# ALMOST ALL NORMAL SETS ARE STRICTLY NORMAL

# ALEXANDER J. ZASLAVSKI

We consider the space  $S_n$  of all nonempty bounded closed normal subsets of the cone  $R_+^n$  where  $R_+^n$  is the set of all vectors  $x \in R^n$  with nonnegative coordinates. We equip the space  $S_n$  with the Hausdorff metric and show that most elements of  $S_n$  are, in fact, strictly normal. More precisely, we show that the complement of the collection of all strictly normal elements of  $S_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -porous subset of  $S_n$ .

### Introduction

In this paper we consider the space  $S_n$  of all nonempty bounded closed normal subsets of the cone  $R_+^n$  where  $R_+^n$  is the set of all vectors  $x \in R^n$  with nonnegative coordinates. The space  $S_n$  is an important class of sets which is used in mathematical economics [6, 7, 8], abstract convexity [9], approximation theory [10, 12] and in monotonic analysis [10, 12]. For instance, level sets of increasing functions are normal. We equip the space  $S_n$  with the Hausdorff metric and show that a generic bounded closed normal subset of  $R_+^n$  is strictly normal.

When we say that a certain property holds for a generic element of a complete metric space Y we mean that the set of points which have this property contains a  $G_{\delta}$  everywhere dense subset of Y. Such an approach, when a certain property is investigated for the whole space Y and not just for a single point in Y, has already been successfully applied in many areas of Analysis [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13]. The first generic result in monotonic analysis was obtained in [11] where we showed that a generic increasing function defined on an ordered Banach space has a point of minimum. In [12] we showed that a generic increasing function is strictly increasing. We considered a space of increasing functions equipped with a natural metric and showed that the complement of the subset of all strictly increasing functions is not only of the first category but also a  $\sigma$ -porous set [12]. There exists a natural one-to-one correspondence  $\Psi$  between the collection of all closed normal subsets of  $R_n^+$  and the space of increasing positively homogeneous functions [12, Propositions 1.4 and 1.5]. In [12, Section 5] we showed that the set of all strictly normal subsets has a  $\sigma$ -porous complement in an important subspace of  $S_n$  equipped with a metric induced by the mapping  $\Psi$ . In this

Received 25th August, 2003

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

paper we show that the complement of the set of all strictly normal elements of  $S_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -porous subset of  $S_n$  with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

We now recall the concept of porosity [4, 5, 12].

Let (Y,d) be a complete metric space. We denote by B(y,r) the closed ball of centre  $y \in Y$  and radius r > 0. A subset  $E \subset Y$  is called porous in (Y,d) if there exist  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that for each  $r \in (0,r_0]$  and each  $y \in Y$  there exists  $z \in Y$  for which

$$B(z, \alpha r) \subset B(y, r) \setminus E$$

A subset of the space Y is called  $\sigma$ -porous in (Y, d) if it is a countable union of porous subsets in (Y, d).

REMARK 1. It is known that in the above definition of porosity, the point y can be assumed to belong to E.

Since porous sets are nowhere dense, all  $\sigma$ -porous sets are of the first category. If Y is a finite-dimensional Euclidean space, then  $\sigma$ -porous sets are of Lebesgue measure 0. In fact, the class of  $\sigma$ -porous sets in such a space is much smaller than the class of sets which have measure 0 and are of the first category.

To point out the difference between porous and nowhere dense sets note that if  $E \subset Y$  is nowhere dense,  $y \in Y$  and r > 0, then there is a point  $z \in Y$  and a number s > 0 such that  $B(z,s) \subset B(y,r) \setminus E$ . If, however, E is also porous, then for small enough r we can choose  $s = \alpha r$ , where  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  is a constant which depends only on E.

The paper is organised as follows. In the first section we show that the space  $S_n$  equipped with the Hausdorff metric is complete and state our main result. The main result is established in Section 2.

# 1. PRELIMINARIES AND THE MAIN RESULT

We consider the Euclidean space  $R^n$  with vectors  $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in R^n$  and the norm  $|x|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^{1/2}$ ,  $x\in R^n$ . Set  $\mathbf{1}=(1,\ldots,1)$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  the cone of positive elements:

$$R_+^n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geqslant 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The following definition will be used in the sequel (see [6, 8, 9, 10]).

A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is called normal if  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  and  $y \leqslant x$  imply that  $y \in E$ .

A point  $x \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is called a boundary point of the set E if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus E$  such that  $|x - y| < \varepsilon$ .

The following definition was introduced in [12].

A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is called strictly normal if for each boundary point  $x \in E$  the inequality x < y implies that  $y \notin E$ .

