Sugar and fat intake among children in Scotland: what is needed to reach the dietary targets?
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Abstract

Objective: To assess the intake and sources of non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES) and fat among children in Scotland in relation to socio-economic status, and to estimate the changes in diet required to achieve recommended levels of intake.

Design: Cross-sectional survey with diet assessed by semi-quantitative FFQ.

Setting: Eighty postcode sectors across Scotland.

Subjects: Children (n 1398) aged 3–17 years recruited from the Child Benefit register (76% of those contacted).

Results: The mean intake of NMES of 17·4 (95% CI 17·0, 17·8) % food energy was considerably higher than the UK recommended population average of 11% food energy. The mean intake of total fat of 32·9 (95% CI 32·7, 33·2) % food energy met the recommended population average of no more than 35% food energy, while the mean intake of SFA of 13·8 (95% CI 13·7, 14·0) % food energy was above the recommended population average of no more than 11% food energy. Despite clear socio-economic gradients in the mean daily consumption of many ‘healthy’ and ‘unhealthy’ food groups, socio-economic differences in NMES as a percentage of food energy were limited and there was no significant variation in the intake of total fat or SFA as a percentage of food energy with socio-economic status. Modelling of the data showed that removing sugar-sweetened soft drinks and increasing fruit and vegetable intake by 50% would not restore the intake of NMES and SFA to recommended levels.

Conclusions: Major changes in the intake of many food groups will be required to bring the NMES and saturated fat intake in line with current dietary recommendations.
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In the UK, extrapolation of current trends suggests that by 2050 a quarter of all children under 20 years of age will be classified as obese(1). The urgent need for early prevention of excess weight gain in childhood has drawn attention to the diet of children, and in particular to their intake of foods rich in fat, SFA and sugars. Non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES)(2) include table sugars and added sugars (e.g. in soft drinks, cakes and confectionery) as well as sugars in fruit juice, which are less satiating and increase insulin levels more than the same amount of sugar in whole fruit(3). High NMES intake has been associated with higher intake of fat(4,5) and poor dental health in children(6). Sugar-sweetened soft drinks have received particular attention in relation to dental health as they are frequently consumed between meals and their acidic nature contributes to dental erosion(7). Although the evidence for the suggestion that ‘liquid calories’ can overcome satiety signals is limited(8), studies in adolescents have reported that consumption of sugar-sweetened soft drinks is associated with subsequent weight gain(9) and that a reduction in intake has a beneficial effect on body weight(10). A systematic review of the literature in 2006 concluded that there was strong evidence for an independent role of sugar-sweetened beverages in the promotion of weight gain and obesity in children and adolescents(11), although two more recent reviews highlighted the lack of evidence from intervention studies which would support this conclusion(12,13).

In Scotland surveys in the 1980s found that 15-year-olds consumed soft drinks, confectionery and crisps more frequently than 35-year-olds(14) and were more likely to consume sweet drinks and less likely to consume milk and vegetables than children in England and Wales(15). The WHO Health and Behaviour of School-aged Children (HBSC) study reported that in 2001/2 children in Scotland ranked second highest out of twenty-five countries for...
daily consumption of sweet drinks and third highest for
daily consumption of sweets$. Data from the 1998 sweep
of the HBSC also showed that the proportion of children
consuming high-fat and high-sugar foods daily was higher
among those in less affluent families$. This is in line with
a study of 11-year-olds in the West of Scotland in 1994/5
which found that less healthy eating patterns were asso-
ciated with socio-economic deprivation$. The 2003
Scottish Health Survey also reported higher consumption
of sweets and chocolates, crisps and savoury snacks, chips
and non-diet soft drinks and lower consumption of fruit
and vegetables in children living in more deprived areas$^6$, but
a study of 7–8-year-olds in Edinburgh carried out in 1991/2
found that total sugar as a percentage of energy was sig-
ificantly lower in children in the manual social classes
compared with those in the non-manual social classes$^6$. Lack of availability of affordable, healthy foods in deprived
areas has been highlighted in the past but the existence of
‘food deserts’ in deprived areas has recently been ques-
tioned$^6$. A survey of food retailing across Scotland in
2005–7 found that availability of healthy foods was more
strongly influenced by the size of food stores than neigh-
bourhood deprivation and that in urban areas the price of
healthy foods did not differ between affluent and deprived
neighbourhoods$^6$.

