Dietary intake and a food-frequency instrument to evaluate a nutrition intervention for the Apache in Arizona
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Abstract

Objective: To demonstrate how dietary data collected from 24-hour dietary recalls were incorporated into the development of a quantitative food-frequency questionnaire (QFFQ) for the Apache in Arizona.

Design: A cross-sectional study was first conducted using 24-hour dietary recalls to identify foods for inclusion on a QFFQ that would be used to evaluate a nutrition intervention.


Subjects: The 24-hour dietary recalls were collected from a random sample of 53 adults (34 women and 19 men).

Results: A QFFQ was developed that included all foods reported by two or more respondents, plus traditional and seasonal foods. Portion size was assessed using familiar household units and culturally appropriate food models. The final instrument contains 155 foods. Frequency of consumption is assessed using eight categories ranking from ‘never or less than once a month’ to ‘2 or more times a day’. Nutrient intakes and the five major food sources of energy, fat and sugar are presented.

Conclusion: The QFFQ developed is complete and up-to-date for assessing usual food and nutrient intake for the Apache in Arizona. The instrument will be used to evaluate a food store-based nutrition intervention to reduce risk of chronic diseases.
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Diet-related chronic disease disproportionately affects minority groups in the USA, with American Indian populations among the most impacted. A large gap exists in the health status of American Indians compared with Caucasians and ‘US all races’1–5. Mortality from cardiovascular disease was 195.9 per 100 000 for American Indians/Alaska Natives compared with 159.1 and 166.1 for the Caucasian population and ‘US all races’, respectively6. Diabetes mortality for American Indians was 1.9 times that of Caucasians7. Prevalence of obesity for American Indian women and men was 1.6 and 1.4 times, respectively, that of all races8, with reported prevalence rates of overweight of 54–67% of men and 66–80% of women9. Data collected in 2001 for the White Mountain Apache men and women show that 25% of randomly selected subjects were overweight and 62% were obese (body mass index > 30 kg m⁻²)9.

The high prevalence of diet-related chronic diseases in this population suggests the need for nutrition interventions. However, effective interventions must be based on the foods commonly eaten and the relative contributions of these foods to nutrients of concern. There are no recently published dietary data for Apache adults; little is known about the types of foods commonly consumed, the portions or the frequency of consumption10. In addition, there are also no recently developed instruments for assessing dietary intake in the Apache.

A few studies have assessed traditional food intake and food intake in general among Native North Americans and have examined overall dietary quality using 24-hour dietary recalls and food-frequency questionnaires (FFQs)11–12. These studies illustrate the important contribution that traditional foods make to Native North American diets. Without knowledge of usual dietary intake, it is difficult to develop culturally appropriate dietary interventions that focus on specific foods and nutrients while targeting relevant segments of the adult population. Nutrition-related problems are great among American Indian females on reservations or trust lands13. As highlighted by Stang et al.10, nutrition interventions...
must emphasise foods that significantly contribute to the overall diet and include recommendations for dietary behaviours based on the nutrient contributions of these foods. Usual food and nutrient intakes are essential for the development of a quantitative food-frequency questionnaire (QFFQ) that could be used to evaluate the effectiveness of dietary intervention and nutrition education programmes.

The aim of this project was to collect dietary intake data using detailed 24-hour dietary recalls to (1) describe the food intakes of the White Mountain and San Carlos Apache populations; (2) identify foods to highlight for intervention; and (3) provide data to guide nutrition education programmes. A further aim was to use the recall data to identify foods to include in a QFFQ. The QFFQ would be administered at baseline and then at follow-up, to evaluate a food store-based environmental intervention, through baseline and follow-up assessments.

Setting
The White Mountain Apache Indian Reservation is located in the White Mountains of East-central Arizona. The tribe has over 12 000 members. Over 50% of households have incomes below the US poverty level. The primary sources of income for the reservation are lumber, tourism and raising livestock. Other major employers include the Indian Health Service (IHS) and the tribal government. The San Carlos Apache Indian Reservation is also located in East-central Arizona. The tribe has approximately 13 000 members. The unemployment rate is high (76%). The main employers on the reservation are the San Carlos tribe, IHS and the tribal casino. The two reservations share a common border, and the population speaks dialects of Apache that are different, but are mutually intelligible to native speakers. Local food sources include a chain supermarket located on each reservation, and several convenience stores and smaller markets. Community members will frequently shop off reservation at one of the nearby towns where there are additional supermarkets.

