $Z_{-1}(-\beta)$ analytic for $|\beta|<\infty$. In particular (35), (36), (37) and (38) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{-1}(-\beta)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{-\beta / 2}}{2 m}\left(A_{n}+2 A_{n-1}+\ldots+2 A_{n-m+1}+A_{n-m}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re} \beta>-m,|\beta|<\infty$.
By a similar argument, $Z_{0}(-\beta)$ is analytic for $|\beta|<\infty$ and the proof of the conjecture for $p=2$ follows from Pincherle's Theorem.

Comment: Equation (39) may be expanded in powers of $\beta$ to obtain limit averaging formulae for the power series coefficients of $Z_{-1}(-\beta)$ (and similarly for $Z_{0}(-\beta)$ ). One can thus obtain the continued fraction in terms of a ratio of two convergent power series in $\beta$. This solves the analytic continuation problem in principle. The practical utility of the method will have to be determined by numerical experiment and/or error analysis.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\prime} a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ implies convergence to a meromorphic function of $\alpha$ but the converse is not necessarily true unless $a_{n}>0$.
    ${ }^{2} a>0$ is for conveniece without loss of generality.
    ${ }^{3}$ If $a_{n}>0, n \geq n_{0}>1$ and $\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} a_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1 / 2}=\infty$, replace "holomorphic" by "meromorphic".

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Perron ([5], Ch. 11, §82) considered the connection between continued fractions with $a_{n}=\left(a n^{2}+b n\right.$ $+c) /(d+e n)(d+e(n-1))$ and hypergeometric functions but his method required $e \neq 0$. In $\S 83$ he used a different method (Cesàro's) to obtain $f(1, b, c, \alpha)$ as a ratio of integrals for the case $\alpha, b, c>0,0 \leq$ $b^{2}-4 c<(1+1 / \sqrt{\alpha})^{2}$.