In 2006 the Food Standards Agency Scotland commis-
sioned a survey of diet of children across Scotland with
the primary aim of comparing the intake of NMES and fat
with recommended levels. In line with the commitment of
the Scottish Government to reducing health inequalities, a
secondary aim of the survey was to explore variation in
NMES and fat intake according to socio-economic status.

Methods

The full report of the survey, which contains details of the
survey methodology and copies of the field-work docu-
ments, has been published online.$^6$

Sample selection

Using the Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) Child
Benefit Register, a named sample of 2800 children aged
between 3 and 16 years on 1 May 2006 was drawn from
eighty postcode sectors across Scotland. One child per
household was selected. Three hundred and two children
were excluded by the DWP as sensitive or being involved in
other surveys. Two hundred and fifty-three of the remaining
2498 children were classified as ‘out of scope’ due to incor-
correct or ineligible addresses (n 204), failure to contact after
four house calls (n 38) or a variety of other less common
reasons.

Data collection

The initial invitation letters mailed to parents/guardians
provided a FREEPHONE telephone number and a FREEPOST
address for parents or guardians who wished to opt out,
and 146 did so. All other parents or guardians were mailed
a second letter with an FFQ. Field workers contacted the
family and arranged a visit at home at which the completed
FFQ was checked in the presence of the parent or guardian
and the child. If the FFQ had not been completed a further
copy was left with the family with a reply-paid envelope.
Height and weight were measured in the home by the field
workers and data on demographic characteristics, physical
activity and dental health were collected by computer-
assisted personal interview. Field work was carried out
between May and September 2006.

FFQ

The FFQ used for children aged 3–11 years was designed
for completion by the parent or guardian. The food list of
140 foods or drinks was modified from the list used in the
Scottish Collaborative Group FFQ for adults (http://
www.foodfrequency.org.uk). The modifications focused on
foods and drinks commonly consumed by children which
were identified from responses on FFQ completed in pre-
vious research projects involving children. An earlier version
of the children’s questionnaire showed good agreement for
total sugar, total fat and SFA (all as % food energy) between
the FFQ and diet diary in eighty pre-school children.$^6$ For
young people aged 12–17 years the questionnaire was
designed to be completed by the young person with help
from a parent or guardian and included six additional items
to capture intake of coffee and alcoholic drinks. For each
food or drink on both questionnaires a measure (e.g. ‘one
slice’ or ‘one tablespoon’) was defined and a single colour
photograph showing examples of measures was included in
the instructions. Respondents were asked to estimate the
usual frequency of consumption of each food using one of
nine frequency options which ranged from ‘rarely or never’
to ‘7 or more measures per day’. Questionnaires with more
than ten missing responses were excluded from the analy-
sis. Data were entered manually and a 10% check of the
data entry found an error rate of 0–4%.

All items on the FFQ were divided into thirty-three food
or drink groups as used in the Low Income Diet and
Nutrition Survey,$^6$ apart from a few instances in which the
grouping of foods on the FFQ led to minor differences in
the definitions of these groups. Energy and nutrient intakes
were estimated from an in-house calculation package based
on the National Diet and Nutrition Survey nutrient database
as used in the Low Income Diet and Nutrition Survey.$^6$

To assess the validity of the questionnaire used in this
population, a randomly selected subgroup of 311 chil-
dren was invited to complete a 4 d non-weighed diet
diary, and 153 completed diaries were received for which
there was a corresponding FFQ.

Socio-economic status

Socio-economic status was assessed using the 2006
Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD), a measure
of neighbourhood socio-economic status based on twenty-seven indicators from seven domains (income, housing, employment, health, crime, education, access to services). The data zones are based on individual postcodes containing a median of 750 people. SIMD scores from the 6505 data zones are categorised into quintiles, ranging from 1 (least deprived) to 5 (most deprived).