Methods

24-Hour dietary recalls
Households were randomly selected using housing lists supplied by tribal housing offices. Each interviewer was given the sampling list for the houses they were to visit, and a visitation log sheet to record when they visited or called each home. One adult was selected from each sampled household. The respondent chosen for participation was the first adult contacted in the household. If that person refused, another adult person from the same household was asked to participate. If they provided consent, the interview was conducted. If no one was present at the sampled household, the data collector moved to the next adjacent household and conducted the recall there. Respondents were interviewed at home or, at their request, at another location convenient to them, such as their workplace.

Food intakes of respondents were estimated from single interviewer-administered 24-hour dietary recalls that were collected at White Mountain and San Carlos reservations. Interviews were conducted by local field staff, who were trained and supervised by the first author. Training took 7 days and included practice interviews in the homes of residents. Each interviewer was given a set of food models (from NASCO Company), including some made by the Apache women, which had been carefully chosen to best estimate the amount of local foods consumed. The models made by the Apache women included those that were commercially unavailable such as tamales, Indian dumplings and large tortillas. Bowls, cups, glasses and spoons were also used. Data from each 24-hour dietary recall were recorded on dietary assessment forms. An additional list of questions was included to prompt for easily forgotten foods such as sweets, alcohol and snacks. Questions on any special dietary practices the respondent followed such as a weight-loss or low-fat diet were also included. Interviewers were asked to comment on the overall quality of the interview. All data were examined by the project coordinator (B.E.) and, if any data were incomplete, the interviewer was contacted and asked to re-contact the respondent for the additional information. Recalls covered both weekdays and weekend days from October to December 2002. On completion of the interview, each respondent was given a small gift to thank them for their time.

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained by the Johns Hopkins University Committee on Human Research. This study was approved by the two tribes through tribal resolutions.

Recipe collection
As there were limited data available on the nutritional composition of traditional dishes, recipes were collected from female Apache volunteers by a student, who was completing her Masters in Nutritional Sciences and had been trained by the first author for 1 week in the collection of recipe data. The student was also observed for the first week as she collected recipes in the homes on the Apache Indian reservation when weighing all the ingredients and the final cooked weight of each dish. An average recipe was calculated for each dish. For most dishes, the student attempted to obtain weighed recipes from at least five different households.

Portion weights
In order to obtain a gram weight for the portion sizes that respondents reported consuming, all food portions that were reported in the recalls were weighed. For example, 10 Apache fry breads, including homemade and others...
obtained from several vendors on the reservation, were weighed, and an average weight was calculated.

In addition, all portion weights that were given as options on the QFFQ were weighed and an average weight was calculated as above. Portion sizes, food models and household units for the QFFQ were chosen with the help of the Apache women. All food weights were obtained using electronic Salter kitchen scales.

**Data analysis**

All dietary data (recipes and 24-hour dietary recalls) were coded and entered, and analysed using Nutribase Clinical Nutrition Manager v. 5.1817. The food composition tables on Nutribase Clinical were updated to include the weighed recipes.

In order to determine the major foods contributing to the Apache intakes of energy, fat and sugar, and to highlight the foods for intervention, the percentage contribution to the overall diet for similar foods, such as pasta dishes, different sodas and different crisps, were combined. Statistical analyses and other data manipulation were performed using SAS version 9.118.

**Development of the pilot QFFQ**

Foods reported in the 24-hour dietary recalls were listed on a pilot QFFQ. Working with female Apache data collectors, three-dimensional food models were chosen that were appropriate for each food item listed. Interviewers were trained by the first author for 5 days on how to administer the instrument, and a manual of procedures was developed. To ensure standardisation of the data collection, each interviewer practised multiple QFFQs under the supervision of the first author.