Note that a subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$  is strictly normal if and only if for each  $x,y \in E$  satisfying x < y there is r > 0 such that

$$\{z \in R^n_+: |x-z| \leqslant r\} \subset E.$$

For each  $x \in X$  and each  $A \subset X$  set

$$\rho(x,A) = \inf\{|x-y|: y \in A\}.$$

Denote by S the family of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ . For each  $A,B\in S$  define the Hausdorff distance

$$(1.1) H(A,B) = \max \Bigl\{ \sup \bigl\{ \rho(x,B) : \ x \in A \bigr\}, \ \sup \bigl\{ \rho(y,A) : \ y \in B \bigr\} \Bigr\}.$$

It is known that the metric space (S, H) is complete. Denote by  $S_n$  the family of all normal sets  $A \in S$ .

PROPOSITION 1.1.  $S_n$  is a closed subset of (S, H).

PROOF: Let  $A \in S$ ,  $A_k \in S_n$ , k = 1, 2, ... and let  $H(A_k, A) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . We may assume without loss of generality that

(1.2) 
$$H(A, A_k) \leq 1/k, \ k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $0 \leqslant x \leqslant y$  and  $y \in A$ . We shall show that  $x \in A$ .

By (1.2) for each natural number k there exists  $y^{(k)} = \left(y_1^{(k)}, \dots, y_n^{(k)}\right) \in A_k$  such that

$$(1.3) |y - y^{(k)}| \le 2/k.$$

Let  $k \geqslant 1$  be an integer. Define  $x^{(k)} = \left(x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by

(1.4) 
$$x_i^{(k)} = \max\{x_i - 2/k, 0\}, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows from (1.3) and (1.4) that for i = 1, ..., n

$$|y_i^{(k)} - y_i| \le 2/k, \ y_i^{(k)} \ge y_i - 2/k \ge x_i - 2/k$$

and

$$y_i^{(k)} \geqslant \max\{x_i - 2/k, 0\} = x_i^{(k)}.$$

Since  $A_k$  is normal we obtain that  $x^{(k)} \in A_k$ . On the other hand in view of (1.4)

$$|x_i^{(k)} - x_i| \le 2/k, \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$
  
 $|x^{(k)} - x| \le 2n/k.$ 

This implies that  $x \in A$ . The proposition is proved.

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and each r > 0 set

$$B_{\|\cdot\|}(x,r) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y-x| \leqslant r \}.$$

A set  $A \in S_n$  is called strictly normal in the strong sense if for each natural number k there exists  $\gamma_k > 0$  such that for each  $x, y \in A$  satisfying

$$|x-y|\geqslant 1/k, y>x$$

the following relation holds:

$$B_{\|\cdot\|}(x,\gamma_k)\cap R^n_+\subset A.$$

Clearly, each strictly normal in the strong sense set  $A \in S_n$  is strictly normal. We shall establish the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** There exists a set  $\mathcal{F} \subset S_n$  such that the complement  $S_n \setminus \mathcal{F}$  is  $\sigma$ -porous in  $(S_n, H)$  and each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is strictly normal in the strong sense.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For each natural number k denote by  $\mathcal{F}_k$  the set of all  $A \in S_n$  which have the following property:

(P1) There is  $\gamma_k > 0$  such that for each  $x, y \in A$  satisfying

$$y > x$$
,  $|y - x| \geqslant 1/k$ ,

the relation  $B_{\|\cdot\|}(x,\gamma_k) \cap R_+^n \subset A$  holds.

Define

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_k.$$

Clearly any element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is strictly normal in the strong sense. Therefore in order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that for each natural number k the set  $S_n \setminus \mathcal{F}_k$  is  $\sigma$ -porous in  $(S_n, H)$ .

Fix a natural number k. For each natural number m set

(2.1) 
$$E_m = \left\{ A \in S_n : \sup \{ ||x|| : x \in A \} \leqslant m \right\}.$$

Since

$$S_n \setminus \mathcal{F}_k = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (E_m \setminus \mathcal{F}_k)$$

in order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that for each natural number m the set  $E_m \setminus \mathcal{F}_k$  is porous in  $(S_n, H)$ .

Let m be a natural number. Choose a positive number

$$(2.2) \alpha < \left(16^3 n^3 km\right)^{-1}.$$

Assume that

(2.3) 
$$A \in E_m \setminus \mathcal{F}_k \text{ and } r \in (0, 1/k].$$

Denote by  $\widetilde{A}$  the set of all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  for which there exists  $y \in A$  such that

(2.4) 
$$z \leq y + (4n)^{-1} r \left[ 1 - (4mn)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \right] \mathbf{1}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\widetilde{A}$  is bounded closed normal set and satisfies

$$(2.5) H(A, \widetilde{A}) \leqslant r/4.$$

Assume that  $C \in S_n$  and

$$(2.6) H(\widetilde{A}, C) \leqslant \alpha r.$$

We shall show that  $C \in \mathcal{F}_k$  with  $\gamma_k = \alpha r$ .