**Overweight and obesity**

Measured weight and height were used to calculate BMI, which was compared with the UK 1990 percentile charts. Overweight was defined as BMI equal to or above the 85th percentile but below the 95th percentile; and obesity was classified as BMI equal to or above the 95th percentile.

**Data analysis**

For analysis children were classified into three groups (3–7 years, 8–11 years, 12–17 years) using their age at the date of the home visit. To exclude extreme values for energy intake, FFQ with energy intake below the 2.5th percentile or above the 97.5th percentile of energy intake for the age group were excluded from the analysis.

Statistical analyses were carried out using the SPSS version 12-5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Stata/SE 9-216 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA) statistical software packages. Variables which were not normally distributed were transformed to a normal distribution using the LNSKEW command in Stata to allow computation of means and 95% confidence intervals before back-transformation to the original scale, with the exception of data on the food sources of nutrients which were not transformed to ensure that the total contribution of the food groups to nutrient intake was 100% in all cases. All data were weighted to take account of selection and non-response bias. Differences between the sexes in nutrient intake were assessed by the t test. Linear associations between food or nutrient intake and age group, SIMD quintile and BMI category (neither overweight nor obese, overweight excluding obese, obese) were assessed by linear regression. Logistic regression was used to assess the association between overweight (including obese) and SIMD quintile.

**Ethics and research governance**

At time of the field work neither the University of Aberdeen nor the Scottish Centre for Social Research required formal ethical review of surveys in healthy populations, but all data collection and management was carried out in accordance with the research governance and quality assurance policy of the Institute of Applied Health Sciences at the University of Aberdeen (http://www.abdn.ac.uk/iahs/research-governance/governance.shtml) and the Social Research Association guidelines (http://www.the-sra.org.uk/documents/pdfs/ethics03.pdf).

**Results**

**Survey response**

Recruitment and response to the survey is outlined in Fig. 1. One thousand seven hundred children were interviewed at home, of whom 1491 returned an FFQ of which 1267 were reviewed by the field worker to minimise missing data; the remaining 225 questionnaires were returned by mail either before or after the visit. FFQ were also received by mail for twenty-one children for whom the field worker was unable to schedule a home visit after repeated attempts. The response rate for the home visit was 76% of those contacted or 61% of the initial sample drawn by DWP. The response rate for completion of the FFQ was 67% of those contacted or 54% of the initial sample drawn by DWP. The response rate was very similar between boys and girls and between age subgroups for all components of the survey, but for the FFQ completion was lower among those in the most deprived SIMD quintile (58%) compared with those in the other four quintiles (69 to 71%). All FFQ were checked for completeness on receipt at the office: fifty-one questionnaires were excluded as they had more than ten missing responses and seventy were excluded as having extreme energy intake values; thus data on the diet of 1391 children were used for analysis.

**Comparison of dietary assessment methods**

In the 153 children for whom both an FFQ and a diet diary were available, energy intake was on average 10.5% higher by the FFQ than the diet diary ($P<0.001$) but for NMES, total fat and SFA expressed as a percentage of food energy the difference was under 5% and the values were not significantly different between the two methods. Further details of the comparison of the methods are given in the full survey report.

**Main sources of energy, sugar and fat**

Overall mean energy intake was 7.68 MJ (1825 kcal) of which 17.4 (95% CI 17.0, 17.8)% was derived from NMES; 32.9 (95% CI 32.7, 33.2)% from total fat and 13.8 (95% CI 13.7, 14.0)% from SFA. There were no significant differences in the percentage of food energy from these nutrients between boys and girls, but the percentage of food energy from NMES was higher in older children than in younger children (19.1% in 12–17-year-olds $v.$ 16.9% in 8–11-year-olds and 15.8% in 3–7-year-olds; $P$ for linear trend $<$0.001). The percentage energy from total fat did not differ significantly between the age groups whereas the percentage of food energy from SFA was slightly lower in older children than in younger children (13.6% in 12–17-year-olds $v.$ 13.7% in 8–11-year-olds and 14.2% in 3–7-year-olds; $P$ for linear trend $<$0.001).