A pilot study was conducted on 44 non-randomised subjects, but interviewers were asked to select a wide distribution of ages in the Apache adult population as well as both men and women. To ensure no foods had been omitted that were consumed by the Apache, blank lines were added onto the pilot QFFQ for ‘any other food items consumed’. As part of the QFFQ pilot study, respondents were asked to recall any additional foods that were not listed on the pilot QFFQ, and that may have been consumed seasonally. The Apache fieldworkers also worked together to derive a list of seasonal foods, and these were added on to the pilot QFFQ. In addition, to evaluate the ease of completing the pilot QFFQ, additional questions were added. These questions asked what respondents thought about the length of the instrument, the use of the food models for quantifying their portion sizes, and their overall assessment of completing the pilot QFFQ.

The aim of the pilot study was to refine the instrument, to identify additional foods that may have been omitted due to seasonality, and to highlight areas for improvement. It was not an aim of the pilot QFFQ to permit calculation of nutrient intake for the population.

**Results**

**Dietary recalls**

Fifty-eight subjects were recruited for this study. Five of these declined to participate. A total of 53 participants (34 women and 19 men) completed the 24-hour dietary recalls (response rate 91%). One recall was not included in the final nutrient analyses because the total energy intake was < 500 kcal. Data are presented on 52 subjects.

Fifty-three weighed recipes for 15 traditional Apache dishes were collected, and nutrient composition calculated.

Portion weights (average of 10) were obtained for approximately 170 food items recorded in the recalls and listed on the QFFQ.

Table 1 summarises intakes among Apache women and men for key nutrients obtained from the dietary recalls. Women consumed a mean of almost 2000 kcal per day and men almost 2500 kcal. Of the respondents, 15% reported being on special diets (two men and six women), mainly weight-loss and diabetic diets. Compared with their normal dietary intakes, 40% reported their intakes on the day of recall to be less than usual, while 8% reported their intakes to be more than usual. Fat contributed approximately 30–32% of the energy.

Table 2 presents the five major food sources of energy, fat and sugar derived from the dietary recalls. Among the five major sources of energy intake were crisps, fry bread, regular sodas, Apache tortilla/burritos and fried potato-based dishes. The top five foods contributing to fat in the diet include three of the top five energy sources plus egg-based dishes that are mainly fried, and hotdogs/ sausages. Among the five major sources of sugar intake were mainly beverages such as soda, various forms of fruit drinks and juices, and beer. Soda itself accounted for approximately 32% of all sugar consumed.

On evaluating the pilot QFFQ, 62% of the 44 subjects reported that the length of the instrument was about right and 34% thought it was too long; the remainder said they did not know. When asked about assessing their usual portions with the food models, 59% said they thought it was easy, 33% said they could do it but had a little difficulty, and the remainder stated that it was difficult.

Because this was a pilot study with the aim of improving the instrument, 1 day was spent with all the fieldworkers discussing any difficulties either they or the respondents had in completing the QFFQ. Fieldworkers reported mainly difficulties assessing portion sizes; more food models were therefore added to the QFFQ to help the respondents. Fieldworkers also said they thought some participants had reported their amount of cereal with milk added, though the question asked about dry cereal. This point was highlighted in the manual and a note was added to the QFFQ to be read to the respondents. Another point of difficulty was reporting consumption of milk. Fieldworkers found that respondents could not easily total their daily milk consumption from different sources.
The QFFQ was therefore modified to list each type of milk consumed in each different way; for example, amount drunk by the glass, added to cereal and in coffee, each for whole milk, 2% milk, 1% milk and fat-free milk. Similarly, respondents and fieldworkers had difficulty totalling the different kinds of chicken (e.g. fried and baked), and the different portions such as wings, breast, drumsticks and thighs. The QFFQ was therefore modified to list these items separately and to provide more portion size options.

Recognising the possibility of errors in portion size estimation using this instrument, these data were not analysed for nutrient intakes. This will be done in the validation stage, using the final QFFQ.