Assume that  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$(2.7) y > x, |x-y| \geqslant 1/k$$

and that

$$(2.8) z \in R^n_+, |x-z| \leqslant \alpha r.$$

We shall show that  $z \in C$ . By (2.6) there are

$$(2.9) \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{A}$$

such that

$$(2.10) |\widetilde{y} - y|, |\widetilde{x} - x| \leq \alpha r.$$

It follows from (2.7), (2.10), (2.3) and (2.2) that

(2.11) 
$$|\widetilde{x} - \widetilde{y}| \geqslant |x - y| - |\widetilde{x} - x| - |\widetilde{y} - y| \geqslant 1/k - 2\alpha r \geqslant (2k)^{-1},$$

$$|\widetilde{x} - \widetilde{y}| \geqslant (2k)^{-1},$$

$$\widetilde{y} \geqslant y - \alpha r \mathbf{1} > x - \alpha r \mathbf{1} \geqslant \widetilde{x} - 2\alpha r \mathbf{1},$$

$$\widetilde{y} \geqslant \widetilde{x} - 2\alpha r \mathbf{1}.$$

By (2.9) and the definition of  $\widetilde{A}$  (see (2.4)) there exists  $u \in A$  such that

(2.13) 
$$\widetilde{y} \leq u + (4n)^{-1} r \left[ 1 - (4mn)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \right] \mathbf{1}.$$

In view of (2.11) there exists an integer  $j_0 \in \{1, ..., n\}$  such that

$$|\widetilde{x}_{j_0} - \widetilde{y}_{j_0}| \geqslant (2kn)^{-1}.$$

It follows from (2.12), (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\widetilde{x}_{j_0} - \widetilde{y}_{j_0} \leqslant 2\alpha r < (2kn)^{-1}$$
.

Combined with (2.14) this inequality implies that

$$\widetilde{y}_{j_0} - \widetilde{x}_{j_0} \geqslant (2kn)^{-1}.$$

By (2.13), (2.3) and (2.15),

$$u_{j_0} \geqslant \widetilde{y}_{j_0} - (4nk)^{-1} \geqslant \widetilde{x}_{j_0} + (2nk)^{-1} - (4nk)^{-1}$$

and

$$(2.16) u_{j_0} \geqslant \widetilde{x}_{j_0} + (4nk)^{-1}.$$

Define  $\widetilde{u} = (\widetilde{u}_1, \dots, \widetilde{u}_n) \in R_+^n$  by

(2.17) 
$$\widetilde{u}_i = u_i, \ i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus j_0, \ \widetilde{u}_{j_0} = u_{j_0} - (16nk)^{-1}.$$

Clearly  $\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ ,

$$(2.18) \widetilde{u} \leqslant u \text{ and } \widetilde{u} \in A.$$

Define  $\widehat{u} = (\widehat{u}_1, \dots, \widehat{u}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by

(2.19) 
$$\widehat{u} = \widetilde{u} + (4n)^{-1} r \left[ 1 - (4mn)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{u}_{i} \right] \mathbf{1}.$$

By the definition,

$$\widehat{u} \in \widetilde{A}.$$

The equations (2.19) and (2.17) imply that

(2.21) 
$$\widehat{u} = \widetilde{u} + (4n)^{-1} r \left[ 1 - (4mn)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i - (16nk)^{-1} \right) \right] 1.$$

It follows from (2.21) and (2.17) that for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\} \setminus \{j_0\}$ 

(2.22) 
$$\widehat{u}_i = u_i + (4n)^{-1} r \left[ 1 - (4mn)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \right] + (4n)^{-1} r (16nk)^{-1} (4mn)^{-1},$$

(2.23)

$$\widehat{u}_{j_0} = u_{j_0} - (16nk)^{-1} + (4n)^{-1}r \left[1 - (4mn)^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^n u_j\right] + (4n)^{-1}r(16nk)^{-1}(4mn)^{-1}.$$

(2.22) and (2.13) imply that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_0\}$ 

$$(2.24) \widehat{u}_i \geqslant \widetilde{y}_i + r(16^2 n^3 m k)^{-1}.$$

In view of (2.23) and (2.13)

$$\widehat{u}_{j_0} \geqslant \widetilde{y}_{j_0} - (16nk)^{-1} + r(16^2 n^3 mk)^{-1}.$$