Of the thirty-three food and drink groups the major contributors to energy intake were biscuits, cakes and...
pastries (10%), bread (excluding wholemeal; 9%), milk and cream (8%) and crisps and savoury snacks (7%). The major contributors to NMES intake were sugar-sweetened soft drinks (23%), confectionery (15%), biscuits, cakes and pastries (13%), yoghurt and fromage frais (10%) and fruit juice (10%). For total fat the main sources were biscuits, cakes and pastries (11%), crisps and savoury snacks (11%), milk and cream (10%) and processed meats (9%), while for SFA the main sources were milk and cream (14%), biscuits, cakes and pastries (12%), crisps and savoury snacks (10%), processed meats (8%) and cheese (8%).

Intake of foods in relation to socio-economic status
Table 1 shows the mean daily intake of selected food groups among consumers (defined as children who consumed one or more items in this food group at least once a month) in the SIMD quintiles. There were clear differences in intake between the quintiles for many of the food groups: the daily intake of sugar-sweetened soft drinks in the most deprived group was almost twice that of the least deprived group, while the intake of fruit juice was half that of the least deprived group. However, for biscuits, cakes and pastries, milk and cream, yoghurt and fromage frais and cheese there was no significant difference in the mean daily intake across the quintiles.

Intake of nutrients in relation to socio-economic status
Table 2 shows the intake of energy and NMES, total fat and SFA as a percentage of food energy in the SIMD quintiles. Energy intake in the most deprived quintile was 6% higher than that in the least deprived quintile, and for NMES as a percentage of energy the value in the most deprived quintile was 13% higher than the intake in the least deprived quintile. The intake of total fat and SFA as a percentage of food energy showed no relationship with socio-economic status.

Overweight and obesity
Overall 14% of children were classed as overweight (excluding obese) and 17% as obese. The proportion of children who were overweight or obese ranged from
### Table 1 Average daily intake (g/d; mean and 95% confidence interval) of selected food and drink groups among consumers according to socio-economic deprivation: Scottish children (n = 1373*) aged 3–17 years, May–September 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food or drink group</th>
<th>% consumers (children eating at least once a month)</th>
<th>1st (least deprived)</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>5th (most deprived)</th>
<th>P for linear trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Intake in 5th quintile as percentage of intake in 1st quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened soft drinks</td>
<td>91 104, 144</td>
<td>137 108, 173</td>
<td>145 124, 169</td>
<td>189 163, 218</td>
<td>234 204, 268</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chips and fried potatoes</td>
<td>97 16, 19</td>
<td>20 17, 22</td>
<td>24 21, 26</td>
<td>24 21, 27</td>
<td>29 27, 32</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisps and savoury snacks</td>
<td>97 14, 19</td>
<td>19 16, 21</td>
<td>20 18, 23</td>
<td>24 21, 27</td>
<td>26 23, 30</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confectionery</td>
<td>98 17, 20</td>
<td>20 18, 23</td>
<td>21 18, 24</td>
<td>25 22, 28</td>
<td>29 26, 32</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meats</td>
<td>97 20, 23</td>
<td>24 22, 26</td>
<td>26 24, 28</td>
<td>30 26, 33</td>
<td>31 28, 33</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread (excluding wholemeal)</td>
<td>99 46, 54</td>
<td>50 45, 55</td>
<td>48 43, 52</td>
<td>55 49, 62</td>
<td>56 51, 62</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biscuits, cakes and pastries</td>
<td>99 33, 39</td>
<td>37 33, 41</td>
<td>34 31, 37</td>
<td>35 32, 39</td>
<td>36 32, 40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and cream</td>
<td>97 222, 270</td>
<td>241 217, 267</td>
<td>252 232, 275</td>
<td>235 210, 262</td>
<td>246 220, 274</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoghurt and fromage frais</td>
<td>96 68, 88</td>
<td>71 62, 81</td>
<td>93 82, 104</td>
<td>80 70, 90</td>
<td>65 56, 75</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>89 9, 12</td>
<td>10 8, 11</td>
<td>10 9, 11</td>
<td>11 10, 13</td>
<td>9 8, 11</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit (excluding fruit juice)</td>
<td>96 130, 163</td>
<td>130 118, 143</td>
<td>136 125, 148</td>
<td>138 124, 153</td>
<td>112 99, 127</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables (excluding potatoes)</td>
<td>96 57, 73</td>
<td>55 48, 63</td>
<td>55 49, 62</td>
<td>45 39, 52</td>
<td>43 39, 48</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit juice (including smoothies)</td>
<td>98 76, 100</td>
<td>72 60, 86</td>
<td>59 51, 68</td>
<td>58 49, 67</td>
<td>41 36, 46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Eighteen children with complete FFQ did not have information on SIMD.