The final QFFQ instrument contains 155 foods (including 19 different breads, 24 vegetables, 10 fruits, 11 beverages, four different types of soups, four cereal items, 16 dairy items, six different kinds of starchy foods, 35 different meat, chicken or fish dishes, nine categories of sweets, 14 other foods and three types of alcoholic beverages) (Appendix A). Portion size is assessed using familiar household units, such as a slice of bread or a burrito, or food models. Respondents are given up to five portion size options for each food using different models. Frequency of consumption is assessed using eight categories ranked from ‘never or less than once a month’ to ‘2 or more times a day’; a sample page of the QFFQ is provided in Appendix B. The QFFQ is available on request.

**Discussion**

The aims of this project were to describe the food intake of the Apache population, to develop a QFFQ that would be used in future to evaluate a food store-based environmental intervention and to identify foods for that intervention to improve dietary intakes. A 155-item QFFQ was developed that highlighted foods that would be the focus of the intervention, particularly those high in fat and sugar. The most commonly consumed sources of energy, fat and sugar were crisps and popcorn, fried potatoes, eggs and breads, sausages and hotdogs, and sugary drinks. Study results show that fruit and vegetable intake was low, and that many of the commonly consumed foods could be replaced by lower-fat and lower-sugar alternatives.
A comprehensive assessment method is essential for measuring overall dietary intake. FFQs have advantages over other dietary assessment methods such as short-term recalls and diet records because they assess usual intakes. To develop an FFQ, the food list, assessment of portion sizes and assignment of nutrient values to each food item must be considered. Obtaining an appropriate food list for a unique population is the most crucial step in the process of developing an FFQ. The foods selected must be commonly consumed by people in the population and contain significant amounts of nutrients or foods of interest.

Following the recommendations of Teufel, local Apache people were included in the development of the instrument such as the ordering of the food list and the selection of food models to assess portion sizes. Traditional Apache foods were placed throughout the QFFQ during its development, and in the pilot study other foods were allowed to be reported.

FFQs are generally constructed to include all food and drink items that contribute to at least 85% of the macronutrients and micronutrients of interest. The Apache QFFQ included all foods that were reported by two or more respondents. The only foods that were not included were those contributing a very small percentage (<0.5%) of energy/nutrients to the diet (such as mustard). In addition to omitting those minute sources, seasonal foods, such as fruits and vegetables, were added, as well as any food that was targeted in the intervention.

As in other studies, portion sizes were assessed using appropriate food models to help the participant describe usual amounts consumed. Food models were constructed mainly to represent traditional Apache foods and local recipes (e.g. different sizes of tortillas, burritos). Larger than ordinary food models were prepared to construct mainly to represent traditional Apache foods and local recipes (e.g. different sizes of tortillas, burritos). Larger than ordinary food models were prepared to construct mainly to represent traditional Apache foods and local recipes (e.g. different sizes of tortillas, burritos). Larger than ordinary food models were prepared to construct mainly to represent traditional Apache foods and local recipes (e.g. different sizes of tortillas, burritos). Larger than ordinary food models were prepared to construct mainly to represent traditional Apache foods and local recipes (e.g. different sizes of tortillas, burritos). Larger than ordinary food models were prepared to construct mainly to represent traditional Apache foods and local recipes (e.g. different sizes of tortillas, burritos). Larger than ordinary food models were prepared to construct mainly to represent traditional Apache foods and local recipes (e.g. different sizes of tortillas, burritos).

The diets of American Indian populations vary, and there is a need to develop dietary assessment methodologies for these different groups. Vaughan et al. examined the dietary habits from one-time 24-hour dietary recalls in Havasupai adults in Arizona and, while the five major food sources of energy in the Apache showed some overlap with the Havasupai (e.g. sodas contributed 6.2% in the Apache compared with 5% in the Havasupai), some foods that contributed substantially to energy intake in the Apache diet were not major contributors among the Havasupai (crisps and popcorn contributed 10.5% to energy in the Apache, and fry bread contributed 7.9%; tortilla and burritos contributed 5.2%). The Havasupai researchers noted that snack food consumption is low, but this is clearly a major contributor to the Apache diet. This again highlights differences in dietary practices between the American Indian populations and emphasises the need for population-specific FFQs.