(2.8) and (2.10) imply that

$$|z - \widetilde{x}| \le |z - x| + |x - \widetilde{x}| \le \alpha r + \alpha r$$

and

$$(2.26) \widetilde{x} \geqslant z - 2\alpha r 1.$$

By (2.12) and (2.26),

$$(2.27) \widetilde{y} \geqslant \widetilde{x} - 2\alpha r 1 \geqslant z - 4\alpha r 1.$$

It follows from (2.20) and (2.6) that there is  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  such that

$$(2.28) v \in C, |v - \widehat{u}| \leqslant \alpha r.$$

(2.28) implies that

$$(2.29) v \geqslant \widehat{u} - \alpha r \mathbf{1}.$$

(2.29), (2.24), (2.27) and (2.2) imply that for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\} \setminus \{j_0\}$ 

$$v_{i} \geqslant \widehat{u}_{i} - \alpha r \geqslant \widetilde{y}_{i} + r \left(16^{2} n^{3} k m\right)^{-1} - \alpha r$$
$$\geqslant r \left(16^{2} n^{3} k m\right)^{-1} - \alpha r + z_{i} - 4\alpha r$$
$$= z_{i} + r \left[\left(16^{2} n^{3} k m\right)^{-1} - 5\alpha\right] > z_{i}$$

and

$$(2.30) v_i > z_i.$$

It follows from (2.29), (2.25), (2.15), (2.26) and (2.2) that

$$v_{j_0} \geqslant \widehat{u}_{j_0} - \alpha r \geqslant -\alpha r + \widetilde{y}_{j_0} - (16nk)^{-1} + r(16^2 n^3 mk)^{-1}$$

$$\geqslant \widetilde{x}_{j_0} + (2kn)^{-1} - \alpha r - (16nk)^{-1} + r(16^2 n^3 mk)^{-1}$$

$$\geqslant (2kn)^{-1} - \alpha r - (16nk)^{-1} + r(16^2 n^3 mk)^{-1} + z_{j_0} - 2\alpha r > z_{j_0}$$

and

$$(2.31) v_{j_0} > z_{j_0}.$$

By (2.30), (2.31) and (2.28),  $z \in C$ . Thus we have shown that for each  $x, y \in C$  satisfying (2.7) and each  $z \in R^n$  satisfying (2.8) the inclusion  $z \in C$  holds. Therefore  $C \in \mathcal{F}_k$ . We have shown that

$$\{C \in S_n : H(\widetilde{A}, C) \leq \alpha r\} \subset \mathcal{F}_k.$$

By (2.5) and (2.2),

$$\{C \in S_n : H(\widetilde{A}, C) \leq \alpha r\} \subset \{C \in S_n : H(A, C) \leq r\}.$$

Therefore the set  $E_m \setminus \mathcal{F}_k$  is porous in  $(S_n, H)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Asplund, 'Fréchet differentiability of convex functions', Acta Math. 121 (1968), 31-47.
- [2] J.M. Ball and N.S. Nadirashvili, 'Universal singular sets for one-dimensional variational problems', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 1 (1993), 429-438.
- [3] A. Cellina and C. Mariconda, 'The existence question in the calculus of variations: A density result', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 1145-1150.
- [4] F.S. De Blasi and J. Myjak, 'Sur la porosité des contractions sans point fixe', C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I 308 (1989), 51-54.
- [5] F.S. De Blasi and J. Myjak, 'On a generalized best approximation problem', J. Approx. Theory 94 (1998), 54-72.
- [6] Z. Dzalilov, A.M. Rubinov and P.E. Kloeden, 'Lyapunov sequences and a turnpike theorem without convexity', Set-Valued Anal. 6 (1998), 277-302.
- [7] V.L. Makarov, M.J. Levin and A.M. Rubinov, Mathematical economic theory: Pure and mixed types of economic mechanisms (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995).
- [8] V.L. Makarov and A.M. Rubinov, Mathematical theory of economic dynamics and equilibria (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977).
- [9] A. M. Rubinov, Abstract convexity and global optimization (Kluwer Academic Publishers, . Dordrecht, 2000).
- [10] A.M. Rubinov and I. Singer, 'Best approximation by normal and conormal sets', J. Approx. Theory 107 (2000), 212-243.
- [11] A.M. Rubinov and A.J. Zaslavski, 'Existence and uniqueness of a solution for a minimization problem with a generic increasing function', J. Austral. Math. Society Ser. A 67 (1999), 85-103.
- [12] A.M. Rubinov and A.J. Zaslavski, 'Two porosity results in monotonic analysis', Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 23 (2002), 651-668.
- [13] S.B. Stechkin, 'Approximative properties of sets in normed linear spaces', Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 8 (1963), 5-13.

Department of Mathematics The Technion-Israel Institute of Technology 32000 Haifa Israel

e-mail: ajzasl@tx.technion.ac.il