### Table 2 Intake of energy and non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES), total fat and SFA as a percentage of total energy in relation to socio-economic deprivation: Scottish children (n = 1373*) aged 3–17 years, May–September 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food or drink group</th>
<th>Recommended intake (% food energy)</th>
<th>1st (least deprived)</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>5th (most deprived)</th>
<th>Intake in 5th quintile as percentage of intake in 1st quintile</th>
<th>P for linear trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Intake in 5th quintile as percentage of intake in 1st quintile</td>
<td>P for linear trend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (MJ/d)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>7-7.6</td>
<td>7-7.6</td>
<td>7-7.6</td>
<td>7-7.6</td>
<td>7-7.6</td>
<td>7-7.6</td>
<td>1-7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMES (% food energy)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16-15.7</td>
<td>17-16.5</td>
<td>17-16.5</td>
<td>18-17.3</td>
<td>18-17.3</td>
<td>18-17.3</td>
<td>18-17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (% food energy)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32-32.3</td>
<td>32-32.3</td>
<td>32-32.3</td>
<td>32-32.3</td>
<td>32-32.3</td>
<td>32-32.3</td>
<td>32-32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA (% food energy)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.8 13.8</td>
<td>13.8 13.8</td>
<td>13.8 13.8</td>
<td>13.8 13.8</td>
<td>13.8 13.8</td>
<td>13.8 13.8</td>
<td>13.8 13.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n/a, not applicable.

*Eighteen children with complete FFQ did not have information on SIMD.*
25% in the least deprived SIMD quintile to 32% in the most deprived quintile. There was a significant linear association between the prevalence of overweight and obesity and socio-economic status (P for linear trend = 0.021) although the highest prevalence (36%) was in the third quintile of SIMD. No association between overweight and obesity and the intake of food or drink groups which were major sources of NMES, total fat or SFA was found, apart from a lower intake of biscuits, cakes and pastries in obese children (P for linear trend = 0.010). There was no significant association between overweight and obesity and intake of energy or NMES as a percentage of food energy, but there was a slightly lower intake of total fat and SFA as a percentage of food energy in the overweight and obese children compared with the children who were neither overweight nor obese (P for linear trend = 0.032 for total fat and 0.004 for SFA).

**Changes in intake of food groups needed to achieve recommendations for non-milk extrinsic sugars, fat and SFA**

Using the data on consumption of the food and drink groups, the impact of changes in intake on the intake of NMES, total fat and SFA as a percentage of food energy were estimated. The results are shown in Table 3, which demonstrates that complete removal of sugar-sweetened soft drinks and a 50% increase in whole fruit and vegetable intake would make a major contribution to reducing NMES intake towards the recommended level, but even these substantial changes would not be sufficient to meet the population target for NMES. To achieve the population targets for NMES and SFA, a reduction in other foods high in NMES and SFA coupled with an increase in foods rich in complex carbohydrate will be required.

**Discussion**

The mean intake of NMES of 17.4% food energy in both boys and girls was considerably higher than the UK recommended population average of 11% food energy(28), but was close to values of 16.4–17.1% reported in the UK-wide National Diet and Nutrition Survey of young people aged 4–18 years in 1997(29) and in children aged 2–18 years who took part in the Low Income Diet and Nutrition Survey (involving UK households in the lowest 15% of material deprivation) in 2003–5(30). In the National Diet and Nutrition Survey the percentage energy from NMES generally decreased with age: it was highest in 7–10-year-old boys (17.5%) and 4–6-year-old girls (17.6%) and lowest in 15–18-year-old boys (15.8%) and girls (15.3%), unlike the present survey in which the percentage was lowest in the 3–7-year-old boys (16.0%) and girls (15.6%) and rose with age to 19.0% in 12–17-year-old boys and 19.1% in 12–17-year-old girls. Due to differences in the age groups, year of survey and survey methods it is difficult to attribute this change in age trends to intakes of specific foods or drinks, but the high values in the older children in the present survey suggest that NMES intake in adolescents is increasing and needs to be monitored particularly carefully in future.