Energy intakes were higher in the Apache study population compared with the Pima Indians that also used the 24-hour dietary recalls (Apache men mean daily energy intake 2461 kcal vs. Pima Indian men 2234 kcal, Apache women 1986 kcal vs. Pima Indian women 1813 kcal). The percentage of energy provided by fat was lower for the Apache than the Pima Indians (30% for...
While FFQs are not usually used for intervention studies, this instrument was most appropriate for the intervention as it permitted an analysis not only of increased consumption of promoted foods, but also of decreased consumption of the more unhealthy food choices such as crisps and sodas. Other dietary assessment methods, such as dietary recalls and food diaries, would not have permitted such a comprehensive dietary assessment. This carefully designed instrument will be used for future long-term studies in larger Apache population samples for which the FFQ is the ideal method of choice because of its relatively low expense.

Limitations of the study include the small sample size and the fact that nutrient intake estimates were derived from a single 24-hour dietary recall. However, the primary purpose of the recalls was to identify foods for inclusion on the QFFQ. Further, pilot FFQ data and interviews with Apache fieldworkers were used to broaden the range of foods included in the final FFQ. As the QFFQ was piloted in 44 subjects and no additional foods were reported, despite asking, it appears that the 52 recalls did indeed capture all foods and drinks being consumed regularly. Multiple 24-hour dietary recalls would have provided better point estimates of intake, but would have been costly and also would have greatly increased subject burden, which may have decreased study participation. Finally, it should be noted that this study was developed to provide data for an intervention developed particularly for the White Mountain and San Carlos Apache reservations, and may not be generalisable to other American Indian populations.