The intake of total fat of 32.9% food energy in boys and 33.0% in girls in the present survey was satisfactory as it was below the recommended UK population average of 35%(28) and also lower than the values reported in children in the other UK-wide surveys which were in the range of 35–36%(25,29). Although different dietary assessment methods were used in these surveys, we found no significant difference in total fat as a percentage of food energy between the FFQ and a 4-d non-weighed diet diary in a sub-sample of the participants in the present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary change</th>
<th>Energy MJ/d</th>
<th>NMES g/d (% food energy)*</th>
<th>Total fat g/d (% food energy)t</th>
<th>SFA g/d (% food energy)t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial level</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>83.0 (17.3)†</td>
<td>67.7 (32.6)†</td>
<td>28.6 (13.8)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Remove sugar-sweetened soft drinks</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-19.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Increase fruit by 50%</td>
<td>+0.23</td>
<td>+1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Increase vegetables by 50%</td>
<td>+0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1.4</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL OF THE ABOVE (a–c)</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>65.1 (13.6)‡</td>
<td>69.1 (33.4)‡</td>
<td>28.9 (14.0)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Decrease confectionery by 50%</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Decrease crisps and savoury snacks by 50%</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Decrease biscuits, cakes and pastries by 50%</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Decrease processed meats by 50%</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Decrease yoghurt and fromage frais by 50%</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Increase bread (all types) by 50%</td>
<td>+0.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1.4</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Increase pasta, rice and pizza by 50%</td>
<td>+0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1.7</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Increase other potatoes by 5%</td>
<td>+0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL OF THE ABOVE (a–k)</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>49.4 (11.1)†</td>
<td>58.1 (30.2)†</td>
<td>23.5 (12.2)†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*% Food energy calculated as [(g NMES × 16 kJ/g)/ (energy intake in kJ)] × 100.
†% Food energy calculated as [(g total fat or SFA × 37 kJ/g)/(energy intake in kJ)] × 100.

Includes salad vegetables in mayonnaise or dressing, e.g. coleslaw.

Boiled, mashed or baked potatoes.
The contrast between the gradients for foods v. nutrients is likely to reflect the fact that for a number of food groups which are major contributors to NMES, total fat and SFA intake, such as biscuits, cakes and pastries and milk and cream, the amounts consumed showed no relationship to socio-economic status, while for other foods such as fruit juice, yoghurt and fromage frais and cheese, which are considered healthy foods particularly for children but are often high in NMES or SFA, the intake was higher in children in the less deprived areas (see Table 1). This suggests that it may be important in health promotion campaigns to suggest that these foods should only be consumed in moderation. It also suggests that surveys which, for reasons of brevity, assess the intake of perceived ‘healthy’ or ‘unhealthy’ foods as opposed to all foods may overestimate the magnitude of differences in macronutrient intake between groups of different socio-economic status.

The prevalence of overweight (excluding obesity) and obesity in the children in the present survey was very similar to the values of 16·5 % overweight (excluding obese) and 15·9 % obese in children aged 2–15 years in the 2003 Scottish Health Survey, which also found the highest prevalence of overweight (including obesity) in boys and girls combined in the middle SIMD quintile (19). The lack of relationship between overweight and obesity and the intake of high-fat or high-sugar foods or the intake of NMES, total fat and SFA as a percentage of food energy is consistent with other cross-sectional studies in children (25,26). Although there is some evidence that under-reporting of energy intake in overweight or obese adults occurs with FFQ (27), in children the evidence for this is confined to diet diaries (25,26); however, we cannot rule out the possibility that this reporting bias affected the results of the present survey. Alternative explanations for the lack of difference in nutrient intake between the weight groups are that the overweight and obese children were reporting eating habits which had changed as a result of their weight gain, or that the weight gain in the overweight and obese children was accounted for by lower energy expenditure in physical activity. The proportion of overweight and obese children who met the physical activity recommendation of at least 60 min/d for seven days per week (27) was significantly lower than that in the neither overweight nor obese children (P < 0·001) (25), but again this could reflect a decrease in physical activity in response to overweight rather than a causal association.

The response to the survey of 76 % of those contacted completing the interview and 67 % completing both the FFQ and the interview was comparable to the 80 % cooperation with a dietary interview and 64 % completion of a 7 d dietary record in the UK-wide National Diet and Nutrition Survey of young people aged 4–18 years in 1997 (29). While the FFQ was sent by mail for completion prior to the field worker’s visit, in 305 cases the questionnaire had not been completed at this stage so another copy was left in the home for completion and return by mail, but only 150 were eventually received. The field workers had not been instructed to request completion of the FFQ during the visit as this would have extended the interview from 60 to 90 min, but this could be considered in future surveys to ensure a high response rate.

In the present survey an FFQ was chosen to assess diet rather than the more usual weighed or non-weighed diet diary because of the lower respondent burden and lower likelihood of response bias by socio-economic status: in the FFQ–diet diary comparison sub-study 31 % of those in the most deprived SIMD quintile returned the diary compared with 56 % in the least deprived quintile, a greater difference than the rate of 58 % in the most deprived quintile v. 71 % in the least deprived quintile for the FFQ response. As FFQ require less trained staff time for analysis the costs for a large survey are also considerably lower than using a diet diary, but this can only be justified if the FFQ provides robust estimates of the variables of interest. Although the comparison of the two methods in a subset of 153 participants highlighted the fact that energy intake was overestimated by the FFQ relative to the non-weighed diary, this difference may have been partly accounted for by underestimation of energy intake by the diet diary, which has been reported...
Sugar and fat intake in children in Scotland

in other studies in children\(^{(35,36)}\). The comparison of methods showed that the main variables of interest in this survey, i.e. percentage energy from NMES, total fat and SFA, were not significantly different between the two methods. The comparison study did not have sufficient statistical power to assess whether there were differences in the agreement between methods between subgroups in the study population, so the possibility that differences in reporting bias influenced the comparisons of intake between subgroups needs to be borne in mind.

The fact that NMES and SFA intakes were higher than recommended levels in all age, sex and socio-economic groups in the present survey highlights the need for dietary change in the whole population of children in Scotland. Table 3 illustrates that the magnitude of the change needed to reach recommended levels is substantial: cutting out all sugar-sweetened soft drinks and replacing the energy by increasing the intake of fruit and vegetables leads to some reduction in the NMES intake but no change in the SFA intake. Additional changes to reduce the intake of other foods which contribute to NMES and SFA and to replace the energy with increases in foods high in complex carbohydrates will also be needed. Although some of these other food groups do contribute to the intake of other nutrients which are important in the diets of children, in all cases there are less processed alternatives which are lower in NMES and SFA. In the 1997 children’s National Diet and Nutrition Survey\(^{(29)}\) yoghurts and fromage frais accounted for 4% of total Ca intake in boys and 5% in girls, but dairy products combined (including yoghurt and fromage frais) contributed 6% of NMES intake in boys and 7% in girls, compared with 1% from fruits and nuts in both sexes; similarly, in both boys and girls, burgers, kebabs, sausages, meat pies and pastries accounted for 3% of total Fe intake but meat products (including coated chicken) accounted for 12% of total SFA intake, compared with only 7% from other meat and meat dishes. Such changes would require a shift away from pre-packaged snack and convenience foods, which are often heavily marketed to children, and towards fruit, vegetables and staple foods which may be less convenient or attractive although not necessarily more costly than the foods high in NMES and SFA. Achieving these substantial changes in children’s eating habits across the population will require commitment from families, schools, retailers, advertisers and the local and national food policy makers who share the goal of improving the diet of children for longer-term population health.
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