Conclusions

The 24-hour dietary recall data provided foods for inclusion of the FFQ and highlighted foods for the Apache Health Stores intervention. The QFFQ will be used to assess changes in food and food group consumption pre- and post-intervention in approximately 300 subjects. This instrument can be used for other programmes seeking to assess their impact on diet among the Apache on these two reservations.
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Appendix A – Food and drink items listed on the QFFQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Food items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breads (19)</td>
<td>Fry bread; Indian taco with beans; Tortilla, plain; Tennis bread, Donkey bread; Taco; Burro, burrito; Breakfast burrito, breakfast burro; Bean burrito; Enchilada; Tamale; Indian dumpling or Indian tamale; White bread; Rolls, Buns; French toast; Oven bread, ash bread, regular corn bread; Apache corn bread, yeast bread; Crackers (including Saltine, Ritz); Biscuits; Panade; flour tortilla; Hushpuppies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables and salad (24)</td>
<td>Fried potatoes; French fries, Onion rings; Low-fat potatoes; Mashed potatoes; sweet potato; Baked potato; Regular potato salad, macaroni salad, pasta salad; Low-fat potato salad, macaroni salad, pasta salad; Regular coleslaw; Carrots (not baby carrots); Baby carrots, carrot sticks; Peas; Green beans; Mixed vegetables; Corn on the cob; Corn, kernels; Cauliflower, Broccoli; Spinach; Pumpkin, squash, zucchini; Salad; Tomatoes, raw; Lettuce; Cucumber; Onions, raw; Celery, raw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits (10)</td>
<td>Banana; Apple, pears; Oranges, tangerines; Grapefruit; Melons; Berries and grapes; Apricots, peaches, kiwi; Fruit cocktail or any fruit, canned, in heavy syrup; Fruit cocktail or any fruit, canned, in light syrup or juice; Dried fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages (11)</td>
<td>Any fruit or vegetable juice; Koolaid; Regular soda pop; Diet soda pop; Water; Coffee; Real sugar in coffee; Tea, wild tea; Real sugar, honey in tea; Hot chocolate, Oatmeal; Artificial sweetener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals (4)</td>
<td>Homemade soups (including acorn, vegetable and meat, chicken); Canned soups (including vegetable and meat, chicken noodle); Ramen noodles; Menudo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy and eggs (16)</td>
<td>Cereals, sugared; Cereals, lower in sugar; Cereals, higher in fibre, lower in sugar; Rice cereal, corn meal cereal, cream of wheat, farina; Sugar added to cereals milk, whole in coffee; Milk, whole on cereal; Milk, whole drunk by glass; Milk, 2% in coffee; Milk, 2% on cereal; Milk, 2% drunk by glass; Milk, 1% or skim in coffee; Milk, 1% or skim on cereal; Milk, 1% or skim drunk by glass; Evaporated milk, in coffee; Evaporated milk on cereal; Creamer, in coffee; Milkshakes; Hard cheese; Cottage Cheese; Yoghurt; Eggs; Powdered eggs and egg beaters; Eggs and potatoes; Low-fat eggs and potatoes; Eggs and meat; Whipped cream; Sour cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starches (6)</td>
<td>Rice, plain; Fried rice; Macaroni and cheese; Spaghetti, spaghetti’s; Lasagna, Ravioli; Pizza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, chicken or fish (35)</td>
<td>Corned beef; Cabbage stew; Regular bologna; Light or low-fat bologna, turkey bologna; Regular Spam; Spam Light; Ham; Turkey; Bacon; Breakfast sausage links; Sausage patty; Hot dogs, franks; Pork chops; Meatloaf; Hamburger patty; regular hamburger dishes; regular gravy; Low-fat gravy; regular chili beans, canned or homemade; Turkey chili beans; Beef steak, roast beef, roast pork, elk; Beef stew, elk stew, chicken stew, acorn stew; Rib, beef or pork; Fried chicken; Baked, grilled, barbecue, rotisserie chicken; Fried chicken strips; Fried chicken nuggets, wings; Canned fish, in oil or tomato sauce; Canned fish, in water; Fish, fresh or frozen, fried; Boiled beans, pinto, lima, kidney baked beans, split peas; Refried beans; Pork and beans, canned; Liver, kidney, other organ meats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets (9)</td>
<td>Muffins, cakes, Ding-dongs, pastries, Rice Krispie treats; Doughnuts; Cookies, other sweet treats; Jello; Ice cream, frozen yoghurt, sorbets; Pudding; Pie; Any candy; Chocolate bars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other foods (14)</td>
<td>Stuffing; Nuts; Sunflower seeds; Hard pretzels; Crisps, fried; Baked crisps; Popcorn, sweet or salty; Nachos; Regular salad dressings; Low-fat or fat-free salad dressings; Salsa, any kind; Peanut butter; Butter, margarine; Jelly, jam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (3)</td>
<td>Beer; Wine; Hard liquor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QFFQ – quantitative food-frequency questionnaire.
**Appendix B – Sample page of the QFFQ (quantitative food-frequency questionnaire)**

**Food Frequency Questionnaire**

How often during the last 30 days did you **USUALLY** eat the following foods and how much do you **USUALLY** eat at one time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Usual Portion Size</th>
<th>&lt; 1x/mo</th>
<th>1x/mo</th>
<th>2-3x/mo</th>
<th>1x/wk</th>
<th>2-3x/wk</th>
<th>4-6x/wk</th>
<th>1x/d</th>
<th>≥ 2x/d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Fry bread, plain (incl bread inApache burger/fry bread)</td>
<td>x A B C*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Indian taco, with beans (and/or cheese, lettuce, tomato, onion sauce)</td>
<td>x A B C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Tortilla, plain</td>
<td>x A B C D E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Tostada, Donkey bread</td>
<td>x A C D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Taco (any taco, incl Taco Bell)</td>
<td>x F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Burro, burrito, (incl beef or meat, green chili, chicken burrito, chimichanga)</td>
<td>x G H J ZZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Breakfast burrito, breakfast burro</td>
<td>x G H ZZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Bean Burrito</td>
<td>x G H ZZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Enchilada</td>
<td>x J K ZZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Tamale</td>
<td>x J G ZZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A-ZZ: letters representing different food models used for assessing different portion sizes.